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Abstract

The Edwardian postcard has been described as the Twitter of its age. Earlier regarded as an insignificant pop-cultural trifle, it has, over the last two decades, begun to receive serious academic attention. This attention has, however, been unevenly spread, and often relies on a forty-year-old narrative of the postcard’s history that locates the postcard as the product of a set of discrete occurrences within postal history.

This thesis argues that the lack of a contemporary, broadly contextualised history distorts our understanding of the postcard’s place within Edwardian society. It centres its critique around a genre of greetings postcard that is disadvantaged by the current approach: Hands Across The Sea (HATS). These multimodal cards’ designs normally contain the clasped hands symbol and utilise imagery and verse that is ostensibly old-fashioned, nostalgic and sentimental – qualities that sit uncomfortably within the academic tendency to frame the postcard as a quintessentially modern medium. Yet advertisements show that Edwardians within the British diaspora were prepared to pay up to six times more for these cards than for normal tourist views. This discrepancy between contemporary and Edwardian estimation of the card, it is argued, is itself significant.

To explain how the HATS card could be valued thus, the study fundamentally re-situates the history of the postcard, using a wide-ranging, contextualist approach that is both interdisciplinary and multi-methodological. It initially uses the heuristic of exploring the HATS phrase and symbolism to negotiate nineteenth century culture and to identify the connotations HATS carried for postcard users. Over the course of a century HATS would develop out of Anglo-Saxonist liberal discourse to be adopted by trade unions, and to rhetorically exemplify both Anglo-American and Colonial relationships. Culturally, however, its use in such areas as melodrama and the trade union emblem is shown to be of unexpected significance for postcard study.
Located primarily at the intersect between design history and history, the thesis draws on business history, sociology and anthropology to connect or reframe the postcard’s relationship to discourses such as taste, gift-giving, consumerism, collecting, anonymity, design, printing and material culture. The transnational Victorian print culture of lithographic ‘Art Publishing’, its business networks and its customers’ collecting practices, it turns out, all prefigured major aspects of the postcard’s development, most significantly via the Christmas card. The thesis then re-examines the history of the postcard, using new evidence from postcard retailing to posit three distinct historical waves of postcard fashion. Following view and actress card phases, the HATS card is shown to be a central element in a revitalisation of the greetings genre which occurred as Edwardians sought ‘better’ cards. A detailed study of six hundred HATS cards collected in New Zealand then examines the dynamics of a genre that played a key role in the transnational maintenance of family and friendship networks among immigrants. It explores how this popular cultural item evolved with no clear initiator, and challenges the middle-class attitudes to authorship, originality and the commonplace that have prevented recognition of HATS’ significance.

Ultimately, the thesis’s richly contextualised account of the trajectory of this entangled phenomenon aims to provide an improved historical underpinning for future postcard studies. In addition to showing that ‘Hands across the Sea’ represents a paradigmatic aspect of late Victorian and Edwardian culture, it concludes that the postcard’s history is emblematic of contradictory progressive and nostalgic currents that co-existed in Edwardian society, but that there is far more continuity with earlier practices and visual culture than the current postcard literature acknowledges.
Volume I: The Background Context
Preface

Sometime during the first decade of the twentieth century, an Edwardian child drew a diagram on the final page of a copy of *The New Zealand Graphic Reader*. The book is also embellished with childish drawings of torpedo boats and soldiers, but this diagram is different [Figure 1]. Probably copied from a blackboard illustration, it schematically links New Zealand and England with a “bond of friendship.” This bond, inaccurately described as “hand across the sea,” seems apposite, despite New Zealand having been a cipher amongst British Victorians for the ends of the earth.¹ Friendship, here, transcends the geographical divide.

When I bought this book, and discovered the drawing inside, it spoke to me. Perhaps there were echoes of Paul McCartney’s “hands across the water” lyric,² or perhaps, as an English child immigrant myself, I connected it to 1960s schoolroom maps with the British Empire demarcated in its all-important red. At all events, I used this single material item for a while in my teaching as an emblem of imperialist relations, but then it was replaced. I thought no more about it until a coincidence made me aware that this was

---

² It is the first line of the chorus in McCartney’s 1971 *Uncle Albert/Admiral Halsey*. 
no isolated occurrence.\(^3\) TradeMe, the New Zealand equivalent of eBay, had opted to put postcards and writing ephemera under the same heading. As a collector of the latter, I found myself forced to wade through hundreds of postcards for the occasional inkwell. It was here, however, that I noticed three items called “Hands across the Sea” postcards. Without that earlier diagram, I would never have opened those links, and this thesis would not have happened. However, the phrase looked familiar and historical curiosity got the better of me. One of those cards turned out to be the closest in design to the original diagram of any I have subsequently located [Figure 2].

Evidently, “hands across the sea” stretched beyond the schoolroom, and I started to buy these cards as they came up – which they did frequently, once dealers discovered a potential purchaser. Ten years ago, it would have been almost impossible to discover much about these cards. They appear only sporadically in museum archives,\(^4\) and are virtually absent in the literature. However, my online collecting interest coincided with the advent of online searchable newspaper databases, and these not only documented the cards, but revealed that “hands across the sea” reached well

---


\(^4\) Museums did not collect such popular cultural objects at the time, and where these have arrived subsequently they are in albums which have been gifted, and have little context. I opted to exclude these collections (the people who gift items to museums are unlikely to be representative of postcard users), a decision supported by Daniel Gifford, who similarly excluded institutional collections in favour of cards sourced online. Daniel Gifford, “To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910” (Doctoral Dissertation, George Mason University, 2011), p.26.
beyond postcards, being utilised much more extensively during the Edwardian period than I could ever have imagined.

Michael Ann Holly recalls a visit to the National Gallery with Mieke Bal, where Bal was able to use a barely perceptible dot in a Vermeer painting, representing the hole that would have housed a nail to hold a picture, as a hook to hang a narrative of displacement.\(^5\) Statistically, the usage of “hands across the sea” represents a barely perceptible dot on the visual and linguistic landscapes, but its occurrence in texts and objects that were widely dispersed across time and space suggested that this dot might prove to be a rabbit-hole that opens into hitherto unseen aspects of Edwardian culture. Displacement was part of it, but that represented only one thread of what rapidly turned into a patchwork quilt. And so, in the spirit of Tim Ingold’s ‘wayfaring’,\(^6\) with no distinct destination initially in mind, a hobby became research.

---


Introduction

Around the time that Figure 1 was being drawn, Auckland father, A.E. Morgan wrote a postcard to his daughter Eda, who was at school in the New Zealand provincial centre of Whanganui [Figure 3]. Although he used the old fashioned long ‘s’ when addressing “Mis Eda Morgan,” he was clearly trying to be up to date in his choice of medium by taking the advice of a retailer. “I hope,” he wrote, “you will like these post Cards I am sending you they are quite a new sort dear so they told me where I bought them.”

At the time, the town now known as Whanganui was spelt Wanganui. Since Whanganui appears regularly in the text it is worth clarifying that in this thesis I will use the original name when quoting original texts, or newspaper titles, but the newer spelling in the narrative.

The economy of punctuation in this text is typical of many of the texts on postcards, which frequently dispensed with capitals and full stops. The card itself is Wildt & Kray series 1280, and, like all the Hands across the Sea cards illustrated here, is part of the author’s collection – along with two others also sent to Eda by her father, and bought at the same time.
Postcards have been likened to an Edwardian Twitter in terms of their cultural reach, explaining why Eda Morgan was involved in postcard collecting at this time. The postcard craze would peak in 1909, but this card is earlier. Although Mr. Morgan posted his card undated in an envelope, the card’s production can be assigned to late 1907 by the manufacturers’ sequential numbering system. Given the time necessary to export it to New Zealand and still be “quite a new sort” in the shop, it was probably sent during 1908.

At least a decade later, by which time the postcard craze had largely subsided, an anonymous husband sent his wife an anniversary card [Figure 4], with the following comment about the pre-printed text on the card:

My Darling You must substitute Ours for Yours. I could not get a card with Ours instead of Yours as I’d liked to have done. Fancy Hands across the sea on it. It does remind me of our courting days dearest, and your first message to me. Bless you.

Quite apart from the evidence that postcards played a part in this couple’s courtship, and the sender’s desire for the printed text to be specific to the

---


4 Postcards do not survive a century without having been collected. As I found two other messages to Eda from her father, it is likely she had either her own album, or gave them to a collector friend.


context (a desire later fulfilled when someone scraped away the offending ‘Y’) this text is notable for the way the sender interprets the clasped hands on the card as “hands across the sea” (hereafter abbreviated as HATS). HATS is not written anywhere in the printed text, but the sentiment was easily interpreted by the purchaser, and evidently evoked warmly nostalgic feelings. Between these two cards being sent, therefore, postcards bearing clasped hands went from being new to being so recognisable that their symbolism was understood without being textually stated.

**The HATS Postcard within the Literature**

This degree of ubiquity would make sense if art critic, Gabriel Coxhead, is correct in asserting that the HATS card was “the apogee of the so-called Golden Age of picture postcards.” For Coxhead, few genres of card “could match the sheer range of themes, imagery, and artistic styles of the designs that put HATS at the forefront of the worldwide craze for sending and collecting.” However, if the HATS card was the culminating design of the estimated 200 billion or more cards sent worldwide between 1900-1920, surely one might expect it to feature prominently in postcard literature? This it most certainly does not. Indeed, Coxhead is the only writer to deal with the HATS postcard phenomenon within something approximating an academic context.

There is little more interest amongst deltiologists. J. H. D. Smith’s *Picture Postcard Values* boasts 6000 entries for different types of card, but amongst these, HATS appears only as an item in the “Miscellaneous” section of the Greetings chapter, alongside rabbits, frogs and fireworks. Anthony Byatt notes HATS as a “popular greeting,” which “can be linked with any

---

9 Ibid., p.107.
11 Coxhead’s piece is more criticism than history. Although *Cabinet* is a serious publication, Coxhead’s article has no bibliography or referencing.
12 Deltiology is the term now used for postcard collecting. There is an extensive deltiological literature around postcards, which, at times, provides perspectives lacking in the academic literature.
collection that stresses communication for they often depict ships and trains, the continents and even the globe itself.”  


19 The only writers to observe this disconnect between historical and contemporary valuing of cards were Dûval and Monahan, who noted that Art reproduction cards were not originally a ‘poor man’s gallery’, since they originally cost 3d or 4d at a time most cards cost a penny [William Dûval and Valerie Monahan, *Collecting Postcards in Colour* (Poole, UK: Blandford, 1978), p.54]. However whilst they comment on the cheapness of these cards in today’s market, they fail to explain why this occurs.

20 My rough estimate of there being at least 6-8000 different HATS designs is based on my collection having, on average, approximately two cards in any given series – and postcard series usually consisted of at least six cards. However it is impossible to know how many series are not represented at all in the collection, or how many of the cards come from series in which a HATS design may have been included as part of another theme, and therefore only occurred once. With such variables, any estimate is going to be approximate.
identifies over one hundred firms that offered versions of the HATS theme, (see Appendix 6.1). Leonard’s term ‘extensive’ seems justified.

If HATS was indeed a widely-known Edwardian postcard genre, this raises two major questions. Why did this theme resonate with the postcard buying public, and why has it subsequently attracted so little interest from academics? Coxhead and Leonard appear to address the first. They link HATS to emigration,\(^{21}\) to memory, and “the desire to stay connected, to keep in touch, despite the vastness of physical separation.”\(^{22}\) Coxhead suggests it can be seen as “a kind of extended meditation on transportation and communication, helping senders and recipients to come to terms with a radically changing world.”\(^{23}\) However, whilst such explanations register a correspondence between genre and context, they do not actually explain why the HATS metaphor is the one that became emblematic of these issues. Manufacturers created other types of cards addressed to emigrants, but these appear to have resonated rather less, [e.g. Figure 5].

The origins of the HATS phrase are, as Coxhead points out, unknown, though he notes its use as a metaphor for international relations during the 1890s.\(^{24}\) Yet surely these origins are central to appreciating the connotations that gave HATS its subsequent significance? This issue defines the first major question that this thesis addresses. It is not enough to ask what HATS meant for the Edwardians. This meaning is entwined with a set of origins that helped HATS acquire the cultural force that it evidently had, and these are explored in chapter 1.

In doing this, I adopt what Naomi Schor labels a “continuist” approach to the HATS phenomenon, highlighting its links with the wider origins of the postcard medium, rather than only acknowledging its radical

\(^{21}\) Leonard, "Hands across the Sea," p.4.
\(^{23}\) Ibid., p.109.
\(^{24}\) Ibid.
discontinuities. And thinking about the postcard medium leads to the other major question that initially needs addressing: why have HATS postcards been largely overlooked in the postcard literature?

**Deltiological Histories of the Postcard**

Academic study of the postcard dates back little more than a quarter century, but it draws on earlier deltiological histories whose legacy is worth discussing. Richard Carline’s *Pictures in the Post* was an early attempt to sum up the postcard’s progress. Being from the late 1950s, it would be easy to bypass this work, agreeing with Sandra Ferguson that serious deltiological work on the postcard did not begin until the 1970s. Nevertheless, Carline was extensively used by the first wave of postcard historians, and has been cited by some more recent scholars such as Bjarne Rogan. This work is important, because it is evident that Carline had access to several of the postcard collector’s magazines that were published in the early years of the ‘postcard craze’. This helps Carline’s study of the craze itself to be more detailed than Frank Staff’s oft-cited *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*. Staff is stronger on the origins, but Carline’s

---

work, along with that of Anthony Byatt,\textsuperscript{32} provide more information on the phenomenon itself.

Carline, however, comes with an agenda. As a painter, his focus was on showing how cards “develop the artistic faculties,” and he was particularly keen to give postcard artists their due.\textsuperscript{33} He was not alone in this. Pierre Bourdieu and Richard Nice highlight the modernist predilection for the “charisma ideology,” which focuses attention on the immediate producer, while masking who “authorises the author.”\textsuperscript{34} This means that, like many a writer on graphic design history,\textsuperscript{35} Carline massages a largely anonymous medium towards such authors as can be identified. This approach can still be seen in a recent lavish publication of work from the Leonard Lauder collection which focuses heavily on either cards produced by artists, or on cards that reflect the avant-garde design styles of the period.\textsuperscript{36} The result is a wonderful book, but not one – if the advertisements I analyse in chapter three are in any way typical – that the average Edwardian would recognise as remotely representative of what they could buy in the shops.\textsuperscript{37} Since HATS cards were almost always produced unsigned, with designs that look more Victorian than avant-garde, their omission from works that focus on


\textsuperscript{33} Carline, \textit{Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard}, p.70.


\textsuperscript{35} Two typical earlier examples of this approach are Bevis Hillier, \textit{The Style of the Century}, 2nd ed. (London: Herbert, 1998); Jeremy Aynsley, \textit{A Century of Graphic Design: Graphic Design Pioneers of the 20th Century} (London: Mitchell Beazley, 2001). Despite design historical awareness of this tendency to mirror what Jonathan Woodham calls “late-twentieth century media interest in designer-celebrities” [Jonathan M. Woodham, “Local, National and Global: Redrawing the Design Historical Map,” \textit{Journal of Design History} 18, no. 3 (2005): p.262], the heroic approach continues to hold sway, with much graphic design writing still valorising individuals, or discussing styles (which, even when used anonymously, are genealogically traced to individual authorial originators). See, for example, Patrick Cramsie, \textit{The Story of Graphic Design: From the Invention of Writing to the Birth of Digital Design} (London: British Library, 2010).

\textsuperscript{36} Lynda Klich and Benjamin Weiss, \textit{The Postcard Age: Selections from the Leonard A. Lauder Collection} (Boston, MA: Museum of Fine Arts, 2012).

\textsuperscript{37} Dûval and Monahan are correct to note, for example, that Art Nouveau cards are difficult to find. Dûval and Monahan, \textit{Collecting Postcards in Colour}, p.57. Few British cards seem to have employed this style.
either the card as art, or the card as visually reflective of modernity, is unsurprising. And the anonymity of both the postcard artists and manufacturers is exacerbated by the loss of almost all postcard company records either through neglect, or immolation during the war, meaning that archival historical research on postcard history is severely limited. The postcards themselves are often the only source available.

“Classification,” according to John Elsner and Roger Cardinal, “precedes collection,” and the creation of a postcard taxonomy by the deltiologists of the sixties and seventies, served to further marginalise HATS cards. Although Edwardian publishers had, as can be seen from their advertising, developed rough and ready categories in their postcard advertising, [e.g. Figure 100], the varying deltiological classifications that informed catalogues like Smith’s do not adhere to those original terms. In Smith, HATS is placed as part of the ‘miscellaneous’ section of the overall greetings category, whilst in Burdick’s early American catalogue, it is completely absorbed into general greetings. Dûval and Monahan distinguish between ‘Topographical’ and ‘Themes’, amongst which HATS, though not mentioned, could fit within ‘Greetings’, ‘Ships’, and

---

38 See, for example, Prochaska and Mendelson, *Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity*. Carole Scheffer, “Architectural Postcards and the Conception of Place: Mediating Cultural Experience” (Ph.D., Concordia University, Canada, 1999), p.240.
39 Byatt, *Picture Postcards and their Publishers*, p.14. Byatt also notes, on page 40, that postcard companies were heavily bunched together in the “Postcard Mile” area of London’s East End – an area that was all but obliterated during the Blitz. Important company records such as those of Raphael Tuck were totally destroyed. The records of almost all the other firms had disappeared by the 1920s, leaving a dearth of company archival material [Holt and Holt, *Picture Postcards of the Golden Age: A Collector’s Guide*, p.36]. Howard Woody similarly points out that the historically low regard for postcards did not encourage the contemporary archiving of significant records. Such archives as survived neglect and immolation tended to be discarded when companies went out of business, Howard Woody, "International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915)," in *Delivering Views: Distant Cultures in Early Postcards*, ed. Christaud M. Geary and Virginia-Lee Webb (Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1998), p.22.
41 Anthony Byatt acknowledges the Holts as having been responsible for the first postcard taxonomy. Byatt, *Collecting Picture Postcards: An Introduction*, p.81.
43 J. R. Burdick, ed. *The American Card Catalog* (New York: Nostalgia Press, 1967), p.156. This is unsurprising. As will become evident, HATS was a less significant genre for Americans.
'Transport'. Martin Willoughby simply divides cards into ‘views’ and ‘subjects’.

The fact that HATS imagery falls across multiple deltiological categories [Figure 6] has not helped it to be recognised separately. Yet, if Chris Kennedy is correct in defining a genre as “a set of texts shar[ing] the same communicative purpose and social ends [that] tend to share the same ideational, inter-personal and textual meaning,” then HATS is a genre, and it was treated as such in Edwardian advertising. Whilst deltiological knowledge continues to grow as a result of the efforts of journals like Picture Postcard Monthly, it tends to reflect contemporary estimations of genres, publishers and artists. Tom Phillips went some way to reframing the card as an anonymous item of social exchange, but the British postcard phenomenon still awaits serious, contextualised, historical consideration. This is why Staff’s work, which supplies some context, is still predominantly used by academic writers exploring the postcards’ potential. Nevertheless, with its second edition now thirty four

Figure 6: H. Vertigen & Co., ca.1910, HATS card.
This card provides a good example of the difficulty of categorisation based on imagery. It could be filed under aviation, submarining, shipping, landscape, seascape, greetings and hands. Vertigen tended to import German printed cards with English texts added, and this card unusually carries both ‘Printed in Saxony’ and ‘Made in Germany’ labelling. The layout displays an atypical method of overlaying different scenes. (See note 46 for an explanation of the ‘PC438’ mentioned below). Author’s collection PC438.

---

44 Dûval and Monahan, Collecting Postcards in Colour, p.5. Though not mentioned amongst these themes, HATS could fit within Greetings, Ships or Transport.
45 Willoughby, A History of Postcards: A Pictorial Record from the Turn of the Century to the Present Day, p.86.
46 The ‘PC438’ included after the phrase “Author’s collection” in the caption to Figure 6 is the number allocated to this card in my 601 card study of dated HATS cards. Cards with such a number can be cross-referenced to their entry in Appendix 10. Where no ‘PC’ number is included, the card is either undated, or was purchased after June 2012, the cut off date for that study. ‘PC’ is the Edwardian acronym for ‘postcard’.
49 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins.
years old, one can query whether it is advisable to accept Staff’s historical conclusions uncritically.

**Academic Study of the Postcard Genre**

Admittedly, for most academics, the postcard’s history is relatively incidental to their studies. If not simply using cards as a motif within larger theoretical concerns, researchers have been attracted to the postcard for its ability to freeze-frame Edwardian modes of representation and culture. Though most still concentrate on the cards’ visual aspects, there has been increasing interest in the handwritten texts. The disciplines paying the picture particular attention are visual culture and tourist studies, the latter joining sociology and communication history in also studying the user’s texts. Building on initial work by Wayne Mellinger and Elizabeth Edwards, tourism’s focus on the postcard coincided with the rise of discourse analysis, with scholars seeing postcards as “cultural texts” which constituted “the discursive expression of the popular culture of the time.”

Broadly speaking, the tourist literature is interested in both the ways that cards represent the power relations within a culture, and the discursive assumptions that become articulated in the tourist encounter with new places, peoples and activities. Tourist scholarship forms perhaps the most developed discrete disciplinary home for the postcard, but its importance

---

50 In such studies, a version of the postcard is used to illustrate a theoretical concern. This is, for example, how it is used by Derrida, for whom it offers a metaphor that contributes to a discussion of the history of philosophy. Jacques Derrida, *The Post Card: From Socrates to Freud and Beyond* [La Carte Postale: de Socrate à Freud et au-delà (1980)] (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987).


52 Tourism’s interest is not necessarily only historical, given that sending a postcard remains a current tourist ritual.


55 Significant contributions to this body of work not already mentioned include Marion Markwick, ”Postcards from Malta: Image, Consumption, Context,” *Annals of Tourism Research* 28, no. 2 (2001); Annette Pritchard and Nigel Morgan, ”Mythic Geographies of Representation and Identity: Contemporary Postcards of Wales,” *Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change* 1, no. 2 (2003); Peter M. Burns, ”Six Postcards from Arabia,” *Tourist Studies* 4, no. 3 (2004); Atila Yüksel and Olcay Akgül, ”Postcards as Affective Image
for this study is less in its content than in its tendency to assume that tourist cards equate to postcards. Whilst this may be very much the case today, the practice of the HATS card suggests that Edwardian usage was broader than just the touristic. The same point can be made in relation to visual culture. David Prochaska and Jordana Mendelson note that photographic view cards (the stuff of tourism) are heavily over-represented within a literature that “can be characterised in terms of absences and presences.”56

Sandra Ferguson reflects this over-representation, arguing that the postcard’s development is intertwined with the photograph,57 and ascribing the picture postcard’s genesis to the development of techniques for printing photographs.58 Despite thereby ignoring several key genres of non-photographic card, Ferguson’s article is useful in explaining the revival of academic interest in the postcard. She traces the evolution of academia’s move away from its longstanding prejudice towards the everyday, through postcard scholars’ self-deprecating attempts to inveigle the ‘humble’ card into existing discourses. As a visual medium, she argues, historians and sociologists (more comfortable with textual sources) had been reluctant to utilise the photographic postcard, querying its reliability as a source – not least because of its anonymity, and its frequent lack of the type of documented provenance that museums require.59 However, as the focus of history moved away from politics and society to culture and the everyday, she argues, “a documentary form like the postcard could finally begin to gain credence as a research tool.”60


56 Prochaska and Mendelson, *Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity*, p.xii. One of the more developed early theoretical studies that emphasised the relationships between views, viewers and the places represented is Carol Scheffer’s study of architectural postcards. Scheffer, ”Architectural Postcards and the Conception of Place: Mediating Cultural Experience.”


58 Ibid., p.170.

59 Ibid., p.176.

60 Ibid., p.180.
Fergusson here casts postcards as a “research tool,” but the resulting emphasis on subject matter downplays postcards’ worth as subjects in their own right. The quote also defines the genre. Despite her discussions of photography, she locates this definition not around media, or content, but rather through a process, that of ‘documenting’. There is no doubt that many postcards are documentary. Yet the ‘documentary’ definition excludes cards like HATS or greetings postcards, and implicitly prioritises the medium of photography. Nor is Ferguson the only author to assume that the view/documentary card provides the generic postcard pattern. Although a title like Delivering Views: Distant Cultures in Early Postcards ought to alert one to a book that deals with the particular genre of view cards, the way that Christaud Geary and Virginia-Lee Webb frame the card in their introduction tends to universalise it. They propose four postcard functions: those of souvenir, collectible, communication, and research resource. These are valid categories, but the book discusses them as properties of the postcard, and not of the view card.

Not all generic definitions are based on image or process. The concept of the postcard as an open letter underpins the theoretical discussions in Jacques Derrida’s The Post Card, and Bernhard Siegert’s Relays, which focuses on the damage to subjectivity caused by the postcard’s lack of confidentiality. Whilst Siegert is correct that this openness was inherent to the postcard’s legislative origins, Bjarne Rogan has pointed out that

---

61 This is highlighted by the prominence given in recent literature to the postcard connections of significant photographers such as Walker Evans. In addition to Evan’s 1948 writing being included in a major anthology, introduced by Elizabeth B. Heuer [Walker Evans, “Main Street Looking North from Courthouse Square,” in Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity, ed. David Prochaska and Jordan Mendelson (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2010)], Evans’ work has been the subject of a major profile by the Metropolitan Museum. Jeff L. Rosenheim, Walker Evans and the Picture Postcard (New York: Steidl / Metropolitan Museum of Art, 2009).

62 Ibid., pp.3-5.

63 Derrida, The Post Card: From Socrates to Freud and Beyond.


65 Ibid., pp.147-8.
around 50% of postcards were not sent open. This undermines the assumption that openness is a generic quality of postcards. To prioritise theoretical neatness and postal legislation over consumer behaviour is to reinforce a suspiciously modernist set of power relations. Jan-Ola Östman opts instead to frame the postcard as generically slippery – operating across multiple semiotic modes, sliding across the private/public divide, and merging aspects of spoken and written language to provide a fluid venue for less formal, dialogical communication. Emphasising, as this thesis does, the actual ways that users put the postcard to work, allows for the card to be redefined over time, and requires categorical flexibility.

Because communications theorists like Östman are aware that the ‘picture postcard’ has multimodal aspects, and that the postcard is, as Naomi Schor put it, a “perfectly reversible semiotic object,” they tend to be less prescriptive about the genre as a whole. While highlighting the tourist aspects of postcards, Bjarne Rogan acknowledges the range of genres that the postcard encompassed, adding ritual to Geary and Webb’s list, and noting that the cards’ greetings functions are connected to the aesthetics of the image. He argues that the postcard’s abbreviated forms act analogously to text messages – being “social tokens” or “ritual communication” that remind the receiver of their relationship with the

---

67 Rogan, "An Entangled Object: The Picture Postcard as Souvenir and Collectible, Exchange and Ritual Communication," p.2. This is supported by David Bowers, who notes that the “vast majority” of the film and theatrical postcards he collected were not postally used – though, like Rogan, he does not specify how many were used but not posted, and how many were collected unused. Q. David Bowers, "Souvenir Postcards and the Development of the Star System, 1912-14," Film History 3, no. 1 (1989): p.40. I will argue below that Rogan and Bowers’ observations are borne out by the evidence of the HATS cards in this study.
70 See, for example, Rogan, "An Entangled Object: The Picture Postcard as Souvenir and Collectible, Exchange and Ritual Communication," p.3.
71 Ibid., p.7.
72 Ibid., p.6.
73 Ibid., p.19. He specifically notes, however, that his article does not deal with the aesthetic aspect of cards.
sender. 74 Barbara Becker and Karen Malcolm call this the ‘phatic’. 75 Seen thus, the postcard has no automatic relationship with particular types of imagery like views. While Rogan does not entirely dispel the stereotype of the postcard as tourist image, 76 his insistence on the postcard as theoretically “entangled” is fundamental to any appreciation of the postcard medium.77

Jeffrey Meikle, one of the few design historians to write about the postcard, argues that postcards typically feature “travel, movement and absence from home.” 78 But he also notes that, over time, a particular narrative about the past tends to colonise the present. 79 Academically, this applies to the postcard. According to Julia Gillen and Nigel Hall, 80 the textual aspects of the cards are seldom studied. 81 Certainly, despite the corrective work of such communication theorists, the majority of postcard scholars still prioritise the pictorial, 82 normally focussing on view-based tourist card

74 Ibid., p.18.
80 Gillen and Hall’s Edwardian Postcard Project constitutes one of the most sustained academic engagements with the postcard over the last ten years. See: Gillen, Julia, and Nigel Hall. “Edwardian Postcard Project.” (2013). http://www.lancs.ac.uk/fass/projects/EVIIpc/index.php [accessed June 10th, 2013].
images. Should they look beyond these, most opt for documentary content that is in some way transgressive. Reacting to the uncritical 1970s interest in the surreal, fantastic and erotic aspects of postcards, academic writers have zeroed in on the exploitation underpinning such Edwardian jollity. This might relate to the purely sexual, but more often it relates to race – be this the sadistic violence of American lynching, or the exploitative aspects of the colonial enterprise. Although other themes and genres have been

83 In addition to the tourist studies mentioned earlier, other studies of the view card apply it to a variety of disciplines such as cultural geography: Gordon Waitt and Lesley Head, "Postcards and Frontier Mythologies: Sustaining Views of the Kimberley as Timeless," Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 20 (2002); sociology: Robert Bogdan and Ann Marshall, "Views of the Asylum: Picture Postcard Depictions of Institutions for People with Mental Disorders in the Early 20th Century," Visual Sociology 12, no. 1 (1997); health: Sara Anne Hook, "You've Got Mail: Hospital Postcards as a Reflection of Health Care in the Early Twentieth Century," Journal of the Medical Library Association 93, no. 3 (2005); library studies: Bernadette A. Lear, "Wishing They Were There: Old Postcards and Library History," Libraries & the Cultural Record 43, no. 1 (2008); and gender history: Rebecca Preston, "Hope You Will Be Able to Recognise Us': The Representation of Women and Gardens in Early 20th Century British Domestic 'Real Photo' Postcards," Women's History Review 18, no. 5 (2009).


explored – such as suffrage,\textsuperscript{88} propaganda,\textsuperscript{89} theatre and film,\textsuperscript{90} national cards,\textsuperscript{91} and the comic genre\textsuperscript{92} – the preponderance of discourses linked to the Other,\textsuperscript{93} relative to the huge range of postcards available, justifies Prochaska and Mendelson’s earlier point about presences and absences.

Whilst the academic focus on process and subject matter has de-emphasised the postcard itself as a subject of study, Prochaska and Mendelson point to a developing interest within visual culture in postcards’ production and reception.\textsuperscript{94} Following on from David Freedberg’s work,\textsuperscript{95} the context of reception has been highlighted in art historical and visual cultural writing, and Prochaska himself makes a clear attempt to deal evenly with these areas.\textsuperscript{96} He has long been aware that anonymous and unoriginal postcards can still, materially and culturally, be useful objects of study.\textsuperscript{97} Nevertheless, a cursory look through the title page of Prochaska and

\begin{quote}
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{92} Richard Wall, "Family Relationships in Comic Postcards 1900-1930," \textit{The History of the Family} 12, no. 1 (2007); Pritchard and Morgan, "Representations of 'Ethnographic Knowledge': Early Comic Postcards in Wales."
\textsuperscript{93} Christaud Geary had already noted the Other as a significant research theme in 1998. Geary and Webb, \textit{Delivering Views: Distant Cultures in Early Postcards}, p.9.
\textsuperscript{94} Prochaska and Mendelson, \textit{Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity}, p.xii.
\textsuperscript{97} "Fantasia of the Phothonthèque: French Postcard Views of Colonial Senegal," p.40.
Mendelson’s recent *Postcards* still shows a high proportion of essays that relate to art, artists, photographers and the museum, and to places and the documentary. There is a single chapter on real photos, one on postcard poetry, and one on collecting. However there are none focused on the commercial companies that produced the postcards. Wary, perhaps, of charges of commodity fetishism, it appears that production, in visual culture, refers primarily to production by an author.

In highlighting this bias, my aim is not to devalue the important work of the scholars concerned. Rather, I want to point out that Prochaska is fighting an uphill battle in trying to counter the “high/low” debate that continually surfaces around postcards. Regardless of the apparent democratisation implied by the term ‘visual culture’, it is difficult not to get a sense that this disciplinary venue affects the postcard analogously to something that Prochaska and Mendelson observed, namely that as other mass cultural forms became targeted by museums and elite collectors, prices rose to

---


100 Rachel Snow, “Correspondence Here: Real Photo Postcards and the Snapshot Aesthetic,” ibid., ed. Jordana Mendelson and David Prochaska.


102 Although not acknowledged as such, Rebecca DeRoo’s chapter is a reprint of her 1998 article: DeRoo, “Colonial Collecting: Women and Algerian Cartes Postales.”

103 Commodity fetishism is the name applied to the process whereby the context of production is stripped from a mass-produced product as it moves into consumer culture. The importance of this Marxist concept of commodity fetishism within visual culture can be seen in the prominence it is given in a key visual culture textbook: Marita Sturken and Lisa Cartwright, *Practices of Looking: An Introduction to Visual Culture*, 2nd ed. (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009), pp.280-84.


105 This is as opposed to the inherently high cultural term ‘art history’.
match. The postcard’s legitimacy may be enhanced as a result of its elevation to academic attention, but it appears that this comes at the price of downplaying the realities of its mass cultural and commercial contexts. While the Marxist critique of the commodity fetish aims at maintaining the worker’s presence, its effect in this historical debate concerning postcards is quite the opposite. By concentrating on works where the author is visible, or by acknowledging them only as emblems of mass culture, we risk committing one final act of erasure – denying the cards’ creators and users the one courtesy available to us, that of taking their anonymous efforts seriously.

In many ways, then, this study sets out to act as a corrective for imbalances in the existing literature. There are many excellent studies of postcards which justifiably emphasise the photographic, the documentary, and the ideological. These are important aspects of the genre as a whole, and postcard images and texts can undoubtedly provide a fascinating insight into many aspects of Edwardian culture, and contribute to discussions of communication and mobility. However, with my focus on the HATS card, I am concerned that current discussions tend to universalise from a limited perspective, allowing the documentary mode of the photographic view card to assume, unchallenged, the mantle of postcard archetype. Although a few academic writers have recognised the overlap between postcards and greetings cards,⁹⁷ the first effective re-framing of this issue occurred in a recent PhD by Daniel Gifford, which argued the case against the standard view of postcards being based around mobility and travel.⁹⁸ Focussing on American holiday cards, Gifford became the first scholar to argue that the greetings postcard was central, rather than peripheral to the postcard phenomenon.⁹⁹

While this thesis will reinforce Gifford’s view, his work highlighted another set of problems. His definition of holiday cards as being primarily “image-

⁹⁷ Thurlow, Jaworski, and Ylänne, “Transient Identities, New Mobilities: Holiday Postcards,” p.120.
⁹⁹ Ibid., p.153.
based conversations” applies to the American holiday cards he studied,¹¹⁰ but does not entirely fit with the British traditions HATS belongs to – which get progressively more text-heavy over time, [compare Figure 3 and Figure 4]. This discrepancy suggests that there may be factors causing the British and American card traditions to diverge. Britain, for example, introduced the divided-back postcard in 1902,¹¹¹ whilst the United States waited until late 1907.¹¹² I will explain the context for these changes later (page 264), but given that British scholars like Gillen and Hall regard the introduction of the divided back as fundamental to the postcard’s development as a communications medium,¹¹³ this five year time-lag in communicative potential between the two postcard traditions seems significant. Indeed, the two traditions ran exactly parallel for little more than a year because, in 1909, the United States introduced the Payne Aldrich Tariff which effectively cut German cards out of their market.¹¹⁴ Since Germany was a primary producer of greetings postcards, their exit obliged American firms to enter the greetings market six years earlier than many of their British counterparts, who went on using German printers and importing German cards until the First World War cut their contact.¹¹⁵ The two industries thus operated under significantly different conditions for most of the craze, and this poses a question as to how much they need to be seen as distinct.¹¹⁶ This perhaps explains the decidedly national tinge to the literature on postcards and greetings cards. Whilst the bulk of the communications and tourism research is European, much of the visual/photographic and greetings card literature is from the United States, with the two major

¹¹⁰ Ibid., pp.156-7.
¹¹¹ Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.66.
¹¹² Ibid.
¹¹⁶ Different legislation in Germany and several other European countries, for example, meant that they have distinct histories which diverge significantly from the British. Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, pp.54, 56.
academic books of postcard studies both being American. Deltiological works are equally national, but more evenly spread.

Both national traditions have historical reasons for not attending to the HATS card. The tourist and communication focus of the European traditions tends to reinforce the generic tourist/view definition of the visual aspects of the card, focussing instead on the experience of users. The more image- and greetings-based studies are American. However, my research will show that HATS was a discourse that Americans did not warm to – resulting in only a small number of American HATS cards being produced. It was too minor a genre to challenge the idea, implicit in Gifford’s work, that the term holiday cards somehow equates to greetings cards. The British greetings card tradition, however, had many ‘good luck’ and floral greetings as well as HATS cards, none of which were inherently associated with holidays. Although later greetings card manufacturers have prioritised holidays, this was not necessarily the case for British Edwardians.

The HATS card, then, highlights some grey areas in the academic literature, and this is compounded by the lack of a sound historical framework for placing the greetings postcard. Deltiological histories like Staff’s are remarkably vague about the details of what happened during the postcard craze, and even Carline’s work has little detail after 1907, when his postcard journal sources ceased publication. Whilst Gifford has assembled a reasonably sound account of the American craze, there is no equivalent academic study of the history of the postcard craze in Britain or its

117 Geary and Webb, Delivering Views: Distant Cultures in Early Postcards; Prochaska and Mendelson, Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity.
118 It has proven impossible to access many of the American deltiological works. New Zealand libraries during the 1970s and 80s appear to have had better links to British rather than American publishers. As my research relates to the British diaspora, this has not significantly impacted on the research.
119 Gifford slides between the two terms on pages 11-12, and though he, on page 153, again acknowledges the holiday card as a subset of greetings cards, in his discussion the two are usually conflated. [Gifford, “To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910.”] Barry Shank argues that publishers of the 1905-10 period saw the term postcard and holiday card as virtually synonymous. Barry Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture (New York: Columbia University Press, 2004), pp.128-9.
colonies. Nor can postcard practice be neatly isolated from other types of collecting practice, as postcard literature tends to do. This realisation came as a result of buying what I thought was a HATS postcard, only to discover that (being a different size and blank on the back) it was a Victorian Christmas card. Previously I had anachronistically assumed that greetings cards were folded, but it turned out that most Victorian greetings cards were flat and printed only on one side — for easy pasting into scrapbooks. The only fundamental material difference between a Christmas card and a postcard was thus the printed address section on the latter. If Gifford was right, and greetings postcards were “image-based conversations,” such conversations had begun well before the picture postcard’s time. I thus had to query how definitive the postal function of the post-card really was. And if (as turned out to be the case) nineteenth century greetings card publishers had already rehearsed this imagery, how did this scrapbook-related practice connect to the postcard? The lines of inquiry necessary to resolve these issues inevitably altered the dynamic of the research. Bjarne Rogan was correct to describe the postcard as being ‘entangled’, and it seemed increasingly impossible to follow any single thread without unpicking the other parts of the bundle.

The Structure and Aims

The thesis therefore adopts the following structure to tease out the implications of the HATS card. After a discussion of the methods used for the research, chapter 1 sets out to discover why HATS became ubiquitous. To do this, I isolate the earlier connotations that the postcard inherited from the phrase ‘hands across the sea’ and the clasped hands symbol, making full use of newspaper archives to discover patterns in the use of these

---

120 My work will therefore refer to a number of local deltiological studies. Whilst many of these are little more than catalogues, New Zealand has been fortunate in having a quite extensive set of postcard histories, most particularly written by William Main and Alan Jackson, who collaborated on a major study: William Main and Alan Jackson, "Wish You Were Here’: The Story of New Zealand Postcards’ (Nelson, New Zealand: New Zealand Postcard Society, 2004). Such strongly national histories, however, normally focus on local card manufacture (which, as I show in Appendix 5, was, for logistical reasons, largely photographic), rather than on card usage. My research, which focuses on the transnational networks of card importation and their local consumption therefore has relatively little overlap with this significant body of deltiological research.
Certain groups turn out to use the image and phrase more than others, and this chapter isolates several distinct practices which ultimately inform later chapters. The tension between liberal reform usage, and that of trade unionists proves significant, as does its use in both patriotic “Greater Britain” and Anglo-American rhetoric. Certain cultural sites also help orient later discussion, most notably poetry, melodrama, and working class usage of the emblem.

While chapter 1 aims to provide HATS with a contextual framework, chapter 2 does the same for the postcard, by examining the consumption, production, promotion and reception of a burgeoning Victorian card culture. It focuses more on the practices that informed this culture than on the history of the material object (the card), teasing out attitudes to taste and originality that would ultimately inform the reception and collection of the postcards. Collecting practices centred on the album prove particularly significant, and the thesis aims to provide, for the first time, a cohesive account of the connections between the various practices involved. In many ways this chapter fundamentally reinterprets what is significant about the origins of the postcard, moving away from previous linear accounts based primarily around postal history. The visual, emotional and ritual cultures that informed card consumption are thus linked to the broader cultural dynamics of the colonial enterprise. The final section of the chapter then examines how the Christmas card trade rehearsed strategies that would subsequently inform the postcard craze, as stationery manufacturers developed transnational networks that provided it with a ready-made infrastructure.

---

121 The availability of searchable newspaper archives has hugely altered the nature of this research, and being located in New Zealand has proved to have distinct advantages. Whereas in larger countries the initial focus of digitisation seems to have been on larger metropolitan newspapers, New Zealand’s Papers Past database included a large number of smaller local newspapers which reported on everyday culture at a level of detail that simply did not occur in the larger papers. The postcard, for example, appears highly infrequently in London’s Times, but between 1905-8 was regularly discussed within the New Zealand press. Although larger numbers of smaller papers are now becoming available online overseas, at the time of starting this research, Papers Past provided the most functional and the most useful of any of the newspaper databases I worked with.
Chapter 3 recontextualises the postcard craze, examining both the postcard’s development and the cultural factors that were at play during the Edwardian period. It focuses particularly on evidence from the retailing of postcards in order, for the first time, to provide a coherent account of the craze itself, positing three discrete phases of postcard fashion and positioning HATS within one of them. Chapter 4 then addresses the history and implications of the HATS postcard itself, using both historical sources and a study of over 600 dated cards to explain the craze for HATS cards, before Chapter 5 situates HATS in relation to earlier discussions around card culture. It shows, very clearly, the multiple functions of HATS cards – as gift, collectible, communication, and ritual greeting, demonstrating how, over time, the cards moved from an early emphasis on communication, towards an increased focus on greetings – with an associated increase in the importance of pre-printed textual elements. This section also helps provide a nuanced analysis of the tension between the modern and nostalgic aspects of the cards’ images and texts. Ultimately, I position HATS cards as seeking to directly communicate emotion by utilising a visual language that drew on commonplace symbols to create a series of melodramatic affective situations. To do this, the thesis has to unpick the automatic negative connotations associated with words like sentimental, commonplace, melodramatic and nostalgic. It is only once this is done that HATS’s appeal to optimistic, future-oriented migrants in places like New Zealand can adequately be appreciated.

The overall aim of the study is therefore to show that the HATS and postcard phenomena are deeply embedded within a wider set of cultural assumptions and practices. And postcard scholarship, I argue, needs a firmer historical footing if it is to move forward without perpetuating a dubious discourse that has developed from a definition of the postcard that HATS destabilises. I challenge the postcard = modernity equation, and instead demonstrate both the continuities and discontinuities with previous practices. By looking less at postcard imagery than at the nature of the practices involved in card culture, it is possible to see why the HATS
greetings postcard was so much more embedded within Edwardian culture than we have hitherto realised.

Clive Dilnot points out that the territory of design covers not only what was done, but also that which was deliberately not done, and that which remains to be done.122 A study of the HATS card could have taken several other forms, and in choosing a contextual focus I have had to relinquish several other potentially fruitful approaches. A postcard for emigrants fits within the current mobilities paradigm, however this mobilities approach is being very effectively utilised by Julia Gillen and Nigel Hall, and I wanted this study to complement rather than compete with their work. Although I deal with aspects of mobilities, it is not the central focus, and I have similarly opted only to utilise the user texts which I documented for contextual purposes.123 Secondly, although my study is fundamentally sympathetic to material culture,124 it was necessary to sacrifice some of the more specifically material features in order to accommodate the study’s historical scope and the large number of cards analysed. The appealing discourse of the everyday also had to be downplayed. As little-regarded pieces of day-to-day communication, many postcards fit within the purview of this analytical approach, but the HATS card is part of a subset of the postcard which, I will argue in chapter 2, is intended to support a celebratory, rather than an everyday culture. I also opted to prioritise historical sources over literary representations of the postcard phenomenon.125 Finally, given the symbolic

---


123 I had originally transcribed and studied all the user texts in the 601 card survey with the intention of dealing with them in detail. I put the data in an Excel spreadsheet, but after attending a workshop on NVivo, I realised that these texts would have been better analysed using that software. I therefore intend to study this data at a later point, preferably working in tandem with a communications scholar.

124 Elizabeth Edwards, for example, pointed out how the tendency to see photographic representations as carriers of meaning marginalizes the very real material qualities of these photographs. Elizabeth Edwards, "Material Beings: Objecthood and Ethnographic Photographs," *Visual Studies* 17, no. 1 (2002): p.74. This can similarly apply to postcards and suggests an avenue for research that has yet to be developed.

125 This was a reaction to what I felt was an over-reliance on literary representations of the greetings card phenomenon, at the expense of historical research, in Barry Shank’s work. Shank, *A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture*. Nevertheless, the postcard did appear in literature, and Monica Cure’s recent PhD has elegantly filled this gap. Monica Cure, "Text with a View: Turn-of-the-Century Literature and the Invention of the Postcard" (Ph.D., University of Southern California, 2012).
resonance of the hand, it would be feasible to focus the discussion around the haptic aspects of HATS practice. However, whereas the current approach is not unduly disadvantaged by a diminished focus on the haptic, the lack of context would have hampered my ability to fully round out a haptically-focussed discussion of HATS.

The one disadvantage of centring the thesis on the contextual re-situation of HATS is the scope necessarily involved in adopting such an approach. One might ordinarily expect such research to concentrate on some partial aspect of the phenomenon using a single conceptual lens. This works effectively within areas where the groundwork has been thoroughly established, and the research is intended to dig deeper. Here, however, with much of the groundwork either outdated or non-existent, a more broadly contextual and integrative approach seems warranted. The limitations of a PhD, nevertheless, effectively provide the researcher with a fixed length of string with which to build a net. A closer mesh weaves a smaller net that catches everything within a lesser stretch of sea. A broader mesh, allows a larger area of water to be fished – but with greater potential for some fish to escape. Detail and exactitude are therefore traded for scope and context. Fishing these waters with a coarser net inevitably means that there will be gaps in what follows, but it is hoped that these will be compensated for through the increased coherence that this reconfiguration of the territory provides. Over time, I trust that further research, and more string, will enable a more finely meshed analysis. The following section, however, aims to more fully contextualise the thinking involved in the decisions that led to this approach.

Method

'Method' is not the name of some 'tool-kit', some series of procedures or protocols to be performed when confronted with a set of objects, it is rather the name that we should give to the way we apprehend and comprehend the objects we tend to. By 'method' then, I don't want to suggest a plodding series of steps that will allow something to count as having been analysed, for instance. Instead I want to suggest that we use method and methodology to name the characteristics of a scholarly and intellectual contact with the world.

Ben Highmore.¹

Unlike scientists, historians tend to be remarkably coy about making their methods explicit.² According to Hayden White, “learning to think historically is like learning a language or an idiom; one learns how to do it by mimesis,”³ and this approach tends to apply to historical method. Even the more nuts and bolts books aimed at students, such as The Pursuit of History,⁴ paint the specifics of undertaking historical research with a broad brush. Yet method, according to social scientists John Law and John Urry, helps make some things more real and others less – a process they describe as “ontological politics.”⁵ Decisions made in the course of research are not neutral, instead evoking a wide range of discourses and debates. John Law also notes that “while standard [social science] methods are often extremely good at what they do, they are badly adapted to the study of the ephemeral, the indefinite and the irregular.”⁶ This could easily describe postcard practice, meaning that a study of postcards cannot automatically default to a social scientific methodological ‘tool kit’. The choices made during the

³ Ewa Domanska, "A Conversation with Hayden White," Rethinking History: The Journal of Theory and Practice 12, no. 1 (2008): p.12. He adds that “if I were to teach a course in historical methodology …. I would simply give students examples of the best historical writing I know and have them study how historians think, and feel, and write.”
⁶ John Law, After Method: Mess in Social Science Research (London: Routledge, 2004), p.4. Elsewhere Law and Urry are more specific, singling out the fleeting, the distributed, the multiple, the sensory, the emotional, and the kinaesthetic as areas that current methods deal with poorly. Law and Urry, "Enacting the Social," p.403.
research are therefore explained in this section, which aims to articulate the
tinking behind my uses of particular historical and social scientific
methods to deal with the multiple aspects of this entangled topic.

I start with a proviso. Definitions of terms like ‘postcard’ and other
similarly contentious concepts are woven into the text itself, where they can
be dealt with in context. The same applies to my detailed explanation of the
methods used for a quantitative study of 601 HATS cards, which occurs
when the results begin to be drawn into the discussion, on page 333.
Allowing these elements to play into the narrative, rather than corralling
them into structural conformity is, as I intend to explain in this section, in
keeping with the broader methodological considerations outlined below.

Method is frequently entwined with issues of disciplinarity. In academic
research, a topic’s disciplinary location may well precede the choice of
subject matter. The discipline provides an arena within which research,
methodological orientation and dissemination may well be prescribed.
Therefore, if a disciplinary venue is decided upon a priori,
interdisciplinarity is, if not ruled out, then distinctly less likely. In this case,
the starting point for my research lay not in a decision to undertake a PhD in
a particular discipline, but rather in the identification of a topic. For Hayden
White, “in historical research, the methods are dictated by the object of
study, rather than, as in the sciences, having to devise methods of studying
‘unknown’ objects.” Given the scant use of postcards by historians, this
object of study could just as well have been defined as ‘unknown’, but it did
not situate itself at any obvious point in the Social Sciences either – fitting,
as noted above, Law’s categories of the ‘ephemeral’, ‘indefinite’, and
‘irregular’. It was not an easily defined object at all, but rather a bundle of
interrelated subject/objects: ‘hands across the sea’, the clasped hands
symbol and the postcard. Linking visual, verbal, haptic, popular cultural,
kitsch, communicative, designed, mobile and transnational aspects (to name
but a few of the possible options), and with a postcard literature – as noted
in the introduction – spread liberally through a range of other disciplinary

8 Law and Urry, "Enacting the Social,” p.403.
contexts, the subject lacked a clear disciplinary home. In terms of my own academic location, it made sense to cast it provisionally as either graphic design history or design history. “Many intellectual enterprises,” Bruno Latour commented recently, “after a detour through Romance language departments in the 1980s, have recently migrated from deserted philosophy departments to design and architecture schools,” so locating the research within the design arena made sense.

Grace Lees-Maffei noted that “design history’s promiscuity, in terms of using material and methods from other disciplines, has served it well.” In a relatively newly defined arena, however, questions of definition – of boundaries, content and focus – have tended to take precedence. Historical sub-genres, such as design, business, economic or gender history owe their identities to their content, and Design History’s focus on delineating design – whether defined as object or discourse – has meant that any sustained discussion of methodology in the literature took a back seat. ‘Promiscuity’, in this context, was less a life choice than a necessity.

For some, history ceased being the appropriate arena altogether. Judy Attfield, for example, recommended that design history needed to become part of the wider framework of Material Culture. Her definition of design as ‘things with attitude’ encapsulates material culture’s emphasis on the ‘social life of things’, a formulation that allowed Igor Kopytoff to develop

---

9 As another postcard PhD researcher put it: “with the possibility of observing its functions from different vantage points, the postcard effectively challenges disciplinary allegiances of study.” Scheffer, “Architectural Postcards and the Conception of Place: Mediating Cultural Experience,” p.10.
15 Ibid., p.12.
his concept of ‘object biography’.

Once uncoupled from the subjective figure of the designer and the processes of production, engagement with other sociologically driven discourses such as consumption and leisure becomes inevitable. Historians, too, have found Material Culture’s focus on consumption attractive, and used it as a way of integrating less traditional sources.

The disciplinary cross-fertilisation has gone both ways. Sociologists like Colin Campbell, and Ben Highmore from Cultural Studies, have written on design history. The work of Clifford Geertz had earlier been a catalyst for the discovery of common ground between history and the social sciences. His process of “thick description,” which teases out the “multiplicity of complex conceptual structures” involved in the everyday details of cultural practices, has close parallels to the way many historians work with sources. The boundaries between design history, history and the social sciences, then, seemed porous, and both Material Culture and the newer Actor Network Theory (ANT) helped negotiate this. They redefined the power relationships inherent in older versions of design history with new approaches to agency. In particular, ANT’s democratic distribution of agency to all actors, irrespective of category, offered the potential to deal

---

20 A good overview of this process is given in the introduction to Victoria E. Bonnell and Lynn Hunt, eds., Beyond The Cultural Turn: New Directions in the Study of Society and Culture (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999).
23 Despite the focus on design heroes having been challenged in design history as early as the 1980s by writers such as Adrian Forty, Objects of Desire: Design and Society since 1750 (London: Thames and Hudson, 1986), the focus on human agency via great pioneers was still to be found into the new century in books like Aynsley, A Century of Graphic Design: Graphic Design Pioneers of the 20th Century.
with the largely anonymous practices involved in creating and consuming greetings postcards.

Most disciplinary discourses (in their original forms) tended to privilege particular types of agency. In traditional history the dominant agents were people. Sociology defined itself around structures and, more recently, directional process like mobilities. Material culture renewed interest in things. ANT, however, simply placed each of these on an even plane, allowing each to be actors, with equal potential agency. I initially responded to the democratic aspects of this formulation, but, as a method it soon left me dissatisfied; all roads seemed to lead, somewhat limitingly, to networks. The attraction for me of the ANT approach, I realised, was less in the method itself than in its potential as a tool of narrative emplotment. It helped in defining the different actors’ relationships – given that in the Hands across the Sea story there are no automatic lead characters.

Another, less obvious, factor also demonstrates the disciplinary push and pull of this research. Newly available searchable databases showed no respect for disciplinary distinctions that might otherwise have been enforced by the physical layout of a library. Without these databases, it would have been almost impossible to trace the extent to which the postcard had been embraced by a variety of disciplines. With them, Pandora’s methodological box beckoned. In keeping with White’s point about historians aligning method to the object of study, I therefore decided to follow the trajectory of the subject matter, regardless of the disciplinary ownership of the methods used. This seemingly interdisciplinary approach was still motivated by an historical orientation, but one that was now broader – moving well beyond

---

26 Adrian Bingham provides a comprehensive set of approaches for such searching. Adrian Bingham, “The Digitization of Newspaper Archives: Opportunities and Challenges for Historians,” *Twentieth Century British History* 21, no. 2 (2010). For example he advises, on page 229, that one should look at the whole page of a newspaper, and not just the retrieved article, in order to get its full context. I have adopted this latterly, however much of the database searching undertaken for this research was done between 2008-11, on dial-up. This mitigated against such an approach. I have re-done the searches for some key articles following Bingham, but not for all.
the self-imposed limitations of design history. Adrian Forty argued that “no design works unless it embodies the ideas held in common by the people for whom the object was intended,” but the book which I thought best integrated design into those wider ideas lay outside design history in a work on design by historian Deborah Cohen. Design is only one part of a HATS card, but even to understand that design, it seemed necessary to explore the other aspects of the bundle of tangled discourses shared by the cards and their users.

Although not tightly wedded to a disciplinary home, it would be misleading to call this research fully interdisciplinary. Historians have been charged with approaching interdisciplinarity with a spirit of “omnivorous borrowing” and “good-natured interdisciplinary theft.” White casts this as *ad hoc* “bricolage,” a term originating in the work of Claude Lévi-Strauss. Michel de Certeau also uses the term, saying of the comparable creative enterprise of cooking that it “simultaneously organizes a network of...

27 Design historian Clive Dilnot argued that “the real subject we are after is not the closed field of design lineage but the open field of design intelligence contrasted and sharpened against the necessary norms.” [Dilnot, “Some Futures for Design History?” p.381.] Dilnot was amongst the first to critique the focus on individual designers, and the lack of connection between design history and the broader social context. [“The State of Design History, Part 1: Mapping the Field,” in *Design Discourse: History, Theory, Criticism*, ed. Victor Margolin (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1989), pp.221, 232.] Nevertheless his comment on focussing on “design intelligence” still betrays an underlying desire to make the profession of the designer look good in an academic context. This helped me to realise that card practice (in its many guises) was the central feature of this thesis, rather than the more limited concept of design intelligence.


30 Hayden White argues that “genuine interdisciplinarity entails a fusion of codes as well as methods, which usually involves the creation of a new technical language for the characterization of objects of study as possible subjects of the methodologies deployed by the disciplines in question.” Domanska, “A Conversation with Hayden White,” p.14.


33 Lévi-Strauss takes the term from its original sense (as being the swift situational responses of craftsmen and others with manual dexterity), and applies it to a type of concrete “mythical” intellectual activity. Claude Lévi-Strauss, *The Savage Mind* (Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1966), pp.16-22.
relations, poetic ways of “making do” (bricolage).” The method that underlies such ‘tactics’ is one of improvisation – an approach that I identify with through my other practice as an improvisational calligrapher. David Sudnow’s *Ways of the Hand* describes the analogous craft process of learning jazz piano, whereby hands and mind are schooled until they are able to synthesise action and intention in a single improvisational mode. This is, arguably, the goal of craft, and Sudnow draws on Merleau Ponty's concepts of embodiment, in the interests of overcoming the ubiquity of the Cartesian mind/body divide. Given that the subject of this thesis is “Hands across the Sea,” and that montage, in one form or another, is one of the cards’ underlying structures, it seems appropriate to consider the sorts of orientational retooling that occur when metaphors of improvisational bricolage and of haptic engagement are engaged. Could history ever be seen as handiwork?

It should be clear by now that, despite drawing on some aspects of the social sciences, this research is situated, broadly, as history. But what does it mean to be a historian? What identities do historians project? These are important questions to ask, because the very idea of history as a craft or as ‘handiwork’ would, I think, run counter to many historians’ idea of their role. Although Anthropologists are more inclined to identify with the craft

---

35 Much calligraphy follows prescribed patterns, however my work makes decisions about letterform and design on the spot in an integrated response to the ever changing context of the piece – a process I have long regarded as equivalent to jazz. Like jazz, one has to have complete confidence in one’s underlying technique to be able to do this.
37 Theorists debate whether crafts aspires to the skilled replicability of pre-industrial craft or the intuitive responsiveness of post-industrial craftspeople, who consciously want to distance themselves from the repetitive replicability of the machine. Peter Betjemann’s discussion of this in relation to the pivotal Arts and Crafts movement is useful, noting that it has been framed as an opposition between craftsmanship and workmanship [Peter Betjemann, "Craft and the Limits of Skill: Handicrafts Revivalism and the Problem of Technique," *Journal of Design History* 21, no. 2 (2008): pp.188-90]. My own calligraphic work relies on this being less a binary than a continuum. Like Sudnow, I regard perfect replicability as a prelude to the freedom necessary for improvisation.
model, one has to go back to the 1950s to find a book written overtly about the historian’s ‘craft’. It was written by Marc Bloch, one of the founders of the Annales School. With a focus on working class history, labour of the hand might have seemed appropriate, but Bloch’s concern, was to move history away from an earlier identification with the scientist.

If the Annales historians were critical of empirical science, they nevertheless sometimes used quantitative methods to uncover the structural big-picture over long periods of time, in their attempt to unearth the experience of those excluded from official history. This ‘longue durée’ approach would dominate history until at least the mid-1970s, and quantitative methods stayed in favour amongst social historians for even longer. One can find books from well into the 1980s that quiver with graphs and charts: “history by numbers,” as it is referred to by Tosh.

Quantitative methods are used in parts of this thesis (see page 333) for much the same reasons that social historians have used them. Provided one acknowledges potential flaws (such as treating social categories like class or occupation as fixed), a statistical approach to analysing the postcard’s practice is helpful in revealing broader dynamics that are otherwise opaque. Ultimately, the quantitative method’s problem lies less in its utility, than in

---

45 Bonnell and Hunt, Beyond The Cultural Turn: New Directions in the Study of Society and Culture, p.7.
its ideological implications.\textsuperscript{46} Despite the protests of writers like Bloch that
the method differs from the scientific, its structural approach, aligned with
the distanced and “disinterested” mien of the longue durée historian,\textsuperscript{47}
inevitably invoked the spectre of scientific ‘objectivity’. The 1970s reaction
assault on quantitative history by post-structural writers like Foucault and
White,\textsuperscript{48} however, helped to create a different identity for historians. It was
based on another figure many already had leanings towards – and one just
as little enamoured with the ways of the hand as the scientist or statistician:
the novelist.

The post-structural objection to the ‘objectivity’ of earlier historians lies in
radically differing views about the epistemological truth-status of the raw
materials that historians use to unearth the past. What is being uncovered as
one pores over one's primary sources?\textsuperscript{49} Until the ‘linguistic turn’, there had
been a straightforward understanding that, as Louis Mink puts it, “history-
as-it-was-lived…. is an untold story. The historian’s job is to discover that
untold story, or part of it, and to retell it.”\textsuperscript{50} For Mink, as for Hayden White,
the idea that there was a concrete historical truth to be unearthed complete,
was suspect not only on the relativist grounds that the historian could in no
way claim to be an impartial observer, but also because the historical
account necessarily relied on the historian’s choice of certain narrative
structures, and literary tropes. There were, as White pointed out, multiple
ways that historians could construct the plot of any given historical
narrative.\textsuperscript{51} Eviatar Zerubavel provides a recent version of what these
narrative structures might be, and it is salutary to realise how few such
structures (be they narratives of progress or decline, or patterns that are

\textsuperscript{46} Of course, opting for pragmatic utility over ideological purity is itself an ideological
position – one which will be discussed later.  
\textsuperscript{47} Hayden White, “The Public Relevance of Historical Studies: A Reply to Dirk Moses,”
\textsuperscript{48} Michel Foucault, ”Nietzsche, Genealogy, History,” in Language, Counter-Memory,
Practice: Selected Essays and Interviews, ed. Donald F. Bouchard (Ithaca, NY: Cornell
University Press, 1977); Hayden White, ”Interpretation in History,” New Literary History
\textsuperscript{49} Indeed, even using words like ‘uncovered’ or ‘unearthed’ assumes a pre-existing past
amenable to archaeological recovery.  
\textsuperscript{50} Louis O. Mink, ”Narrative Form as a Cognitive Instrument,” in The Writing of History:
Literary Form and Historical Understanding, ed. Robert H. Canary and Henry Kozicki
\textsuperscript{51} White, ”Interpretation in History,” p.294.
circular or staccato) underpin so much writing.\textsuperscript{52} And by downplaying the degree to which literary sensibilities affect historical writing, traditional history, in Mink's view, denied its affinity with literature.\textsuperscript{53}

Nevertheless, for all his emphasis on the literary, Mink differs from White, and subsequent narrative historians,\textsuperscript{54} in his insistence that history and fiction are necessarily different.\textsuperscript{55} Given that this assertion is entirely unsupported,\textsuperscript{56} it would appear that for Mink, despite understanding the logic behind narrativism, the novelist was not at the core of his identity as a historian. White, however, continues to try and connect “the aims of historical enquiry and the aims of poetic expression,” and to assert that “history needs to return to the humanities and the humanities need to be linked more intimately to the arts, which at the present time the humanities simply cannibalize rather than help cultivate.”\textsuperscript{57} Despite a very wide engagement with many facets of historical work, White appears most passionate about the issue of art – thus suggesting that this underpins his sense of identity. This may help explain the affinity that many writers in art and design seem to feel for his work.\textsuperscript{58} However, just as saying ‘art’ tends to assume, hierarchically, that one is talking about Fine Art (rather than applied art, craft or design), so does saying ‘literary’ assume the hegemonic ascendancy of the novel, poem or play. Were a historian to shun the identity of novelist, and move to the less exalted literary genre of biography, what would happen?

Interestingly, historians do not seem to do this. As Jill Lepore points out, the job of the biographer is to get close to the subject, in a way that historians

\textsuperscript{53} Mink, “Narrative Form as a Cognitive Instrument,” p.134.
\textsuperscript{54} For example, Alun Munslow, \textit{Narrative and History} (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007).
\textsuperscript{55} Mink, “Narrative Form as a Cognitive Instrument,” p.148.
\textsuperscript{58} He is, for example, the only historian outside design history cited in Grace Lees-Maffei’s seminal article on design history. Lees-Maffei, "The Production-Consumption-Mediation Paradigm," p.352.
do not.\textsuperscript{59} And the distinction between history and biography has traditionally been that biography relates to a single individual, whereas history, even when dealing with individuals, involves the relations of individuals to wider factors. Biography has no such wider imperative. It is worth considering this distinction, because it perhaps explains why Kopytoff’s above-mentioned call for biographies of things has been heeded more in Material Culture studies than in history. The latter has, however, developed prosopography, an approach defined by Lawrence Stone as a “collective biography” of a group of actors.\textsuperscript{60} Stone was thinking of human actors, but an ANT-based merging of Stone and Kopytoff’s approaches could potentially enable a study of the Hands across the Sea cards to be framed as collective object biography. However, the corpus of cards I study here has been pieced together through my own collecting practice, and this raises issues relating to my position in relation to the sources, and the extent to which I could unwittingly play the part of the advocate.

Just as historians do not regard themselves as biographers, nor do they generally regard themselves as advocates. Nevertheless, advocacy comes easily if one starts to identify with the people and groups being studied. For some historians, the choice to study class, gender or race-based history comes from prior identification. For others, identification happens in the course of their work, or from trying to be relevant. There is an appeal in such passionate advocacy; the historian as social activist is particularly easy to justify as critique in action. Methodologically, however, this raises the question of whether emotional investment affects how one deals with one’s sources. Whilst it is unavoidable that historians will bring their own prejudices to bear on which sources they consult, and more particularly which ones they regard as significant, the key question is whether this is a tendency to be embraced or, as much as possible, resisted. Can, or should, one suppress one’s own preferences in favour of being as scrupulous as


\textsuperscript{60} Lawrence Stone, "Prosopography," \textit{Daedalus} 100, no. 1 (1971): p.46.
possible about what is in the historical data? Can a noble cause ever justify massaging the evidence?

This research involved two factors with the potential to intensify any tendency towards advocacy. My own experience as an immigrant, having watched my parents struggle with issues of distance and displacement, has probably heightened my predisposition to study greetings cards that sought to ameliorate this condition. Similarly, the fact that much of the source data for the study was material I had collected (and paid for) meant that I ran an additional risk of distorting the significance of the phenomenon beyond the normal researcher tendency to valorise one’s subject of study. The closeness needed for object biography was here almost too easy to achieve.

Advocacy was not, however, the identity that underpinned the beginnings of this research. Nor was it the mantle of scientist, statistician, novelist, or biographer. The identity that originally oriented my approach to history was that of the detective. As a teenager, the book that propelled me into history was Josephine Tey’s novel *The Daughter of Time*, in which a hospitalised detective proves the innocence of Richard III,61 and my initial approach to gathering evidence about the HATS phenomenon felt like detective work. The analogy between historian and detective is extensively used, most notably by microhistorians, for whom, however, “subjects are only devices.”62 Unlike biography, where the subject is sufficient in and of itself, in microhistory clues can lie anywhere in the broader context, which requires close scrutiny. Unsurprisingly, the microscope provides the microhistorian’s dominant metaphor. This magnifying-glass-wielding detective image certainly predisposed me towards the microscopic approach, as did my subsequent training in Ancient History.

Ancient History specialises in trying to figure out what has happened from the shards that long periods of time have left behind. It thus has much in common with an approach described by Voltaire in his 1747 novel, *Zadig*, where the hero is able, from footprints alone, to describe in minute detail

---

the particulars of a horse and a dog. The idea of interpreting evidence, Sherlock Holmes-like, from the merest fragment, is as appealing to the ancient historian as it was to Carlo Ginzburg, who highlighted Zadig and Holmes in one of his works on microhistory. Ginzburg describes the detective’s conjectural process as the practice of “making retrospective predictions.” However, it is one thing to retrospectively imagine a Palaeolithic pattern from a few scattered dinosaur footprints, and quite another to make much sense of a muddy field, over which a herd of bison have recently passed. Here, there is no shortage of tracks, but rather a paucity of immediate coherence. This is the situation that one finds oneself in when dealing with modern history. Documentary evidence, the holy grail of the ancient historian, is not in short supply. With an estimated 200-300 billion postcards posted between 1900 and 1920, for example, it is hard not to be overwhelmed by the volume, and to retreat to the nearest hill for a birds-eye view, instead of diving into the mud. The broader issues, like the size of the herd and the direction it was moving in, are apparent from a macro view, but opaque close up. Historical distance remains an important concept for history, whereas my own identification with the microscopic aspects of the studies, and with the hand rather than the eye, left me more inclined to head for the mud than to the hills.

65 Ibid., p.23.
67 John Lewis Gaddis neatly characterises this distinction in dealing with the landscape of the past by comparing the removed figure of Caspar David Friedrich’s 1818 Wanderer Over the Sea of Fog with Gwynyth Paltrow’s wading ashore on a deserted beach in the 1998 film Shakespeare in Love. Gaddis, The Landscape of History: How Historians Map the Past, p.129.
68 Mark Salber Phillips’ study of the importance of distance within historical thought is pertinent here. He notes that the warm close-up of E.P.Thompson’s approach to the working classes is quite different from the coolly detached proximity of Foucault’s description of the punishment metered out to the condemned regicide, Damiens. This distinction is important in highlighting that distance and proximity are not necessarily equivalent to affective engagement and can lead to quite different ideological positions. Mark Salber Phillips, ”Rethinking Historical Distance: From Doctrine to Heuristic,” History and Theory 50, no. 4 (2011): p.13.
By now, any reader who believes (overtly or covertly) in historical objectivity will have started querying why the researcher’s identity issues are taking up house room in an academic work. Isn’t it enough to pay lip service to the impossibility of objectivity, doff one’s hat to some accepted methods, and then get back to telling our students not to use the word ‘I’? That is what I would have done a few years ago. However, in asserting that this research constitutes a ‘practice’, I am acknowledging that what comes out of the process is necessarily affected by the unique and non-replicable set of conditions that make up the intersection between the topic and the individual researcher.69 Understood as practice, the emphasis moves to the processes of orientation,70 rather than any particular sequence of actions.

Prior to undertaking this research, I had treated my calligraphic and design-historical work as separate practices, but then I began to realise that they were not. Calligraphy is fundamentally the practice of line, but line is also a metaphor that has seeped deeply into the ways we orient our thinking. Tim Ingold’s study of line crystallised this.71 Until reading his book, the dominant metaphor that underpinned my research was that of weaving. Gottfried Semper theorised weaving as the fundamental impulse behind art,72 and it was subsequently used by such writers as Simmel,73 Wittgenstein,74 Merleau Ponty,75 Geertz,76 and Ginzburg,77 and many less

69 Once one adopts a more subjective position, research is fundamentally not replicable. Peter Stearns points out that historians have no laboratory methods to achieve replicability. Peter N. Stearns, American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style (New York: New York University Press, 1994), p.60.
70 Henri Lefebvre sees ‘orientation’ as: “a ‘sense’: an organ that perceives, a direction that may be conceived, and a directly lived movement progressing towards the horizon.” Henri Lefebvre, The Production of Space, trans. Donald Nicholson-Smith (Malden, MA: Blackwell, 1991), p.423.
71 Ingold, Lines: A Brief History.
73 Georg Simmel, "The Berlin Trade Exhibition," Theory, Culture & Society 8, no. 3 (1991 [1896]): p.109. says “On every day, at every hour, such threads are spun, dropped, picked up again, replaced by others are woven together with them. Herein like the interactions between atoms of society, accessible only to psychological microscopy.”
75 Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception, p.xi. says “The real is a closely woven fabric.”
well-known figures. The parallels between weaving and ANT’s networks had encouraged my interest in the latter. However, Ingold crucially pointed out that the lines underpinning both the network and weaving metaphors are conceived as straight. Threads on a loom are straight, as are the connectors between nodes. In calligraphy, by contrast, lines are never fully straight, and this simple point partially unravelled the weaving metaphor. I realised that my process does not work in straight lines, and is not neat. It was closer to the process that Ingold describes as “wayfaring,” arguing that this is the “fundamental mode by which living beings … inhabit the world.”

Wayfaring is the process of travel that happens when one is not following a chart. It meanders, and responds to changing circumstances. This contextual openness contrasts with travel and navigating, where the point of the exercise is to reach a destination, following a pre-plotted path. The navigated journey aimed to find the most efficient route between departure and destination, thus limiting, as best possible, space. Whilst this collapsing of spatial distance fitted the intention behind both Hands across the Sea cards and transnationalism, it represents everything I try to educate my students out of in their creative practice. If the research was to be open-ended and explorative, then it needed a non-prescriptive path – one that allowed for improvisation, backtracking and changes of course. This is closer to the craftsman’s approach and, interestingly, Ingold utilised the

76 Geertz, An Interpretation of Culture, p.5. “Believing, with Max Weber, that man is an animal suspended in webs of significance he himself has spun, I take culture to be those webs…”
77 Carlo Ginzburg, ”Morelli, Freud and Sherlock Holmes: Clues and Scientific Method,” History Workshop Journal 9, no. 1 (1980): pp.22-3. says of the microhistorical approach that “this inquiry may be compared to following the threads in a piece of weaving.”
78 Elihu Burritt, for example, said of the operations of the postal network that “every letter exchanged, like a weaver’s shuttle, will carry across the ocean a silken ligature to bind two kindred hearts, and through them, two kindred nations.” [Elihu Burritt, ”An Olive Leaf,” Oberlin Evangelist 8, no. 8 (1846): p.61.] The same metaphor was used by a rural postman who said of his role in uniting people that “I’m a livin’ shuttle.” G. Bramwell Evens, A Romany in the Fields (Oxford: Isis, 2006 [1929]), p.85.
79 Ingold, Lines: A Brief History, p.75.
80 Ibid., p.81.
81 Ibid.
82 Ibid., p.15.
83 Ibid., p.84.
84 Tony Ballantyne makes the useful point that transnationalism deals well with “across” but not so well with “under” and “beyond.” Tony Ballantyne, ”On Place, Space and Mobility in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand,” New Zealand Journal of History 45, no. 1 (2011): p.50.
notion of “skilled practice” to argue (against ANT) that the type of agency found in embodied subjects capable of developing skill is different from the agency of inert objects.\(^{85}\)

Ingold’s critique had implications not only for the discovery phases of my research, but also for the writing. I had originally envisioned a network-like narrative structure based around locating the various actors and tracing their connections. Ingold’s metaphor, however, suggested that the thesis could be framed via a process of translating the historical traces into discrete narrative threads,\(^{86}\) or “zones of becoming,”\(^{87}\) which looped backwards and forwards, connecting in places, but each with its own path. This was not the linear structure of a ruler, but rather had the linear character of a calligraphic flourish,\(^{88}\) effectively building a series of contextual segments which resonated together,\(^{89}\) [e.g. Figure 7]. This approach seemed appropriate to dealing with subject matter that constituted a “zone of entanglement,”\(^{90}\) one
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\(^{86}\) Ingold sees this process of transformation as one which, in the process, dissolves the surface. Lines: A Brief History, p.52.

\(^{87}\) Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description, p.221.

\(^{88}\) Lines: A Brief History. Ingold implicitly makes this connection between the flourish and a narrative thread in the juxtaposition of his discussion and images of flourishes on pages 72 and 90.

\(^{89}\) I found Barney Warf’s middle way between these approaches – one where he connected the planes of space with the lines of time via the metaphor of origami – too late to influence the structure. Whilst it is too geometrical to be fully analogous to what I have attempted, it does capture the three-dimensionality that underlies my understanding of entanglement. Barney Warf, Time-Space Compression: Historical Geographics (Abingdon, UK: Routledge, 2008), p.9.

\(^{90}\) Maja Van der Velden, Tone Bratteteig, and Sisse Finken, "Entangled Matter: Thinking Differently about Materials in Design," in Engaging Artifacts (Oslo, Norway: Nordic Design Research, 2009), p.9. These authors are consciously building on Ingold’s ideas.
where, as Ingold put it, “multiple strands, like social life, entwine concurrently.”

The move to threads was not without consequences. The web metaphor tended to prioritise the connections and actors as they existed in the Edwardian present. The thread metaphor seemed more conducive to the historical context of time. And this difference became fundamental during the writing process, as two options presented themselves. It was possible to write both a detailed microhistorical object prosopography of the Hands across the Sea card, and a contextualised historical study of factors that informed that card. With a modest 100,000 words to play with, however, space was insufficient to report both fully. A choice of emphasis had to be made, since without substantially reframing the context within which the card operated, it was not possible to adequately interpret the data that had been found during the more detailed research. The priority therefore became to contextually resituate the cards, whilst much of the more microscopic detail would have to await another venue.

Stephen Pepper identified “contextualism” as one of the four “root metaphors” that we use as “world hypotheses,” arguing that contextualism is about events that “are all intrinsically complex, composed of interconnected activities with continually changing patterns.” Hayden White adopted Pepper’s categories, arguing that “in any given historical work the mode of explanation actually favoured by a specific historian ought to be identifiable and distinguishable from the narrative mode (or plot-structure).” White contrasts the historical implications of contextualism with those of the ‘mechanistic’ and ‘organicist’ worldviews (which tend to look for universal integrative explanatory structures), as well as with the ‘ideographic’ approach (Pepper calls this ‘formism’) which strives to represent microscopic detail accurately. The selection of such an epistemological “mode of explanation” is one of the choices involved in

91 Ingold, Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description, p.221.
93 White, “Interpretation in History,” p.303.
94 Ibid., pp.299-302.
plotting a narrative.\textsuperscript{95} Contextualism, whilst staying close to the ‘story’ is “modestly integrative in its general aim” without trying to universalise.\textsuperscript{96} The term has recently been used more generally, without making its structuralist origins explicit. Ben Singer provides a good description of the contextualist approach when he says it is used “to stress in a methodologically self-conscious way the fruitfulness of investigating an unusually wide spectrum of qualitatively disparate historical determinants.”\textsuperscript{97} Daniel Gifford, citing Singer, adopts the contextual mode in his postcard study because it allows equal weighting to the “audience, historical context, and the images themselves.”\textsuperscript{98} The advantage of the contextual mode is that it provides ample opportunity for the complex interweaving of conceptual themes and narrative threads, and in developing this narrative I have tried to utilise the tension between Ingold’s wayfaring model,\textsuperscript{99} which allows the narrative to evolve, and White’s plotting which allows for the ‘modest integration’ of the conceptual destinations.\textsuperscript{100}

Mark Salber Phillips has characterised histories that, like this thesis, prioritise “scenes of common life” and promote a sympathetic appreciation of marginalised groups (i.e. the HATS genre) as orienting themselves to an explanatory mode that neither Pepper nor White would have countenanced: sentimentalism.\textsuperscript{101} Eva Ilouz has argued that whilst modern capitalism may appear to create a rational public sphere and an emotional private sphere, a number of key theorists (including Weber, Marx, Durkheim and Simmel) all create arguments that hinge on emotional reactions underpinning the

\textsuperscript{95} Ibid., p.307.
\textsuperscript{96} Ibid., p.301.
\textsuperscript{99} Ingold, \textit{Lines: A Brief History}, p.15.
\textsuperscript{100} White discusses this distinction between story and plot. White, "Interpretation in History," p.294.
\textsuperscript{101} Mark Salber Phillips, "On the Advantage and Disadvantage of Sentimental History for Life," \textit{History Workshop Journal} 65, no. 1 (2008): p.54. Phillips suggests on page 62 that the days of focussing on the marginalised may be numbered, and that there is a growing interest in returning to grander narratives of a Darwinian character.
mechanisms of a modern consumer society, Ilouz thus labels the modern character “homo sentimentalis.”

The very idea of the sentimental is a useful catalyst in explaining why this research needed to adopt a more broadly historical approach rather than remaining within the constraints of Design History. Educated designers exhibit a knee-jerk aversion to the sentimental, indicative of design history and design’s strategies for distancing themselves from popular culture. For example, if I were to suggest that one draw the metaphor of a narrative thread not from a utilitarian item like rope or string, but a decorative and feminised one like a ribbon [e.g. Figure 8], there are few design academics (or indeed academics of any persuasion) who could avoid a cringe reflex.

To appreciate the Hands across the Sea card in situ, however, requires the researcher to consciously uncouple from the disciplinary discourses that have created this reflex, whilst not necessarily valorising sentimentality either. Resituating this little-regarded card so as to appreciate why it

---

attained heightened significance for the Edwardians therefore requires methodological and conceptual agility rather than deference – Ingold’s wayfaring, albeit within the context of historical enquiry. Elsewhere, Ingold has argued for a process of research that moves away from being a study of something, to being a study with something.\textsuperscript{103} Intellectual craft, he believes, dissolves the distinctions between theory and method, work and life,\textsuperscript{104} and in seeking to liberate research from the “tyranny of method” he challenges the automatic hierarchies involved in moving from the particular to the general.\textsuperscript{105} For him, above all, research is a philosophic process embedded in one’s “observational engagements with the world.”\textsuperscript{106} This is very much a hands-on attitude, and less like painting – whose process is always aligned to creating a finished composition – than it is graphic, like a drawing; an improvisational and exploratory process.\textsuperscript{107} As Ingold argues, it is “a history of becoming rather than an image of being.”\textsuperscript{108}

Method, in this context, becomes a tool of orientation enabling the specific contexts of practice to inform a movement towards what Donna Haraway calls “situated and embodied knowledge.”\textsuperscript{109} Applied to history, such an orientation moves towards a thoughtful, contextualised, warm but unsentimental engagement with the tangled threads of the practiced past rather than a distanced, cool and generalised abstraction of it. Roland Barthes noted that “writing makes knowledge festive,”\textsuperscript{110} and if the process of doing so is somewhat methodologically messy, as John Law suggests it is,\textsuperscript{111} then so be it.

\textsuperscript{103} Ingold, \textit{Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description}, p.238. In this case one can see it as a move from being history of design, to history with design.
\textsuperscript{104} Ibid., p.240.
\textsuperscript{105} Ibid., p.242.
\textsuperscript{106} Ibid., p.243.
\textsuperscript{107} Ibid., p.222.
\textsuperscript{108} Ibid., p.221.
\textsuperscript{111} Law, \textit{After Method: Mess in Social Science Research}, p.155.
Chapter 1: The Early History – The Symbol and the Phrase

Although the Hands across the Sea postcard is a twentieth century phenomenon, the phrase “hands across the sea” and the symbol of two clasped hands carried connotations that were established much earlier. Whilst later chapters will deal with these two threads in the context of the postcard, this chapter aims to locate both discourses – one verbal the other visual – within their broader cultural contexts, and to explore how they acquired the connotations that they carried with them. It does not attempt to be a comprehensive history. Rather, it is guided by the available evidence along a path which locates those places where the two nascent forms most intruded into the culture around them. This helps to identify the areas whose resonance can still be identified within the subsequent postcard phase. Several themes emerge from this process: the emblematic interpretation of the clasped hands using the Roman concepts of fides and concordia, (both of which left their stamp on subsequent clasped hands symbolism); the handshake itself as a symbol; its place within both revolutionary and Radical Liberal agendas; the latter’s co-option of handshaking imagery into discourses of free trade, peace and postal reform; disruptions in the discourse caused by the Civil War; the handshaking symbolism of friendly societies and unions; and finally its simultaneous appearance in popular cultural forms and as a symbol of the political agenda of “Greater Britain.”

The task of this chapter is therefore to demonstrate that by the start of the twentieth century, when they were adopted by the postcard, both the phrase and the clasped symbol had already proven themselves to be surprisingly agile in their ability to speak to some very contradictory conditions within British politics and culture.

The Handshake Emblem

Of the two elements, the clasped hands symbol has by far the longer history. James O’Gorman once noted that “to my knowledge no one has yet undertaken the long and dreary task of cataloguing the uses and varying
significance of the handshake in the history of art,”¹ and this remains largely true today. Here, it is not necessary to catalogue all uses of the symbol, but it is important to know the overarching frameworks within which they operated, since these seem to have been very long lasting, stretching as far back as fifth century BC Greece, where its use in funerary items probably symbolised family reunion [Figure 9].² It is, however, primarily the Romans, as interpreted through the Renaissance and Neoclassicism, that are of importance here.

One major usage of clasped hands was as a symbol of Fides (a deity identified with fidelity or good faith) and examples of this fides imagery appear particularly on coins.³ Coinage, for the Romans, held similar propaganda value to that of stamps in the nineteenth century,⁴ and doubtless helped to embed the symbol’s general familiarity. This was assisted by Republican Rome’s adoption of the handshake as one symbol of the aligned concept of Concordia, which represented agreement and harmony,⁵ and was frequently represented on coins by either two standing figures shaking hands, or by disembodied clasped hands [Figure 10].⁶ A third variant on this theme is found in the image of clasped hands holding a caduceus – Mercury’s staff which acted variously as the symbol of intellect, diligence, prudence and

⁵ McDonnell, Roman Manliness: "Virtus" and the Roman Republic, p.145.
prosperity in commerce. This version is often associated with Pax (peace), but also appears in association with fides and with concordia. 

For the Romans, the hand itself symbolised the individual citizen’s legal autonomy, so that, alongside its more general association with ‘friendship and loyalty’, the handshake developed two other distinct ritual uses, both contractual. The first relates to marriage. Although clasped hands images occurs sporadically in such contexts from Greek times onwards, this type of symbolism became widespread in the later Imperial period, when it was particularly used by the early Christians. Hand-fasting, as a ritual that bound families together, would continue on through the mediaeval period. References linking love and clasped hands occur in love tokens and jewellery throughout the succeeding centuries, found as much in the grand symbolic schemes of triumphal arches as in day-to-day reminders of love. Neoclassical art certainly helped to link hand-fasting back to its classical roots, but its popular usage, expressed through items like fede (faith) rings [Figure 11], has ensured that hand-
clapping remains to this day a component within some more traditional marriage ceremonies.

In such *fides* usage, the clasped hands symbol (in its compressed form) functions synecdochally, with the hands acting as a shorthand for specific people, and with an underlying concept relating to fidelity. Even in Roman times, however, it can be difficult to differentiate between the various representations of *fides* and those of *concordia*. Both use two forms – the simple clasped hands symbol and an image of two standing figures shaking hands. The viewer often has to rely on the textual label in order to distinguish between the two concepts. However when *concordia* is indicated – as occurs in the second legal usage relating to treaties and agreements – the symbol moves from acting synecdochally to metaphorically, indicating a formal alliance between groups, or between the individuals representing those groups.17

Roman clasped hand symbolism showed no consistent pattern that allows us to distinguish between these two types on visual evidence alone.18 It is thus unsurprising that a similar iconographic confusion occurs in sixteenth century emblem books. Renaissance scholars were evidently aware of classical coinage, and considered its compressed symbolism, like that of the hieroglyph, ideal for conveying the larger truths that underlay everyday reality.19 Their desire to find a non-linguistic semiotic form for conveying

---

complex thought led to the development of the Emblem, a form which has recently been attracting scholarly attention for its ability, on a psychological level, to “make visible a combinatorial process of agency.” Its tendency towards the abstract particularly suited Protestant thinkers who distrusted the Catholic figurative tradition.

Alciato, the Italian originator of the genre, whose hugely influential 1531 Emblemata Liber was reprinted all over Europe, ensured that clasped hands symbolism would be embedded in European culture over the next few centuries. In his book both fides and concordia are prominent. Fydei Symbolum [Figure 12] is defined as “good faith,” combining, in typically

---

20 On the semiotic tradition, see Jeffrey Wollock, "John Bulwer (1606-1656) and the Significance of Gesture in 17th-Century Theories of Language and Cognition," Gesture 2, no. 2 (2002), pp. 243-5. He also outlines the relationship of hieroglyphs and the work of Horapollo, see pp. 234-5.


23 Andrea Alciato, Emblemata Liber (Augsberg: Heinrich Steyner, 1531). The illustrations shown here are consistent with the iconographical scheme of the 1531 original edition, although they are chosen from more graphically sophisticated later editions.

24 Alciato was not the first to use fides symbolism during the Renaissance, however. It appears, for example, with the clasped hands, in Guy Marchant’s printer’s mark in 1483. Per Mollerup, Marks of Excellence: The History and Taxonomy of Trademarks (London: Phaidon, 1997), p.37, fig.70.
polysemic fashion,25 the figures of honour, truth and love,26 whose personification is explained through the text. Another fides emblem depicts *In Fidem Uxoriam* meaning “on faithfulness in a wife.” *Concordia* appears in Alciato in two different forms. The first uses crows – a fiercely loyal group animal – but the second [Figure 14] shows two leaders shaking hands, with the accompanying verse:

![Figure 14: ‘Concordia’ from Alciato's 1584 Emblems. By permission of University of Glasgow Library, Special Collections.](image)

When Rome was marshalling her generals to fight in civil war and that martial land was being destroyed by her own might, it was the custom for squadrons coming together on the same side to exchange joined right hands as gifts. This is a token of alliance; concord has this for a sign - those whom affection joins, the hand joins also.27

Interestingly, when Geffrey Whitney largely appropriated Alciato’s illustration in his 1586 English emblem book, he changed the accompanying text significantly: “Of Kings and Princes great, lo, Concorde joins the hands. And knits their subjects hearts in one, and wealthy makes their lands…”28 Whitney identified three types of emblem – the historical, the natural and the moral,29 and he seems here to have moved *Concordia* away from Alciato’s more historical approach towards the moral. However, in doing this, he drew the emblem closer to the classical tradition of the ‘body politic’, by which the state is envisioned through the metaphor of the body.30 Already well-worn by the end of the 16th century, the metaphor was used by Whitney’s ‘Virgin’ Queen, Elizabeth 1, whose

---

26 See Sarah R. Cohen, “Rubens’ France: Gender and Personification in the Marie De Medici Cycle,” *The Art Bulletin* 85, no. 3 (2003): Fig.17 for a 1603 medal by Guillaume Dupré depicting Henry IV, Marie de Medici and the Dauphin, which uses this exact fides iconographic pattern. There are occasional examples of three figure versions of the fides emblem through into the twentieth century (usually with three adult figures, e.g. Figure 13), however Alciato’s two-figure concordia emblem seems to have been much the more influential.
29 Ibid. See p.2 of Whitney’s “To the Reader.”
well-publicised transcendence of her female body helped her to portray herself as the embodiment of the State.\footnote{Erin O'Connor, "Epitaph for the Body Politic," \textit{Science as Culture} 11, no. 3 (2002): p.405.} It was similarly employed by James 1\textsuperscript{st} in justifying his role as ‘head’ of State.\footnote{Katherine Bootle Attie, “Re-Membering the Body Politic: Hobbes and the Construction of Civic Immortality,” \textit{ELH} 75, no. 3 (2008): p.497.} This symbolism adds another level of significance to the use of the clasped hands in Figure 15, given that \textit{concordia} can be understood as an agreement between collective bodies.

![Figure 15: 1613 British print commemorating the wedding of Elizabeth, daughter of James I and Frederick, Elector Palatine. It is worth noting that this image is from two years after John Speed published his Genealogical supplement to the King James Bible, which used clasped hands extensively. Registration number P,1.22 © The Trustees of the British Museum](image)

With an accompanying text, \textit{fides} and \textit{concordia} in emblem books are both readily distinguishable – one signifying the moral quality of individual faithfulness [e.g. Figure 15],\footnote{Whilst the primary reading of this emblem relates to marriage and faithfulness, and thus \textit{fides}, in as much as a royal marriage was a symbolic match between nations, there are also connotations of \textit{concordia} here.} and the other the similarly moral sense of collective accord. In practice, however, and without the emblem book’s integration of image and text,\footnote{Moseley, \textit{A Century of Emblems}, p.10.} it can be difficult to tell which meaning is intended. For example, is Figure 15 referring to fidelity in marriage or a compact between two nations? Or both?

\begin{itemize}
\item \footnote{Erin O'Connor, "Epitaph for the Body Politic," \textit{Science as Culture} 11, no. 3 (2002): p.405.}
\item \footnote{Whilst the primary reading of this emblem relates to marriage and faithfulness, and thus \textit{fides}, in as much as a royal marriage was a symbolic match between nations, there are also connotations of \textit{concordia} here.}
\item \footnote{Moseley, \textit{A Century of Emblems}, p.10.}
\end{itemize}
Similar emblematic uses of the clasped hands occur regularly throughout the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, on items like coins [e.g. Figure 16] and friendship glasses, indicating that handshaking was part of the iconography of the elaborate toasting rituals of the period.

Clasped hands in various forms were a particular favourite of the early fire insurance industry, where a number of companies used them as the emblem that they fixed onto buildings, a part of an early form of corporate identity, [e.g. Figure 17]. And if, by the mid-eighteenth century, the Emblem’s mode of integrating text and image had lost its force in the high discourse of art, this was not true elsewhere. Popular culture had embraced the emblematic form early on, and it remained in use across a broad spectrum of areas. Guilds, tavern signs and trade cards all utilised emblems. They worked effectively for both the literate and the illiterate in the same way that coins had always done.


40 Ibid. Truax discusses the early stages of this development. Trade cards were one of a series of advertising gimmicks used by retailers as part of the development of eighteenth century consumerism. [Peter N. Stearns, Consumerism in World History: The Global Transformation of Desire, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2006), p.19.] For a more detailed study of these trade cards, which fall outside the scope of this study, see Ambrose Heal, London Tradesmen's Cards of the Eighteenth Century (New York: Dover, 1968 [1925]).

Hence, when people came to draw on the clasped hands emblem in the Victorian and Edwardian periods, it could operate within ‘high’ culture as a backwards-looking classical allusion, or within popular culture (where the emblem seems to have maintained its currency for much longer) as a viable and contemporary vehicle for conveying meaning.

The Genesis of Hands Across the Sea

The clasped hands emblem proved fluid enough, through the eighteenth century, to be adopted by liberal politicians, revolutionaries and reformers. I examine these developments more extensively in Appendix 1 – which also details the origins of the custom of handshaking. I trace the handshake’s shift from its original Roman legal function to one where it connoted equality. Shaking hands did not become widespread until well into the eighteenth century, when the concept of the ‘hand of friendship’ started to merge with customs of greeting. The metaphorical idea of greeting someone at a distance by shaking hands across a space therefore only begins to make sense at this time.

Figure 17: Insurance certificate issued in 1755 by the Hand in Hand Fire Insurance company. The clasped hands symbol, below a crown – seen at the top of this emblematic certificate – was used both as the firemark and emblem for this company. The company was established under the name “Amicable Contributors” in 1696, but became Hand in Hand in 1720 and went by the same name until 1905. This image is a detail from a policy issued for Montague House, London.
British Museum Archives CE97/R
© Trustees of the British Museum
The phrase ‘hands across the sea’, at this time, entailed a compression of
time and space akin to that of the visual emblem.\textsuperscript{42} The earliest example
found, thus far, of such a verbal construction, occurs in a 1791 article on the
growth of London, where it refers to the “probability of London shaking
hands with Hampstead.”\textsuperscript{43} Then, in 1806, the journalist Charles Maclean
wrote a book castigating the Governor of India, in resoundingly Radical
terms, for curtailing “the liberty of the press,” and comparing him,
insultingly, to Napoleon.

The extraordinary restrictions laid upon the press in India ….. concur
with the impious views of Bonaparte, of establishing despotism,
ignorance, and barbarism, over the face of the earth. It seems, indeed,
as if there had been a certain emulation between you; … Could you
have \textbf{shook hands across the Isthmus of Suez}, what congratulations
might have passed on the conclusive results of your respective
achievements! [sic]. The one had conquered the liberty of the press in
France, and almost in Europe; the other had extinguished it in Asia.
(The annihilation of personal and every other freedom follows of
course).\textsuperscript{44}

This striking spatial synecdoche of shaking hands across the Isthmus did not
pass immediately into common usage. Nor did John Sloane’s 1824
variation, in his translation of Caroline de La Motte Fouqué’s \textit{Die
Vertriebenen}, which first introduced the phrase “hands across the sea” as a
spatial metaphor for \textit{concordia}.

Since Spain and England have joined \textbf{hands across the sea}, you are
as little safe here as I shall shortly be, for the Netherlands are
swallowed up in the destructive union….\textsuperscript{45}

This is – by over a decade – the earliest located usage of this exact phrase,\textsuperscript{46}
and it is possible to hypothesise as to why it did not immediately find
favour. Maclean used the pattern of verb + ‘hands across’ + geographical
feature. As the phrase gradually gained traction through the 1820s and

\textsuperscript{42} Stafford, \textit{The Cognitive Work of Images}, p.52.
\textsuperscript{43} Public Advertiser (London, UK), “New Streets,” October 11, 1791, [no page].
\textsuperscript{44} Charles Maclean, \textit{Affairs of Asia: Considered in their Effects on the Liberties of Britain}
(London: C. Maclean, 1806), p.139. The paucity of digitised material from this period
makes it likely that there are other, possibly earlier, references yet to be located, but
currently this is the earliest found. Please note that I will, in subsequent quotes, similarly
highlight the HATS element, in order to make it easier for readers to find the key section.
\textsuperscript{45} Caroline Auguste La Motte-Fouqué, \textit{The Outcasts: A Romance} \[Die Vertriebenen\], trans.
\textsuperscript{46} The next example, in an 1839 poem on the steam ship, is discussed below, page 62-3.
1830s, most subsequent writers followed that same structure. For the English, visualising the relationship between two countries normally involved spanning nameable places and, over the next two decades spaces as varied as the Atlantic, the Irish Channel, the Channel, the Strait, the Tweed, the Alps, the Himalaya Mountains and the North American Lakes were all co-opted into the phrase. However England and Spain are not linked across a single recognisable place, and this would explain why Sloane opted for the generic word ‘sea’ rather than saying (albeit accurately) that they “joined hands across the Channel, France and the Bay of Biscay.” Sloane’s choice was prescient, but by no means immediately victorious.

**Liberal Symbols: Temperance, Trade, Peace and Post**

The earliest formulations of the ‘hands across’ phrase occur most commonly within radical dissenting literature. The only 1820s example, apart from Sloane’s, is from an Evangelical journal that discusses “Episcopalianan …. shaking hands across the Tweed with the Presbyterians,” and it is in a Presbyterian context that the most common 1830s version of the phrase, ‘hands across the Atlantic’, first occurs. *Hazard’s Register* exemplifies these early uses when it says that “it is a pleasant thing to see the Dissenters of England thus ‘shaking hands across the Atlantic,’ … with the Presbyterians of the United States.” Such links between dissenters in England and the United States are not surprising. The early 1830s was a period of intense political agitation amongst dissenting groups, who looked to America as a place that did not treat non-conformists as second-class citizens. These groups were prominent in instigating the

---

47 These variations, dating from 1827 onwards, are all readily searchable in British and US newspaper databases, so I have not itemised them as individual references.
48 La Motte-Fouqué, *The Outcasts: A Romance*, 2, p.52.
1832 Reform Act,\textsuperscript{52} as well as backing agendas that would be key to subsequent Liberal politics, including the Corn Law, Universal Suffrage, Design Reform, Temperance and Free Trade.\textsuperscript{53}

Not all of these discourses utilised clasped hands symbolism or the ‘hands across’ phrase, but several did. The symbol’s prior use in relation to universal suffrage is noted in Appendix 1.2, but it was Temperance activists who first used both ‘hands’ modes. The Rev. Hugh Stowell of Manchester hoped “that the Temperance Societies would extend their hands across the Atlantic to their brethren in America,”\textsuperscript{54} whilst the movement utilised clasped hands on temperance pledge medallions [Figure 18] worn to symbolise good faith,\textsuperscript{55} a tactic that could backfire.\textsuperscript{56} Nevertheless, whilst both phrase and symbol were used by Temperance advocates, there is no evidence that the two were ever linked together in this context. While the symbol was already well established, the phrase was still nascent and in a state of flux. This is apparent in the way that it was reported in association with a significant event in the tightening of the international trade and communication networks that would ultimately nurture the postcard – the first sailing of the first purpose-built ocean paddle-steamer, the Great Western.

\textsuperscript{54} Bristol Mercury (Bristol, UK), “The Temperance Meeting.” April 19, 1834, [no page].
\textsuperscript{55} This usage was sufficiently widespread for clasped hands to be utilised, without any direct explanation, as the illustration for a broadside ballad, published around 1860, called “Donald Blue,” relating to the social costs of drunkenness. National Library of Scotland, shelfmark: RB.m.168(145). http://digital.nls.uk/broadsides/broadside.cfm/id/16609
\textsuperscript{56} One man, arrested in a drunken state in London, was found to be wearing such a medallion, to the amusement of the press. The report stated that “the obverse represented two hands clasped with the words “Temperance Society, established 1833,” and “Union is Strength.” On the reverse were the words “Total Abstinence from Intoxicating Liquors.” Morning Post (London, UK), “Police Intelligence.” 14 April 1841, [no page].
The *Great Western*’s first voyages were widely reported, acting as a catalyst for press discussion about the complex relations between the transatlantic powers, and the ways that technology was forging closer and faster trading ties. The ship had begun its runs between Bristol and New York in 1838 and almost immediately the *New York Courier* reported that it was “as if the old world and the new had shaken hands across the broad Atlantic.”57 New York magazine *The Knickerbocker*, in a report entitled “The American Merchant,” put it this way: “to use the bold expression of a speaker among the crowd that filled the splendid cabin of the Great Western a few days after her first arrival, ‘the old and new world had shaken hands across the waters’.”58 The two journalists concerned had probably encountered this phrase for the first time in the “splendid cabin of the Great Western.”59 Certainly, both found it memorable, but each remembered the distinctive image (of the old world and the new shaking hands) in subtly different ways. They placed the word ‘world’ differently and one recalled it as shaking hands “across the water” whilst the other embroidered it to “across the broad Atlantic.”60 This sort of variation is what one might expect with the oral transmission of a memorable figure of speech, unreinforced by its large-scale use in print. Oral transmission offers a plausible reason for the creative variations found in the early stages of the phrase’s development. Written reports, like those about the *Great Western*, did disseminate the phrase, but it is easy, in today's global digital environment, to over-emphasise the reach that any given source actually had. Hence, it seems likely that transmission would have been through a mixture of the oral (most examples located from this period come from speeches), newspaper reportage of such speeches, and literary venues such as books and poetry. It appears, for example, in a lengthy, anonymous 1839 poetic homage to the *Great Western*. The first since 1825 to use the formulation ‘hands across the sea’, it was entitled “The Steam Ship”:

57 Quoted in the Daily Commercial Bulletin and Missouri Literary Register, “Departure of the Great Western,” May 21, 1838, [no page].
59 Ibid.
Swift in thy flight from shore to shore, from dark to sultry skies,
Welcomed wert thou, in every port, with shouts and glistening eyes
A pledge of amity renewed each voyage then would be,
As though the nations stretched and shook their hands across the sea.\textsuperscript{61}

The same year, influential travel writer and artist Mrs. Jameson used the phrase to comment on Anglo-American relations, drawing on ideas of blood kinship between the two peoples that are apparent in many of the speeches where “hands across the Atlantic” occurs:

For myself, I cannot contemplate the possibility of another war between the English and Americans without a mingled disgust and terror, as something cruel, unnatural, fratricidal. Have we not the same ancestry, the same father-land, the same language? “Though to drain our blood from out their being were an aim,” they cannot do it! The ruffian refuse of the two nations – the most ignorant, common-minded, and vulgar among them, may hate each other and give each other nicknames – but every year diminishes the number of such; and while the two governments are shaking hands across the Atlantic, it were supremely ridiculous if they were to go to cuffs across the Detroit and Niagara!\textsuperscript{62}

Canada was not the only source of tension between the two countries. Concerns about the effects of tariffs and protectionism meant that speakers at both the 1843 Manchester Anti-Corn Law Meeting and the Free Trade Festival at Bury invoked ‘hands across the Atlantic’ imagery.\textsuperscript{63} The Corn Law and trade agendas were linked. Free traders believed that the high corn prices created by protectionism dulled demand and were thus bad, not only for the poor who could not afford bread, but also for the profits of traders.\textsuperscript{64}

For many within the Liberal party of the 1840s,\textsuperscript{65} free trade provided a rallying point, one with a particular “moral dimension” for Dissenters.\textsuperscript{66}

Moral philosopher Adam Smith had made the case for the benefits of

\textsuperscript{61} The Liberator (Boston, MA), “Literary,” September 13, 1839, p.148.
\textsuperscript{63} The Liberator (Boston, MA). “Great Anti-Corn Law Meetings,” March 10, 1843, [no page]; Manchester Times and Gazette (UK), “Great Free Trade Festival at Bury,” September 2, 1843, [no page].
\textsuperscript{65} On the earlier debates within the Liberal Party, see Appendix 1.2.
\textsuperscript{66} Ertman, “The Great Reform Act of 1832 and British Democratization,” p.1011.
business friendships,\textsuperscript{67} which transformed circles of strangers into friends beholden to one another,\textsuperscript{68} encouraging mutual gain through co-operation.\textsuperscript{69} This had fostered a cosmopolitan approach amongst leading business people, who found national boundaries inhibiting.\textsuperscript{70} For them, individual agency, rather than State legislation (business people not politicians) could best create the conditions necessary for stable commercial relations. This approach resulted in the coming together of two discourses that appear incongruous to 21st-century eyes: peace and the free market.

These linkages were particularly evident at the 1849 third Peace Congress in Paris where leading Liberal politician Richard Cobden addressed an international audience of 1500 delegates.\textsuperscript{71} Cobden had been a key campaigner for the Anti-Corn Law league.\textsuperscript{72} There, a coalition had been forged between business (in both its humanitarian and self-serving guises) radicalism (in both its philosophical and middle class liberal varieties) and pacifism.\textsuperscript{73} Like Cobden (originally a Manchester textile manufacturer) many fell into several of these categories,\textsuperscript{74} but he was particularly seen as a leader of the free trade lobby.\textsuperscript{75} With the repeal of the Corn Laws in 1846, and believing that reduced prices on agricultural imports would stimulate consumer spending, Liberals like Cobden were now looking to help develop an international environment conducive to free trade’s expansion.\textsuperscript{76} Since wars disrupted trading, achieving peace became a significant priority. In his

\textsuperscript{73} Ibid., p.395. Gurney’s description on p.394 of the battles over whether to have a Ball to celebrate the group’s Bazaar, or not, highlights the tensions between the Puritan and modern factions within this alliance. These same tensions recur here in the discussion of holidays in the next chapter on pages 159-60.
\textsuperscript{74} Woods, "Cobden on Freedom, Peace, and Trade," p.77.
\textsuperscript{75} Coohill, "Free Trade Agendas: The Construction of an Article of Faith, 1837–50," p.171.
address, Cobden targeted the (non-free-trade) financial systems of Europe before concluding with a ‘hands across’ reference to symbolise amicable bonds between both sets of Britain’s continental neighbours:

It is time that the people interfered, and the governments of the world ought to tender you their thanks for having, by this **fraternal shaking of the hands across the Atlantic and the Channel**, facilitated that process of disarmament which is called for alike upon every principle of humanity and sound policy.77

At the congress, it was Cobden's contribution that was the most politically significant, however it was Victor Hugo's prescient version of the same image which garnered the most attention at the time – and subsequently.

A day will come when a cannon will be exhibited in public museums, just as an instrument of torture is now, and people will be astonished how such a thing could have been. A day will come when those two immense groups, the United States of America and the United States of Europe shall be seen placed in the presence of each other, **extending the hand of fellowship across the ocean**, exchanging their produce, their commerce, their industry, their arts, their genius, clearing the earth, peopling the deserts, improving creation under the eye of the Creator, and uniting, for the good of all, these two irresistible and infinite powers, the fraternity of men and the power of God.78

Whilst these were high profile occurrences of the phrase, it was another person present at the peace congress who was probably the immediate source of both Cobden’s and Hugo’s imagery. Elihu Burritt, America’s ‘learned blacksmith’, was a particularly influential figure in activating transatlantic temperance and peace networks and in linking these to the issue of postage.79 Burritt promoted “universal peace and universal brotherhood,” not least by publishing a journal of that name.80 In its first issue, he articulated his agenda – in the process linking ‘hands across’ with

the on-going attempt to find common ground through a shared Anglo-Saxon heritage:

While the greatest statesman that ever honoured that kingdom was urging through Parliament a measure calculated to fuse the Anglo-Saxon race into one great commercial, family circle, thousands and thousands of British subjects, embracing all conditions of society, were assaying to **shake hands across the ocean** with their brethren of the United States.\(^81\)

In a highly publicised journey around England in 1846, Burritt turned his “League of Universal Brotherhood” into what David Cortright has described as “the largest and most inclusive peace organisation up to that time.”\(^82\) He did this by adapting the temperance movement’s idea of a pledge [Figure 19].\(^83\)

![Figure 19: James Valentine (printer), ca.1846-8, Pledge for Elihu Burritt’s “League of Universal Brotherhood.”](image)

The Elihu Burritt Library holds two versions of the pledge, both using clasped hands. It is the other one that was used by Burritt as a letterhead during the 1846. This suggests that this Valentine version may have been created later, perhaps in 1848 when Valentine designed envelopes for Burritt.

Elihu Burritt Collection, The Elihu Burritt Library, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT.

This pledge, with its clasped hands emblem, was already being used by Burritt as a letterhead in 1846.\(^84\) Amongst other things, the text of the

---

\(^81\) Ibid., p.69. The image of the chain of friendship is a masonic one. See below, page 79.

\(^82\) Burritt signed up 30,000 supporters in Britain, along with another 25,000 in Europe. Cortright, *Peace: A History of Movements and Ideas*, pp.32-33.

\(^83\) Ibid., p.32.

\(^84\) Burritt used it to write from Portsmouth to one Thomas Drew on January 26, 1846. Central State University Digital Collections. “Transcript 18463.” Elihu Burritt Library,
pledge committed signatories to the promise that they would ‘associate…with all persons, of whatever country, condition or colour’ [Figure 19]. The temperance movement, as noted above, had already utilised the clasped hands emblem on medallions, but it is unlikely that any earlier temperance campaigner would, like Burritt, have been described in the press as coming ‘amongst us, with the clasped hands as his cognisance, as a teacher and promulgator of Christ's own doctrine of love.’ Nor is it likely that the earlier temperance medallions featured any interracial handshaking. Drawing, perhaps, on British abolition imagery [e.g. Figure 207] Burritt’s uncompromising emblem – aimed fairly and squarely at American slave-owners – was seen as decidedly risky by his apologist, Mary Howitt.

Unfortunately, however, for Burritt, at the same time that he advocates the increasingly popular subject of peace, he advocates, likewise the liberty of the black man; and this at present tends very much to lessen his pecuniary advantages; but that is of small consequence to this brave man. His motto, that God made of one flesh all nations of the earth, and his cognisance, which henceforth, as he told us, shall be the black hand clasped in white, testify to his opinions; and the time will come when they will cease to bring odium or loss to anyone.

It is important to appreciate how potentially prejudicial the emblem was to Burritt’s peace campaigning, and how unambiguously it conveyed the idea of ‘universal brotherhood’. Without it, it would be easy to uncritically accept Katie-Louise Thomas’s criticism that Burritt's Anglo-Saxon rhetoric, in effect, excluded all other races from the brotherly discourse.


85 I use the term ‘emblem’ to emphasise the form’s continuity. By this period, ‘symbol’, a term that could encompass a wide range of both visual and verbal metaphor, had developed strongly positive connotations, in tandem with the rise of Romantic theory. [Peter Crisp, “Allegory and Symbol - a Fundamental Opposition?,” Language and Literature 14, no. 4 (2005): p.327.] I use ‘symbol’ in this broad, default sense throughout this work.

86 Mary Howitt, "Memoir of Elihu Burritt," in The People's Journal ed. John Saunders (London: People's Journal Office, 1847), p.241. ‘Cognisance’ is an equivalent heraldic term for emblem. Heraldry does use the clasped hands symbol, where it is known as a ‘foi’ or ‘faith’, but it is relatively rare, and thus not central to this research. However, Howitt’s usage shows that it could be understood in this context during the nineteenth century.

87 Ibid., p.245.

Anglo-Saxonism formed part of the broader attempt to provide the Anglo-world with a heroic and British-centred heritage. Drawing on the idea of the Norman Yoke, which argued that Britain had a free constitution prior to the Normans, it became refined in the “literary historical” minds of writers like Thomas Carlyle. Very much a talking point in the 1840s, it would later develop ever more strident tones of evolutionary triumphalism, but had been gaining strength since earlier in the century. For Burritt, without the benefit of hindsight, the transnational aspects of Anglo-Saxon discourse probably represented a move in the right direction. With hindsight, it is hard not to find a passage like the following to be ridden with the ideology of cultural and religious imperialism.

Now is the time to unite Anglo-Saxon Christians in a brotherhood whose moral power shall be felt all over the world. Let, then, the good ministers, on both sides of the Atlantic, arise and shake hands across the ocean. Every letter exchanged, like a weaver’s shuttle, will carry across the ocean a silken ligature to bind two kindred hearts, and through them, two kindred nations. Such a social movement, cooperating with the ones I have noticed, would haste to its consummation the destiny of the Anglo-Saxon race, and the period when “nations shall learn war no more.”

Burritt’s imagery and texts, then, send us decidedly mixed messages.

However it is a different type of message which is of most importance to this study. The temperance movement had already discovered the efficacy of postal campaigning for political ends. Burritt extended this concept,
much more visibly, into the peace movement. He agitated for a postal mechanism to help bring peoples more closely together – one he termed “Ocean Penny Postage.”

Gagan Sood has described postal networks as the “informational fabric” of the British Empire. Reformers had already, prior to Burritt’s initiative, won one major battle in democratising that fabric through the instigation of the Penny Post, in 1840. A concession that was intended to help stave off the social discontent that lead to revolution elsewhere, the Penny Post’s potential to expand and maintain both business and personal networks cannot be over-estimated. Its radical agenda shines through the imperialistic imagery of William Mulready’s initial official envelope [Figure 20].

Figure 20: William Mulready, 1840, One Penny envelope.
William Penn is shown to the right of Britannia, shaking hands with the ‘Indians’. The envelope was short lived, and its attempt at educating the public in art was mercilessly mocked in the press.

Image Source: Wikimedia Commons. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

95 The 1849 Peace Congress passed resolutions relating to postal reform. Cortright, Peace: A History of Movements and Ideas, p.34.
99 This envelope was originally intended as another pre-paid alternative to the ‘Penny Black’ stamp. Reid, "The Symbolism of Postage Stamps: A Source for the Historian," p.227.
The imagery explicitly locates Britain as an Imperial hub, but the American vignette, showing William Penn shaking hands with an ‘Indian’, also positions the envelope within the radical discourse of peace.

Burritt’s association with postal reform stemmed from his having, during 1847, witnessed first-hand the distress of poor Irish emigrants leaving behind relatives they could not afford to write to. He therefore immediately began campaigning for an affordable international postal charge. His first pamphlets used ‘olive leaf’ symbolism, but by the end of 1848 he had encouraged the Scottish engraver, James Valentine, to make a series of propaganda envelopes for both the broader Olive Leaf and more specific Penny Post causes. Although Mulready’s envelope had been a failure, the 1840 postal regulatory change (abandoning charges previously calculated by distance and the number of sheets of paper used) allowed users the luxury of envelopes and multiple sheets of paper: something they were quick to adopt. Decorated envelopes and letter paper, for advertising, propaganda, and pleasure, thus became vougess of the 1840s and 1850s. Envelopes normally sold for a penny each, so

101 Rowland Hill, in his seminal 1837 pamphlet *Post Office Reform: Its Importance and Practicability* had earlier noted the fact that affordable postal rates would give the poor “a means of communication with their distant friends and relatives, from which they are at present debarred.” [Quoted in Dauntoun, *Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840*, p.81.] Hill, however, was thinking locally, and only in 1853 sanctioned a reduction in overseas rates to six times the local rate (down from between eight or twelve times in 1840), a move intended to weaken the Ocean Penny Post campaigners’ position, as he believed the loss in revenue would be too great if the Penny Post was extended internationally. Ibid., pp.148-9.
104 Dauntoun, *Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840*, p.6. Envelopes were considered to be one sheet of paper, and charged accordingly.
Burritt’s, priced at 18 pence per 100, were understandably widely used. Not all of the designs contained his clasped hands ‘cognisance’, but at least three placed it prominently, one commissioned for the Olive Branch campaign [Figure 21], and two for Ocean Penny Post. This imagery was not only utilised on envelopes. It appeared prominently in coverage of the 1850 Peace Bazaar. Like the Anti-Corn Law Bazaar of 1845, this event acted as precursor to the 1851 Great Exhibition in legitimising consumer culture. At it, Mrs. Cobden (wife of the above-mentioned Richard Cobden, the instigator of the 1845 event) was reported as running a stall in front of the most significant of the emblematic decorations:

![Figure 21: James Valentine, ca.1848-52, ‘Olive Leaf’ campaign envelope.](image)

The most striking object was a group of flags of various nations, arranged above a screen at the end of the hall, between two columns: these flags were blended together, and in the centre were two clasped hands, black and white, the emblem of the league. On one side of this was a model of a locomotive engine, and on the other a model of the steam vessel lent by the Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company. A model of the electric Telegraph ran in front across the entire screen and this arrangement of the great elements of civilisation and progress, combined with the idea of the union of nations, produced an admirable effect, and was an object of general admiration.

---

109 These are reproduced in Staff, *The Penny Post: 1680-1918*, plates 21b and 22a. He also reproduces three designs which did not contain clasped hands.
111 Daily News (London, UK), “The Peace Bazaar,” May 31, 1850, [no page]. This type of decoration is by no means unique. It occurs twice, for example, in similar forms to the Peace Bazaar in Boston City Council, *The Railroad Jubilee: An Account of the Celebration*
This “arrangement” closely follows the layout of one of Valentine’s envelopes, particularly in its positioning of a steam engine and a ship – those “symbols of progress” – on either side of the clasped hands. It further underlines the centrality of the clasped hands symbol for Burritt’s movement, and shows, too, that it was understood as an emblem.

Initially, the outlook for Burritt’s peace and post campaigns was positive, but despite support in Parliament from such leading liberals as John Bright, it would take another forty years for cheap international postage to be instigated (see page 247). Burritt did not live to see it, and nor did his group achieve lasting peace. The Crimean and the American Civil War – the latter seen by most liberal abolitionists as a just one – largely unravelled the peace movement.

---

112 This is reproduced, albeit incorrectly dated to the 1860s, in Willoughby, A History of Postcards: A Pictorial Record from the Turn of the Century to the Present Day, p.37.
113 Staff, The Penny Post: 1680-1918, p.120.
114 Ibid., pp.124-5.
The Civil War, the Telegraph and the Graveyard

Although the first, abortive, transatlantic telegraph occasioned perhaps the earliest conordia image of England and America shaking hands in 1858 [Figure 23], these pleasantries did not continue into the next decade. The frosty Anglo-American relations engendered by the Civil War had a marked effect on the ‘hands across the Atlantic’ discourse, which had been utilised with some regularity through the 1850s. Relations between America and Russia improved,115 but a report on an 1863 American banquet honouring a group of Russian officers demonstrates how bad relations with England had become.116 At it, one Dudley Field’s vehement and unforgiving anti-British

115 In Moscow, the American, Gustavus Fox, was feted at a banquet where the menu was headed with clasped hands and a Latin motto, “Concordia et Lætitia,” [Joseph Florimond Loubat, Gustavus Fox’s Mission to Russia, 1866 (New York: Arno Press, 1970 [1869]), p.340.] ‘Lætitia’ translates as happiness or joy, and the conordia connotations of clasped hands are here very explicit.

116 It also shows how reworking the ‘hands’ phrase could be used as a propaganda weapon: “A reverend gentleman named Boole – peaceful as a Christian should be whenever he
rhetoric was particularly marked, and the prominence it was given may account for the tone subsequently adopted by his brother, Cyrus Field, at an 1866 banquet celebrating the successful laying of the second Atlantic Telegraph cable. This cable formed a very significant link within a communications revolution that, although expensive, would facilitate much faster access to information for nineteenth century businesses and newspapers. The cable project had been started during a period of much warmer international relations, and this clearly weighed on the mind of its creator. In his banquet speech, he stated that the cause dearest to him was the improvement of relations between England and America; that despite “family quarrels,” ultimately “blood is thicker than water.” He then concluded by saying: “I close with this sentiment: England and America – clasping hands across the sea; may this firm grasp be a pledge of friendship to all generations!”

The commercial imperative underlying the cable was evidently more amenable to ‘hands across’ symbolism than the political situation. Elihu Burritt expressed the same idea – here in the words of a reporter attending a speech at Huddersfield on “the Higher Law and Mission of Commerce”:

Commerce has no country but the world; no patriotism but the honest, loyal interest in the material well-being of nations; war was an outrage upon its domains; and it would not obey the laws of war. Commerce asked nations to shake hands across the sea; commerce … must

mentioned Russia, and as warlike as a Christian should not be whenever he mentioned England – said in the course of a speech which his friends declared to be an oration “That America and Russia clasped hand across the ocean, and the breadth of England’s isle was no impediment to their embrace.” The sentiment elicited such rounds of applause as to give the cue to the temper of the meeting to all the succeeding speakers.” Sheffield and Rotherham Independent (UK), “The Civil War in America,” November 3, 1863, [no page]. The following gives the fuller context for Field’s remarks: “One of [the speakers], the Hon. Dudley Field, the brother of Mr Cyrus Field of the Atlantic Telegraph, in responding to the toast of “Neutrality,” purposely introduced into the list to afford an opportunity of the display of the anti-British rancour that fills the heart of America expatiated at great length upon the “false” neutrality of Great Britain and France, and especially the former. He declared that “Americans would never forget it…. We see the ground fresh with graves, half of which would never have been opened but for the countenance which England and France have given to the rebellion…” Sheffield and Rotherham Independent (UK), “The Civil War in America,” November 3, 1863, [no page].


and would be free, by sea and land, in peace and war. The lecturer strongly pointed out the importance of free trade.\(^{120}\)

Commerce notwithstanding, the almost complete lack of ‘hands across’ usage in America from the start of the war until the mid-1870s testifies to the short-term futility of Field’s hopes of better familial relations. Nor was there much reciprocal rhetoric in Britain. Despite this, it is possible to argue that Field’s speech was a pivotal moment that connected the earlier phase of ‘hands across’ usage with the later form of ‘hands across the sea’. In choosing to use this latter wording, Field was, I believe, reaching out to the British. The United States is flanked by oceans. Americans, like Burritt, had previously tended, when using the ‘hands’ phrase, to apply either the specific term ‘Atlantic’, the generic term ‘Ocean’, or occasionally ‘Water’. For the British, however, the generic term ‘Sea’ better encompassed the complexity of their maritime networks, and either the Huddersfield reporter altered Burritt’s ‘hands across’ phrase from ‘ocean’ to ‘sea’, or Burritt had learned to adapt to his audience. In adopting this specific version of the phrase, Cyrus Field was probably gesturing towards a British audience. The conciliatory tone of the speech, and the significance of the cable itself, meant that Field’s speech was far more extensively reported throughout the Anglo world than Burritt’s, becoming the first occasion that the phrase appeared in any form in the New Zealand press.\(^{121}\)

If the Civil War put a dent in ‘hands across’ rhetoric, it did no such thing for the clasped hands symbol. Unionists found ample use for it, and it was one of the most common symbols on both ‘Union Flasks’ and patriotic envelopes.\(^{122}\)

---

122 The flasks are for holding whisky (an ironic twist for the temperance movement). On the clasped hands usage on these flasks, see George S. McKearin and Helen McKearin, American Glass: The Fine Art of Glass Making in America (New York: Crescent, 1989), p.479. On the envelopes, clasped hands were often shown over a copy of the constitution, and with the phrase “The Union Forever.” Steven R. Boyd, Elaine Prange Turney, and David W. Hansen, "Union Civil War Patriotic Covers: An Overview,” Journal of American Culture 21, no. 3 (1998): p.6. They illustrate one on p.7. The symbol also occurred on quilts, though here it is more likely to symbolise optimistic hopes for resolution. Gary S. Foster and Lisa New Freeland, “Hand in Hand Til Death Doth Part: A Historical
In the post-war process of reconciliation, one politician did to try to verbally apply similarly positive ‘hands’ connotations. During the 1872 election campaign, the atypically protectionist Liberal, Horace Greeley, argued that the North and South should “clasp hands across the bloody chasm,” provoking a devastating set of cartoon ripostes in Harper’s Weekly from Thomas Nast [Figure 24]. Greeley’s political demise ensured that this formulation would be effectively buried.

In the 1890s, with the Populist cause reprising the phrase, with at least one paper running a cartoon showing south and north clasping hands across the divide, and with the subtitle “Let us clasp hands across the bloody chasm: Horace Greeley anticipated the inevitable.” Southern Mercury (Dallas, TX), “The Blue and the Gray,” September 3, 1891, p.4.

---

125 It did resurface in the 1890s, with the Populist cause reprising the phrase, with at least one paper running a cartoon showing south and north clasping hands across the divide, and with the subtitle “Let us clasp hands across the bloody chasm: Horace Greeley anticipated the inevitable.” Southern Mercury (Dallas, TX), “The Blue and the Gray,” September 3, 1891, p.4.
Gravestones might, on the face of it, appear to be the greatest benefactors of Civil War clasped hands symbolism. Nancy-Lou Patterson found the symbol to be “ubiquitous,” between 1866-1928, on the gravestones she studied in two U.S. counties.\textsuperscript{126} Symbolising equality and union between the living and the dead,\textsuperscript{127} clasped hands are not found on pre-war stones, suggesting a possible connection between union patriotism and the symbol’s appearance immediately after the Civil War. Peter Stearns argues that this focus on the continuity of life and death was a key part of the emotional register of the period, as people sought to deal with grief.\textsuperscript{128} Patterson, however, follows Cirlot in identifying two types of clasped hands symbolism – one relating to marriage and one to fraternity.\textsuperscript{129} America was developing a tradition of illustrated marriage certificates,\textsuperscript{130} on which clasped hands were frequently found,\textsuperscript{131} and thus the extension of the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{Figure25.png}
\caption{Gravestones at Leigh Cemetery, New Zealand. The four large white gravestones date from between 1878 and 1914, and all show the clasped hands symbol, which was by far the most popular motif in this small-town cemetery during the period. Photo courtesy of Helle Gilderdale}
\end{figure}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Nancy-Lou Patterson, "United Above Though Parted Below: The Hand as Symbol on Nineteenth-Century Southwest Ontario Gravestones," \textit{Markers} 6 (1989): p.185. It is also noted as one of the most common gravestone motifs by Carl Lindahl, "Transition Symbolism on Tombstones," \textit{Western Folklore} 45, no. 3 (1986): p.173. The clasped hands’ being a post-Civil War motif is supported by the fact that of the 9000 early gravestone images in the Farber Gravestone collection, only one, dated 1870, has clasped hands. Luna Commons. “Browse Farber Gravestone Collection.” (Undated). http://www.lunacommons.org/luna/servlet/FBC~100~1 [accessed April 3, 2013].
\item Patterson, "United Above Though Parted Below: The Hand as Symbol on Nineteenth-Century Southwest Ontario Gravestones,” p.192.
\item Stearns, \textit{American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style}, p.40. Stearns highlights the focus in popular songs of the period on death and reunion. This idea of reunion with loved ones in heaven, he notes on p.68, is a distinctly nineteenth century Protestant notion.
\item This probably follows an earlier German practice where marriage certificates showed the couple at the altar. Frank Staff, \textit{The Valentine & its Origins} (London: Lutterworth Press, 1969), p.27. I have not been able to find examples, to verify if clasped hands occur in these.
\item One such US printer who used the emblem mid-century was York County engraver William Wagner, whose marriage certificates showed clasped hands inside a wreath, below
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
clasped hands marriage symbolism from the living to the dead is credible. Patterson does not connect this marriage imagery back to its fides and emblematic origins, and nor does she contextualise fraternity beyond its Masonic and Odd Fellow connotations. She found several stones where there are clear references to the Freemasons and Odd Fellows, and this interpretation may be adequate to explain its usage on the gravestones she studied. However, although today the only fraternal society most people associate with the handshake motif is the Masons, its history lies with a much larger set of organisations, and to understand how those relate to the clasped hands, it is necessary to locate them within the Radical struggles of the late eighteenth century.

Masons, Friendly Societies and the Unions

The first Masonic lodge was established in Britain in 1717, slightly later than the previously mentioned Fire insurance companies, which also utilised the clasped hands emblem (see page 57). It is unclear how and when the clasped hands became associated with the Masons, a group dedicated to non-sectarian religion, to brotherhood and to rituals, famously including secret handshakes. Clasped hands are now routinely described as ‘masonic’, yet Bob James, one of the few writers to explore the relationship between Freemasonry and groups that shared common features with it, does not connect the symbol with the Masons. He acknowledges the clasped the motto “What God Hath Joined Together Let No Man Put Asunder.” Lloyd, June. “William Wagner – York’s Renaissance Man.” Universal York, March 19, (2011). http://www.yorkblog.com/universal/2011/03/19/william-wagner-yorks-renaissa/ [accessed September 21, 2013].

One could also hypothesise that the accessibility of Greece to nineteenth century archaeologists led to a revival of Greek fifth century BC grave symbolism. I have not found parallel European studies to those conducted in the United States, and thus cannot say when clasped hands started to be used in this context outside the United States. I have found significant numbers of examples in as widely dispersed places as England, Denmark and New Zealand [Figure 25], but a larger study is needed, something that is again outside the scope of this research.

Patterson, ”United Above Though Parted Below: The Hand as Symbol on Nineteenth-Century Southwest Ontario Gravestones,” p.191.


Ibid., p.245.

hands’ emblematic fides origins, and says it was used by any group trying to convey the concept of “trust and fidelity.” Nevertheless, he concentrates on its links, not with the Masons, but with later Friendly Societies, and this is in line with the evidence.

Satirical prints such as Figure 26, as well as decorated bowls and other ritual items give a good picture of eighteenth century Masonic symbolism, and the clasped hands are noticeably absent. Chalmers Paton, when writing a work on Masonic symbolism in 1873, described the clasped hands as a Masonic symbol of unity, but gave no evidence of early Masonic usage, relying instead on its appearing in other “Ancient” contexts alongside symbols which were also used by the Masons.

Such vagueness seems warranted. By the nineteenth century the Masons had adopted the symbol, and would pass it on to various American academic ‘Greek’ fraternal organisations, but overall it was much less prevalent in Masonic iconography than other emblems like the compasses, sun, beehive or all-seeing eye. It will require another study to establish whether, conspiracy theorists notwithstanding, the Masons’ adoption of the clasped hands was

137 Ibid, chapter 5.
138 The only possible exception comes from a 1742 print entitled The Free-Masons Downfall (British Museum no. J,1.168) which has a small banner in the background which may include clasped hands. It is too small to be identified with certainty.
141 The concept of a “chain of union” seems to have existed during the early period, Pierre-Yves Beaurepaire, "The Universal Republic of the Freemasons and the Culture of Mobility in the Enlightenment," French Historical Studies 29, no. 3 (2006): p.415. It is not clear whether the hand-holding ritual now associated with that concept had yet generated clasped hands symbolism.
retrospective. Here, I can only state that although it appears obvious to link the symbol to the Masons, definitive early examples of Masonic usage have eluded my searches. And since handshaking, as discussed in Appendix 1.1, was not a generally recognised social custom for much of the eighteenth century, there seems little practical reason for using a secret handshake.\textsuperscript{142} Hence, I intend to follow James’s lead, and concentrate on the groups that did use clasped hands symbolism publicly at the start of the nineteenth century: the Friendly Societies and Trade Unions.

By 1793, Friendly Societies were sufficiently established to warrant legislative protection through an “Act for the Encouragement and Relief of

\textsuperscript{142} Even if the secret handshake was in operation during this period, other contemporary groups such as the United Irishmen, which used secret hand signs such as one called “Hands Across,” [True Briton (London, UK), “Irish Parliament,” August 1, 1798, [no page]] were apparently still happy to use the clasped hands emblem on public objects like pins. Thomas Frost, \textit{The Secret Societies of the European Revolution 1776-1876}, vol. 1 (London: Tinsley Brothers, 1876), p.62.
Friendly Societies.” Analogous to the middle class Guilds, these welfare-providing groups gave the wealthier working population of ‘artisans’ and ‘mechanicks’ not only a safety net and a fraternal group identity, but also the ability to travel, unhindered by draconian vagrancy laws. E. P. Thompson has suggested that their language of “society,” based on Methodist concepts of charity, friendship and brotherhood, helped to develop “working class consciousness.” This may be true, but the membership represented only one segment of the proletariat: tradespeople, artisans, and upper-level factory workers, with few labourers or lower-end factory workers. In the wake of the French Revolution, these groups were frequently eager to assert “national loyalty” in their rules and pamphlets, not to mention patriotic souvenirs [Figure 27]. “Royal and loyal” is how Nick Mansfield describes the predominant iconography of Friendly Society banners. These large painted pieces of fabric played a central role in a processional culture whereby the Societies publically solicited contributions

to help support financially troubled members.\textsuperscript{150} This charitable link, the generic name ‘Friendly’, and a ritual culture that encouraged equality and conviviality,\textsuperscript{151} helps explain why Mansfield found a significant number of these banners sporting clasped hands.\textsuperscript{152}

Like the insurance companies, with whom they were in competition, Friendly Societies probably used the clasped hands in its \textit{fides} form to convey trust and fidelity, as well as fraternity. Through the nineteenth century, larger Friendly Societies like the Odd Fellows seem to have used the symbol much more extensively than the Masons [e.g. Figure 27], and in the wider movement’s formative stages it was utilised by many smaller provincial groups as well [Figure 28].\textsuperscript{153}

Such widespread and dispersed usage amongst Friendly Societies helps explain why clasped hands symbolism might also occur amongst other, more radical groups like the early Trade Unions. These groups had comparable structures and welfare intent to the Friendly Societies,\textsuperscript{154} and similarly catered initially for skilled subsets of the working classes.\textsuperscript{155} Clasped hands featured early in Trade Union iconography. As Radical protest revived after the Napoleonic wars,\textsuperscript{156} they appeared on

---

\textsuperscript{150} Prom, "Friendly Society Discipline and Charity in Late-Victorian and Edwardian England," p.904.
\textsuperscript{151} Peter Bailey, \textit{Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City} (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), pp.82, 98.
\textsuperscript{154} Thompson, \textit{The Making of the English Working Class}, p.423.
banners like those on the peaceful march that ended so disastrously in Manchester in 1819, the Peterloo massacre.\textsuperscript{157}

Reports of the initial Manchester protest gathering describe several banners, two of which featured clasped hands. The Oldham Union’s had a reverse (facing the marchers) with “two hands, both decorated in shirt ruffles, clasped in each other.”\textsuperscript{158} The Saddleworth, Lees and Moseley Union had a similar banner, with the words “Unite and be Free,” and two clasped hands above the word ‘love’.\textsuperscript{159} This latter flag, described later by Samuel Bamford as “one of the most sepulchral looking objects that could be contrived,”\textsuperscript{160} featured in the treason trial of the protest organisers.\textsuperscript{161} The Banner’s colour (black), the word ‘death’, and the Cap of Liberty (used since the 1790s by Jacobins and Irish Republicans)\textsuperscript{162} were all read by prosecutors as seditious. The clasped hands, however, were not queried – showing that the symbol cannot have been understood as being primarily associated with revolutionary uses.

By the 1830s, with membership burgeoning,\textsuperscript{163} trade unions were providing themselves with further iconographic trappings, which often included clasped hands [e.g. Figure 29]. Symbolism had been heavily used in initiation ceremonies amongst underground unions during the preceding decades,\textsuperscript{164} providing such items with added meaning. Indeed, unions would continue to use rituals involving hand clasping and emblematic symbols to assert brotherhood well into the twentieth century.\textsuperscript{165}

\textsuperscript{157} Poole, "The March to Peterloo: Politics and Festivity in Late Georgian England," pp.111-12.
\textsuperscript{158} The Times (London, UK), “Express from Manchester.” August 19, 1819, p.2. The front carried the scales of justice, demanding Universal Suffrage.
\textsuperscript{159} Morning Chronicle (London, UK), “York Assizes,” March 25, 1820, [no page]. The other side had the scales of Justice and the words “Taxation without representation is unjust and tyrannical” and “Equal representation or death.”
\textsuperscript{160} Quoted in Thompson, The Making of the English Working Class, p.681.
\textsuperscript{161} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{162} Epstein, Radical Expression: Political Language, Ritual, and Symbol in England, 1790-1850, p.85. On earlier usage, see below, Appendix 1.2.
\textsuperscript{163} Musson, Trade Union and Social Studies, p.15. This growth occurred in the wake of the repeal of the Combination Laws – anti-Jacobian legislation aimed at stopping workers forming societies.
They even called their printed membership certificates ‘emblems’. Originally functioning as de facto passports, the developed into elaborately printed objects for display in unionists’ homes and in “the upper rooms of pubs throughout the land.” Costing up to three shillings each, these items clearly carried considerable cultural capital within the union movement. Leeson reproduced thirty-six such emblems. He did not, in his study, mention clasped hands once, but the symbol appears in eight of the reproduced emblems, three from the 1830s. This is a large enough proportion to be significant, and evidence of nineteenth century union usage of the clasped hands is widespread [e.g. Figure 30]. What is important
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168 Leeson, *United We Stand: An Illustrated Account of Trade Union Emblems*, p.18. It also underlines the fact that Union Membership often involved an exclusive subset of a trade. Musson, *Trade Union and Social Studies*, p.19.
169 Leeson, *United We Stand: An Illustrated Account of Trade Union Emblems*, pp.9,19,20,34,45,46,47,57.
170 For example, clasped hands or handshaking appears on twenty seven of the banners reproduced in John Gorman, *Banner Bright: An Illustrated History of the Banners of the British Trade Union Movement* (London: Allen Lane, 1973).
here is not demonstrating that it was used (it was) but rather how it was used and what it signified.

Leeson identified two different strands within the nineteenth century union movement. One, closer to the protective Friendly Society model, looked inwards and backwards in a defensive manner – ‘United to Protect’ their existing rights. The other looked outwards towards a utopian expansion of worker rights, finding ‘Unity in Strength’. One might have expected the former group to utilise clasped hands, but in fact it was primarily used by the outward-looking variety. Individual fraternity, within a group, implies fides, but the larger unions that sought to pull together multiple groups of workers are better represented by concordia. As the century developed, and workers became increasingly mobile, the union movement had to confront the issue of establishing fraternity at a distance. Concordia symbolism was appropriate, particularly as the movement started to think internationally. The hand, after all, was a European symbol, and not just English.

Workers had, synecdochally, been labelled ‘hands’ since the late sixteenth century. By the eighteenth century, jobs that involved clean and dirty
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171 Leeson, United We Stand: An Illustrated Account of Trade Union Emblems, pp.6-7.
172 This conclusion remains tentative, being based on Leeson’s selection and on banners illustrated in various other works – which mostly conform to the pattern in Leeson. Of the twenty-two clasped hands banners with mottoes in Gorman, Banner Bright: An Illustrated History of the Banners of the British Trade Union Movement, only four were of the protective variety. This needs a fuller exploration, but is beyond the scope of this study.
hands had become symbolic of a rudimentary class distinction, with clean-hand professions, like architecture, providing an entry to the ranks of the ‘pseudo-gentry’ that was denied to the dirty-hand “mechanic.” Indeed, in countries like Germany and Denmark, where the actual name for mechanics and artisans translates to “hand workers,” the hand was integral to worker identity. Gottfried Korff notes that, in Germany, the intersection of this existing linguistic connotation with a post-1848 resurgent French use of fraternal clasped hands symbolism, sparked a significant rise in clasped “brother-hand” symbolism among German workers’ associations. Although the clasped hands symbol remained potent until the First World War, the single up-thrust fist, stemming from the Paris commune, would increasingly take over from it through the first two decades of the twentieth century, as Unionists became more militant.

For Marx, the hand was a potent symbol – but not of brotherhood. The key symbolism for him was the replacement of the skilled hand of the individual craftsman, with the repetitive gestures of the assembly line. This created a major condition of alienation, one exacerbated by the manipulative working of the ‘hidden hand of capital’. Both Marx and Engels had consistently disliked the underlying religious impulse of the clasped hands’ ‘brotherly’

176 One example of such usage is Armand Cambon’s 1848-9 Étude pour la République, Musée Ingres: http://www.musees-midi-pyrenees.fr/musees/musee-ingres/collections/la-peinture-du-xixe-siecle/armand-cambon/etude-pour-la-republique/ [Accessed November 1, 2012]. It is worth noting that this increased use of hands symbolism in 1848 in France probably encouraged the clasped hands metaphors used at the 1849 Paris peace conference, discussed earlier.
symbolism, and had replaced the original Communist motto of “All Workers are Brothers” with the more militant “Workers of all Countries, Unite!” 180 Their distaste, however, spread only slowly through the movement. Brotherhood would remain throughout the nineteenth century as a catch cry in the battle to unite workers transnationally, symbolised in multiple ways, such as Walter Crane’s illustration to William Morris’s News From Nowhere showing workers holding hands around the globe.181

Given the connotations of the hand within international socialism, it makes sense that the phrase ‘hands across the sea’ would take on a special meaning for unionists. The flashpoint for this would appear to be the 1889 dock strike, where English dockworkers, having used up all their own funds, still achieved a notable victory over their employers, owing to Australian unionists sending £30,000 that enabled them to prolong the struggle.182 This achievement also boosted the Australian labour movement. Australian unionists saw Australia, with its short history, as a more egalitarian society with less inbred prejudice against labour aspirations.183 The 1889 display of Australian generosity would immediately result in the British Dock, Wharf, Riverside & General Labourers Union utilising concordia imagery on its pins, banners and emblems, showing an Australian and English worker either side of a globe, shields and flags, beneath the clasped hands and the motto of “the grip of brotherhood the world o’er.”184 It was also understood as an example of ‘hands across the sea’.
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182 Leeson, United We Stand: An Illustrated Account of Trade Union Emblems, p.56.
184 The emblem is reproduced in Leeson, United We Stand: An Illustrated Account of Trade Union Emblems, p.57. Its format, whether by accident or design, is a more elaborate version of the 1838 Friendly Society of Sawyers banner reproduced in Gorman, Banner Bright: An Illustrated History of the Banners of the British Trade Union Movement, p.70.
This can be seen in an 1889 cartoon by Luther D. Bradley in Melbourne Punch [Figure 31], and in an 1890 article on the London celebrations for the strike’s first anniversary, when it was reported that “numerous flags and banners were carried, bearing as a device the English and Australian working men shaking hands across the sea.” The same report shows the metaphor being worked on when a speaker advised English workers that, given a major strike in Australia, “it was now their bounden duty to put their hands in their pockets, and send over an immense sum of money to their brethren across the sea” [Figure 32]. By 1894, a report on an Eight Hour demonstration in London shows that banners had been created with another variant on the emblem, in which the clasped hands imagery was complemented by the motto ‘hands across the sea’. The clasped hands and the HATS phrase were now unequivocally connected. This can be seen in an 1889 cartoon by Luther D. Bradley in Melbourne Punch [Figure 31], and in an 1890 article on the London celebrations for the strike’s first anniversary, when it was reported that “numerous flags and banners were carried, bearing as a device the English and Australian working men shaking hands across the sea.” The same report shows the metaphor being worked on when a speaker advised English workers that, given a major strike in Australia, “it was now their bounden duty to put their hands in their pockets, and send over an immense sum of money to their brethren across the sea” [Figure 32]. By 1894, a report on an Eight Hour demonstration in London shows that banners had been created with another variant on the emblem, in which the clasped hands imagery was complemented by the motto ‘hands across the sea’. The clasped hands and the HATS phrase were now unequivocally connected. This can be seen in an 1889 cartoon by Luther D. Bradley in Melbourne Punch [Figure 31], and in an 1890 article on the London celebrations for the strike’s first anniversary, when it was reported that “numerous flags and banners were carried, bearing as a device the English and Australian working men shaking hands across the sea.” The same report shows the metaphor being worked on when a speaker advised English workers that, given a major strike in Australia, “it was now their bounden duty to put their hands in their pockets, and send over an immense sum of money to their brethren across the sea” [Figure 32]. By 1894, a report on an Eight Hour demonstration in London shows that banners had been created with another variant on the emblem, in which the clasped hands imagery was complemented by the motto ‘hands across the sea’.
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187 Ibid, p.5.
188 The report said: “My heart swelled with pride when I saw on another banner two little isles, with a channel between them, and two gentlemen in irreproachable tailor-made suits, shaking hands across the gulf, one leaving behind him the British lion, couchant, with a well-fed benign smile on his face, and the other the Australian kangaroo, squatting on his tail, rampant, with the motto, ‘Hands Across the Sea’. This is the banner of the Riverside labourers or ‘dockers’, and it is a memorial to the generosity with which the Australian workmen responded to the call for help when the dockers went out on strike four or five years ago.” Auckland Star (NZ), “The Eight Hours Day.” June 30, 1894, p.11.
be seen particularly in the first of several similar reports of Christmas cards being sent between the compositor ‘companionships’ of two newspapers. In 1892 the *Adelaide Advertiser* noted theirs came “from the companionship of the *London Daily Chronicle*, and is a fine specimen of the printer’s art. In one corner of the card are clasped hands encircled by the words ‘Hands across the sea’.” This is the earliest example found to date of a HATS card (albeit not commercial) and it is significant that it occurs within a unionised printing culture. Unionists had evidently taken the phrase to heart. The last verse of a poem by New Zealand Labour activist Charles J. McRae, published in the wake of the Dock strike, is indicative of its stirring connotations within the movement:

As our fathers did in Freedom’s cause,  
Just so will we unite;  
Insist on having better laws,  
And give to all their right.  
Our homes from poverty be free,  
Our lives no more be sold,  
But **joining hands across the sea**,  
We will Labour’s flag unfold.

It was no accident that the ‘hands across’ phrase tripped so readily off the tongues of unionists in the years following 1889. Although it had an extra meaning within the union context, the groundwork for its burst of popularity had already been laid elsewhere. Poetry provides a good starting point for explaining this.

**Poetry, Patriotism, Melodrama and Music**

In reflecting on the politics surrounding the creation of Australia, John Hirst commented that “the nation was born in a festival of poetry,” but that historians have not known what to do with the swaths of “noble, profound
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190 New Zealand had its own set of Labour conditions however, which, in the wake of a successful Seamen’s strike in 1888 had seen the number of unions jump from 50 to 200 between 1888 and 1890. David Grant, *Jagged Seas: The New Zealand Seamen’s Union 1879-2003* (Christchurch, New Zealand: Canterbury University Press, 2012), p.19.
191 The first verse gives the wider context, saying “The iron hand of capital Too long has ruled the world, And Justice from her judgment seat Has cruelly been hurled. The people’s rights have trampled been Beneath the power of gold; But now in every land I ween, We can Labour’s flag unfold.” ‘Ween’ is an archaic word meaning to expect, hope or intend. Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Labour’s Flag,” March 18, 1890, p.3.
and elevating” (not to mention sentimental) verse that accompanied the politics. Nevertheless, Hirst regarded it as “the best guide to the ideas and ideals that inspired the movement.” The propensity of so many people – from shearer to financier – to further political and social discourse through published rhyme and meter has been one of the surprises of this research. From the 1830s onwards, the ‘hands across’ metaphor was regularly put into poetic service for a variety of causes. Its use in relation to The Great Western has already been noted, but the following extracts from much longer poems give a sense of how it was both used and abused. The peace activists of the League of Brotherhood used it:

Brother leaguers! though the billows
Foam and chafe and roll between,
Yet our spirits have communion,
As though nought did intervene.

**Stretch your hands across the ocean,**
We will give ye hand for hand:
Link by link the chain is growing
That shall circle every land.  

It was used, in a more bellicose context, by a poet signed W. D. from Trinity College, Cambridge, to celebrate the fall of Sevastopol during the Crimean War:

With equal ardour cheers the warlike Gaul,
With laurels crowned, ‘neath blazoned banners tall,
Lo! France and England, guards of liberty,
In their full heart of joy, **shake hands across the sea!**

The most forced couplet of all was penned by the “Irish novelist,” William Carlton, for a closing oration by Mr. and Mrs. Williams – the “Irish Boy and Yankee Girl” – at Dublin’s Theatre Royal. It references the short-lived first transatlantic telegraph cable [Figure 23]:
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193 Ibid.
This marriage of the nations drives me frantic,  
For we will soon shake hands across the Atlantic.  

It has been noted above that until the Civil War, ‘hands across the Atlantic’ was the dominant version of the ‘hands across’ phrase, but that it almost disappeared during the subsequent decade. I suggested (on page 75) that Cyrus Field’s widely reported HATS speech laid the groundwork for that phrase to dominate later. However, a part of the process of renewal was the HATS phrase appearing poetically several times in published works during the 1870s. John Nicol, Harriett Stockall, and Lizzie Baldy all utilised it, and all opted for the word ‘sea’. As William Carlton had discovered, the number of words that rhyme with ‘Atlantic’ can be counted on one hand. The same applies for ‘ocean’, whereas there is an almost limitless supply of rhymes for the word ‘sea’. Copy editors would later realise that ‘hands across the sea’ had a perfect length for subheadings, but, during the late 1870s and early 1880s, it was primarily poets that kept the metaphor in print. During the latter part of the 1880s, however, it was a popular song and a play that marked its transition from relatively well-defined political and poetic contexts into broader popular culture.

Until the 1880s, the phrase had predominantly been used in the Anglo-American context, with the relationships between Britain and its colonies like South Africa and New Zealand not meriting any concordia imagery. Indeed Bernard Porter has argued that prior to around 1880, most Britons were largely unaware of their Empire. Political events would alter that. In
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197 Ibid. [no page].
200 “Beyond the Ocean’s snowly wave, beyond the mountain’s peak, we stretch our hands across the sea, to find the one we seek…” Lizzie F. Baldy, The California Pioneer: And Other Poems (San Francisco: Bacon, 1879), p.83.
1882, Gladstone’s Liberal government invaded Egypt. By 1885, the British ideals of liberty had given way to the realities of force. But, despite a wave of patriotism, exemplified by collectible scraps depicting “Our Brave Soldiers in the Soudan,” the army became bogged down and, with the popular General Gordon dead, the Governments of Canada and New South Wales offered military support to the campaign. For the Australians, this provided the first opportunity for a self-governing colony to contribute militarily to the empire, to reciprocate friendship. Just how warmly this was received in Britain can be gauged from the following newspaper piece. After asking “which musician will be patriotic enough to be fired by the national and martial ring of the stirring song by Mr. Byron Webber in the Topical Times of July 18, and be ‘cute enough to set it to music?” the author, “Philip,” printed one verse and the chorus:

No thought now of the sorrow of the parting, years ago;  
No memory for the troubles since, that kindred kept apart;  
A mighty soul is thrilling with the ancient English glow!  
One filial pulse throbs steadfastly in Greater England’s heart!  
The cheer beneath the Southern Cross we heard and proudly wept.  
And blessed the hour Australia rushed to help the Motherland!  
And when, equipped to join the fray, the keen Canadian leapt,  
Our words were grips of gratitude to each all-ready hand!  
[Chorus]:  
**Hands across the sea!**  
Feet on British ground!  
The old blood is bold blood the wide world round!  
From the parent root,  
Sap, and flower, and fruit  
Grow the same, or mould or name –
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206 The Canadians were in Egypt by 1884 whilst the Australian offer was accepted in February 1885. Wanganui Herald (NZ), “The War in the Soudan,” November 3, 1884, p.2; Akaroa Mail and Banks Peninsula Advertiser (Canterbury, NZ), “Soudan News,” February 20, 1885, p.2.
208 A possible model for appreciating why this gesture was received so warmly is provided by Peter Bailey’s “music hall friendship,” a set of conventions emphasising both individual and collective mutual obligations. Bailey, *Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City*, pp.98-100.
Hands across the sea.\textsuperscript{209}

If ‘Greater Britain’ needed an anthem, this was it. When Webber died in 1913, its impact was still sufficient for a short obituary in The Times to conclude by saying that he was the author of “the famous patriotic song ‘hands across the sea’.”\textsuperscript{210} In New Zealand, and with the piece having been put to music, the Te Aroha News reported that “‘Hands Across the Sea’ is the title of rather a jovial Anglo-Colonial song by Byron Webber and Florian Pascal, which, according to report, is going to be sung in all the pantomimes. It has a capital chorus.”\textsuperscript{211}

Several themes coalesce in the text to make it particularly relevant to the colonial political situation at the time. The broader concept ‘Greater Britain’ had been coined by Charles Dilke in 1868,\textsuperscript{212} but James Belich has shown that while its use in The Times was sparing through the 1870s, it grew exponentially through the 1880s and 1890s.\textsuperscript{213} To counter concern about the country's international political fragility, a group of British politicians and political strategists started to recast the Empire as a larger federal alliance.\textsuperscript{214} Starting in 1887, premiers from the colonies would be invited to London to meet British politicians, and in the 1890s the Liberal Unionist, Joseph Chamberlain,\textsuperscript{215} perhaps the strongest supporter of this approach, would add the concept of tariff federation to the existing agenda of political federation.\textsuperscript{216} Within this discourse, the Anglo-Saxonesque language of filial duty, Motherland, and ties of blood – all mentioned in Webber’s song – served to reinforce the broad sense of patriotic unity. Suez had helped

\textsuperscript{210} The Times (London, UK), “Mr. Byron Webber,” April 3, 1913, p.9.
\textsuperscript{211} Te Aroha News (NZ), “Anglo-Colonial Notes,” January 30, 1886, p.6.
\textsuperscript{213} Belich, Replenishing the Earth: The Settler Revolution and the Rise of the Anglo-World 1783-1939, p.457.
\textsuperscript{215} Liberal Unionists disagreed with Gladstone’s policy of giving the Irish home rule. At an 1889 meeting, a speaker was already using the ‘hands across’ metaphor. Leicester Chronicle and Leicester Mercury, “Liberal Unionism at Lutterworth,” March 23, 1889, p.8.
\textsuperscript{216} Andrew S. Thompson, Imperial Britain: The Empire in British Politics c.1880-1932 (Harlow, UK: Longman, 2000), pp.84-5.
New South Wales and Canada to define themselves as distinct entities, capable of proffering help to the parent, and being taken seriously by politicians like Chamberlain. The very evocation of HATS – if it was conceived with *concordia* symbolism – constitutes a psychological landmark on the road to British recognition of the colonies as significant junior partners.

All this explains why, in early 1888 (the centenary year of the European settlement of Australia), [Figure 33], the playwright Henry Pettit abandoned the title he had planned for his latest melodrama – “Advance Australia” – and instead adopted the name “Hands Across the Sea.” Indeed, the earliest advertisement for the play overtly links it to Webber’s song. Florian Pascal had been asked to compose an overture, and four lines of Webber’s chorus were published and acknowledged. It may be that Pettit altered the title because he thought “Advance Australia” was being overused in the lead up to the centenary [e.g. Figure 33], or that he was concerned that other Australian States might be less enthusiastic about a centenary that prioritised New South Wales alone. The extent to which the title change facilitated the play’s success is difficult to determine accurately, but its timing, title and content collectively ensured it would be labelled an “Anglo-Colonial melodrama.” According to the *Auckland Star* in 1896, “a drama with such a title
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219 The Era (London, UK), “Advertisements & Notices,” May 19, 1888, [no page]. It was also being advertised with the same Webber quote in Australia. [The Argus (Melbourne, AU), “Amusements,” October 2, 1888, p.16.] In 1900, the Weekly Dispatch (London, UK), “Plays and Players,” January 21, 1900, p.8, said that the title “was borrowed, with permission, by the late Henry Pettit for his melodrama at the Princess’s.”
220 For an Australasian perspective using the phrase, see the Auckland Star (NZ), “Australia’s Centenary,” January 26, 1888, p.4.
would in itself ensure a large attendance. ‘Hands Across the Sea’ suggests sensation...,”\textsuperscript{222} and the play did indeed prove sensational in both senses. After opening in Manchester on July 30\textsuperscript{th} 1888 to a “hearty reception,”\textsuperscript{223} it started a run at London’s Princess’s Theatre in December, where “the pit and gallery roared their delight as long-suffering virtue and tardily-defeated vice were once more brought before them.”\textsuperscript{224} Its ultimate success meant that this work played a pivotal role in promoting the ‘hands across’ phrase, and, as I will argue later (page 271) the melodramatic mode that it uses is important for understanding postcards. It is therefore necessary to consider both Pettit’s work and melodrama itself in some detail.

Reviewers of the play described enough of the action for the plot to be reconstructed,\textsuperscript{225} and it contains both political and dramatic themes. The villain is the dissolute son of a wealthy (but evil) landowner, who is in love with his father’s ward, the daughter of a rich Australian digger. She rejects him, and her father allows her to marry the hero (a poor farming cadet, whose prospects are immeasurably improved by news that his shares in Australian mines have just made him a fortune of £20,000). While hero and heroine honeymoon, the villain frames his rival for murdering a French gambler in Paris [Figure 35]. Convicted and condemned to death, the hero is reprieved owing to the heroine’s frantic efforts [Figure 34], but is then shipped off for life to a French prison in New Caledonia.

\textsuperscript{222} Auckland Star (NZ), “The Opera House,” December 1, 1896, p.3.
\textsuperscript{224} Birmingham Daily Post (UK), “London Correspondence.” November 12, 1888, [no page].
\textsuperscript{225} Theatre posters also frequently carried the synopsis and illustrations of key moments in the plot. Theatre goers evidently went to melodrama for things other than the surprise of the storyline. Graham Hudson, \textit{The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920} (London: British Library, 2008), p.75.
After escaping, he is given safe passage on a P&O ship bound for Australia, whose captain patriotically refuses to hand him over to the pursuing French authorities (“a good advertisement for Federation,” commented the \textit{Wanganui Chronicle}).\textsuperscript{226} The convict is reunited with his wife who (by happy coincidence) just happens to be travelling on that very P&O ship. Once in Australia, and to the backdrop of Sydney Harbour, the villain is finally exposed, justice done, and the couple presumably settle in a colonial utopia.

The play, which opened almost simultaneously in Sydney, was a huge success in Australia. Not only did it mark the centenary, and show Australia as a land of opportunity, but it played to current Australian disquiet about French designs on Vanuatu, and France’s 1883 sending of 20,000 French convicts to New Caledonia, some of whom had then escaped to Australia.\textsuperscript{227}

\textsuperscript{226} Wanganui Chronicle (NZ), “Our Melbourne Letter,” October 1, 1888, p.2. This refers to an ongoing debate as to whether New Zealand should be part of an Australasian federation. 
\textsuperscript{227} Hirst, \textit{The Sentimental Nation: The Making of the Australian Commonwealth}, p.66.
After a “good season, though short” in Sydney, its impresario, Charles Warner, was delighted to have a two month run in Melbourne. He then went on to play in other centres through 1889, “his engagement of 16 weeks having extended over 18 months.” When it arrived in New Zealand, “Hands Across the Sea” had earned Warner alone a profit of £6000 and was being promoted as “the most successful drama ever presented in Australia.” The attendant media saturation explains why, by 1890, use of the phrase had increased. In Christchurch, the Star was using it as a synonym for the Christmas post when it suggested that subscribers send “friends at Home” the “mail edition of the Canterbury Times, which has
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232 A good example of this from beyond the media can be seen when businessman and onetime poet, R. Dudley Adams, writing from Australia to New Zealand’s former Governor Sir George Grey, concluded a letter saying “permit me therefore, in spirit, to respectfully, but cordially, shake hands across the sea between us.” Auckland Libraries, “Letter to Sir George Grey.” 29 November 1890. Grey Letters: GL A5.1.
been specially prepared for ‘Hands Across the Sea’.”\textsuperscript{233} And, as noted above (page 87) it was at exactly this time that the phrase moved into the Union mainstream, in the wake of Australia’s role in the Docker’s strike.

Even if the initial exposure to the play did not permeate through to everyone, the next decade provided ample additional opportunities. Already a British staple, it played in the United States,\textsuperscript{234} and had four more tours of Australasia with Alfred Woods’ company in 1896, 1898, 1903 and 1904. By 1903 the Auckland Star believed that “no other melodrama in the language excepting ‘The Silver King’ has merited a greater share of popularity.”\textsuperscript{235} Indeed, interest in it was still great enough for the theatre in Palmerston North to have to allow patrons to sit in the wings in order to accommodate the crowds when it played.\textsuperscript{236} Even a decade after that, its popularity would be enough for it to be turned into a well-received 22 minute film by Australian filmmaker Gaston Mervale.\textsuperscript{237}

“Hands Across the Sea” was the principal picture at the Lyric Theatre on Friday evening, when there was a large attendance. A drama teeming with thrilling situations, with the orthodox tale of love and hate skillfully interwoven in a network of crime and intrigue, it could not fail to attract.\textsuperscript{238}

The cinema audience in 1912 were seeking “situations,” and in this they differed little from Pettit’s original audience twenty four years earlier. The

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure36}
\caption{1889 Poster for a production of “Hands Across the Sea” at the Britannia Theatre, London. “Hands Across the Sea” is the headline act here. © Special Collections, University of Kent at Canterbury.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{233} Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Seasonable Greetings to Friends at Home,” December 24, 1890, p.3.
\textsuperscript{236} Auckland Star (NZ), “Stage Jottings,” November 28, 1903, p.2.
\textsuperscript{237} Sandra Hall, ed. Australian Film Index: A Guide to Australian Feature Films since 1900 (Port Melbourne, Australia: Thorpe, 1992), p.41 notes that the film was made by the Australian Life Biograph Company.
term appears frequently in reviews, and Ben Singer situates the concept at the very centre of the melodramatic genre. He defines the ‘situation’ as “a striking and exciting incident that momentarily arrests narrative action while the characters encountered a powerful new circumstance,” giving the audience “heightened dramatic tension.” The 1888 poster graphically captures one such ‘situation’ from “Hands Across the Sea” [Figure 34]. The French shipboard request for the return of their prisoner created another, and the release of patriotic fervour, when the P&O Captain refused, was clearly calculated by the author.

Audiences attending melodramas sought an experience that was more emotional than intellectual. The theatre, as George Bernard Shaw succinctly put it a few years later, presented “life on thirty pounds a day, not as it is, but as it is conceived by the earners of thirty shillings a week.” He characterised the theatre audience as being predominantly made up of shop assistants, typists and clerks, “the class which earns from eighteen to thirty shillings a week in sedentary employment, and lives in a dull lodging or with its intolerably prosaic families.” Even allowing for the satire, the audience for such melodramas appears to have been primarily drawn from a similar demographic to that served by the “respectable” Friendly Societies, one that, Christopher Prom argues, made its peace
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239 For example, Auckland Star (NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” December 27, 1889, p.8.; Auckland Star (NZ), “The Opera House,” December 1, 1896, p.3. This latter says that the play is “packed full of exciting situations and sensational incidents.”
240 Singer, Melodrama and Modernity: Early Sensational Cinema and its Contexts, p.41.
245 Shaw, Three Plays for Puritans, pp.vi-vii.
with middle class aspirations, but remained working class.\textsuperscript{247} It is a moot point whether to call this group lower, lower middle class, or petty bourgeois.\textsuperscript{248} Peter Bailey’s stereotype of the lower middle classes “stifled by their suburban respectability and addiction to mass culture” seems to bear some truth.\textsuperscript{249} Being kicked around by life created a desire for small, but intense, pleasures.\textsuperscript{250}

‘Lowbrow’ entertainment – and this applies as much to postcards as it does to melodrama – is generally understood as targeting the senses.\textsuperscript{251} Modern mass culture owes much of its ‘thrill’ seeking to its sensational melodramatic forebears.\textsuperscript{252} But the stigma subsequently attached to melodrama, as to its literary counterpart the “shilling shocker,”\textsuperscript{253} relates not only to its sensory mode but also to the very ease with which it was communicated. High culture, according to Pierre Bourdieu, should never be facile, or easy to decode.\textsuperscript{254} The accessibility and common tropes that made melodrama an ideal format for communicating collective patriotism opened it up to scorn from the more ‘cultured’ audience, who ultimately opted for Ibsen.\textsuperscript{255} Indeed, it would not be until the latter part of the twentieth century

\textsuperscript{247} Prom, “Friendly Society Discipline and Charity in Late-Victorian and Edwardian England.” p.908.
\textsuperscript{248} Properly the “petit bourgeoisie,” however I am following the tendency amongst a majority of scholars to use ‘petit bourgeoisie’ in relation to the continent, and ‘petty bourgeois’ in relation to Britain. The term carries tellingly pejorative connotations.
\textsuperscript{249} Bailey, “White Collars, Grey Lives? The Lower Middle Class Revisited,” p.273. Linda Young argues that “respectability” is the defining characteristic of the lower classes. [Linda Young, \textit{Middle-Class Culture in the Nineteenth Century: America, Australia, and Britain} (Houndmills, UK: Palgrave, 2003), p.68.] On the issues around using class as an overarching classification, I am following Peter Bailey, who argues that whilst attempts to reframe class as a partial discursive construct have some merit, it “sticks like a burr” to nineteenth century culture, which cannot be adequately engaged with without it. Bailey, \textit{Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City}, p.5.
\textsuperscript{250} \textit{Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City}, p.79.
\textsuperscript{253} Patrick Brantlinger, \textit{Reading Lesson: The Threat of Mass Literacy in Nineteenth Century British Fiction} (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998), p.166. Lydia Wevers, in a detailed study of the reading habits of the users of a farm library in New Zealand, found that the most popular genres of book were “Romance (including sensational fiction) and adventure.” Lydia Wevers, \textit{Reading on the Farm: Victorian Fiction and the Colonial World} (Wellington, New Zealand: Victoria University Press, 2010), p.196.
\textsuperscript{255} Singer, \textit{Melodrama and Modernity: Early Sensational Cinema and its Contexts}, p.50.
that scholars began to identify in it signs of a distinct “imaginary complex,” realising that, as Rohan McWilliam puts it, melodrama was actually “a vital constituent of the Victorian frame of mind.”

Like much Victorian liberal thinking, Melodrama owed its origins to revolutionary France. Under the old regime, popular theatre had been heavily censored and was only permitted in a non-verbal and gestural form. With dialogue allowed after the revolution, a new genre emerged. Retaining something of the exaggeration of the original, by Pettit’s time it had developed into what Ben Singer calls the “cultural expression of the populist ideologies of liberal democracy.” Jon Burrows has identified two types of melodrama, the sensational and sentimental, which often overlapped. “Hands Across the Sea,” it has already been noted, seems to have been perceived as primarily sensational. As the Observer observed:

Those who pine after sensations, and like them strong and highly seasoned and don’t mind a full flavouring of the improbable will find the bill of fare entirely to their taste. The sixpenny shocker is simply not in the same street with ‘Hands Across the Sea’.

Lynn Voskuil argues that in the communal atmosphere of “sensational theatre,” the British audience was able to combine their (private) individual embodied sensations with the imaginative sensation of being part of a (public) communal and national body. The years during which “Hands Across the Sea” dominated Australasian floorboards saw nationalism,
federalism and the relationship to Britain both imagined and intensively debated.263

In Australia, E. W. Cole published a medal using the clasped hands to promote the idea of a world federation [Figure 37].264 but in the wake of Pettit’s play the phrase itself became a cypher for a particular type of shared Anglo-Colonial relationship. This can be seen in a comment by the editor of the Sheffield Daily Telegraph on some protectionist New Zealand policies:

The ‘Home-land’ and the ‘Mother Country’ are fine phrases, which have been freely used by New Zealand politicians of late in speeches delivered in this country…… But when it comes to a question of commerce, ‘hands across the sea’ and ‘altogether for the Empire’s good’ do not seem to count for much.265

This accords remarkably well with comments made a few years later by H. K. Rutherford, the President of the Ceylon Association, who identified “Hands Across the Sea” as Imperial rhetoric, rather than reality.

We have two kinds of Imperialism – the after-dinner kind, of hands across the sea, one for all and all for each, and the commercial Imperialism, which is, as you know, such a different kind.266

Despite increasing trappings of independence (Australia was federated in 1901, and New Zealand became a Dominion in 1907), James Belich characterises this period as one of “recolonisation,” where cultural, economic and political ties between Britain and its colonies were actually

263 See Hirst, The Sentimental Nation: The Making of the Australian Commonwealth. Nationalism had become an influential popular movement from the 1820s and many régimes had subsequently updated their conception of themselves along national lines. [Benedict Anderson, Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism (London: Verso, 1991), pp.86-7.] Anderson (pp.4-6) has influentially argued that the nation is a “cultural artefact” and an “imagined political community” rather than natural. It has subsequently become normal to elide these two concepts and emphasise “imagined communities” cultural aspects. Nevertheless “imagined political community” fits the tenor of the national debate around Australia’s genesis. The “deep, horizontal comradeship” implied in the word ‘community’ is particularly important. Ibid, p.7.
tightened. New Zealand had moved, in British minds, from being a physically distant “periphery” to a technologically contiguous “hinterland,” one which, with new forms of communications like the telegraph, now felt like an extension of Britain itself. Despite the work of ‘Greater Britain’ politicians like Chamberlain, this binding process has been described as relying on sentiment as much as systematic theory. The Star demonstrated both the process and politics of firming up these sentimental networks, when it chose to republish the following patriotic poem called “the Red Route” from London’s St James Gazette. It also commented editorially that the poem constituted “as strong an argument in favour of the Pacific [telegraph] Cable as anything that has been written.”

John Bull has sons in many lands, his very blood and bone, Young giants with their father’s face, whom he will ne’er disown: Their homes are scattered far and wide, but o’er our ocean path, These sturdy scions come in crows to cheer the old man’s hearth. [Chorus] Here’s to the Red Route – the right route – our own route! Round the world from East to West Britons hold the track; Colony and Motherland, Grasping each the other’s hand: O’er the sea from strand to strand Floats the Union Jack.

The activities of touring theatre companies like Charles Warner’s can thus be cast as part of this process of ‘Red Route’ cultural recolonisation. Staging “Hands Across the Sea” in this light appears to be a remarkably successful exercise in Anglo-Colonial network building.

As an ‘after-dinner’ metaphor for Greater British fraternity, it is not surprising that ‘hands across’ imagery was pressed into service in 1897, during the Jubilee of that “linchpin for a sense of global national identity,”

271 Ibid, p.4.
Queen Victoria.\textsuperscript{272} Looking back on the year, the \textit{Ashburton Guardian} commented that:

\begin{quote}
We have had much cause for rejoicing in the year that has passed – the jubilee year of the Queen, and the year of the great reunion of the empire in which the kinship and brotherhood of Great Britain all over the world shook \textbf{hands across the sea}.\textsuperscript{273}
\end{quote}

By 1898, the phrase was also being used to headline articles on rekindled Anglo-American relations.\textsuperscript{274} With Britain the only European country to back America in its war with Spain over Cuba, people like Chamberlain and Andrew Carnegie took the opportunity to posit something they labelled variously as “an Anglo-Saxon alliance” and “the British-American Union.”\textsuperscript{275} Some papers proposed a three way alliance with Japan,\textsuperscript{276} and the \textit{Poverty Bay Herald} – in a cabled item credited to the United Press Association – noted that “remarkable demonstrations of feeling towards Great Britain are general in the United States. ‘God save the Queen’ has been sung in many New York theatres.”\textsuperscript{277} \textit{Harpers Weekly} reflected this warming of relations when it published a long poem from a sea captain who noted that he wrote it in a “sentimental mood.” It starts by saying approvingly that “you’ve used us well, John Bull, we’ll own,” and then notes, in the third verse:

\begin{quote}
When Anglo-Saxon can attack,
With \textbf{hand across the sea},
The Stars and Stripes and Union-Jack
Can set the whole world free.\textsuperscript{278}
\end{quote}

Abruptly, it seemed that the federal (if not the peaceful) dreams of Victor Hugo in 1849 and Cyrus Field in 1866 might be fulfilled,\textsuperscript{279} though the

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{274} This had been developing for a few years. In an 1895 speech in London, the US Ambassador, Mr. Bayard, had talked about “the friendship of our race” and quoted a couplet of Mr. Gladstone’s: “When love unites, wide space divides in vain, And hands may clasp across the spreading main.” Manchester Guardian (UK), “Summary of News,” December 20, 1895, p.5.
\textsuperscript{275} Hawera & Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” May 17, 1898, p.2.
\textsuperscript{276} Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” March 12, 1898, p.5.
\textsuperscript{277} Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” March 17, 1898, p.2.
\end{flushright}
dynamics of the bi-lateral relationship were more ambiguous than they once had been. In responding to a toast of “Hands Across the Sea,” Captain R. C. Adams put his finger on the change in power relations when he commented: “I am not quite sure how to interpret this toast. Does it mean America stretching her hands over the sea to England, or is it England stretching her hands out to America?”

After several decades of thinking internally, Americans were perhaps less versed in the history of ‘hands across the sea’ than the Europeans. This is hinted at in a report on the American peace celebrations in 1899.

An interesting incident is reported with regard to the review of troops held at Washington by Mr. McKinley in celebration of the return of peace. As one of the Military bands approached the stand, on which were the President, together with the members of the Diplomatic body and other prominent persons, it struck up the new march, “Hands Across the Sea.” This elicited applause from some of the foreign representatives, whereupon the other occupants of the gallery burst into cheers, which were taken up by the crowds in the street.

Whilst those to first recognise the HATS connotations were “foreign,” the march in question had been composed earlier that year by an American, John Philip Sousa. Best known for his “Stars and Stripes Forever,” Sousa’s band, according to Le Journal des Debats “symbolises our period of hurry, steam and electricity.” On the playlist of one of its earliest performances, “Hands Across the Sea” appears bracketed with a quote from George Canning that is often incorrectly ascribed to Sousa himself: “A sudden thought strikes me; let us swear an eternal friendship.” This fits

---

281 Hampshire Telegraph and Sussex Chronicle etc (Portsmouth, UK), “Hands Across the Sea,” May 27, 1899, [no page].
well with the abrupt thawing of Anglo-American relations. By the next year, Sousa had added words to the tune and placed it in the London production of his Operetta *Mystical Miss*. It reciprocated the British support for Cuba by backing up the British position in the Boer War. A reviewer in the *St James Gazette* noted American support with pleasure and quoted some of the song’s text:

Lingers for ever in fair Columbia’s land,  
The mem’ry of the pressure of Britannia’s friendly hand;  
Her best endeavour is the sacred debt to pay,  
And as you felt to her in need, she feels to you to-day.  

**Our hands across the sea**  
Joined in friendship now shall be  
And let posterity  
The bond revere.286

The Boer War would see ‘hands across’ metaphors stretching in several directions. Not only did it help Britain to cement its relationship with some sectors of the United States, but it brought out the inner Greater Briton in the colonies. “Country Mouse,” writing to the Women’s Pages of the *Otago Witness*, effectively sums up the jingoistic populism of HATS’ first stage:

Dear Emmeline, Don’t you think the war has a very bright as well as a very dark side? How proud we are of the splendid way in which the colonies have stood by England, stretching loyal and loving hands across the sea to help the old mother land in her time of danger!287

---

286 Ibid. The verse was also quoted in *The Era* (London, UK), “Theatrical Gossip,” January 13, 1900, [no page] with the paper commenting that “if [the words] are not great they are patriotic.”  
287 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Cosy Corner Club,” June 7, 1900, p.56. She continues: “And how each of us is filled with pride when our boys come to the front and receive the praise and credit they deserve. This war, they say, has ‘federated the Empire’ as no legislation could have done. All its parts are bound together by a new tie of brotherhood, for they have fought and suffered side by side.”
Summary

Narrating the early history of the clasped hands symbol and HATS phrase has generated a broader set of navigational co-ordinates for this study, through the discovery of patterns amongst both the groups that utilised HATS and the arenas where it occurs. The key concept, linking all these strands, is that of union and reunion, reflecting the development of handshaking as a potent symbol for both collective and individual friendship and connection. The Roman-derived distinction between images of concordia and fides helped differentiate collective from individual symbolism, and highlighted links to the emblematic tradition. Although it had disappeared from the fine arts by Victorian times, the emblem remained vital in nineteenth century popular culture, and the clasped hands were part of a widely shared visual language. Melodrama similarly relies on shared, unoriginal tropes, and the appearance of HATS as the title of one of the most well-known 1880s ‘Anglo-Colonial’ melodramas is no accident. Henry Pettit’s play, and Byron Webber’s HATS poem, between them, served to propel the phrase ‘hands across the sea’ into broader popular culture.

By 1900, therefore, HATS had not only inspired much decidedly uninspired verse, but had also achieved currency in several distinct discourses. Quite apart from its prominence as a symbol of two highly significant personal milestones (marriage and death), it became a catch cry for a particular brand of Liberal politics, connecting free trade with peace, and postal reform. Whilst demarcating a set of increasingly federal connections between Britain and its colonies, HATS also formed part of the Anglo-Saxonist rhetoric aimed at improving relationships with the United States. On the flip side, it held an entirely different set of meanings for working class Britons, for whom it evoked the union – often international – of the Labour movement. It was this latter group that had first put the ‘hands across’ slogan together with the clasped hands symbol, whereas I have found no graphics associated with Pettit’s play or Sousa’s song utilising the clasped hands emblem, in either its fides or concordia guises. Whether this is because there was a perceived political mismatch between text and image is
unclear. At all events, there appears to have been no large-scale graphic integration of the two elements by the end of the nineteenth century. This integration would ultimately occur within cartoons and postcards, but before examining these, there are other discourses that need to be addressed – ones which are essential to understanding the simple piece of card on which the phrase and symbol would be printed. If the impacts of non-after-dinner commercial imperialism (cf. page 102), networks, capital, consumer culture and design have remained largely in the background of this chapter, they become more central in the next two, which aim to situate the HATS postcard in relation to the array of practices that enabled it to occur and prosper.
Chapter 2: The Early History – The Culture of Collection

As Gaudreault and Marion point out, “when a medium comes into the world, it must also come to grips with pre-established codes (genres, institutions, other media etc.).”1 Before a medium like the greetings postcard operated autonomously, an embryotic “proto-medium” could exist for a considerable period.2 This chapter is necessary because HATS postcard practices drew extensively on a set of visual and cultural conventions largely absent from the types of postcards normally studied. They therefore challenge the status of postal historian Frank Staff’s The Picture Postcard and its Origins as the authoritative text on how this proto-medium should be defined historically. The book sees postcards as being the novel endpoint of a particular sequential set of stages in the postal history leading up to it.3 Staff’s research achievement was considerable, and much of his data is still highly relevant. Forty years on, however, and from the perspective of this research, it becomes necessary to query some of the fundamental decisions on selection and omission, most notably Staff’s prioritisation of the card’s postal function over its status as a collectible, consumer item. Staff adopts a basically chronological structure, moving from picture-cards, including Valentines,4 via the Penny Post, the pictorial envelope, and writing paper,5 to the postcard.6 In employing what Zerubavel has termed a “ladder” structure,7 Staff reinforced a type of periodised progress narrative which smoothes overlaps between practices. In emphasising production and ignoring many of the broader cultural issues that informed the way these objects were consumed, he is typical of his time. His implicit emphasis on progress similarly encourages the type of interpretation that, when it

---

2 Ibid., p.13.
3 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins. This was originally published in 1968, but it is the revised 1979 second edition which is normally seen as definitive.
4 Ibid., pp.9-22.
5 Ibid., pp.23-43.
6 Ibid., pp.44-81.
7 Zerubavel, Time Maps: Collective Memory and the Social Shape of the Past, pp.18-23.
observes stylistic continuities, discounts them as ‘old-fashioned’. The contemporary desire to make postcards seem relevant by portraying them as ‘emblems of Modernity’, has also contributed to this tendency. Whilst postcards most certainly are part of the thrust to modernity, I argue that where evidence of continuity with earlier Victorian practice is abundant – as is the case with the HATS postcard – the implications need to be considered more fully, and not just written off as nostalgic and backward looking. This continuity is by no means only stylistic. Once one acknowledges the importance of consumers in card practice, the greetings postcard’s origins can be resituated within a set of collecting practices and theoretical debates that differ substantially from the standard postcard narrative. As the focus moves from the form of the card to the context of consumption, it becomes possible to trace other connections, such as that between the postcard and parallel practices like scrap collecting and friendship books. And, once these new factors are considered, the postcard’s history becomes considerably more embedded in its surrounding context than genre specific studies, like Staff’s, allow.

This chapter therefore aims to provide an alternative reading of the postcard’s cultural background to Staff’s standard account. It examines twelve non-chronologically-organised themes, which, although discrete, have been grouped within four broader sections. Each helps build up a picture of the often overlapping territories within which the greetings postcard evolved, and helps map the discourses that allowed the HATS postcard to attain prominence in the Edwardian period, as well as those that sowed the seeds of its subsequent erasure. Hence, each segment affects how an aspect of the card will later be interpreted. They link the history of a set of practices underpinning postcard use with a number of social and theoretical ideas, notably collection, taste, leisure, consumerism, sentiment, the vernacular, and the gift.

---

8 Most recently Prochaska and Mendelson, *Postcards: Ephemeral Histories of Modernity*. 
Design and the Development of Consumer Culture

Design Reform and Graphic Culture

When, in 1840, the postal reformer Rowland Hill commissioned artist William Mulready to create a Penny Post pictorial envelope as an alternative to the penny black postage stamp [Figure 20], he was completely unaware of the hornet’s nest he had just stumbled into. He seems to have naïvely assumed that after dispensing a healthily allegorical dose of Art, the aesthetically benighted would rise up in gratitude. They did not, resorting instead to derision in the press. However Hill’s assumption was entirely in keeping with the Radical agenda that had led to the Penny Post in the first place. Along with free trade and peace, the group of Radical reformers that Hill belonged to was heavily involved in attempting to rehabilitate British taste.

The immediate reason for such concern lay in the debate surrounding the 1835-6 Select Committee on Arts and Manufactures, which had articulated the disquiet of many, when it concluded that British manufactured goods, whilst well-made, were poorly designed. It was, humiliatingly, the French who were most outperforming the British, owing to superior education, copyright laws and Museum culture. British designers had, during the eighteenth century, tended to look towards the fine arts as a path towards upward social mobility, but with its virtual monopoly on art education, the British Royal Academy had kept a tight rein on entry to the ranks of the exalted. At the time of the Select Committee, the Academy’s 200 students were the only publicly funded students in Britain, whilst France could boast 80 provincial art schools alone, with thousands of students. It seemed

---

9 Richard Carline reproduces Hill’s journal entries, documenting his disbelief over the furore that erupted. Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.17.
clear that the educational system was at fault, but there was much debate about how to proceed. Benjamin Robert Haydon, with support of middle class, industry conscious members of the committee, initially prevailed in setting up a School of Design based on the French academic drawing tradition. Haydon’s aims, however, whilst squarely aimed at breaking the Academy’s monopoly, were neither democratic nor industrial, as he believed that genius was innate. In best Romantic style, he saw art as a sphere that somehow removed the taint of commerce. It was at precisely this point, as Haydon sought to use design to further the reach of his longstanding conception of heroic art, that Hill made his ill-fated decision to employ Mulready. The ensuing controversy in the press about the place of art within design very much mirrored what happened to the Schools of Design. There the manufacturing lobby loudly lost faith in Haydon’s High Art, opting instead to run the school on the more pragmatic and industry-focused German model of the Gewerbeschule.

After a decade of much very public acrimony and politicking, one design philosophy eventually came to dominate. Led by onetime Penny Post campaigner Henry Cole, with painter Richard Redgrave, architects Owen

16 Ibid., p.47.
23 For a detailed analysis of this, see Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture.
Jones and Matthew Digby Wyatt, this faction argued that it was not enough to reform design students and intransigent manufacturers. For manufactured goods to be permanently improved it was necessary to educate consumers in the rudiments of good taste. The Great Exhibition of 1851 – for all its efficacy in bringing the discourse of design to the fore – had comprehensively failed to demonstrate British design superiority, and Cole consequently adopted drastic measures. At the Museum of Ornamental Art, set up in 1852, he displayed objects with a commentary that demonstrated to the public what good design was, and what it was not. Here truth and nature were contrasted, with illusionistic representations of nature emerging as the clear loser.

For Cole, in best utilitarian fashion, design must be fit for use. Much as manufacturers and the public might enjoy French-inspired trompe l’oeil flowers and faux marble on their wallpapers or upholstery, these were fundamentally false and illogical, and they offended artists and architects alike. Redgrave believed that ornament should not imitate nature, it should be stylised to reflect the patterns of natural laws. These laws were conveniently two-dimensional, meaning that the simple and muted wallpapers he designed didn’t clash spatially with his paintings. Following Pugin’s earlier injunction that “all ornament should consist of the enrichment of the essential construction of the building,” architects argued

27 Ibid., p.8.  
34 Ibid., p.144.  
that design should be similarly subservient. Ornaments should not, as Owen Jones put it, destroy “the unity of the object they are employed to decorate.” And despite the fact that some of their thinking emanated from dubious theories like ‘eumorphics’, Cole and the reformers were certain that the way to unify manufactured objects within the home was through conventionalized, geometrical, flat pattern.

Strangely, given the strongly graphic character of this work, Cole and Redgrave are almost entirely absent from histories of graphic design. These conventionally start their narratives with William Morris and the Arts and Crafts Movement, and, if they treat the Victorian period at all, concentrate on its print culture, with perhaps a nod in the direction of Owen Jones, Pugin and John Ruskin, before focusing back on Morris and the Arts and Crafts. This is unsurprising, given that the very name ‘graphic design’ binds the discipline to its mode of production, and that graphic design frames that production in terms of the printer’s shop rather than the textile manufacturer’s factory. Text and image do not coalesce in the latter type of decorative design, but it was this type of pattern-based work, and not the book, that informed the debates around the Schools of Design. Ultimately,

---

40 Keyser, "Ornament as Idea: Indirect Imitation of Nature in the Design Reform Movement," pp.127-8. According to Kaiser, this theory argued via “transcendental anatomy” that the laws of nature were to be found through beautiful patterned forms and colours. Having such a base in theory allowed designers to start to claim art status.
43 For example, Philip B. Meggs, A History of Graphic Design, 2nd ed. (New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992). The same occurs with the newest general history, where Patrick Cramsie looks at the Victorian period as relating to display typography, the Arts and Crafts movement and Art Nouveau. Largely drawn from the British Library collections, these high cultural works are assumed to be reflective of popular culture, whilst the type of lithographic material examined here is almost entirely absent. Cramsie, The Story of Graphic Design: From the Invention of Writing to the Birth of Digital Design, pp.123-62.
however, the erasure of Cole can probably be traced back to a passage in the book that crystallised Morris as a design icon, Nikolas Pevsner’s highly influential *Pioneers of Modern Design*, which stated:

> What raises Morris as a reformer of design high above the Cole circle and Pugin is not only that he had the true designer’s genius and they had not, but also that he recognised the indissoluble unity of an age and its social system, which they had not done. Cole, Jones, and Wyatt had accepted production by machine unquestioningly, they had not seen that it posed any unprecedented problems and so had simply attempted to improve design without ever pioneering to its roots.

Although Morris was influenced by some of Owen Jones’s theory, he did not acknowledge it, and later writers like Pevsner as a result took their cue from Ruskin’s and Morris’s emphasis on craft and the conditions of pre-industrial labour. These views have assumed such canonical status that any suggestions that eighteenth century pre-industrial conditions were not automatically defined by the craft approach have gained little design historical traction. Arts and Crafts are, however, of only marginal significance to this study. Indeed, as Deborah Cohen has shown, Morris’s design was distinctly less influential in his own day than later writers assume. Whilst Morris may have believed that art should be for all, and wrote, as Jeffrey Meikle puts it, much solid “ideologically engaged social criticism” to support his views, it was Cole and his circle who actually attempted to address the systemic issues as they saw them. In doing so, they risked alienating the very manufacturers that, according to Pevsner, they
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45 Lara Kriegel sees Pevsner as being the clearest example of this trend. Kriegel, *Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture*, p.201.
52 Pevsner, *Pioneers of Modern Design*, p.22.
had “accepted so unquestioningly.”

By setting up museums like that in South Kensington, by providing cheap access to those museums for the working classes, by being instrumental in the setting up of over one hundred new schools of art, by publishing books aimed at children’s imaginations and marketing simple painting kits for children, by bringing drawing and design into the government school curriculum, and by setting up a system of certifying design teachers, Cole’s reforms must take some of the credit for moving art and design from being a discourse for the elite to one which was accessible across society, and for having “done much practical good,” as Gleeson White would later acknowledge. Granted, Morris’s Marxist motives may have been pure. They may have ultimately triumphed on the battleground of ideology. But when it comes to understanding why, in 1880, the Dundee Advertiser might become the first British newspaper to employ a full-time artist, or why, from the 1870s onwards, working class artists started to earn a living by drawing in chalk on pavements, or why Edwardians on twenty-five shillings a week would spend any surplus on postcards, Cole’s broadening of the demographic base for art seems more significant than any of Ruskin or Morris’s pronouncements. Though Ruskin had set up St George’s Mill, and Morris the influential Morris & Co, these practical measures were conceived from

---

60 Ibid., p.234.  
the standpoint of production, and the consumers affected by their work were primarily from the upper-middle and middle classes.\textsuperscript{66} Cole and the reformers, whilst also being practitioners themselves,\textsuperscript{67} had targeted the dynamics of consumption itself as a significant battleground.\textsuperscript{68}

The problem with crediting Cole and his circle is that their relation to the consumer was thoroughly paternal. They shared with Morris and Ruskin a particularly Victorian brand of middle class didacticism, disseminating the idea that art could be improving.\textsuperscript{69} Unlike Morris, however, Cole was willing to utilise and improve existing systems to influence consumers, and I believe his success lies in the downstream effects of his structural innovations. Pevsner notwithstanding, it was Cole who behaved as though there was an “indissoluble unity of an age and its social system.”\textsuperscript{70} It was just that the British social system of the 1850s and ‘60s happened to be rampantly capitalist, and Cole and the reformers helped to align art and design with it. Cole’s inability, in practice, to lastingly bend consumer preferences across society to his version of ‘good’,\textsuperscript{71} lay not in any lack of effort but rather in his over-estimating the malleability of the consumer. Taste, it turned out, was considerably more complex than the design reformers had reckoned.

\textit{Taste, Consumption and the Romantic Ethic}

As shown above, the design reformers of the 1850s marshalled a set of theoretical imperatives, some dubious, to argue, as Lara Kriegel puts it, that “the democratisation of consumption led to the aesthetic decay of manufactures.”\textsuperscript{72} In best Kantian style, arguments of beauty and genius had

\textsuperscript{66} Ibid., p.270. The authors try to imply that Morris created a “community of taste” that spanned the classes, but give no evidence whatsoever to show that it percolated into the working class.


\textsuperscript{68} Jeffrey Meikle regards William Morris as “perhaps the first design writer to address both sides of the production consumption equation,” via his arguing for the “redemptive quality of honest, well-made furnishings.” Meikle, “Writing about Stuff: The Peril and Promise of Design History and Criticism,” p.26. This hinges around the idea of ‘design writer’. If one instead says ‘design theorist’ or ‘design critic’ then Cole would predate Morris.


\textsuperscript{70} Pevsner, \textit{Pioneers of Modern Design}, p.48.


been marshalled against the mediocrity of the manual technician, denigrating the “tawdry charms” of the type of facile sensation that might appeal only to the lovers of melodrama. In the face of such authority, what could the manufacturer reply? Design history books don’t tell us, but Lara Kriegel, quoting a pseudonymous 1853 critic called “Argus,” notes that one answer was to appeal to the laws of the marketplace. The public liked naturalistic work, and why should people not be allowed to choose what they liked? And naturalistic decoration could also be justified by appealing to science or to religion. Fidelity to natural fact, and God’s creation were, at this time, powerful arguments.

For design reformers, however, the work that emanated from such approaches was not characterised by ‘exactness’ or ‘fidelity’, but by ‘imitation’, and the inability to see the difference between the two was precisely the deficiency of taste that they needed to re-educate. And they could counter those that accused them of foisting foreign principles on British manufacture, casting their position as patriotic, as Richard Redgrave made clear. “Taste,” he asserted, “which is in accordance with the habits, inclinations, and general disposition of any people, may be national

73 John Potvin and Alla Myzelev, eds., Material Cultures, 1740-1920: The Meanings and Pleasures of Collecting (Farnham, UK: Ashgate, 2009), pp.5-6. They argue that the design reformers were trying to make a place for design in a context which saw beauty only in the transcendental qualities of the non-utilitarian. Morris and Ruskin’s prioritisation of the decorative and the craftsman were ways of countering this, but they point out on p.7 that this leaves no way of appreciating manufactured goods other than as conspicuous consumption. ‘Material culture’ they argue on p.8 is a concept that allows the frivolous aspects of this assumption to be purged.
74 Bourdieu, Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste, pp.6, 34, 486.
75 Kriegel reveals that “Argus” was a Manchester economist called F. J. Prouting. Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture, p.150. She notes on p.152 that Prouting believed that markets, not museums, should shape the “will of the consumer.”
77 Ibid. This was a credo which Charles Baudelaire would parody in his critique of the thinking that valued photography: “I believe…that art is, and can only be, the exact reproduction of nature.…Thus, if an industrial process could give us a result identical to nature, that would be absolute art.” Charles Baudelaire, Œuvres (Paris: 1932), pp.222-4, quoted in Walter Benjamin, The Arcades Project, trans. Howard Eiland and Kevin McLaughlin (Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1999), p.691.
78 Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture, p.145. Peter Betjemann notes that the late 1840s was the point at which manufactured imitation veneers led to the word ‘verneer’ gaining negative connotations. Betjemann, “Craft and the Limits of Skill: Handicrafts Revivalism and the Problem of Technique,” p.189.
79 This was a particular criticism levelled by “Argus.”Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture, pp.151-3.
without being correct”

European taste, in his opinion, had been ruled for too long by the French, with their “sensuous indulgence in pleasurable employments” leading to “glitter and over-ornamentation, to display and finery rather than to decorated usefulness.” For Redgrave, “the road to real excellence” required adherence to historically proven principle, given that the rule of aesthetic law was under threat from what we would now call cultural relativism. As Henry Cole had put it in 1849, to allow everyone to express their own taste was equivalent to saying “everyone to his morals.”

In connecting taste and morality, Cole was reflecting a deeply held middle class view which has ramifications for the HATS postcard – helping to explain why its frequently realistic images might be perceived as inferior. It therefore merits exploring in some detail. The linking of these two discourses had its roots in the eighteenth century, which is when, according to Colin Campbell, the middle classes began to realise that, lacking the refinement of the gentility, they were susceptible to the charge of vulgarity. For Edmund Burke, taste was the faculty that “form[s] a judgement of the works of imagination and the elegant arts.” Thus, to lack taste, as Scottish philosopher David Hume pointed out, was to display a wanton indelicacy of imagination. If the growing middle classes were to challenge the established elites, Campbell argues, then they had to meet

---

80 Richard Redgrave, A Manual of Design, vol. 6, South Kensington Museum Art Handbook (London: Chapman and Hall, 1876), p.9. This is a later collection of his writings, and it is not clear when this was written. However it is likely that it forms something of a response to the criticism that he and the other reformers were prioritising foreign design.

81 Ibid., p.10.

82 He argued that “the first step in this direction will be to attempt to lay down some general principles of taste in decoration, deduced from those works which in all ages have been considered excellent. Without such principles for our guidance, the inquiry would descend into vague and unsatisfactory assertions, a course which has fostered the idea that taste has no settled laws, but is a mere matter of fashion or individual feeling, against which there is neither dispute or appeal.” Ibid., p.11.


them on their own terms. This discourse of taste spanned the nineteenth century so that Thorstein Veblen, writing at the start of the twentieth, would observe precisely this attitude amongst the “leisure classes,” noting that a highly refined taste bespoke wealth, and any lack thereof betrayed vulgarity. Taste thus became, according to Pierre Bourdieu, an item of cultural capital, the mechanism that enables art and “cultural consumption” to “fulfil a social function of legitimating social difference.”

Taste and consumption were first cast as mechanisms of social difference by Max Weber. He argued that eighteenth century puritans, who made up a good proportion of the developing middle class, began to use moral conduct as a trade-off for individually pursuing moderate material gain. Deborah Cohen convincingly expands this debate to the Victorian middle classes, showing how taste became further intertwined with a burgeoning predilection towards consumption. She highlights mid-century religious moves towards a gentler, less puritanical approach, based around the idea that each person was “an incarnation of a little bit of Jesus.” With a kinder and more benign God, this more democratic theology suggested that taste

90 Ibid., p.7. Mike Savage makes a strong case for the continuing relevance of Bourdieu’s ideas about taste. Mike Savage, “Status, Lifestyle and Taste,” in *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Consumption*, ed. Frank Trentmann (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), pp.557-60. Whilst I find his arguments convincing in this case, it is also important to acknowledge Jean-Pascal Daloz’s reservations that theorists such as Veblen and Bourdieu tend to generalize theories about elites which were born of research into specific contexts, and which may be less relevant elsewhere. Jean-Pascal Daloz, *The Sociology of Elite Distinction: From Theoretical to Comparative Perspectives* (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010), pp.48-52.
94 Stearns, *American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style*, p.68. Stearns, like Cohen, argues that religion needs to be factored into the mix when studying
(earlier seen as an innate quality by the eighteenth century upper classes) could now be available to anyone prepared to work for it. Design reform, with its language of ‘good’ and ‘bad’ design (and by implication having the taste to distinguish between the two) thus enabled consumption to be seen as a basically moral act. Choosing the ‘right’ consumer item was payoff – a spiritual salve that cleansed consumption of sin.

Colin Campbell’s ‘Romantic ethic’ thesis helps to explain the mechanisms that drove the desire which designer taste legitimated. Campbell followed Weber in arguing that it was through Protestantism that “autonomous, self-illusory hedonism became an acceptable form of conduct,” agreeing that ‘taste’ was a puritan compromise, legitimating consumption if it evoked moral character rather than overtly displaying wealth. Central to Campbell’s argument was the psychological premise that access to a new product created dissatisfaction with what one already had, thus generating a Romantic sense of longing that could only be assuaged through purchasing the desired “goods.” Once purchased, the longing evaporated, thereby preparing the ground for new bouts of product-envy and the repetitious cycle of consumption that we recognise today. Although Campbell has been criticised for painting an idealist and generalised picture of the Victorian culture, rather than only limiting it to economic structures and concepts like urbanisation.

96 Ibid., p.30. See the later subsection on Sentimentality below on p.185 for the origins of this.
97 Ibid., p.30.
100 Ibid., pp.205-6.
101 "The Romantic Ethic and the Spirit of Modern Consumerism: Reflections on the Reception of a Thesis Concerning the Origin of the Continuing Desire for Goods," p.37. Such a process of consumer longing (in this case for book learning) can be found much earlier than the period Campbell discusses, at the very beginnings of Protestantism. In his posthumously published 1566 Table Talk, Martin Luther said “Before I translated the New Testament out of the Greek...every one longed after it, to read therein, but when it was done their longing lasted scarce four weeks. Then they desired the Books of Moses; when I had translated those, they had enough thereof in a short time. After that they would have the Psalter…” and so on. Martin Luther, Selections from the Table Talk of Martin Luther, trans. Captain Henry Bell (London: Cassell, 1886), p.108.
period’s consumers,\(^{102}\) and for depicting those consumers as too passive,\(^{103}\) his thesis about taste harmonises with much of Cohen’s more historically grounded analysis of middle class consumption. And taste, as Linda Young points out, provided the conventions of middle class social coherence, becoming a subtle entry ticket for those of the upwardly mobile who were ambitious enough to work at acquiring it.\(^ {104}\) Nevertheless, in emphasising the concept of novelty as a driver for desire, Campbell identifies an important mechanism by which an almost limitless supply of opportunities for exercising taste judgements could be provided – at least to those who could afford it.

**Novelty, Originality and the Fancy Goods Store**

When the Launceston Examiner, in a 1905 discussion of postcards, noted that photography had enabled “publishers to issue a stream of novelties that sustain public interest, and thus ensure a big popular demand for anything that is new and artistic,”\(^ {105}\) it was reflecting a debate that was anything but novel, and which elucidates some key eighteenth century issues relating to the development of card culture. Joseph Addison initiated the fashion for ‘novelty’ when he located it alongside ‘the Great’ and ‘the Beautiful’, as one of his three basic aesthetic categories.\(^ {106}\) While in philosophy the concept of the ‘picturesque’, would quickly supplant novelty,\(^ {107}\) by putting a

---


\(^{103}\) Jan De Vries frames the development of consumption as one in which active consumers utilised increasing consumer expertise to communicate their consumer capital to others. Taste is seen here as something produced in the interactions between consumer behaviour and the supply of produce. [Jan De Vries, *The Industrious Revolution: Consumer Behavior and the Household Economy 1650 to the Present* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008), p.25.] De Vries concentrates on the household rather than the individual as being at the heart of the consumer revolution, locating this within a broader trajectory whereby, as household production became more specialised and effective, opportunities for consumption were increased. Ibid, p.10.

\(^{104}\) Young, *Middle-Class Culture in the Nineteenth Century: America, Australia, and Britain*, p.21.


\(^{107}\) Dix, “Addison and the Concept of ‘Novelty’ as a Basic Aesthetic Category,” p.386.
name to the quality of fleeting attraction that inhabits the products of change,\textsuperscript{108} Addison let a sizeable cat out of the bag. Although Addison himself might have seen novelty within a broader set of ideas collectively emphasising moderation,\textsuperscript{109} a manic type of wealthy, eighteenth century consumer apparently saw things differently. Non-puritan and “worldly,”\textsuperscript{110} when not buying ‘novelties’ such as “air balloon ribbons” and “Lunardi” hats in honour of ballooning’s pioneers,\textsuperscript{111} this stylish and mostly upper-class Rococo being was buying new tableware, furniture and furnishings,\textsuperscript{112} drinking tea out of the latest china teacups, and planning a chinoiserie garden.\textsuperscript{113} Here, in embryonic form, and with a clear moral belief that the dictates of taste demanded constant renewal of one’s material surroundings,\textsuperscript{114} was the novelty-addicted modern consumer.

Given the way that today’s manufacturers behave as though we are similarly addicted, courting us with novelty at every turn, it would be easy to assume that the mechanisms behind Campbell’s Romantic ‘longing’, and Veblen’s spirit of emulative consumerism were assiduously encouraged from the start by the manufacturers of the ‘industrial revolution’. This is precisely what the Lunar Society, set up by a group of leading eighteenth century businessmen, sought to do.\textsuperscript{115} Its members, like the radical Josiah Wedgwood, became effective promoters of the idea, but even Wedgwood found consumer behaviour difficult to predict,\textsuperscript{116} adjudging it more advantageous to advertise his ground-breaking technical discoveries through classical precedent.\textsuperscript{117} Not only did the new have to appear old, but

\textsuperscript{108} Ibid., p.384.
\textsuperscript{114} Campbell, \textit{The Romantic Ethic and the Spirit of Modern Consumerism}, p.153.
copying from older models, rather than inventing new ones was still the norm.\textsuperscript{118}

Contrary to Guy Debord’s belief in the “permanent victory of innovation,”\textsuperscript{119} in the 1780s innovation was by no means guaranteed of dominating tradition.\textsuperscript{120} Novelty, ultimately the fundamental driver of consumerism,\textsuperscript{121} itself needed a driver. One such was provided by the thesis, strongly promoted in Edward Young’s 1759 \textit{Conjectures on Original Composition}, that ‘originality’, (the preserve of genius) was superior to mere ‘imitation’.\textsuperscript{122} Some late eighteenth century manufacturers, such as the publishers of Valentine writers and chapbooks, found themselves able to recycle old material just by labelling it as new or original,\textsuperscript{123} and originality, along with creativity, would subsequently become enshrined in Romantic theory.\textsuperscript{124} This discourse was ensconced enough by the 1830s and 1840s to be used as a central argument by calico manufacturers demanding better copyright protection for their designs.\textsuperscript{125} Nevertheless, other manufacturers were concurrently still complaining about the relentless desire of consumers for novelty.\textsuperscript{126} One could, after all, have saved a lot of money on machines, research and development, were the consumer to remain content with replacing like with like, preferably frequently. Planned obsolescence is the logical outcome of such thinking, second-guessing novelty, less so.

\textsuperscript{120} In his study of spectacular culture between 1650 and 1850, Richard Altick notes that the London public’s preferences were “an unstable mixture, in which an insatiable appetite for novelty contended with a perennial loyalty to staple attractions,” and that until the nineteenth century, “the old and the new were just about equally sought after.” Richard D. Altick, \textit{The Shows of London} (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1978), p.3.
\textsuperscript{122} Dix, "Addison and the Concept of 'Novelty' as a Basic Aesthetic Category,” p.388. This would appear to be the origin of the design reformers’ mistrust of design that imitated nature rather than translating it.
\textsuperscript{124} Dix, "Addison and the Concept of 'Novelty' as a Basic Aesthetic Category,” p.389.
\textsuperscript{125} Kriegel, \textit{Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture}, p.73, 78.
Consumer choice rather than the convenience of the manufacturer was what had most to gain from novelty gaining a foothold.

With the eighteenth century economy and population expanding, a developing pool of potential consumers became acclimatised to this discourse of metropolitan fashion, not least via early retailer-focused advertising. And it would be those entrepreneurs that understood their customers’ developing desire for the novel that flourished. Indeed, that other ‘novel’, with its silent reading culture, underpinned the sort of modern individualistic subjectivity that informed this shift. The female consumer, who during the eighteenth century seems to have been responsible for the bulk of consumer purchasing, was increasingly targeted, with entrepreneurs playing on the woman’s responsibility for the tone of the home’s physical and emotional environment, as well as the patriotism, taste and virtue involved in purchasing for it. Whereas previously the emphasis of such decisions had been on the quality of materials, by the end of the eighteenth century this set of values was being challenged by novelty, and its twin, variety. Among the more successful at reading these developments was Rudolph Ackermann.

130 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.xii.
132 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.148. It is worth noting, however, that Cohen has demonstrated that men were much more involved in the decision making of what we think of as the ‘woman’s domain’ well into the nineteenth century, Cohen, Household Gods: The British and their Possessions, pp.89-90. Hence the picture Bermingham subsequently gives of Rudolph Ackermann’s shop’s demographic may be overstated.
133 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.140.
Ackermann had arrived in England from Saxony in 1787, working as a carriage builder, before moving into printing, print-selling and publishing, and creating a journal, the *Repository of Arts, Literature, Commerce, Manufactures, Fashions, and Politics*. If Cole would later help spread the fashion for Art across the dividing line between the middle and lower classes, Ackerman’s *Repository* was instrumental in its earlier shift from the upper to the upper-middle class home, with an eclectic, gender-targeted fare, particularly noted for its fashion acumen. By 1809, Ackermann’s shop was advertising itself as “Manufacturers, Factors, and Wholesale Dealers in Fancy Goods.” Its interior [Figure 38] shows racks of prints (so popular during the eighteenth century) alongside a huge cabinet of cardboard boxes, awaiting the application of ‘fancy work’ appliquéd prints: the up-and-coming fad of the 1810s.

---

136 Ibid., p.70.
137 Bermingham, *Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art*, p.139, caption to fig. 43.
138 Ibid., pp.145-6. “Fancy” pictures have a longer history, having been made popular during the eighteenth century by artists like Phillip Mercier. Solicari, *Selling Sentiment: The Commodification of Emotion in Victorian Visual Culture,* p.2. This is discussed further in the Sentiment section below.
In an age where upper-class female accomplishment was defined by the character-building disciplines of music and drawing, ‘fancy work’ offered aesthetic participation for those who had not, as Ackermann put it, “made themselves mistresses of the art of managing the pencil.” Ackermann’s female consumers, though imbued with the Romantic view of moral renewal through art, were still part of a “culture of copying.” He particularly targeted the Lydia Bennett type of young leisured woman, whose purchasing decisions were satirised by Jane Austen as “I do not think it is very pretty, but I thought I might as well buy it as not.” Easily bored, such consumers, valued effect rather than effort, and were, in Ann Bermingham’s words, “long on imagination and short on concentration.” Material objects, for them, began to carry emotional value and that value needed preserving. Ackermann’s ‘fancy’, mass-produced paste papers had initially been intended to decorate screens and boxes, but they soon began to be collected. And it is here that the Fancy Goods store’s role in this narrative becomes clear. It was in the Fancy Goods store that the key elements of later card culture came together. Here, the female consumer, cardboard, and collecting culture finally coalesce with paste, printed media and affect. Their repository was the album.

139 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.146.
143 Peter Stearns notes that boredom was a concept that was defined at this stage. Stearns, Consumerism in World History: The Global Transformation of Desire, p.24.
144 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.147.
145 Stearns, Consumerism in World History: The Global Transformation of Desire, p.35.
146 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.146. Bermingham, p.xii, notes that the culture of using prints in an intimate and personal way began in the eighteenth century, where prints began to be cut and pasted onto walls as part of the “decorative ensemble.”
Albums, Print and the Collecting Culture

The Album and the Leisured Consumer: Friendship books, Autographs and the Commonplace

Collecting and preserving memories of places, travels, friends and their gifts in an album, predates Ackermann by several centuries. The ancestor of the Victorian album is the Album Amicorum, a collection of quotes and greetings from friends. The sixteenth century practice of carrying an album for this purpose originated amongst male German university students, documenting their peregrinatio academico. This travel element of a humanist education followed a ritualised process of introductions and hospitality which helped establish scholarly networks and developed relationships of friendship and trust. Franz Mauelshagen notes that within this humanist “republic of letters,” friendship, with its implication of equality, became the key indicator of humanist sociability. Friendship Albums of this period typically incorporated images (often printed), captions, autographs, and mottoes which were often, like the images, emblematic in tone. Autographs, the central feature, acted as “unique traces of identity,” a mnemonic “group portrait” of the friends made and luminaries met during a humanist education. The album’s mix of images, alongside formal and informal writing, would prove remarkably durable, underlining the importance of the emblematic and friendship concepts discussed in the previous chapter. Indeed, in combining friendship, autographs, emblems and mottoes, the album already contained several of the key elements of the HATS postcard.

---

149 Ibid., p.11.
150 Ibid., p.12. Indeed, he notes one Austrian bookbinder who used the emblems of heart and hand.
151 Ibid., p.12. Indeed, he notes one Austrian bookbinder who used the emblems of heart and hand.
As the album genre developed, more visual documentation of its compiler’s travels was included. By the eighteenth century, with humanist educational networking giving way to the Grand Tour’s ‘sightseeing’, the emphasis moved towards documenting a traveller’s ‘eyewitness’ experience, often through drawing or by purchasing prints. Collecting engravings, whether in albums or folders, had been broadly popular in Holland during the seventeenth century. Elsewhere its social reach was narrower, but print collecting nevertheless became increasingly prevalent amongst the upper classes from the seventeenth century onwards [Figure 39].

As collecting spread in tandem with consumer culture, Romantic consumers of the late 1820s and 1830s were able to buy ready-made print collections. In these works, poets such as Letitia Elizabeth Landon might be commissioned by publishers to add text to their compilations of images. These ‘Annuals’ provided “variety” for “a young and gentler class of readers,” as Landon described them in her introduction to Fisher’s Drawing Room Scrap Book. And, as the ‘Scrap Book’ title suggests, whilst the commercially-
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154 Ibid., p.619.
156 The sources I have found are all vague as to how album practice migrates through the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, and I am not sure if the Friendship Album maintains an unbroken continuity of practice, or whether the nineteenth century albums are to some extent a reinvention of the album amicorum. The answer to this is not essential for the current narrative, but this question needs further research.
158 Bermingham, Learning to Draw: Studies in the Cultural History of a Polite and Useful Art, p.xii.
159 Belk, Collecting in a Consumer Society, p.64.
160 Gina Opdycke Terry, "Image and Text in Nineteenth-Century Britain and its After-images" (PhD, Texas A&M University, 2010), pp.7, 12.
161 Letitia Elizabeth Landon, Fisher's Drawing Room Scrap Book (London: Fisher, Son, and Jackson, 1832), p.3. Authorship is debatable in the context of such albums. Henry Fisher chose the images, Landon the text.
produced item functioned as a coherent collection in itself, the publisher expected favourite images to be cut and pasted into an album.

Around 1800, proto-type printed ‘scraps’ called “Medallions and Transparencies,” were being sold by Ackermann for between half a crown and five shillings a sheet. Intended to be pasted onto objects, many were collected in their own right. During the 1820s these black and white lithographic images started to be called ‘scraps’, but it was Fisher’s series of ‘Scrap Book’ Albums, and John Poole’s 1826 *Manuscript Gleanings and Literary Scrap Book* that seem to have been responsible for popularising the name. Prior to the 1820s, if not simply called an ‘album’, the term most used for personalised collections had been the ‘commonplace book’. This was an album into which an individual copied quotes, usually in a structured form. The commonplace book was thus a descendant of the Aristotelian-derived humanist approach. Central to copying culture, this involved collecting together or “gathering” fragments of text in order to memorise and then rearrange and combine elements in the on-going process of creating new work. It was also primarily associated with the rhetorical

163 The History of Printed Scraps, p.15.
166 Jillian M. Hess, “Coleridge's Fly-Catchers: Adapting Commonplace-Book Form,” *Journal of the History of Ideas* 73, no. 3 (2012): p.464. During the eighteenth century ‘common’ items in the household were those that were essential for everyday use, as opposed to items used only occasionally. Vickery, “Women and the World of Goods: A Lancashire Consumer and her Possessions, 1751-81,” p.284.
168 Bernhardt Siegert discusses the way the concepts of *exercitatio* and *imitatio* were fundamental to the type of educated letterwriting in which humanist scholars rearranged classical texts for rhetorical effect. Siegert, *Relays: Literature as an Epoch of the Postal System*, p.31.
169 Eve Tavor Bannet, *Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), p.6. This model of composition, where the invention of new work lay in placing together previously remembered items was a fundamental aspect of medieval oratory. [Mary J. Carruthers, *The
training of men. The parallel nineteenth century albums in which female friends and family wrote poems for one-another – the more direct descendant of the *album amicorum* – came to be called “Friendship Books,” or “Sentiment Albums,” both of which became popular at the same time as the “Scrap” Annuals.

It therefore appears unlikely that contemporaries would have called a 1791 album, created by five year old Frederick Lock and studied in an article by Andrea Immel, a “scrapbook,” as Immel does in her title. If not simply labelled a “collection,” it would have been called by the catch-all name given to works of compilation, an ‘album’. Like a ‘commonplace book’, Lock’s album was constructed individually, rather than collectively, but it appears less earnest than the typical eighteenth century commonplace book of literary extracts. The album is full of engravings, and illustrated lottery tickets, and it is clear Frederick enjoyed making it. Nevertheless, Andrea Immel sees its purpose as fundamentally educational, albeit a more subtle

---


177 Immel, "Frederick Lock's Scrapbook: Patterns in the Pictures and Writing in the Margins," p.69. Mecklenburg-Faenger sees the commonplace book as a form of male rhetorical training. Mecklenburg-Faenger, *Trifles, Abominations, and Literary Gossip: Gendered Rhetoric and Nineteenth Century Scrapbooks,* §18. Following this logic, it is possible that Frederick’s album was conceived of as a prelude to learning how to compile a commonplace book.
type of education that relied on “pleasurable sensations” providing better learning than fear of punishment.  

Frederick Lock’s parents were thus part of an eighteenth century shift in attitudes to childhood that explains why friendship and scrap album compilation would thrive during the nineteenth century, and lays the groundwork for subsequent card collecting. Following writers like Locke and Rousseau, educators began to see childhood as an extended period of developing the child’s innate abilities to the full, rather than trying to turn them into adults as fast as possible. By the Victorian period, this Romantic view of a childhood innocence that needed to be nurtured in a gentle environment was widespread. It resulted in the use of items like commercially produced ‘reward cards’, as parents used consumer items to manipulate children’s emotional, moral and behavioural development. While much of this educational approach centred on physical activity, albums and collecting activities were ideal for occupying children on rainy days. The concept of “rational education” justified the collection and study of such things as ferns, rocks and butterflies.  

Friendship Albums  
The friendship album of the Victorian period, however, could not call on science for its rationale, being, in Samantha Matthew’s words, “a tactile, sensuous, and intimate vehicle of affect.” It therefore required a broader interpretation of moral development and character formation, one which included placing value on artistic enterprise – exactly the values that, as has been demonstrated, were part of the discourse of taste. Though Frederick

182 Buday, The History of the Christmas Card, p.40. He notes that these were widely distributed in Sunday Schools from the 1840s.  
Lock’s parents would probably have regarded his five-year-old album activity as an exercise in broadening the mind and developing the character (a “decoy” to lure him into more serious study),\(^\text{186}\) in retrospect one could argue that collections like this also provided an ideal training ground for budding consumers. Within a culture that was still defined by scarcity, the album could, as Ellen Gruber Garvey put it, become a “visual celebration of plenty.”\(^\text{187}\) Collecting printed items was thus a convenient way of bonding with manufactured goods.

Theoretically tidy as this latter argument appears, it would be an overstatement to pigeon-hole the album in this way. Victorian album practice was much more varied than the above suggests, and there are differences between earlier and later phases. Friendship Books were the dominant form for the first sixty years of the century, and their content suggests a primarily social and mnemonic, rather than a material, function.\(^\text{188}\) The act of asking friends, family and even total strangers to contribute items for the album made it a social as well as personal document,\(^\text{189}\) a method of situating the individual within familial and broader networks, as well as one of consolidating memory.\(^\text{190}\) Revealing the album’s contents, as one had to when asking for contributions, carried the dual potentials of triumph and embarrassment for its owner.\(^\text{191}\) Contributors’ choices, equally, could not avoid revealing their own “taste and education.”\(^\text{192}\) The contents of such albums as Susan Slater’s [Figure 40 and Figure 41] thus act as a digest of the popular literature and art of the period.

\(^\text{186}\) Stearns, Consumerism in World History: The Global Transformation of Desire, p.22.
\(^\text{188}\) Kunard, “Traditions of Collecting and Remembering: Gender, Class and the Nineteenth-Century Sentiment Album and Photographic Album,” p.228.
\(^\text{189}\) I am using the opposition of ‘social’ and ‘personal’, rather than ‘public’ and ‘private’, since whilst these documents could be called ‘public’, as they occur beyond the privacy of the individual’s home, they do not function in Habermas’s sense of the public sphere.
\(^\text{191}\) Ibid., p.232.
\(^\text{192}\) Ibid., p.234.
As with the commonplace book, in such albums originality was not a requirement. The exercise of taste lay in selection, not origination. This capacity to turn the original into the commonplace led the album to be described by one 1820s commentator as a “portable graveyard” for the
popular verse of the day. Andrea Kunard argues that the anxiety engendered by the whole process – with its fraught requests and its frightening choices – was the reason that printed Annuals became popular: for the gift-giver, buying such a culturally sanctioned item minimised the likelihood of a *faux pas*. Kunard does not press the point, but it seems reasonable to suggest that what was at the heart of these Friendship Albums was the rehearsal of taste judgements, and that the anxieties that Kunard documents only really make sense within middle class attitudes to taste.

Whilst it was men who featured during the early stages of the album’s development, the friendship album of the nineteenth century is regarded as a primarily feminine item, its users coming from, in Landon’s earlier quoted words, a “gentler class of readers.” The bulk of the album’s leisured female demographic was provided by a social compromise which, according to Linda Young, occurred with the rise of the middle classes.

In the working classes, by definition, both men and women worked, but in the upper classes neither gender needed to. Amongst the middle classes, however, it was agreed that whilst men were obliged to work, women should not – with their visible leisure maintaining the family’s appearance of “gentility.” This explains why such middle class features as the ritualization of family time occur in the mid-nineteenth century, with women able to focus on family, and the family’s image. And, as part of that

---

196 Landon, *Fisher's Drawing Room Scrap Book*, p.3.
197 Young, *Middle-Class Culture in the Nineteenth Century: America, Australia, and Britain*, pp.17-18.
198 Ibid. Gentility is seen here as the defining middle class characteristic, just as “courtliness,” according to Young, p.68, defined the upper classes and “respectability” the lower classes. Adorno usefully defines leisure as “the privilege of an unconstrained, comfortable lifestyle,” distinguishing it from the later concept of “free time.” Theodor W. Adorno, *The Culture Industry: Selected Essays on Mass Culture* (London: Routledge, 1991), p.162.
image, women were able to display, in Veblen’s famous phrase, “conspicuous leisure.”

Although leisure and consumption are often intimately related, in principle one can argue that consumption is about possession whilst leisure is about activity. Charitable volunteer work and hobbies are both typical leisure activities, as are letter-writing, reading and putting together albums. However, whilst some of the more abstract types of leisure, such as volunteering, barely notice the vicissitudes of fashion, leisure’s material forms come and go. By the end of the 1850s, for example, the Friendship Book was falling from favour.

Autograph Albums

Some scholars have noted that subsequent to its mid-century demise, the Friendship Book served as a precursor to the autograph book, but little work seems to have been done on the relationship between the two. In reality, the album – whether defined as friendship or autograph – remained a sufficiently common practice for the compiler of J. S. Ogilvie’s 1881 *Album Writers Friend* to begin by asking “who among readers of this preface has not been invited to write a few words of sentiment in the Album of a friend?” The book then provides numerous verses deemed appropriate for such occasions. Even a generation later, autograph albums of Edwardian children were filled with poetry, aphorisms and lyrics from popular music, and it is clear that time was expected to be lavished on the

---

entries. “Mag,” for example, writing a 1909 postcard to her friend Gert, asked: “will you bring our Autograph Books with you tomorrow & we will return yours as we are working on them. Hope you have written in them.”

By the turn of the twentieth century, postcards and autographs were actually competing for popular favour. On one day in December 1904, the children’s pages of the Otago Witness included nine requests from its readers to share “autos,” and just one to exchange postcards. Three years later, in the same paper, “Veronica” wrote to say that, “I have collected 170 autos since I started, and I still have a desire to collect more. I have 140 post-cards, but it is not long since I started collecting.” And a 1929 article retrospectively described the autograph as having been “a part of every young person’s social equipment.”

Once the Friendship Book fell from upper-middle class fashion in the 1850s and morphed into the somewhat lower status Autograph Book, the phenomenon gets largely written out of history because, in chronologically-structured progress narratives, later iterations of a format are jettisoned. The increasingly marginal status of the Friendship Book form was reinforced by the fact that its early twentieth century users were no longer solely trend-conscious upper and upper-middle class youth, but instead included the socially diverse mix of children who wrote to the Otago Witness seeking postcards and autos. Yet, in mass cultural terms, the format remained as

208 From the author’s collection. This Birn Brothers card was sent on December 16, and depicts an actress in ‘Asti’ style.


211 Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Autographing: an Ingenious Art,” December 26, 1929, p.2. It is worth distinguishing between the album with social intent and a different type that involved amassing signatures, often of the famous, to gaining possession of what Matthews describes as the “essence of personality.” [Samantha Matthews, "Psychological Crystal Palace?: Late Victorian Confession Albums," Book History 3 (2000): p.126.] The “confession album” of Matthew’s article is yet another iteration of the album genre which is less immediately relevant to the current discussion. Signature gathering appears to be more typical of boys, whilst girls seem to have preferred the friendship variety. This conclusion regarding gender is my reading of scattered references, but regardless of whether this observation holds water, it is the autograph album with shared poetry in it which is the most vital for the purposes of this study.

212 An example of a less exalted type of autograph album comes from Girty Clark – who lived in a down-market part of Auckland. Her earthy album, with such deeply suspect poetic contributions as “Of all the Albums I have seen, Some are red and some are green,
popular as ever, and intersects, as I will show later, with the HATS postcard [see Figure 189].

If, as Bourdieu claimed, tastes “are the practical affirmation of an inevitable difference,” then it seems inevitable that once a practice becomes democratised, and the potential for taste differentiation diminishes, then its value as an indicator of cultural capital is reduced, and it will enjoy its afterglow on the far-flung fringes of fashion. Bourdieu sees the mechanism for this fashion phenomenon in the interplay between production (which distinguishes degrees of novelty, price and fitness) and the dominant class (which seeks to distinguish itself from its challengers). In other words, the moment that a lower class finds a practice useful, and manufacturers broaden their range and lower their prices in order to access the mass market, difference must find a new vehicle.

In practice, of course, specific changes in fashion need fuller explanation. On the broadest level, a change in “cultural disposition” has to occur before any new taste can find a footing. This is, as noted above, precisely what Deborah Cohen mapped out in Household Gods, when she argued that the British middle class consumer became progressively more comfortable with a degree of opulence that an earlier generation could not have sanctioned. In a culture increasingly defined, as Leigh Erik Schmidt puts it, by “novelty and abundance, not the old bugaboos of scarcity and necessity,” the Friendship Book lost its ability to demarcate middle class taste, and middle class album users of the 1860s and 1870s moved their collecting focus to those products of industrialisation that their purchasing power enabled them to partake in. This was evident when Ogilvie, a few years later, included Birthday, Christmas and New Year Cards in the title of his Album Writers

But in India where I’ve been, All-bums are black,” was never likely to end up in a museum. This album is in the collection of the author.

214 Ibid., p.233.
Friend, thus pointing to the increasing interrelationship between later album and card practices. However, the first tendencies towards this change in album practice had been manifested earlier, particularly in the developing fascination with collecting printing and photography, via the stamp, the chromolithographic scrap and the carte-de-visite.

Photographs, Scraps and the Lithographic Tradition

Although stamps were one of the most avidly collected printed items during the nineteenth century, their production and collection operated quite differently to the practices I am exploring in this study. As Keith Jeffery points out, stamps are part of a system which is intimately intertwined with representing the state, indexing country of origin. Their production reflects an officially sanctioned bureaucracy, and a political agenda. Consumers appear to have understood this on a very fundamental level. I have yet to see a scrapbook with stamps pasted amongst the scraps, or a stamp album with scraps interspersed between stamps. This may relate to gender – which Caroline Daley argues was more all-defining at this time than even the geographical constraints of people’s environments. Most toys during the Victorian period were rigidly demarcated to help define gender-based social roles, and apparently this differentiation carried into collecting. Ellen Gruber Garvey argues that the relative social status of stamps as opposed to advertising card collections derives from stamp collecting being associated with males and the cards with females. While her research related to the United States, the people described as leading
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219 Ogilvie, The Album Writers Friend: Comprising More Than Three Hundred Choice Selections of Poetry and Prose, Suitable for Writing in Autograph Albums, Birthday, Christmas and New Year Cards.
222 Caroline Daley, Girls & Women, Men & Boys: Gender in Taradale, 1886-1930 (Auckland, New Zealand: Auckland University Press, 1999), p.158. She notes that it was easier to redirect a river than to get people to alter gender perceptions.
223 Calvert, Children in the House: The Material Culture of Early Childhood, 1600-1900, p.110.
224 Ellen Gruber Garvey, "Dreaming in Commerce: Advertising Trade Card Scrapbooks," in Acts of Possession: Collecting in America, ed. Leah Dilworth (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2003), p.80. This is not an absolute. In the course of research I encountered exceptions amongst both genders (such as Arthur Hebb Miller’s scrap collection – see below page 158), but not enough to repudiate Garvey’s overall argument.
stamp collectors in the *Otago Witness* were “heads of great public schools, judges, barristers, etc.,” professions hardly brimming with women. Collectors of scraps or *cartes-de-visite*, on the other hand, were gathering commercially produced items for their social currency. More broadly, stamps were part of an educational approach that prepared boys for the wider world, whilst card collecting prepared girls for home and domestication. The stamp collecting model plays a part in the history of postcards, but it relates only to early official postcards, and some initial assumptions around postcard collecting – i.e. that postcards would have value as collectibles. Neither of these relates closely to the HATS postcards that are the basis for this research, and it is therefore unnecessary to dwell on the details of the stamp collecting phenomenon. Photographs and scraps are much more central to the discussion.

---


226 That some “young ladies” collected stamps, however, is clear from a comment about them having “escaped the philatelic infection” in favour of the postcard. [The *Standard*, August 21, 1899, quoted in Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.60.] And eighteen year old Cantabrian Rita writes to *The New Zealand Farmer* in 1903 that she has 1100 stamps and 500 postcards. *The New Zealand Farmer Stock and Station Journal* (Auckland, NZ), “Older Cousin’s Circle,” March 1903, Home and Household Supplement, p.vi.


228 On the broader trends, if not the application to collecting, see Calvert, *Children in the House: The Material Culture of Early Childhood, 1600-1900*, pp.112-13.

229 A 1906 comment on postcards that “when collectors came to sell their collections they found no market” is, I believe, accurate in denoting the end of the period where some people were collecting postcards for value. Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Philately,” April 4, 1906, p.81.
Photographs

André-Adolphe-Eugène Disdéri introduced the photographic carte-de-visite (CDV) in 1854, using a four lensed camera to produce eight identical photographic portraits per glass negative.\(^{230}\) This efficient format created a Bourdieu-esque cultural ‘trickle-down’ effect,\(^{231}\) making photography (formerly the preserve of the very rich) affordable for the middle classes.\(^{232}\) Although not cheap,\(^{233}\) as tangible markers of emotional connectedness,\(^{234}\) these photographic visiting cards quickly became popular.\(^{235}\)

Young society women like Alice Miles did intricate flower paintings around CDV’s of friends and family pasted into albums,\(^{236}\) establishing a visual precedent for photograph albums to have floral borders. The standard size of the cards allowed plush albums with ready-made slots to be marketed [Figure 42].\(^{237}\) Such albums did not need to be given to others for contributions to be added – they could be

---


\(^{233}\) They cost between ninepence and two shillings apiece, Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.43. At twenty one shillings for twelve cards in London, a dozen cards cost more than a labourer’s weekly wage. (See below, p.260, for a discussion on wages.)


\(^{237}\) Andrea Volpe documents one such from as early as 1865. Volpe, "Collecting the Nation: Visions of Nationalism in Two Civil War-Era Photograph Albums," p.91.
assembled privately, in the home. It thus became possible to swap “pho’s” of friends and family without the lurking taste issues that had dogged the Friendship Album, though access to viewing the album came with the price of reciprocating – as can be seen by the verse used to begin many albums [Figure 43].

By the 1880s, reduced CDV prices encouraged usage by some of the working-classes – the album becoming, according to Nicole Hudgins, a “Victorian Facebook.” Publishers had expanded the range of subject matter from the initial family portraits to include all kinds of famous people. Prints of popular beauties had existed since the eighteenth century, and the genre now moved into photography, with actresses increasingly prominent. This type of celebrity carte-de-visite would prove to be an important photographic precursor for the significant later genre of actress postcards. The Photograph Album similarly prefigured the postcard album’s use and production. This was particularly pronounced

---

238 Kunard, "Traditions of Collecting and Remembering: Gender, Class and the Nineteenth-Century Sentiment Album and Photographic Album," p.237. Subsequently, a larger format called the cabinet card was introduced, but for the purposes of this study I use the term carte-de-visite (or CDV) as a generic term to cover both sizes.

239 Alice Miles uses the term “pho” in her 1868 diary. Miles, Every Girl's Duty: The Diary of a Victorian Debutante, p.84.

240 Robin Wichard and Carol Wichard. Victorian Cartes-de-Visite (Princes Risborough, UK: Shire, 1999), p.78. The text reads “Yes, this is my album, But learn ere you look, That all are expected, To add to my book. You are welcome to quiz it, The penalty is – You add your own Portrait, For others to quiz.” The Wichards cite this text as one of the two most popular verses for starting CDV albums. Barbara Jones also quotes the same text, with minor alterations, as an introductory verse used in Edwardian postcard albums. It thus had a long shelf life. Barbara Jones, introduction to Ouellette, Fantasy Postcards, p.12.


242 Andrea Volpe sees this engagement with famous people as evincing the album-owner’s engagement with the issues of the day. [Volpe, "Collecting the Nation: Visions of Nationalism in Two Civil War-Era Photograph Albums,” p.90.] A standard arrangement of a CDV album might involve starting with famous people, followed by friends and then images of family and places visited. Wichard and Wichard, Victorian Cartes-de-Visite, p.78.

243 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.11.

244 This, as Erika Rappaport has shown, owed much to a symbiotic relationship between theatres and drapers like Liberty’s. The theatres showcased the latest fashions, with the actresses as willing clotheshorses – a combination that ultimately helped change the very negative image that had formerly followed women on the stage. [Erika D. Rappaport, Shopping for Pleasure: Women in the Making of London’s West End (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2000), p.185.] Georg Simmel did not welcome this (evidently international) trend, noting in 1893 that “the actress who is not a bit more moral than the streetwalker, indeed perhaps even more calculating and grasping, is welcomed in salons from which streetwalkers would be expelled with the dogs.” David Frisby and Mike Featherstone, eds., Simmel on Culture (London: Sage, 1997), p.263.
because, in the late nineteenth century, photographers extended their range of subjects to include views of scenery, for tourists to include in their albums. The two types of album were sufficiently similar as social practices for the Ohinemuri Gazette to complain, in 1906, that “[postcard] albums are fast becoming as tiresome as the photograph books of years ago.” This connection underlines a crucial continuity in the way albums were viewed – perhaps within the aestheticized setting of the parlour. The mass-produced carte-de-visite album, with its same-sized slots, accustomed users to a type of collecting that could seamlessly transition to postcards of a standard format.

Scraps

For most of the nineteenth century, there had been no standard size for printed cards. The abundant range of oddly-sized, and often oddly-shaped printed ephemera, was collected in a different type of album, the scrapbook. The beginnings of the scrapbook trend have already been noted (page 130), and cut-out images on thin paper gained in popularity in Britain between the 1820s and 1840s. Their imagery harmonises with the Scrapbook poetry of Letitia Elizabeth Landon. In describing the work of her predecessor, the new editor of Fisher’s Drawing Room Scrap-Book, Mary Howitt, accurately described not just the literary but also the visual taste of the scrapbook’s early demographic:
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247 Jan de Vries suggests that the parlour was a symbolic space that signalled a family’s dedication to consumption. [De Vries, The Industrious Revolution: Consumer Behavior and the Household Economy 1650 to the Present, p.197]. I have to note, however, that despite a general assumption within the literature that postcard albums were a parlour staple, I have found no direct evidence to support this.
We should say that it is the young and the ardent who must always be the warmest admirers of the larger poems of L.E.L. They are filled with the faith and fancies of the young. The very scenery and ornaments are of that rich and showy kind which belongs to the youthful taste – the white rose, the jasmine, the summer garniture of deep grass, and glades of greenest foliage; festal gardens with lamps and bowers; gay cavaliers and jewelled dames, and all that glitters in young eyes and love-haunted fancies.249

By the late 1850s, Ellen Gruber Garvey’s comment about the album being a “visual celebration of plenty” starts to make sense.250 Once Howitt’s “rich and showy” taste of the young met increasingly sophisticated printing technologies, the stage was set for the opulent type of ‘scrap’ collecting that reached fulfilment in the lavishly varied albums of the 1880s, with their array of often highly embossed and strongly coloured images:251 precursors for the chromolithographic postcard.

If scrap collecting and the postcard are connected in the consumer practice of the album, they are also linked at the level of production – something overlooked by postcard scholars like Frank Staff, who compartmentalise the field around the word ‘card’. Advertisements reproduced in Allen and Hoverstadt’s History of Printed Scraps show a series of names of firms that would subsequently publish postcards. Raphael Tuck, Siegmund Hildesheimer, Martin Schlesinger, A. Sala, Birn Brothers, Davidson Brothers and Thomas Stevens, to name but a few, were all major manufacturers of scraps and other ‘fancy paper’ items long before becoming involved with postcards.252 It is no coincidence, but in order to understand how it was that the postcard format attracted a particular type of existing manufacturer, it is necessary to understand the developments in printing that drew together this group of printers.

The Lithographic Tradition
After the First World War, letterpress mechanical printing, in tandem with the new four-colour half-tone printing process, established itself as the

249 Mary Howitt, ed. Fisher’s Drawing Room Scrap-Book (London: Fisher, 1840), p.7. Howitt would later go on to write the profile of Elihu Burritt which is quoted above p.67
251 Allen and Hoverstadt, The History of Printed Scraps, p.25.
252 Ibid., pp.25, 26, 29, 61, 158, 169.
dominant British printing tradition. Its rivals, most notably the chromolithographers who dominated the greetings postcard trade, had tended to rely on German printing expertise, and were ill-equipped to respond. As post-war modernist historians started writing the history of printing, they adopted a form of technological determinism which focussed on the evolutionary victor: letterpress. This was then exacerbated by what Peter Stallybrass describes as “the conceptual gluttony of ‘the book’,” where histories of print gravitate to books rather than the many other types of printed item. However, as Dennis Bryans points out, such histories overemphasised the historical role of letterpress at the expense of other earlier technologies which had, during the nineteenth century, offered different modes of reproducing images and integrating them with text.

When mentioned at all, alternatives such as engraving and chromolithography tend to be denigrated, as in Phillip Megg’s account:

Letterpress printers and admirers of fine typography and printing were appalled by the design language of chromolithography. Design was done on the artist’s drawing board instead of the compositor’s metal press bed. Without traditions and lacking the constraints of letterpress, designers could invent any letterform that suited their fancy and exploit an unlimited palette of bright, vibrant color never before available for printed communications.

Such typographic distrust of the hand-drawn letterforms of engraving and the sensational colour of chromolithography remains strong. Even postmodern writers, in reassessing nineteenth century typography, have still tried to locate the rehabilitated material within the letterpress tradition. Doug Clouse and Angela Voulangas, for example, whilst recovering much overlooked material in their 2009 study, still kept chromolithography at
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253 On this tendency, and the need for historians to examine alternative traditions, see Rodney Mader, "Print Culture Studies and Technological Determinism," *College Literature* 36, no. 2 (2009): p.132.
257 Doug Clouse and Angela Voulangas, *The Handy Book of Artistic Printing: A Collection of Letterpress Examples with Specimens of Type, Ornament, Corner Fills, Borders,*
arm’s length by quoting a printer, Andrew Corrigan, writing in 1944, who claimed that lithographers:

…committed every abortion but the one which would have been welcomed; they perpetrated every mutation except sterility. The degenerates swelled and pullulated, farrowed their litters and spawned their monstrous shoals until the world of Caslon and Baskerville, Jenson and Bodoni and Aldus, became the world of Caliban, the home of a bastard brood with the blood of beauty on its hands.258

This virulent attitude to lithography reflects an intense rivalry between the letterpress and lithographic traditions for professional domination. Ephemera historian Graham Hudson argues that the antagonism between the two traditions goes back to an earlier period when the invention of new presses, new typefaces, and wood engraving enabled letterpress printers to challenge the supremacy of copperplate engravers for reproducing complex designs incorporating text and image.259

Traditionally, engraved illustrations and printed text were produced separately, with printers playing a subservient role to engravers – who, until the mid-eighteenth century, had sat alongside painters, draughtsmen and sculptors as a class of artist.260 Since engraving was an intaglio process that was incompatible with letterpress, their works were only integrated with the typography during the binding process. By the nineteenth century, engravers had been excluded from the Royal Academy, who argued that engraving lacked the requisite originality for the exercise of genius.261 Though engravers – and later lithographers – would still call themselves

---

258 Quoted in ibid., p.189.
260 Raymond Williams, Keywords: A Vocabulary of Culture and Society (London: Fontana, 1988), p.41.
‘artists’, they could no longer rely on the status of ‘artist’, and thus needed to exploit various commercial opportunities, initially through areas like wood engraving, and steel engraving.

When lithography arrived as a serious contender around the 1840s, it immediately cannibalised much of the cheaper end of the engraver’s work (along with its design approach), and it also ate into the letterpress printer’s traditional jobbing market of circulars and ephemeral communications. Unlike wood or steel engraving, neither of which was ideally suited to lettering, everything in lithography was drawn directly onto the stone, thus allowing the artist a freedom to integrate lettering and image in ways that simply were not possible with letterpress. Wood engraving still dominated the mass market for illustrated black and white “sensation ornaments,” but it was less well adapted to the increasingly popular colour printing. After some early competition from the wood-based chromoxylography, lithography dominated this market by the 1870s [Figure 44]. For the rest of the century the battle lines were drawn between the letterpress and lithographic camps, whose workers were even unionised in
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264 Steel engraving would remain a viable alternative for more complex work, such as banknotes and stamps. [Basil Hunnisett, Engraved on Steel: The History of Picture Production Using Steel Plates (Aldershot, UK: Ashgate, 1998), pp.31, 45.] It was used through the 1830s and 1840s for commercial scrap album illustrations and for illustrated writing papers, but ultimately its cost and improvements in other media would see engraving reduced to niche areas like billheads, trade cards and personal stationery. Hudson, The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, pp.48-9, 64.
265 The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, pp.55-6.
266 Drucker and McVarish, Graphic Design History: A Critical Guide, p.128. The authors acknowledge the vitality of chromolithography, but use this discussion to lead into its role in posters – the only part of the chromolithographic tradition that seems to be acknowledged within graphic design history.
267 This is how one 1864 printing journal described its masthead illustration. Hudson, The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, p.68. The printers were J. & R. M. Wood.
separate unions, and who read and subscribed to quite different sets of journals. Stylistically, lithography tended towards the increasing complexity of the drop-shadow “gaslight” style, whilst the work of letterpress printers moved towards typographic subtlety, and aesthetic illustration.


Figure 44: Detail showing the chromolithographic process
Chromolithography involved the layering of multiple colours, each printed on a different stone. High quality cards routinely used between eight and twelve stones. The process typically uses a blend of flat colour and stippling. This image is from a 1913 German-printed postcard, but the technique is little changed from the earliest cards.

Author’s collection

269 The “Central Association of Lithographic and Copperplate Printers’ Societies of Great Britain and Ireland” was formed in 1860, subsuming the engravers in 1880 into the “Amalgamated Society of Lithographic Printers.” University of Warwick. “Amalgamated Society of Lithographic Printers.” (Undated).

270 Compare with Hudson, *The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920*, pp.60, 102. It should be noted that these divisions may have been less clear-cut in places like New Zealand, with its smaller print industries. A printing company like Christchurch’s Whitcombe and Tombs, for example, which did a great deal of letterpress work, advertised on their trade card that they were “Lithographers, Printers, Binders.” Noel Waite, “The Octopus and Its Silent Teachers: A New Zealand Response to the British Book Trade,” in *Worlds of Print: Diversity in the Book Trade*, ed. John Hinks and Catherine Armstrong (New Castle, DE: Oak Knoll Press, 2006), p.15.


272 Ibid., p.123.
The differences between these two approaches may also have had a cultural component. Lithography had been invented by a German, Alois Senefelder. Although introduced on a small scale in England by the start of the eighteenth century, it had been promoted by that ubiquitous Saxon, Rudolph Ackermann. In the latter part of the century some of the largest firms of lithographic “Art Publishers” (letterpress firms called themselves “Art Printers”) were founded by Germans. Louis Prang popularised the process in America, as did another German, Godefroy Engelmann, in France. In England, three of the largest early printers of cards and scraps, Raphael Tuck, Hildesheimer, and the Birn Brothers were all headed by German emigrants, and they, like most Art Publishers, printed much of their material in Germany, owing to family ties and the relative cheapness of printing there. The printers of chromolithographic scraps, cards and greetings postcards appear to have come consistently from this lithographic ‘Art Publishing’ tradition, with letterpress firms having little to do with such manufacture.

The close ties with Germany, which would ultimately hamstring the high quality greetings postcard market during the First World War (see page 383), thus seem to have been integral to the industry, and were thoroughly embedded by the 1880s. The Germans had, during the 1830s, led the way in
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276 Hudson, The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, pp.113, 119. The difference between these two varieties was that whilst Art Publishers published artistic images, Art Printers wanted print to be considered an art in itself. Ibid., p.117.
277 It is common to find Prang credited as the inventor of chromolithography, e.g. Rickards and Twyman, The Encyclopedia of Ephemera: A Guide to the Fragmentary Documents of Everyday Life for the Collector, Curator, and Historian, pp.92-3. In fact it was introduced into the United States in 1825 (a year after Prang was born) by Anthony Imbert. [Hudson, The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, p.52.] Prang was responsible for its popularity there, but did not invent it. [Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture, pp.68-70.] The American practice of erasing Senefelder parallels the British tendency to credit Caxton, rather than Gutenberg, with inventing printing. In both cases, Germans are denied credit for major inventions.
278 France would go on to be the high end-producer of ‘chromolithographic’. Jay Last notes that it was Engelmann that invented the term. Last, The Color Explosion: Nineteenth-Century American Lithography, pp.10-11.
developing widely available printed scraps,\textsuperscript{280} thus helping create a vibrant printing industry. With this historical advantage, German lithographic printing companies would actively promote themselves to the English-speaking world through such publications as the \textit{Address Book of German Export Firms}, by attending trade fairs and exhibitions [Figure 45],\textsuperscript{281} and by becoming highly adept in the area of mail order.\textsuperscript{282} Following its unification in 1871, Germany’s overall industrial capacity developed apace, and its strategic superiority to Britain was identified as early as 1886 by a Royal commission.\textsuperscript{283}

These issues would have been understood within the stationery industry.\textsuperscript{284} Nevertheless, it was not until after the 1890 McKinley Bill in the United

\textsuperscript{280} Allen and Hoverstadt, \textit{The History of Printed Scraps}, p.15. The Germans were also more generous than the British in the toys and items that they lavished on their children. Calvert, \textit{Children in the House: The Material Culture of Early Childhood, 1600-1900}, p.9. This may explain their early interest in the scrap market.

\textsuperscript{281} Allen and Hoverstadt, \textit{The History of Printed Scraps}, p.29.


\textsuperscript{283} Ibid., p.12.

\textsuperscript{284} Christchurch manufacturing stationers Whitcombe and Tombs, for example, cited foreign competition as their reason for employing children. Franks, \textit{Print and Politics: A
States that the public became aware of the extent of British outsourcing, or of the quiet inroads being made by non-British manufacturers. As part of a widespread imposition of tariffs, the McKinley Bill insisted on imported items having their place of manufacture clearly stated – something not done previously.285

Since the United States was already a huge market for chromolithography, most overseas printers seem to have bitten the bullet and added the place of origin to all their items, including those ultimately exported to places like New Zealand [Figure 46]. An article in the Hawke’s Bay Herald sums up New Zealanders’ surprise at the amount of German produce on the market, specifically mentioning cards as an example.

It has been brought home to us since the passing of the Foreign Merchandise Act, for the tell-tale legend “Made in Germany” or “Made in Belgium” is now seen on so many articles in every day use. “Printed in Germany” can be seen on nearly all the cheap but artistic illustrated books and cards which decorate every colonial stationer’s counter. Trade may follow the flag, other conditions being equal, but when price becomes an important element patriotism is apt to go to the wall.286

Protectionists and nationalists might fret at German incursions, whilst free traders might fume at the McKinley Bill itself, claiming colourfully that protectionists believed “it is better to drink the sour national beverage rather

---

285 Franks cites the company’s use of child and female labour as the reason for a major conflict in 1890 with the Typographical Association. I have found no 1880s cards with this information on it.
286 Hawke’s Bay Herald (Napier, NZ), “Boycotting Cheap Labour,” October 31, 1891, p.2. The paper then goes on to specifically name and shame poet and politician Thomas Bracken (writer of New Zealand’s national anthem), whose politics were protectionist, but who nevertheless had his book printed in Germany on account of the price – which is ascribed to the poor wages paid to German printers.
than good foreign wine.” The evidence of the cards suggests that the youthful consumers appear to have been largely impervious to such debates. The good price to quality ratio associated with German-printed cards and scraps ensured that they were consumed in large numbers.

Chromolithographic scraps were bought in large sheets, containing multiple variations of a particular theme. Allen and Hoverstadt reproduce one such sheet depicting forty hands holding flowers, each containing a short motto, similar to Figure 211 (see Appendix 2). This multiple format is not incidental. Chromolithography was an expensive process, becoming financially viable only when large numbers of items were printed. Since the lithographic stones used were large, it made sense to bunch together items that were ultimately to be sold together. The same approach would later be applied to postcards, where it was possible to print over thirty individual cards on a single stone. This facilitated the designing of sets of thematically connected cards, exploiting the printer’s trimming process where a row of cards could be sliced from a large sheet, cut up, and collated into packs (or boxes) of related cards. This process of creating series of similar but distinct groups of collectables was a crucial marketing technique of the period. As such, the mechanisms involved in this process of serialisation have attracted theorists of collecting.

**Serial Souvenirs: The Theory of Collecting**

Seriality, as Susan Stewart points out, is a key component of an exchange economy. Card consumers appear to have expected to buy packs with related but unique cards—not packs with multiple identical items. As such, in the case of cards, Drucker and McVarish overstate the case when they say that “not only did mass production mean more, but it also meant more

288 Allen and Hoverstadt, *The History of Printed Scraps*, p.108. These include “Remember Me”; “A Tribute of Love”; “True to Thee”; “Souvenir of Friendship”; “To One I Love” and three others, all of which are repeated five times within the sheet.
290 Ibid., pp.17-18.
of the same.” There is no evidence of card users celebrating the uniformity of mechanical reproduction – they preferred the illusion that they were purchasing unique items. This desire for the unique is satirised by George du Maurier in a cartoon showing a distraught mother who, on breaking a china pot responds to her daughter’s pointing out that she still had her by saying “You, Child? You’re not Unique!! There are Six of you – a complete set!!” Manufacturers, however, encouraged the idea of the complete set, having learnt its efficacy for driving sales through such venues as the mid-century serialised novel. Incorporating a serial connecting narrative within a set of cards provided one powerful impulse for collecting, but the extent to which it was the primary motivation of collectors has been hotly debated, and since these arguments relate as much to the greeting postcard as to the scrap, they need to be introduced in some detail.

Theoretical debates around collection tend to take a starting point in the writing of Walter Benjamin, whose analysis of his own book-collecting habits painted a picture of the collection as a “chaos of memories,” relating both to the past of the collectible item, and to the memory of its acquisition. For Benjamin, “ownership is the most intimate relationship that one can have to objects.” Subsequent critics have queried whether it is reliable to generalise on the basis of a single bibliophile, pointing out that Benjamin’s approach tended to cast men as collectors and women as
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294 Garvey, The Adman in the Parlour: Magazines and the Gendering of Consumer Culture, 1880s to 1910s, p.5. Newspaper serialisation of novels had started with the French “roman-feuilleton” in 1836 in La Press, and been picked up by English papers during the 1840s, but came into their own after knowledge taxes were lifted in the 1850s. Graham Law, “Nothing but a Newspaper: The Contested Space of Serial Fiction in the 1840s Press,” in Encounters in the Victorian Press: Editors, Authors, Readers, ed. Laurel Brake and Julie F. Codell (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005), pp.32, 46.
297 Ibid., p.10.
consumers. This distinction becomes interesting when one focuses less on books and more on scrapbooks, and photographic albums of ‘views’.

Views were already popular collectibles as eighteenth century prints, and these developed (via mid-nineteenth century engraved view cards, ready-made album views [Figure 47], and stereographic and cabinet card iterations) into the photographic tourist images that typify much postcard practice – albeit not of the HATS variety. The ‘view’, Rosalind Krauss suggests, was associated with a particular mode of quasi-geographic categorisation in which ‘nature’ was systematically collected and ordered within middle-class collections. Judith Adler similarly associated this scientific tourist’s desire to undertake an inventory-like “impartial survey of all creation,” with a particular mode of souvenir collecting, one summed up in the tourist behaviour of E. M. Forster’s Baedeker-wielding English tourists, as they consume ‘sight’ after site.

For Benjamin, such optically-oriented view collection was the mode of the flaneur, whilst his object collecting was more intimate and tactile. Susan Stewart touches on this difference when she makes the useful distinction between “souvenirs of exterior sights,” such as view cards and “souvenirs of
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298 Potvin and Myzelev, Material Cultures, 1740-1920: The Meanings and Pleasures of Collecting, p.2. Later books like this tend to have worked within theoretical frameworks mapped out in the 1980s and 1990s, so I have largely confined my discussion to the original arguments.


300 Rosalind E. Krauss, The Originality of the Avant-Garde and Other Modernist Myths (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1986), pp.139-41. Krauss, on pages 149-50, tries to reprise the notion of the ‘view’ as an archival item (and as an object for discursive analysis) rather than allowing it to be turned into ‘landscape’, and thus treated as an aesthetic item for a museum.


individual experience,” which she associates with items like scrapbooks. These use a book format but “deny the book’s mode of mechanical reproduction” by being individually, autobiographically, and uniquely compiled.

...the memento becomes emblematic of the worth of that life and of the self’s capacity to generate worthiness. Here we see also the introduction of the metaphor of texture. From the child’s original metonymic displacement to the love-object, the sensual rules souvenirs of this type. The acute sensation of the object – its perception by hand taking precedence over its perception by eye – promise, and yet does not keep the promise of, reunion.

For Stewart, it is important to paint this promise as empty. It makes it easier for the souvenir to be cleansed of its original context in order to be incorporated within the compiler’s personal narrative. The motivation behind this is thereby depicted as a nostalgic reliving of past experience, and one tainted by capitalism. She argues that “collections of ephemera serve to exaggerate certain dominant features of the exchange economy: its seriality, novelty and abstraction, they are an ultimate form of consumerism; they classicise the novel.”

As Naomi Schor points out, for Stewart the consumerist destruction of the contexts of production and labour inherent in compiling a collection makes the collection “far more reprehensible than the [one-off] souvenir.” Schor, whilst critical of both Stewart’s and Jean Baudrillard’s depictions of the collector as respectively “possessive bourgeois” and “narcissistic and fetishistic” misfit, agrees with Baudrillard’s argument that collections are
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304 Stewart, On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection, p.139.
305 Ibid.
306 Ibid.
307 Ibid., p.150.
308 Ibid., pp.139-40.
309 Ibid., p.167.
organised in serial form, and argues that it is not the mnemonic but the narrative or thematic contiguity between the elements of the collection that are important.\textsuperscript{312}

Baudrillard argues that the collection facilitates the “mutual integration of object and person” and that “any collection comprises a succession of items, but the last in the set is the person of the collector.”\textsuperscript{313} The centrality of the subjective figure of the collector as the “creator and initiator of both meaning and pleasure,”\textsuperscript{314} is a common feature of all of the writing about collection. This is why Stewart could only see the collected object as a form of nostalgia,\textsuperscript{315} or as a reframing of an object’s use value within a personal context.\textsuperscript{316}

What is missing from all such accounts, if one is to make sense of album practice, is not just the more recent critique, partially supporting Benjamin, that collecting should be understood as “performative, embodied, sensual and subjective,”\textsuperscript{317} or Benjamin’s later focus on the collection’s non-utilitarian drive for completeness.\textsuperscript{318} Nor is it the idea that collections comprise of either sacred objects, facets of self, or sensual experience.\textsuperscript{319} Nor is it even Danet and Katriel’s useful distinction between taxonomic and aesthetic approaches to collecting,\textsuperscript{320} or Mieke Bal’s picture of the “collector as narrative agent.”\textsuperscript{321} What is missing is an understanding of the

\textsuperscript{312} Schor, “Cartes Postale: Representing Paris 1900,” p.202. She notes on p.201 that Stewart’s account draws on Baudrillard, which explains why Stewart, p.167, mentions seriality, though it plays a less central role for her than it does for Baudrillard.

\textsuperscript{313} Baudrillard, \textit{The System of Objects}, p.91.

\textsuperscript{314} Potvin and Myzelev, \textit{Material Cultures, 1740-1920: The Meanings and Pleasures of Collecting}, p.2.

\textsuperscript{315} Stewart, \textit{On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection}, pp.139-40.

\textsuperscript{316} Ibid., p.162.

\textsuperscript{317} Potvin and Myzelev, \textit{Material Cultures, 1740-1920: The Meanings and Pleasures of Collecting}, p.5.

\textsuperscript{318} Benjamin, \textit{The Arcades Project}, pp.204-5.


social aspects of the collection. Russell Belk points out that if friendship is important in business relations, it is also likely to be central to collecting. As noted earlier, friendship and autograph albums were collated reciprocally. This suggests that collecting can be both forward as well as backward looking, since pasting an object into a scrapbook can spring from the desire to display it for others as part of the development of familial and friendship networks. Schor quotes an advice columnist who, in 1900, observed postcards being used in the “exchange of courtesies” between the genders and advised that “for a well brought up young lady with a staunch heart and a cultivated mind, it provides thousands of ways of demonstrating her tact and savoir-faire.” This process need not only relate to the card’s content. As Alison Rowley points out, “postcards were avidly collected and … the best specimens were kept in special albums to show to one’s friends and acquaintances.” The collector is still at the centre of such activity, but the motivation is perhaps less about memory, sensuality or serial internal logic than the theorists allow.

The clasped hands of the HATS postcard supply an analogy for the reciprocal collecting practices that existed earlier in the scrapbook and friendship album traditions. It is, however, unlikely that any one version of collecting theory is going to apply to all situations. Nineteenth century collectors doubtless shared a diverse range of motivations, and whilst some would have saved items of ephemera for social reasons, others would have seen it as an exercise in material autobiography. Nor should one underestimate the extent to which, in a ‘waste not, want not’ culture, collection may have also acted as a legitimating rationale for frivolous consumption through consigning graphic ephemera not to the wastepaper basket but to the scrapbook.

The Visual Traditions Underpinning the Card

The Visual Vernacular of Celebration

While scraps may have been big business, few scrapbook collections of the period appear to contain only commercially-produced scraps. A scrapbook like that of Christchurch boy, Arthur Hebb Milner [Figure 48], is typical in containing a wide array of printed items, from newspaper clippings, to scraps, mottoes, Valentines and a wide range of other late Victorian cards including religious, advertising, merit and greetings varieties. Whilst

325 Although scrapbooks tended to be regarded as feminine paraphernalia, many men and boys kept scrap albums – most notably Mark Twain. Mecklenburg-Faenger, “Trifles, Abominations, and Literary Gossip: Gendered Rhetoric and Nineteenth Century Scrapbooks,” §32-33.

326 This album is in the author’s collection.
generically different, and at times nationally variable, many of these items share common iconographic features such as flowers, ribbons, children, cherubs, hearts and ideal rural settings. All of these elements subsequently appear in postcards, which raises the question of how they became associated with the card genre. To understand this, it is necessary to consider the context in which the greeting card culture evolved – one in which cards came to act as markers for important celebrations.

During the eighteenth century there had been a clear distinction made within households as to items that were “common” or “best” – a distinction that Amanda Vickery equates to the difference between routine and celebratory activities. Leigh Eric Schmidt summarises the background issues relating to the celebratory culture that underpinned this distinction. He shows how, as Britain’s historically agricultural culture was challenged by industrialisation, one of the flashpoints related to the number of bank holidays, which was steadily reduced from forty seven in 1761 to just four by the mid-1830s. For centuries, these religious holidays had provided a stable sequence of opportunities for celebratory excess, and in a rurally-defined culture they had been able to fit into the lulls of the agricultural year without damaging productivity. For the often puritan industrial manufacturers, however, they represented not only “wastrel prodigality,” but also an on-going loss of profit – given that the machine could potentially work 365 days in the year, and manufacturers were keen to exploit as many of these as possible. Charles Dickens’ Scrooge, it would seem, was only a mild exaggeration of some of the single-minded, killjoy

327 Advertising cards, for example, were less common in Britain than America. Hudson, *The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920*, p.97.
333 Ibid., p.27.
employers of the period. Unsurprisingly, this reduction in festive leisure opportunities was much lamented. Although in some areas the old holidays were able to coexist with industrialisation, Schmidt notes a strong strain of middle class Romantic nostalgia for the ‘good old’ festive observances of ‘Merrie England’ – linking it back to Colin Campbell’s arguments around consumerism, and the sense in which absence fuels desire. John Storey frames this development as the first iteration of the discovery of ‘folk’ culture, with concurrent interest in the “nature and character of the nation.”

As with festivals, this folk culture relied on many shared celebratory practices. One example, which links to the iconography of later postcards, is the use of ribbons. Still used when wrapping celebratory gifts, ribbons appear historically across the social spectrum from at least the sixteenth century as fashionable celebratory gifts for Valentine’s day, for decorating maypoles, and in the fashions used by working-class youth for rituals like rush-bearing. Popular enough to be sold second hand,
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334 Ibid., p.28.  
335 Thompson, *The Making of the English Working Class*, p.408. This is analogous to the situation that occurred during the brief disappearance of these holidays during the Commonwealth. McKay, ’For Refreshment and Preservinge Health’: The Definition and Function of Recreation in Early Modern England,” p.72.  
340 Joseph Strutt, *The Sports and Pastimes of the People of England: Including the Rural and Domestic Recreations, May Games, Mummeries, Shows, Processions, Pageants, and Pompous Spectacles, from the Earliest Period to the Present Time*, 3rd ed. (London: Thomas Tegg and Son, 1838), p.363. The ‘strings’ he refers to were probably ribbons. Nevertheless, beribboned maypoles are in the minority at this period – if image searches can be relied to give a representative sample – with ribbons becoming more prevalent after the Restoration.  
341 Rushbearing involved a long ritual procession, headed by an illustrated banner, that would bear new rushes to the local church. [Poole, “The March to Peterloo: Politics and Festivity in Late Georgian England,” p.118.] On page 119, Poole quotes Peterloo march leader Samuel Bamforth’s description of these preparations, which give a good sense of the place of ribbons and other celebratory items within the rituals. “Then, lads and lasses would at all spare hours be engaged in some preparation for the feast. New clothes would be ordered ... An old experienced hand was generally engaged to ‘make the cart’ ... The
ribbons were used to indicate loyalty to celebrities, and were subsequently adapted into national politics, becoming part of the Radicals’ “repertoire of symbolic practice.”

Thus, when ribbons and other similar items like tinsel later appear in greetings cards and postcards [e.g. Figure 49], the designers were referencing a longstanding, popular, celebratory culture which survived in the vernacular practices surrounding decorating for holiday, processional and ritual feasting purposes. This culture was still alive in Britain during the later parts of the nineteenth century, but it had started to be regarded by the middle classes as a relic from the past. While Schmidt documents concern about the loss of the old celebratory customs by the 1830s, the discourse of a vanishing, but cherished, “Merrie England” was amplified during the period between 1880 and 1920. Rudyard Kipling talked of taking “time machine” trips into the country, whilst interest in antiquarian

girls meanwhile would all be employed at over-hours getting their own finery and that of their brothers or sweethearts ready for the great event. Tinsel was purchased, hats were trimmed with ribbons and fanciful devices; shirts were washed, bleached snow-white, and neatly pleated; tassels and garlands, and wreaths of coloured paper, tinsel, and ribbon, were designed and constructed, and a grand piece of ingenuity and splendour, a kind of concentration of the riches and the pomp of the party was displayed in the arrangements and setting forth of ‘the sheet’. This was exclusively the work of the girls and women…”


One example, already mentioned above, was the balloonist, Lunardi, Keen, "The "Balloonomania": Science and Spectacle in 1780s England," p.521.


Tinsel had been developed in the seventeenth century, but became used in eighteenth century ‘tinsel pictures’ that often depicted actresses. [Lee Kogan, “Sparkle Plenty,” Magazine Antiques 179, no. 4 (2012): p.107.] It is also mentioned as part of the rushbearing rituals of the early 1800s. [Poole, “The March to Peterloo: Politics and Festivity in Late Georgian England,” p.118.] I have not, however, been able to establish whether its move into celebratory fashion precedes or postdates such artistic practices.

There appears to be no extended study of the visual practices associated with this broader celebratory culture, and documenting it adequately lies beyond the scope of this study. Nevertheless its existence, and relative continuity, can be seen through the illustrations in books such as Alison Clarke, Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand (Auckland, New Zealand: Auckland University Press, 2007). Similarly, it can be seen through newspaper reportage of celebratory events such as the earlier cited description of the decorations at the 1850 Peace Bazaar, Daily News (London, UK), “The Peace Bazaar,” May 31, 1850, [no page], or in more formal published accounts such as the Railroad Celebrations in Boston. Boston City Council, The Railroad Jubilee: An Account of the Celebration Commemorative of the Opening of Railroad Communication between Boston and Canada, September 17th, 18th, and 19th, 1851.


collecting grew. Middle-class institutions like the Folk-Song Society, Folklore Society and the National Trust sought to preserve what they saw as a dying but distinctive vernacular national tradition that the masses were incapable of appreciating. Vernacular practices, like folk music, were thus seen as part of a distinctive national tradition.

The perceived aura of permanence underlying this rural idyll legitimised what Gerry Beegan and Paul Atkinson call (with a nod to Benedict Anderson) “the construction of the modern imagined national community.” Its prescription of “cheery, patriotic wholesomeness,” as Gillian Bennett calls it, can be found even at the far reaches of the Empire in the construction of a “Ye Olde” town as the backdrop to a charity bazaar in New Zealand in 1905 – a part of the recolonizing process that helped knit the Empire more closely together.

Bernard Porter argues that patriotism was not a broad feature of British culture until the threat of foreign competition in the latter part of the century obliged the ruling classes to seek backing from a broader section of the public. The promulgation of a ‘Merrie England’ – in which an unthreatening version of the rural working
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350 Martin Myrone, "Instituting English Folk Art," Visual Culture in Britain 10, no. 1 (2009): p.29; Storey, Inventing Popular Culture: From Folklore to Globalization, p.14. Storey argues, pp.6-7, that parallel to an anthropological interest in primitive cultures, folk culture allowed intellectuals to imagine they were getting a localised insight into the primitive.
351 This could be adopted into high culture, as for example with the classical ‘pastoral’ music of the early twentieth century, Eric Saylor, ""It's Not Lambkins Frisking at All": English Pastoral Music and the Great War," Musical Quarterly 91, no. 1-2 (2008): pp.40-1.
class coalesces with the gentry – fits neatly with such an agenda, which has been labelled the “English rural myth.”

In Romantic style, the ‘rural myth’ tended to place art, with all the grand moral force of Cole, Morris and the design reformers, at its centre. It discovered an arcadian commonality within the solid unchanging qualities of ‘folk art’, and to a certain extent this sense of timeless continuity accords with qualities such as respect for tradition, etiquette and procedure – all found within rural communities and the working classes. Traditions helped give workers a sense of legitimacy, but they also valued the new. Raphael Samuel is justified in noting the “promiscuous” willingness of working class artists to draw imagery from a wide range of sources spread

357 Bennett, “Folklore Studies and the English Rural Myth,” p.79.
across the social spectrum. Dean similarly found local artists using an eclectic mix of popular and high cultural references. On the face of it, there would appear to be a contradiction here. How can vernacular culture both follow tradition and be an omnivorous pilferer of cultural trends at the same time? Dean nevertheless makes a point which is crucial to unravelling this apparent contradiction, when he notes that within eighteenth century popular culture, with its copying culture, the value of new work lay not in its novelty but in its “imaginative interpretation” of an existing theme.

Interestingly, it is the difference in value placed on the mimetic which provides the dividing line between middle class and working class culture to this day. In a fascinating study of karaoke, Rob Drew concluded that whilst the American working classes were able to find “expression of identity and difference” within the scripted confines of a pre-existing song, the format’s lack of originality precluded middle class participation, unless an element of irony was added. However this distinction does not hold in all areas of culture. Rampant originality does not seem to invade most middle class Christmas decorating traditions. Indeed the communal celebratory traditions touched on earlier appear to have roughly similar levels of continuity across classes. This karaoke quality is akin to what Eve Tavor Bannet describes as the “informed aesthetic pleasure” felt by literate eighteenth century readers on noting even minor departures from the commonplace interpretation of a text. It is thus only when one moves into the territory of Art – in its Romantic version – that the different emphases on originality become marked.
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362 Ibid., p.163. This is why Lara Kriegel could still find engraved copies of Raphael paintings being seriously reviewed in the 1830s press for their qualities as copies. Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture, p.46.
363 Rob Drew, “‘Once More, with Irony’: Karaoke and Social Class,” Leisure Studies 24, no. 4 (2005): p.381. In Asia, with a more mimetic culture, karaoke was initiated and enjoyed within white collar culture, p.375.
364 Bannet, Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820, p.313.
Folk art, when interpreted as a ‘rural vernacular’, was acceptable to the nineteenth century middle class taste arbiters of the Arts and Crafts movement. Whilst short on originality, it represented the traditional (non-machine) values of the hand-made, and its aesthetic had nationalist nostalgic appeal. Ironically, it was precisely because folk practices were losing their legitimacy in relation to utility that they were able to be understood in aesthetic terms, for much of the appeal of the ‘decorative arts’ derived from the idea, originating from Karl Philipp Moritz in 1785 and developed by Kant, that beauty could only exist in items with no use value. With such an appreciation for the aesthetic beauty and goodness of such rural vestiges as cottages, country pubs and people skating, the rural myth effectively packaged these items ready for aesthetic pleasure – and thus by implication for consumption [e.g. Figure 51]. But aesthetes had little time for either the city-bred “mass-produced, low brow, brash, mercantile objects” that translated these ideas into the “commercial vernacular,” or for the rural “rabble” that consumed them. As Walter Benjamin later put it, such a culture of mechanical reproduction involves “substituting mass existence for a unique existence.” For Benjamin, this was no bad thing. His aim was to politicise art. Writing in the 1930s, he found film to be the medium in which a...

367 Linda M. Austin, Nostalgia in Transition, 1780-1917 (Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 2007), p.147. This idea fits with Susan Stewart’s points about nostalgia and consumption. Stewart, On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection, pp.139-40.
369 Storey, Inventing Popular Culture: From Folklore to Globalization, p.4. He notes, pp.11-12, that the same arguments played out in music, with concern that vulgar music-hall songs were swamping folk songs.
371 Ibid., p.42.
sophisticated form of “simultaneous collective reception” allowed for a
mass, rather than an individually aestheticized, response. Nevertheless,
prior to film, if one is to look for a living visual tradition within which
working class, mass cultural taste can be found, then the melodramatic
“commercial vernacular” provides one potential venue.

This vernacular, which encompasses the greeting card, was heavily engaged
in the business of continuing Cole’s project of art education by offering
contemporary, affordable interpretations of Art. Benjamin, would
interpret this as part of the larger process of granting the masses expression,
whilst withholding power. Ironically, however, it was exactly the
combining of the hitherto middle class preserve of Art with the processes of
mechanisation that triggered the censure of middle class taste, whereas the
nostalgically-tinged rural folk crafts did not. Political expediency
notwithstanding, Art, for these wealthy aesthetes, was inherently sullied by
commerce. Chromolithography, particularly, had turned a genteel
practice into a commodity. Such quality colour reproductions removed
the visual distinction between the coloured original and the monochromatic
reproduction, thereby challenging, as Miles Orvell put it, “fundamental
values of cultural entitlement,” and the commercial activity itself became
the whipping boy. Joseph Pennell, writing in a 1906 edition of the Journal
of the Society of Arts, maintained that postcards could have no artistic merit
since they were the products of commercial firms. Little wonder that a
good many card illustrators would duck for shelter behind nostalgic and
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372 Ibid., p.36.
373 This did not only occur in England. Louis Prang made exactly the same point, arguing
that the democratic form of chromolithography would inevitably lift levels of taste. Shank,
*A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture*, p.77.
374 Benjamin, *The Work of Art in the Age of its Technological Reproducibility, and Other
Writings on Media*, p.41.
375 This discourse, though ostensibly critiquing commerce for high minded reasons, ends up
favouring those with money and leisure, in the same ways that William Morris’s hand
printed wall-papers ended up costing far more than an average consumer could afford to
376 Mary Ann Stankiewicz, “Chromo-Civilization and the Genteel Tradition (An Essay on
377 Miles Orvell, *The Real Thing: Imitation and Authenticity in American Culture, 1880-
sentimental images of cottages.\textsuperscript{379} If one could not please elite taste, at least one could supply the art that the market wanted, and if one is to understand the postcard’s version of this, it is necessary to understand the other culture from which its version of art sprang.

\textbf{Sentiment, Kitsch and the Language of Flowers}

The existence of a substantially constant vernacular culture of celebration, which has, for example, embedded the ribbon as a celebratory item to this day, and covered wedding cakes with sugared emblems [Figure 52], is important to appreciate. Without it, the only way of interpreting such imagery when it appears in postcards [e.g. Figure 53], would be to follow aesthetes like Pennell and regard it as a debased trickle down from the

\textsuperscript{379} Helen Allingham is perhaps the best known of these. Austin, \textit{Nostalgia in Transition, 1780-1917}, p.146.
Victorian culture of sentiment. I am not suggesting that rituals of celebration provide the only reason for the positive Edwardian working and lower-middle class response to the Hands across the Sea card, but they can provide one of several viable readings. Sentimentalism, however, also played a significant role in driving the broader culture of collection, and in establishing the popular forms of culture which some postcards refer to. Since cards like HATS are routinely regarded as sentimental, the origins of this concept, and how it fits into card culture, merit examination.

Moral Sentiment Philosophy and its Relationship to Taste

In exploring the Romantic sensibility, Colin Campbell discusses sentimentality’s properties, and the way that being “inner directed” leads towards the life of the imagination. He sees the 3rd Earl of Shaftesbury as
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providing the basis for early eighteenth century sentimentalism through his concept of moral sentiments,\(^\text{381}\) a theory which claimed that a good person’s actions simply have to be directed towards others without reflection, and in accordance with their nature, thereby recognising the divine beauty inherent in the other. This operated via the innate mechanism of a ‘moral sentiment’, a concept that lead to ‘feeling’ becoming linked with morality.\(^\text{382}\) In contrast to idealism and utilitarianism, moral sentiment philosophy linked knowledge and emotion,\(^\text{383}\) countering the competing ideas of empiricist scepticism and Hobbesian self-interest with ethical concepts like “benevolence,” and “sympathy” \(\text{[e.g. Figure 54]}\).\(^\text{384}\)

These types of ‘fellow feeling’ were grounded in the ability of individuals to recognise that, as Hume put it, “the minds of men are mirrors to one another.”\(^\text{385}\) Pure, Aristotelian, disinterested friendship, much beloved by the humanists but less overtly emphasised by empiricists like Locke,\(^\text{386}\) was central to Shaftesbury’s conception of morality,\(^\text{387}\) and would continue on – albeit sometimes minus the disinterest – in later moral sentiment philosophers like Adam Smith.\(^\text{388}\)
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\(^\text{381}\) Ibid., pp.150-1.

\(^\text{382}\) Ibid.

\(^\text{383}\) Nancy Yousef, "Feeling for Philosophy: Shaftesbury and the Limits of Sentimental Certainty," \textit{ELH} 78, no. 3 (2011): pp.609, 628. Research on the emotions has shown that the eighteenth century would see the emotions being emphasised more, albeit linked into a more disciplined framework. Stearns, \textit{American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style}, pp.7-8.

\(^\text{384}\) Yousef, "Feeling for Philosophy: Shaftesbury and the Limits of Sentimental Certainty," pp.611-12.

\(^\text{385}\) Hume, \textit{A Treatise in Natural Nature}, quoted in Yousef, ibid., p.612. Yousef notes, p.609, a recent resurgence in interest in moral sentiment philosophy. Hume’s prediction of what are, in effect, mirror neurons makes this unsurprising.


\(^\text{388}\) Hill and McCarthy, "Hume, Smith and Ferguson: Friendship in Commercial Society," p.46. For a discussion of eighteenth century loss of faith in sentimental friendship, see
Friendship was not the only concept central to this study to be emphasised in moral sentiment theory. So, too, was taste. Shaftesbury linked his ideas to aesthetics, where innate taste was seen as the faculty that allowed the intellectual recognition of beauty and an appreciation of beauty’s divine origin. It was, however, Joseph Addison, who connected taste to the imagination, seeing taste as the ability to judge the pleasures offered by the imagination’s visual representations of objects. Whilst Shaftesbury insisted that apprehension of Beauty through the moral sentiment was not a sense-oriented experience, for Addison, imagination was fed entirely by the sense of vision. It was thus more inter-related with the material objects which it represented, which is why, in tandem with his earlier discussed ideas about novelty, Addison’s theories fit better with consumerism.

Addison’s concept, together with the Romantic propensity towards individualism and emotion, helps explain the eighteenth century fashion for buying “fancy” painting, and the subsequent growth of the Fancy Goods store (see page 141). Fancy was another word for fantasy, or the imagination. Dr Johnson saw these terms as synonymous, interpreting the imagination as an “internal” sense. This connection to the senses helps explain why Kate Smith found that eighteenth century consumers regarded shopping as a fundamentally haptic experience, and not just a visual one.

Richard Terry’s analysis of the differences in Sarah Fielding’s treatment of friendship in her two “David Simple” novels. Terry argues the Fielding lost faith in friendship as a transcending mode, opting latterly for “love, patience and faith [as] the only palliatives.”


Ibid.


Samuel Johnson, *The New English Dictionary, or Complete Libray of Grammatical Knowledge*, New ed. (London: P. Williams, 1792). This work is unpaginated. Whilst the definitions of ‘fancy’ and ‘imagination’ regard them as synonyms, the idea of the imagination as an inner sense occurs under the definition of the word ‘sense’.

[e.g. Figure 38]. They shared George Sturt’s craftsman’s opinion that “my own eyes know because my own hands have felt.” The twentieth and twenty-first century “sensory bias” towards the visual mode was much less evident in the eighteenth century. Hence, linking consumption too closely to visual longing, as Campbell does, may overlook the broader sensory spectrum.

Interpreting Sentimentality

Philosophically, sentimentalism drew on both Shaftesbury’s emphasis on feeling, and Addison’s imaginative faculty of taste. Yet, as Lynn Festa rightly points out, philosophy is one thing, but the history of the “bastard form” of sentimentalism needs to be considered separately. If eighteenth century lexicographers like Dr Johnson (who prioritised sentiment’s intellectual qualities) and James Berkeley (who prioritised its affective qualities) struggled with the derivation and definition of words like ‘sentiment’ and ‘sentimental’, then it is not surprising that the concept might end up marrying imagination and affect when the word entered popular consciousness in the nineteenth century. Just how scattergun its connotations were, can be seen in an 1864 dictionary where definitions of the word ‘sentimental’ span thought, feeling and affectation.

To help focus this very disparate discourse, Festa distinguishes between sympathy, which emphasises the interpersonal, sensibility, which highlights an individual’s receptiveness to emotion, and sentimentality,
which locates sentiment in the types of applied contexts (such as literature) where the structure of the medium ensures that possessor and receiver of feeling are designated – thus embedding underlying social and power relationships. She then applies these definitions to examine how imperialist attitudes towards conquered peoples were reinforced by the process of defining who was the object of sympathy, and who had the luxury of responding sentimentally. These power relationships operated despite sentimentality using a language of universal brotherhood in order, as Condorcet put it, to “offer some friendly hand to deliver them.” Festa uses Edmund Burke to personify the peculiarly Liberal British desire to castigate British imperial expansion, not for its subjugation, but rather for not doing more to support the material well-being and liberty of its conquered subjects, thereby implicating the sentimental voice in legitimising the imperial project. Liberal icons, like Wedgwood’s “Am I not a man and brother” abolition medallion are similarly interpreted not as genuinely sympathetic (in getting the viewer to place themselves in the slave’s place) but rather as sentimental in that the viewer tacitly identifies with what Festa calls the “community of moved souls.”

The emphasis is thus moved away from sympathetic pity, which would place a moral obligation to act, to self-congratulation – a by-product of individualism’s self-interest. Vivasvan Soni, in looking at literary sentimentalism’s relationship with happiness, therefore argues that

---

405 Festa, *Sentimental Figures of Empire in Eighteenth-Century Britain and France*, p.3. She uses the term ‘assign’ rather than ‘apply’.
406 Ibid., pp.239-40.
408 Ibid., pp.235-6.
409 Ibid., p.170. While I wrote this, a Christmas e-mail arrived from a local design agency saying that this year they would not be sending out lavish gifts but would be sponsoring four third world children. As corporations create transnational economic empires, the “community of moved souls” appears to be alive and well.
sentimentalism takes away tragedy’s earlier view of happiness as a cumulative life-time’s work, and replaces it with a series of ‘trials’ in which happiness becomes a fleeting affective quality.\textsuperscript{411} It is this series of ‘trials’ which is fundamental to the affective ‘situations’ of melodramatic genre.

Festa’s focus is on sentiment and empire, and she locates this discourse within a world of hugely disparate spatial spheres. Whilst some people lived in a world defined by a four mile radius, increasingly large numbers of people were encountering the full expanse of the globe,\textsuperscript{412} experiencing levels of distance and separation that neither their ancestors nor their less adventurous neighbours could have imagined.\textsuperscript{413} If sympathetic identification proved difficult with peoples far removed from European culture, simply communicating the emotional force of such encounters to those back home proved similarly difficult, and it was often the small details rather than the grand narratives that enabled sympathy to be evoked.\textsuperscript{414} Sonia Solicari emphasises that for the Victorians, the key quality of sentiment was that of sharing emotions.\textsuperscript{415} In an environment that increasingly valued this quality, ensuring that the correct emotional nuance was conveyed across distance or time to another person required the participants to agree on a common set of conventions and tropes that enabled the emotional intent to be recognised and decoded.\textsuperscript{416}

It is exactly at this point that sentiment seems doomed. Within the Romantic-oriented middle class world view, with its emphasis on originality

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{411} Soni, “The Tragedies of Sentimentalism: Privatizing Happiness in the Eighteenth Century,” p.195. Soni’s point is that happiness is thus moved from being a quality of a “good life” to one of immediate emotional gratification. This is paralleled in Peter Bailey’s comment that the nineteenth century saw a move from the injunction “be virtuous and you will be happy” to Grant Allen’s notorious “be happy and you will be virtuous.” Bailey, \textit{Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City}, p.28.
\textsuperscript{412} Festa, \textit{Sentimental Figures of Empire in Eighteenth-Century Britain and France}, p.1.
\textsuperscript{413} Ibid., pp.4-5.
\textsuperscript{414} Ibid. This was why, for example, Elizabeth Gaskell described the lives of Manchester workers so painstakingly – she hoped to evoke sympathetic identification in middle class readers for whom Manchester slums might as well have been South America. Voskuil, “Feeling Public: Sensation Theater, Commodity Culture, and the Victorian Public Sphere,” p.268.
\textsuperscript{416} Ibid.
\end{flushright}
of expression, creativity, and authenticity of emotion,\textsuperscript{417} anything like a standardised artistic language of emotion immediately begins to look commodified and insincere.\textsuperscript{418} In conforming to a preordained pattern, the individuality of the producer is negated, and the resulting products become, as Susan Stewart says, in her discussion of kitsch, “souvenirs of an era and not a self.”\textsuperscript{419} And since sentimentality is so routinely equated with ‘kitsch’, it is worth briefly noting the key points of the latter debate before continuing with the former.

\textbf{Kitsch}

Originating in the 1870s, the German word ‘Verkitschen’, meaning ‘to make commercial’ was quickly associated with ‘plebeian’ work of no aesthetic value,\textsuperscript{420} and by 1899 Gustav Pazaurek had defined it as one of five classes of taste error in objects.\textsuperscript{421} For Clement Greenberg, Kitsch represented a mechanically-reproduced parasite on a mature culture, which adopted that culture’s forms and strategies without its generative ethos.\textsuperscript{422} Such mass cultural objects elicited multiple objections. The political left highlighted its anaesthetic effects on revolutionary politics,\textsuperscript{423} while Adorno lamented how the “swarming forms of the banal” dulled the “progress of freedom.”\textsuperscript{424} Most damningly, Kitsch, according to people like Greenberg,

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{418} Barbara Herrnstein Smith, \textit{On the Margins of Discourse: The Relation of Literature to Language} (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1978), pp.59-62. She discusses here the issues around “prefabricated utterances” such as greetings card verse, which appear insincere and lacking in specificity.
\item \textsuperscript{419} Stewart, \textit{On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection}, p.167-8.
\item \textsuperscript{420} Paul Duro and Michael Greenhalgh, \textit{Essential Art History} (London: Bloomsbury, 1992), p.171.
\item \textsuperscript{422} Clement Greenberg, \textit{Art and Culture: Critical Essays} (Boston, MA: Beacon, 1961), p.10.
\item \textsuperscript{423} Storey, \textit{Inventing Popular Culture: From Folklore to Globalization}, p.30.
\item \textsuperscript{424} Adorno, \textit{The Culture Industry: Selected Essays on Mass Culture}, pp.30, 52.
\end{itemize}
is high art degraded: following taste rather than creating it. It is precisely this objection that David Prochaska noted in 1990s art historical attitudes to postcards, which were seen as “degraded versions of “high” art photography.” Bourdieu, whose project was to reveal taste as a marker of an elitist habitus, suggested that the revulsion high culture feels for the facile, shallow and cheap could be traced back to Schopenhauer’s distinction between the ‘sublime’ and the ‘charming’. Writing from within a sentimental culture, Schopenhauer maintained that ‘charming’ objects, by stirring the appetites, were not appropriate for real art, which needed to maintain an aesthetic distance – a call echoed by such key modernist thinkers as Roger Fry. In tandem with modernism, from the 1920s onwards, the emotionalism of Victorian culture would be replaced by a more “disengaged” ‘cool’ demeanour which held emotional excess at bay. It was primarily this ‘cool’ culture that made kitsch such a byword for bad taste.

As modernism’s star waned, however, new approaches to kitsch started to develop. Kirk Varnedoe and Adam Gopnik tried to rescue the ‘low’ arts of advertising, graffiti, caricature etc. by showing that “within this realm artists can be found who made work of originality and intensity.” This, however, essentially reinforced ‘high’ approaches, by only rescuing those works that conformed to middle class values. Sam Binkley, on the other hand, tried to move the debate away from taste, arguing instead that kitsch represents an aesthetic that deliberately prioritises convention over
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426 Duro and Greenhalgh, *Essential Art History*, p.171.
428 Savage, ”Status, Lifestyle and Taste,” p.558.
creativity, and repetition over the unique. He saw such work as having its own sense of rhythm, one which cultivates continuity and is not afraid of sentiment. Countering critiques of kitsch on aesthetic grounds is, however, still interpreting it in terms of style, which thus misses the point. Even philosopher Robert Solomon, who tries to defend sentimentality and kitsch from the charge of expressing or evoking the superficial, does not challenge the assumption that the role of an artwork is to “express” or “evoke” emotion. Both are vague terms which disguise the process of emotional transfer. Louis Mink got closer to this process when he rejected the expressive model in favour of understanding art as a symbol, which presents and exhibits what it symbolises. Ultimately, however, I would argue that both kitsch and sentimentality aim for maximum ease in the transfer of affect, preferring certainty of interpretation over expressive or symbolic originality – or, indeed, rhythmic conformity. Subject, as Sonia Solicari says, takes priority over style.

Communicating Emotion

Sentimentality, then, focuses on the communication of emotion. Emily West argues that a communicative focus is typical of those with lower cultural capital. Festa, however, is interested in the way sentimentality can create the appearance of closeness and of similarity, whilst maintaining a fundamental cultural distance between conquerors and conquered, by creating an ‘other’ we can feel sorry for. Such an ‘othering’ process does
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435 Ibid., p.134.
436 Robert C. Solomon, *In Defense of Sentimentality* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004), p.246. Solomon is most effective in exposing the dubious rationale for our contemporary unease with “sweet sentiment” whilst we are voyeuristically attracted to the “sour” variety of negative sentiment.
not, however, necessarily operate when the sentimental action involves attempting to emotionally connect two people (usually on equal cultural terms) who happen to be physically separated. Nicola Brown makes this point in relation to sentimentality’s ability to link us with the people of the past across the divide of time.\textsuperscript{440} This is also a condition of the HATS postcard, or indeed any type of greetings card which attempts to unite people across space. Here, the sentimental intent is not about exclusion. Rather, it is about maintaining and amplifying emotional ties which happen to be spatially fractured. As Joyce C. Hall, founder of Hallmark would later remark: “intense relationships require sentimental language.”\textsuperscript{441}

Understood in these terms, the sentimental seems a natural mode for a greetings culture, but inevitably opposes any aesthetic that desires distance.\textsuperscript{442} Schopenhauer was justified in saying that the “charming” stirred appetites,\textsuperscript{443} as was Roger Fry when he said that sentimental art aims to bring us close to the action.\textsuperscript{444} On this level, sentimentalism can be seen as a clear attempt to counteract distance – one of the key indicators of the modern world. Michel de Certeau’s discussion of the difference between the “modes of separation” implicit in rail and a porthole, captures the underlying issues effectively:

The [porthole] creates the spectator’s distance: you shall not touch; the more you see, the less you hold – a dispossession of the hand in favour of a greater trajectory for the eye. The [rail] inscribes, indefinitely, the injunction to pass on; it is its order written in a

---


\textsuperscript{442} Peter Stearns argues, however, that the Victorians’ enjoyment of emotional closeness was itself a way of psychologically distancing the self from the body – which had earlier been seen as entwined with the emotions. Stearns, \textit{American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style}, pp.66-7.


\textsuperscript{444} Reed, \textit{A Roger Fry Reader}, pp.399-400. Fry’s concern is that art remains far enough from life not to get “entangled in the conflict of our desires and vanities.”
single but endless line: go, leave, this is not your country …[it is] an endless imperative of separation which obliges one to pay for an abstract ocular domination of space by leaving behind any proper place, by losing one’s footing.\footnote{Certeau, \textit{The Practice of Everyday Life}, p.112. He goes on to argue that it is the detachment from the everyday that creates the conditions for speculative thinking.}

Spatial domination notwithstanding, it was de Certeau’s “dispossession of the hand” that emigrants found most difficult.\footnote{Ibid.} “I cannot reach her, she cannot reach me!” said Ellen, of separation from her mother, in Susan Warner’s 1850 novel \textit{The Wide, Wide World}.\footnote{Quoted in Sara E. Quay, "Homesickness in Susan Warner’s The Wide, Wide World," \textit{Tulsa Studies in Women’s Literature} 18, no. 1 (1999): p.39.} Elizabeth Barrett Browning, writing about Letitia Elizabeth Landon (who committed suicide when away from England),\footnote{Lucasta Miller, "Sex and the Woman Writer: Charlotte Brontë and the Cauterary Tale of Letitia Elizabeth Landon," \textit{Bronte Studies} 36, no. 1 (2011): p.41. Miller points out that there are multiple possible causes for the suicide.} similarly highlighted the haptic, saying “To touch, across the waves, friends left behind. ‘Do you think of me as I think of you?’”\footnote{The poem is entitled \textit{L.E.L.’s Last Question}. Elizabeth Barrett Browning, \textit{Poems} (London: Smith, Elder, 1899), p.251.}

In a situation where people were physically separated by geography – an increasingly common experience by the end of the nineteenth century – the material objects that act as intermediaries are inevitably going to be called on to negate the sense of sensory deprivation and evoke both the memory and the physical presence of the absent person [Figure 56]. Indeed, this mnemonic function of objects already permeated eighteenth century culture, where gifts, as
Amanda Vickery puts it, “prompted pleasant memories of the donor and the moment of giving, ‘with his own dear hands’.”

‘Touching’ is a stock phrase for the sentimental effect. It evokes the haptic experience literally, or refers to affective experience metaphorically. Eva Illouz points out that our emotions, most particularly romantic love, are intertwined with the body, and Nicola Brown sees the strength of sentimental art as being its ability to make our bodies experience. Whilst the true aesthete might derive aesthetic pleasure from the contemplation of unimaginable distance, the aim of the average mortal, when separated from loved ones, is to do their level best to narrow the haptic gap and (where possible) evoke physical contact and the presence of those they are divided from – something the HATS card symbolises particularly effectively. In using such means, they seek what Krzysztof Konecki calls “emotional community,” and John Heeren, “emotional simultaneity.”

Peter Stearns has argued that the Victorians were, in many ways, remarkably emotionally open, and delighted in “appropriately targeted emotional intensity.” Memory plays a key role in such activity, [e.g.
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450 Vickery, “Women and the World of Goods: A Lancashire Consumer and her Possessions, 1751-81,” p.286. The quote was written in 1779 in the diary of Elizabeth Shackleton, the “Lancashire Consumer” of the title.


452 Illouz, Cold Intimacies: The Making of Emotional Capitalism, p.75.


454 Casper David Friedrich’s 1818 Wanderer Over the Mist in the Hamburger Kunsthalle gives perhaps the best visual evocation of this.

455 Krzysztof Tomasz Konecki, ”Touching and Gesture Exchange as an Element of Emotional Bond Construction. Application of Visual Sociology in the Research on Interaction between Humans and Animals,” Forum: Qualitative Social Research 9, no. 3 (2008): p.42. This is used in the context of describing the role of touch in communication between different species (e.g. human to chimpanzee).


457 Stearns, American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style, p.21. Stearns’ linking in the title to constructivism, America and the twentieth century has probably resulted in the book receiving less subsequent attention in the Victorian British context than its research warrants. There is a detailed section on the Victorians, and although some later elements are specific to America, his discussion of the Victorian emotional style appears broadly applicable throughout the Anglo culture, and provides a base for my subsequent discussions around emotional style.

458 Ibid., p.29.
It was Rousseau that first realised the extent to which affect was a mnemonic trigger, arguing that it created a “chain of emotions,” or what Aleida Assmann terms a “memory anchor.”

Thus, one sentimental tactic for preserving links was to find shared memories, or to create a sense of closeness through locating an ‘other’ object – like a puppy, a child, or a cottage – about which one could share sympathy. Nevertheless this could only function if there was an agreed mnemonic or cultural definition as to the appropriate emotional response. To communicate or evoke more detailed and specific emotions, one needed an appropriate set of agreed conventions. The emblem had allowed seventeenth century scholars to create a semiotic form to embed complex thought within a non-linguistic framework, and nineteenth century sentimental culture created an analogous, if simpler, form for conveying emotion, which the HATS postcard would later embrace: a language of flowers.

**The Language of Flowers**

Flowers were not new to visual culture when they started to be used in this way. They had been used symbolically in mediaeval times, and the influence of the Dutch, and of Rousseau’s emphasis on nature, had

---


462 This is not the only such language. Jespersen argues that ornament, as set out by Owen Jones, constitutes a pictorial language, with Jones formulating its grammar. Jespersen, "Originality and Jones’ the Grammar of Ornament of 1856,” p.8.


made flowers popular ornamental elements. The impetus for using material objects as a language of love did not originate with flowers, however. It has been ascribed to the *Turkish Embassy Letters* of Lady Mary Wortly Montagu, which were published in 1763, describing the sélan, a practice whereby harem women communicated with outside lovers through apparently innocuous gifts with hidden symbolic meanings.466 It was not until 1819, however, that the first book to use flowers as a love code, Charlotte de la Tour’s *Le Langage des Fleurs*, was published, mingling Montagu’s material symbolism with Linnaean Botany’s fascination with floral modes of sexual reproduction.467

Ann Bermingham notes that in the years prior to this development, flower painting had provided a rare venue for women to approach art with some degree of professionalism,468 a cultural sphere where they could display a degree of independent genius and taste.469 The ‘language of flowers’ was patronised by the same upper-class youthful clientele that painted flowers, bought Ackermann’s paste papers, created albums and enjoyed Letitia Elizabeth Landon’s poems. And if one were to accept Dinah Maria Mulock Craik’s dictum that for women “the heart was the key to the intellect,”470 then the language of flowers, like sentimentality, would remain defined as a feminine predilection. However, to work in communicating the discourse of heterosexual love, the language of flowers required participation from both genders. In view of Stearns above-mentioned point about the more open Victorian emotional culture,471 it would be a mistake to uncritically accept later critiques of sentimentality and assume that it was a purely gendered
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467 Ibid. Erasmus Darwin’s 1791 poem *The Loves of Plants* was one particularly popular example that examined sexuality through the vegetable metaphor. Bewell, “Erasmus Darwin’s Cosmopolitan Nature,” p.30.
469 Ibid., pp.155-6.
discourse. Just as the emerging fern craze would fascinate both genders, when the compiler of *Flora and Thalia* framed her book as “not a scientific work but one of moral amusement, which may possibly lead the reader to the study of botany,” she was linking flowers to interests that were shared by men and women.

![Image](163x438 to 492x648)

**Figure 57: 1880s Language of Flowers Birthday Book.**

This book, published by Ward Lock, and compiled by Mary Keble Grant, is undated but has entries from the 1880s. It has a flower definition for each day of the year. The book combines the language of flowers with appropriate poetry, in a format which draws on the earlier album and commonplace book traditions. It comes from a period where the language of flowers was being popularised for a broader audience.

Author’s collection

The language of flowers enjoyed a similar lifespan to the Friendship Book, diminishing in vogue amongst its genteel demographic during the latter part of the century, roughly in tandem with its adoption into the mass-produced greetings culture of the 1870s. By this time, however, the meanings associated with flowers had become the commonplaces that would be inherited by the postcard [e.g. Figure 58]. When someone received a Christmas card with an appliquéd rose, forget-me-not, ivy or fern, not to mention a heliotrope or heather, they probably knew its meaning. If not, they could always look it up in the book [Figure 57]. However, if it was

---


books that provided the grammar for the language of flowers, its syntax was played out in a myriad of sentimental settings, prominent amongst which were greetings cards like the Valentine.

![Image of a vintage greeting card with flowers and messages]

**Figure 58: Postcard utilising the Language of Flowers.**
An anonymous, European-produced card which demonstrates the repackaging of the Victorian language of flowers for a postcard audience. Such cards would have served to educate users.

Author’s collection
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### The Development of a Greetings Culture

#### Letters, Cards and the Valentine

The word ‘sentiment’ is often used to describe greetings card verse, something that has not aided the card’s academic respectability. Like ornament, sentimentality provided modernism with one of its easiest targets. As Emily West points out, the use of “pre-printed sentiments” runs directly counter to the middle class values of authentic, original self-expression that, well before modernism, were important to the type of middle class person who valued letterwriting as an art, and who equated sending a ready-printed card with laziness. With its packaged sentiments, the greetings card could be seen as another of those Bourdieuesque battlegrounds over taste in which the possessors of cultural capital, should they deign to use it, utilise the item symbolically to distinguish their expressively individualistic taste,

---

474 West, "Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment," p.452.
whilst those with more modest cultural capital use the object at face value, as a convenient prop to assist with their communication. This analysis certainly explains why so much middle class academic vitriol has been aimed towards the greeting card over the years. West therefore argues for a focus on the ritual aspects of card exchange; rather than indexing taste, she believes that the practice instead references the emotional and physical effort invested in choosing and sending the greeting.

The Letter

Highly ritualised substitutes for greetings were hardly necessary in stable, rural societies. Seventeenth and eighteenth century advances in travel and communication technologies simultaneously increased the spatial gaps between people, whilst enabling these to be bridged, primarily through the medium of the letter. And since the letter seems to have been the measure that the postcard struggled to live up to, its history needs to be understood. Letters were originally reserved for the state, but as this form of communication began to spread to a wider catchment, letter-writing was initially perceived as lacking in the credibility and trust that direct contact engendered. This is useful to remember, given the way that, as the Evening Post put it nostalgically in 1907, “English writers have bewailed the popularity of the post-card because it has tended to destroy the letter proper.” In fact, letter-writing was not quite the broad based and essential tradition that its protagonists believed.

Later traditions of using letter-writing in structured ways to maintain contact with distant friends and family built upon conditions that were already in place amongst eighteenth century gentry, government, court, and
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475 Ibid., p.456.
476 Perhaps the most influential critique of greeting cards has been Stephen Papson, “From Symbolic Exchange to Bureaucratic Discourse: The Hallmark Greeting Card,” Theory, Culture & Society 3, no. 2 (1986).
478 Siegert, Relays: Literature as an Epoch of the Postal System, p.31. Siegert’s study primarily focuses on letter-writing within literary contexts, so is of less relevance to an examination of the spread of letterwriting into ‘low’ rather than ‘high’ culture.
trading circles.\footnotemark[481] Within a burgeoning British Empire, these were the people most likely to have long distance relationships, and most able to afford expensive postal charges – with a substantial letter costing a shilling or more to post.\footnotemark[482] Granted, those of lesser means were not completely precluded from letterwriting,\footnotemark[483] but, as Peter Wosh has pointed out, it was not until the 1840s’ Penny Post, and the roughly simultaneous introduction of cheap metal pens, that letterwriting spread to a broader segment of the population, only developing much beyond the formulaic by around the 1870s.\footnotemark[484]

Part of the letter’s appeal was that it acted as a symbol of leisure, since letterwriting was in effect a gift of the writer’s free time.\footnotemark[485] This demonstrative function may explain why, in the early days of its increased popularity, the letter was treated as public, rather than private, to be circulated and read aloud amongst family and friends,\footnotemark[486] and potentially printed in newspapers.\footnotemark[487] Writing letters was therefore rather more ritual, and rather less expressive than later writers might assume. And it was not, as Wosh noted, considered inappropriate to base one’s letters on models.\footnotemark[488] The prevalence of that middle class staple, the self-help book,\footnotemark[489] offering model letters for every situation [e.g. Figure 59],\footnotemark[490] attests to the fact that it

\footnotetext[481]{Bannet, Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820, p.61.}
\footnotetext[482]{Ibid., p.11.}
\footnotetext[483]{Ibid., pp.11-12.}
\footnotetext[484]{Wosh, "Going Postal," p.237.}
\footnotetext[488]{Wosh, "Going Postal,” p.237.}
\footnotetext[489]{Young, Middle-Class Culture in the Nineteenth Century: America, Australia, and Britain, p.10.}
\footnotetext[490]{Bannet, Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820, p.ix.}
was still Weber’s “formal correctness” of expression, and the rhetorical appearance of sincerity (rather than the originality of heartfelt expression), that dominated at this period. And it is at the level of ritual form that early greetings card practice is best understood.

Early Greetings Cards

The custom of asking visitors to leave their names on the back of a playing card apparently provided the origin for the eighteenth century visiting card, an engraved and often illustrated card to be left by the leisured when calling on friends: the earliest use of cards for greeting. It became common during the latter part of the eighteenth century to use such cards, known as
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491 Weber, *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*, pp.180-1. Monica Cure points out that socially this correctness was a “synecdoche for an ordered society,” arguing that the postcard’s challenge to etiquette was seen as symbolic of the changes that allowed such threats as the New Woman. Cure, “Text with a View: Turn-of-the-Century Literature and the Invention of the Postcard,” p.66.


493 Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.10. By the middle of the eighteenth century these were being manufactured with room for a greetings message. *The Valentine & its Origins*, p.27.
“cards of compliment,” rather than letters, for arranging meetings. Edward Long, in his 1774 satirical book *The Sentimental Exhibition*, gave a contemporary, if perhaps exaggerated, picture of the place that cards already occupied in assisting the formal courtesies of late Eighteenth century sentimental culture:

I rejoice that Cards, which used to be reckoned the Bane of Mankind, are now become of real Utility, as the Vehicles of Compliment, Message, and Direction; printed Cards, with proper Blanks, adapted to most Occurrences, Enquiries, and answers in the polite Circles; Condolences in Sickness, Congratulations on Health, Arrival in Town, Marriages and Divorces, Dining, Tea-drinking, Dancing, or Rout, Invitations; Hopes, Fears, Wishes and even Challenges, with suitable Answers, may all be had, ready cut and dried, by the dozen…

Cards were already functioning as a stand-in for a far wider range of social rituals than just visiting, and Long went on to propose, rather unsentimentally, the concept of the greeting card, arguing that it could drastically streamline the rituals of courtship:

[The cards’] use may still be more extended by engraving a well-chosen Assortment of Enamorato, or Love-Cards, suited to the general Circumstances of Addressors and Addressees; which, instead of unmeaning Festoons, Foliage, and Flourishes, might be ornamented, … according to the subject. By this easy method, a courtship, which now blots whole Rheams of Paper, and is spun out through two or three long tedious Years, might be reduced (by the omission of all Superfluities, and coming to the point,) to about a Dozen Cards on each Side, and concluded in a Dozen Hours.

Although Long was suggesting this tongue firmly in cheek, by the time he was writing, greeting cards, and even greeting postcards, were being made.
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495 He is referring here to playing cards, and games of chance.
496 A later example of the use of the term ‘card’ in a challenge is found in the State Archives of Florida. It shows that on June 7th 1839, 2nd Lieutenant N. Darling issued a broadside demanding satisfaction of Judge Smith. This was entitled ‘A Card’ and was evidently distributed widely enough to be collected by someone – and annotated, noting that Lt. Darling gave Judge Smith ‘a thorough thrashing’. Florida Memory. “A Card… N. Darling, Lt 2d Dragoons, June 7, 1839.” (Undated) http://www.floridamemory.com/items/show/212341 [accessed November 28, 2012].
498 Ibid., pp.12-14. The omitted section is quite long. He describes the proposed ornaments in more satirical detail that space here warrants.
Staff cites a French engraver, Demaison, as having made engraved cards to be sent through the post in 1777. Apparently this prototype postcard did not fare well, as people feared, in the words of the *Almanach de la Petite Poste de Paris*, that the practice would fuel “the insolence of the serving man, in that it gives him an unwonted insight into the secrets of a class not his own.” Frank Staff also alludes to “continental” New Year’s greetings cards, which developed from the 1770s, involving a custom where people gave friends their cards at New Year, with seasonal greetings added.

The Valentine

A similar set of practices is associated with Valentines. Valentine’s Day was an ancient ritual that derived ultimately from the Roman fertility festival of Lupercalia, where people drew partners by lot. Although Christianised, St Valentine’s celebration as a festival of love on February 14th probably relates to this period’s northern hemisphere associations with the mating of birds. Already referred to in Chaucer, during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries the folk tradition of drawing a Valentine partner by lot was extended by the expectation of giving that person gifts. According to the 1684 edition of *Poor Robin’s Almanach*,

---

500 Quoted in T. J. Brady, "Postcards and History," *History Today* 19, no. 12 (1969): p.849. If correct, this implies that the ‘serving man’ was assumed to be literate. I suspect that this is a convenient quote papering over a more complex situation, but this cannot be pursued in the current research.
502 *The Valentine & its Origins*, p.29. Steffen Riis illustrates a commercial new year’s card from the Danish engraver G. L. Lahde, from around 1771, showing a landscape, a monument, flowers, and cupid’s bow, whilst under a flap there is an eight line poem pasted in. The sender would apparently buy, along with the card, a sheet printed with several generic poems, and then cut out an appropriate one and stick it into the card. [Steffen Riis, *Danske Brevkort og Postkortets Historie 1871-2006* (Værløse, Denmark: Forlaget Ryget Skov, 2006), p.10.] This is earlier than in Britain. Cary Nelson says that such pre-printed poetry appears on English cards from around 1820. [Cary Nelson, "Only Death Can Part Us: Messages on Wartime Cards," *Iowa Journal of Cultural Studies*, no. 8/9 (2006): p.26.] It is worth noting that Rudolph Ackermann, who would later popularise paste papers in Britain, could realistically have encountered such card practices in his homeland when he was growing up.
503 Staff, *The Valentine & its Origins*, p.27.
504 Ibid., pp.11-12.
505 Ibid., p.17.
milliners did a roaring sale in gloves and ribbons on the day,507 and in court
circles, rings worth hundreds of pounds might be given.508 Others chose
simply to address their chosen Valentine via letter or poem.509

The earliest English Valentine writer, a self-help book to allow people to
create their own Valentine poems, was published in 1783.510 And, as the
lavish gift-giving died down,511 it was supplanted by an increasing
appreciation of what Amanda Vickery calls the “time, labour and affection
made concrete” in the practice of giving home-made items.512 Often
incorporating elements of the riddle,513 the Valentine developed a visual
practice, with sometimes intricate love tokens being made – emblems of
romantic love containing imagery that would become a staple of the genre,
such as flowers, hearts, cupids, birds and hands.514 These referred to the
established emblems of the festival, or were drawn from the wider
celebratory and emblematic visual cultures discussed earlier.

By the start of the nineteenth century, these handmade puzzle cards, and
scissor-cut tokens were evolving into manufactured Valentine cards and
writing papers using embossing, and imitation lace.515 Firms such as
Rudolph Ackermann and H. Dobbs & Co. started to exploit the commercial
potential of the Valentine,516 profiting from the cultural move away from
Valentines drawn by lot, to a more Romantic form where Valentine cards

507 Staff, _The Valentine & its Origins_, p.23.
508 Ibid., p.22.
p.215.
510 _Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays_, p.56. Staff notes a French
proto-example of the genre from 1669. Staff, _The Valentine & its Origins_, p.22.
511 _The Valentine & its Origins_, p.25.
512 Vickery, “Women and the World of Goods: A Lancashire Consumer and her
Possessions, 1751-81,” p.286.
513 The practice of collecting riddles is alluded to in Jane Austen’s _Emma_. Austen, _Emma_,
Chapter 9, p.61.
p.233. I have found no examples of Valentines including hands, but Schmidt lists hands as
among the common Valentine emblems.
515 Staff, _The Picture Postcard and its Origins_, pp.19-20; _The Valentine & its Origins_,
pp.28-30.
516 _The Valentine & its Origins_, pp.41, 135. Staff lists Ackermann as being one of the
dealers, but does not reproduce any of his cards. Nevertheless, given Ackermann’s
influence, his involvement in the Valentine industry is telling, and again may help to
explain the overlaps between German and British card practice and iconography.
were sent to people of one’s own choice. The anonymous delivery of such cards to nearby lovers was assisted by the development of extensive local penny post arrangements in the early years of the century. This helped to drive the popularity of the custom and during the 1820s it was reported that over 200,000 Valentines, of one form or another, were posted in London. Nevertheless, as long as it remained normal for the recipient to cover the cost of postage, there was a natural damper on the use of the post for sending any kind of gift.

By the 1840s the card had joined the tendency towards standardisation and mass production which would ultimately allow card manufacture to grow into the major enterprise it became during the latter part of the century. The advent of a uniform national rate of prepaid cheaper postage, in combination with innovations like letterboxes, and new advertising techniques, allowed the Valentine to become a very successful expression of the power of marketing. In line with changing Victorian emotional culture, Romantic love was an easy sell. As Schmidt puts it, “like the magical aphrodisiacs of fortune tellers and chapmen, Valentines were presented as fast, affordable, and unfailing; as sure to hit their mark as Cupid’s magical arrows.” And, over time, the range of people who could be sent a Valentine expanded from a single beloved to anyone agreeable.

from one’s wider family and social networks,\textsuperscript{528} thus drastically increasing the numbers of recipients, and the profits for manufacturers.\textsuperscript{529} Drawing on the success of Addenbrooke’s 1844 development of lace paper [Figure 60],\textsuperscript{530} during the 1840s the commercially produced card established itself as the expected Valentine gift within middle and upper class circles.\textsuperscript{531}

\textbf{Figure 60: Lace paper Valentine, ca.1850.}

This photograph shows the card with flaps open, and a scrap saying “believe me true” on it. Such cards, with their many separate elements, were largely handmade and therefore expensive, their use thus restricted to the reasonably well-off.

\textit{Author’s collection}

\textsuperscript{528} Buday, \textit{The History of the Christmas Card}, p.50. As Buday notes, there were also comic Valentines to send anonymously to the less agreeable. I have chosen to omit the comic Valentine from this discussion. These were widely sent, and provide an origin for later comic postcards, however are not relevant to the current study of greetings culture.


\textsuperscript{531} One has to contextualise Schmidt’s description of Valentines as “affordable.” [Schmidt, “The Fashioning of a Modern Holiday: St. Valentine’s Day, 1840-1870,” p.216.] This still relates primarily to the better off. The T. W. Strong advertisement Schmidt reproduces on p.226 has cards from as little as one cent, but the majority cost over fifty cents each. A similar range occurs in New Zealand, where an Auckland stationer advertised Valentines at between a penny and eight shillings. Daily Southern Cross (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” February 11, 1865, p.6. Staff highlights the increase in the number of people able to send Valentines as a result of the penny post’s introduction. Staff, \textit{The Valentine & its Origins}, p.58. He does not, however, contextualise this in terms of the post still being a practice for those with leisure and disposable income – primarily the middle classes. It would not be until the development of the lithographic tradition, particularly in Germany, during the 1870s [Last, \textit{The Color Explosion: Nineteenth-Century American Lithography}, p.10], that Valentines fully moved into the mass-market, carrying the emblematic visual tradition of the late eighteenth century through, so that it became a part of the postcard vernacular.
Holiday Ritual

Schmidt’s overall argument regarding the Valentine is that it represents the first occasion whereby an ancient folk tradition was reinvented through the mechanisms of the marketplace as “a red letter day for private exchanges, loving intimacies, and consumer pleasures.”\(^{532}\) His point is similar to that of Ronald Hutton, who documents the way that the community-based ritual calendar gave way to “a celebration of private relationships and the individual lifecycle.”\(^{533}\) And it is in this emphasis on privacy that it is possible to see the practice as one strongly related to the liberal middle classes.\(^{534}\) The ability to correspond in private, without recourse to a secretary, was one of the benefits offered by early letter-writing manuals targeted to the liberal classes,\(^{535}\) and this focus explains why Roy Rosenzweig found a tendency among the Victorian middle classes to celebrate holidays privately, amongst the family, rather than publicly, in a group.\(^{536}\) Schmidt sees a link between this type of privatisation and the spread of consumerism.\(^{537}\) Increasingly, celebrations would be linked with acquiring things.\(^{538}\) Perhaps lavish gift giving, already a characteristic of patrician Valentine’s celebrations,\(^{539}\) was more easily justifiable within the private holiday rituals of the middle classes’ family environment, and this explains the focus on expensive and complex cards [e.g. Figure 60].

\(^{532}\) Schmidt, _Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays_, p.103.


\(^{535}\) Bannet, _Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820_, p.3. She also takes issue with Habermas and Foucault, saying pp.226-7 that, far from being a panoptic or public sphere society, the workings of eighteenth century power was all about manoeuvring in private, and putting on a show in public. Letterwriting manuals thus gave detailed instructions on how to carry on a private dialogue within the potentially public discourse of the letter. Hence this liberal desire for privacy has a long history. Raymond Williams points out that at this period, the ‘liberal’ classes referred to the socially and financially secure. Williams, _Keywords: A Vocabulary of Culture and Society_, p.179.


\(^{537}\) Schmidt, _Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays_, p.103.


Although there were still public dimensions to holiday ritual – notably the increased emphasis on shopping – the focus of the holiday moved from the collectively structured to a private and individualised form of greeting, with collectible commercial cards as a central component. Thus West’s argument (about the importance of ritual to the greetings card) largely holds up. Valentines had always been associated with ritual, but commercial Valentines changed a ritual of love into a more general ritual around maintaining networks. Although the Valentine might still be interpreted as a marker of taste, it would appear to be the ritual functions that dominated these Valentine developments. This is not surprising. It was an offshoot of the same increase in middle class leisure that paved the way for the rituals of network maintenance implicit in letterwriting. And both letterwriting and card sending were part of a growing set of ritual activities related to what Micaela di Leonardo calls “kin work”: the organisation of family networks and celebratory culture, usually by women, in which gift giving and Christmas greetings would eventually come to play a central role.

**From Gift to the Mass Market: Christmas Ritual and the Christmas Card**

Up to this point, the developments I have been discussing have been largely centred in Britain. However, as I begin to examine the increasingly global market for greetings cards, I focus more on New Zealand. The modest size of the immigrant population in New Zealand, and the extensive range of New Zealand newspapers now searchable, makes New Zealand a useful case-study for tracking mass-market trends that are unwieldy to research in the British market as a whole. And, much more than the Valentine, the Christmas card emerges from this research as the immediate precursor to the postcard.

---


541 West, “Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment,” p.453.

The earliest New Zealand reference found relating to Christmas cards is from 1862 – the year in which Charles Goodall made a concerted attempt, in Britain, to introduce a viable commercial Christmas card.\(^{543}\) An advertisement for an auction of “assorted stationery,” includes only two types of greeting cards: Valentines and Christmas cards.\(^{544}\) Christmas cards were, however, rarely advertised in the 1860s,\(^{545}\) first becoming more prevalent during the next decade. An 1871 advertisement, which included Christmas Cards in a list of possible “Christmas Presents,”\(^{546}\) shows that, unlike today, the card was not then necessarily given in addition to a Christmas present. Like the Valentine, it could very well be the present; a gift in its own right.

### The Card as Gift

Such Christmas gifts might simply have been “an expression of family emotion.”\(^{547}\) Jerome K. Jerome noted that, in theory, presents were the “outward and visible symbol of an inward and spiritual graciousness – an expression of kindly feeling and affection.”\(^{548}\) However, ever since Marcel

---


\(^{544}\) Daily Southern Cross (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” September 10, 1862, p.2. Other types of cards were introduced later. Easter cards were reported as being introduced in London in 1875 [New Zealand Tablet (Dunedin, NZ), “News in Brief,” July 2, 1875, p.12], whilst Birthday cards appear first in New Zealand in 1870, [Wellington Independent (NZ), “Advertisements,” February 17, 1870, p.5]. However, the next such reference does not occur until 1877. It is described later as the fashion between Valentines and postcards, [Marlborough Express (Blenheim, NZ), “Through a Woman’s Specs,” February 13, 1909, p.5], and *The Times* reported it as developing concurrently with the Christmas card. [The Times (London, UK), “Christmas Cards,” December 25, 1883, p.5.] Otherwise I have found no other evidence relating to this. The history of birthday cards appears to be thoroughly under-researched, particularly since it is the first non-holiday related greeting card, thus having a year-round market like the scrap.

\(^{545}\) An 1864 stationer’s advert for E. Wayte in Auckland is more typical in not mentioning Christmas cards, and instead focusing on books and fancy goods as being the appropriate Christmas gifts. Daily Southern Cross (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” November 1, 1864, p.3.

\(^{546}\) Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Advertisements,” December 19, 1871, p.3.


\(^{548}\) Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Jerome K. Jerome on Giving Presents,” December 30, 1893, p.1. reproduced from *The Idler’s Club*. This wording indicates that gifts were being read in sacramental terms – being essentially the Anglican Book of Prayer’s definition of a sacrament as an “outward and visible sign of an inward and spiritual grace.” The same sacramental wording was applied to the aesthetic movement by Walter Hamilton, who used it about “Chippendale furniture, dados, old-fashioned brass and wrought iron work, medieval lamps, stained glass in small squares, and old china,” saying that they were “all
Mauss pointed out the significance of reciprocity in gift-giving, and the extent to which an unrequited gift – as in charity – is demeaning to the receiver.\textsuperscript{549} The relationship of the gift and the commodity has intrigued theorists of consumption.

In gift giving, a power relationship is created that, according to Roland Barthes, operates within the “delicate mechanisms of social exchange,” creating guilt on the part of the recipient,\textsuperscript{550} a guilt which has to be assuaged by reciprocal action. Central to this type of gift exchange is formal ritual, which, Bourdieu maintains, transmutes the raw commodity into an item of “symbolic capital” capable of sustaining its power-inflected relations.\textsuperscript{551} Today, such a ritual might take as straightforward a form as encasing an object in wrapping paper, thus emphasising both the surprise of the exchange, and its difference from the straightforward commodity.\textsuperscript{552} To give an item unwrapped is to emphasise its similarity to a commodity, and doing so bespeaks a lack of care and appreciation on the part of the giver, since the gift necessarily prioritises the intentions of the giver rather than the receiver.\textsuperscript{553} A simple envelope, with a name written on it, is ritual enough to counteract this effect. Indeed, this may explain the alacrity with which both manufacturers and consumers embraced the envelope once the pricing disincentive to its use was removed by the 1840 Penny Post regulations,\textsuperscript{554} and the fact that these envelopes were heavily decorated [e.g. Figure 19 and Figure 20].\textsuperscript{555} A decorated envelope was able to emphasise the gift factor of its contents. Letters must have had a similar gift quality. Early immigrant correspondence contained frequent complaints about lack held to be the outward and visible signs of an inward and spiritual grace and intensity.” [Walter Hamilton, \textit{The Aesthetic Movement in England} (London: Reeves and Turner, 1882), p.34.] The word ‘intensity’ is the one ‘aesthetic’ addition here.


\textsuperscript{553} Stebbins, \textit{Leisure and Consumption: Common Ground / Separate Worlds}, pp.3-4.

\textsuperscript{554} Brady, ”Postcards and History,” p.849. Prior to 1840, letters were charged per sheet. Daunton, \textit{Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840}, p.6. Envelopes were regarded as a separate sheet – thus doubling the price of sending a simple message.

\textsuperscript{555} Staff, \textit{The Picture Postcard and its Origins}, p.31.
of reciprocation,\textsuperscript{556} attesting to letters carrying an obligation to reply, laced with guilt-producing undertones if neglected.

These ideas can be applied to both Valentines and Christmas cards. As Schmidt notes, New Year’s and Valentine’s day gift giving were the precursors of later developments towards holiday consumption,\textsuperscript{557} and Valentine’s cards developed into the recognised gift for the holiday. Nevertheless, nineteenth century Valentines, by being sent anonymously,\textsuperscript{558} play with the one-to-one obligations of reciprocity. A good deal of the enjoyment of the tradition must have come from the tension between the obligation created by being given a card, and the uncertainty created by not knowing to whom one was obliged – even if some spoilsports did sign their cards, to ensure the obligation was clear. In doing so, however, users of Valentines, and later of Christmas cards, negated one of the fringe benefits for receivers of the highly decorated but non-specific commercial cards: their ability to be reused.

The question of signing cards presented manufacturers with a dilemma. The increasingly intricate detail of the Valentines of the 1840s and 1850s made them expensive and generic, and therefore able to be treated – and priced – as gifts. However these same qualities left them, if unwritten on, open to being recycled, thus dampening sales potential. It would take quite some time for this contradiction to be resolved. Early Christmas cards would similarly vacillate between dual identities as utilitarian objects of greeting and \textit{objets d’art} which would be spoiled by any inscription [e.g. Figure 61].

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.49\textwidth]{christmas_card}
\caption{Reverse of 1880s Christmas card. This is the reverse of an unsigned decorative ‘Xmas’ card. The front has a plush window, and another similar image to this beneath it. The silk fringe is typical of the most expensive cards. Cards like this were being advertised in Auckland in 1883. Author’s collection.}
\end{figure}

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{556} Gerber, "Epistolary Ethics: Personal Correspondence and the Culture of Emigration in the Nineteenth Century," p.11.
\bibitem{557} Schmidt, \textit{Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays}, p.32.
\bibitem{558} Staff, \textit{The Valentine & its Origins}, p.44.
\end{thebibliography}
Artistic cards were often highly decorated on both sides, fringed, and too three-dimensional for pasting into scrapbooks like the less expensive flat, single-sided cards. Even amongst these, a December 1880 example inscribed from Aunt Emma to Ethel is atypical [Figure 63]. Not until the early 1880s, if the following newspaper comment is to be believed, were errant consumers able to be schooled into the single-use approach:

An innovation upon the practice of former years, which was evidently the happy thought of a Christmas card dealer, has become fashionable this time; it is now en règle for the sender to inscribe his or her name or initials upon the cards, and the card which formerly would have done duty half-a-dozen times has now to rest after one transmission through the post.

This is suggestive of a firming of the links between gift culture and commodity culture. In a pure gift culture, recycling would not matter, since it would be the giving that was important. Commodity culture however demands novelty, and this single use of cards fits with a solidifying of gift etiquette, emphasising what Schmidt saw as the “respectability and sophistication” associated with it.

Commercialising Christmas Ritual

In retrospect, given the way that the Valentine blossomed in tandem with middle class culture, it seems strange that it took until well into the 1870s for the Christmas card to make its presence felt at a wider cultural level. Thirty years earlier, in 1843, when Henry Cole published something over a thousand copies of a Christmas greeting [Figure 62], he ought to have been initiating a highly profitable commercial trend. The commercial Valentine was taking off, and Art manufacture was a hot topic. Charles Dickens’ A Christmas Carol, the book that would sweep aside lingering puritan censure of the holiday, had been published that year, building on

---

559 The lack of dated Christmas cards from this period makes studying the Christmas card craze particularly difficult. As such, from a research point of view, the development of habits of inscription was helpful.


561 Schmidt, Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays, p.32.

562 Ibid., pp.96-7.


the popularity of the Christmas sections in Dickens’ earlier *Pickwick Papers*.\textsuperscript{565}

Prior to the 1840s, although by no means extinct, Christmas celebrations had been waning, but Ronald Hutton credits Dickens, the growing influence of the Oxford movement’s emphasis on ritual and symbolism, and an increased middle class focus on the family and family rituals with laying the groundwork for the holiday’s 1840s revival.\textsuperscript{566} To this, John Storey adds both the period’s ‘Merrie England’ nostalgia and the linking of Christmas cheer with an emphasis on charity – the element that assuaged guilt about the increasingly consumerist focus.\textsuperscript{567} On virtually every level Cole’s card fits with this prescription. The design has no obvious religious elements, instead depicting a jovial family feast, surrounded by images of feeding and

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{christmas_card.jpg}
\caption{Figure 62: John Calcott Horsley, 1843, design for Henry Cole’s first Christmas card. This lithographic card was published by Summerly’s Home Treasury Office. Felix Summerly was Henry Cole’s designer pseudonym. Source: Wikimedia Commons. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/}
\end{figure}

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{565} Hutton, *The Stations of the Sun: A History of the Ritual Year in Britain*, p.113. Hutton notes that it was the *Pickwick Papers* from 1837 that initiated the revival, but that the *Christmas Carol* was the work that broke through the puritan reluctance.

\textsuperscript{566} Ibid., pp.113-4. See also White, “Making Time for Family: The Invention of Family Time(s) and the Reinvention of Family History,” p.9. Another White discussing Christmas (Gleeson White) in 1895 would credit Dickens for the revival of Christmas sentiment, along with Prince Albert’s introduction of the Christmas tree. White, *Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers*, p.9.

\textsuperscript{567} Storey, “The Invention of the English Christmas,” pp.29, 23.
clothing the poor, within a rustic frame,\textsuperscript{568} with text on a banner. Rationally, it ought to have been a success, yet the commercial Christmas card sank with barely a trace, not to be reprised effectively until Goodall’s cards in the 1860s.

It seems particularly strange that the commercial opportunities of that first Christmas card were not exploited, given that, as Storey maintains, “Christmas was invented first and foremost as a commercial event.”\textsuperscript{569} At a shilling each,\textsuperscript{570} Hutton argues that Cole’s cards were too expensive,\textsuperscript{571} but since middle class consumers would soon happily pay similar prices for Valentines and cartes-de-visite,\textsuperscript{572} this explanation would only hold water if consumers were distinguishing between what they were prepared to pay for a gift and a card.\textsuperscript{573} On such a reading, Cole’s error may thus have been inserting a line for the sender to sign on, thereby emphasising the card’s role as a communication and diminishing its potential as a gift.

Whatever the ultimate cause, the Christmas card did not initially figure in the developing Christmas ritual of gift giving and conviviality which the commercialisation of the holiday inspired,\textsuperscript{574} and would have to wait a generation for the idea to properly take root.\textsuperscript{575} By this time, the family Christmas that Cole depicted had become firmly embedded.\textsuperscript{576} Indeed, the holiday had now taken on an established role as a time of peace, friendship, charity and reconciliation. In this context, handshaking increasingly began

\textsuperscript{568} Gleeson White describes the style of its trellis as “Germanesque,” White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.9. This was a style that would have had positive connotations given Prince Albert’s background.
\textsuperscript{569} Storey, “The Invention of the English Christmas,” p.20.
\textsuperscript{570} Buday, The History of the Christmas Card, p.6.
\textsuperscript{571} Hutton, The Stations of the Sun: A History of the Ritual Year in Britain, p.115.
\textsuperscript{572} Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.43.
\textsuperscript{573} One of the particularly aggravating things about collecting cartes-de-visite is that they were almost never labelled, so one cannot normally determine who is represented. Thinking of such cards as a gift helps explain why family and friends giving cartes-de-visite did not autograph them whilst stage performers (who used them as advertising, not gifts) did. On such theatrical cards, see Maria-Elena Buszek, “Representing "Awarishness": Burlesque, Feminist Transgression, and the 19th-Century Pin-Up,” TDR 43, no. 4 (1999), and Kelly, "Beauty and the Market: Actress Postcards and their Senders in Early Twentieth-Century Australia.”
\textsuperscript{574} Schmidt, Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays, p.32.
\textsuperscript{575} On the cards of the 1840s and 1850s, such as they are, see Buday, The History of the Christmas Card, pp.42-3.
\textsuperscript{576} Hutton, The Stations of the Sun: A History of the Ritual Year in Britain, p.115.
to play a role in Christmas ritual, as can be seen in the following beginning to an 1871 poem advertising Jameson’s Co-operative store:

Christmas! Holy Christmas! It comes but once a year,
With sympathies so blessed – with memories so dear;
It comes to cheer our spirits, so let us greet it now
With every kindly feeling, with peace on every brow;
And let kind hands in friendship join, and cheerful voices greet
The friends and neighbours round our board who in re-union meet.577

In this emphasis on the hand of friendship, Christmas can be seen assimilating rituals from the New Year, a festival which had traditionally been centred on friendship rather than family,578 and where part of the process of starting the New Year amicably and with equality involved shaking hands.579 As mentioned on page 97, by 1890 the Christmas handshake would be sufficiently established for the phrase ‘hands across the sea’ to be applied metaphorically to the process whereby New Zealanders sent “seasonable greetings” along with “tokens of love and goodwill for transmission by post” back from the Antipodes to “Friends at Home.”580

Given the apparent strength of this association, it makes sense that hands would appear early in the symbolism of the Christmas card. Wellington’s Evening Post reported in 1882:

We have received from Messrs. Whittaker Brothers some exceedingly artistic specimens of hand-coloured photographic Christmas and New Year’s cards. Year by year these pretty souvenirs seem to become more and more “things of beauty,” if not exactly “joys for ever.” In one of the specimens before us the latest craze at Home – hand-photography – has been utilised in a very effective manner, a photograph of clasped hands, surrounded by roses, and surmounting

---

578 Hutton, The Stations of the Sun: A History of the Ritual Year in Britain, p.122. Note, however, that there were significant variations in how these holidays were celebrated. Clarke, Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand, pp.14-15. John Story also argues that it was the “working man’s holiday of preference.” Storey, “The Invention of the English Christmas,” p.20.
579 Clarke quotes Mary Taylor as attending a Church service at New Year and recalling “the cordial shake with all, native alike so hearty & genuine.” Clarke, Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand, p.92. And an 1806 New Year poem has the chorus “In friendship then our hands let us join, And love one another As brother and brother, Still true to Old England and Brunswick’s great line.” Ipswich Journal (UK), “A Bumper Song,” February 22, 1806, [no page].
580 Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Seasonable Greetings to Friends at Home,” December 24, 1890, p.3
the motto, “Should auld acquaintance be forgot?” forming about as pretty and appropriate a Christmas card as it is possible to conceive.\textsuperscript{581}

Cards from this period are difficult to date, and this is therefore the only indubitable example of clasped hands symbolism in Christmas cards before the 1890s. It is significant that the writer deemed “appropriate [as] a Christmas card” a card that uses an image drawn from traditional New Year ritual, and a text that comes from a Robert Burns poem that to this day is still associated with New Year hand clasping. The festival of Christmas seems to have been omnivorous,\textsuperscript{582} and the Christmas card benefitted from this. Nevertheless, other factors also underpinned its rapid rise in popularity.

The Beginnings of the Christmas Card Craze

In addition to increasingly settled Christmas rituals, Buday points to both the technical capacity to mass-produce quality cards, and the propensity for “hoarding beauty” in albums as being amongst the reasons that helped the Christmas card develop into a craze by the end of the 1870s.\textsuperscript{583} Several of the laws that had previously kept the price of paper-related objects high had also been repealed, allowing a broader culture of literacy to develop.\textsuperscript{584} On the other hand, there were still potentially limiting factors. Christmas was seen as being too close to Valentine’s day,\textsuperscript{585} and cards tended towards the expensive. For example, when in 1879, Wellington firm Dinwiddie, Walker & Co. took possession “from London, [of] four cases of goods suitable for Christmas presents,” the cards ranged from a pricey threepence to five shillings.\textsuperscript{586} Christmas cards during the 1870s were increasingly large and complex,\textsuperscript{587} with the relative expense allowing the card to be seen as a gift on its own. Most crucially for the card’s growth, however, was the

\textsuperscript{582} This is probably explained by the fact that the twelve days of Christmas (December 25-January 5) includes the New Year.
\textsuperscript{583} Buday, \textit{The History of the Christmas Card}, p.42.
\textsuperscript{584} John Springhall, “The ‘Penny Dreadful’ Publishing Business in the City of London from 1860,” \textit{Historian}, no. 103 (2009): p.15. He specifically notes the 1853 removal of advertisement duty, the 1855 demise of newspaper stamp duty, and finally the 1861 removal of paper excise duty. Newspapers and books were the immediate beneficiaries.
\textsuperscript{585} Buday, \textit{The History of the Christmas Card}, p.58.
\textsuperscript{587} Buday, \textit{The History of the Christmas Card}, p.89.
significant improvement in the speed of postal services that occurred between the 1830s and 1860s,\textsuperscript{588} facilitating the posting of cards and gifts to friends and family anywhere in the world.\textsuperscript{589}

The first intimations of a tipping point for the Christmas card can be seen at Christmas 1876. For the first time the British Postal Service was reported as being stretched by the unexpected volume of cards, resulting in much of the mail from Edinburgh and London missing the boats to New Zealand.\textsuperscript{590} Businesses were not best pleased. While they routinely mixed personal and business communications in the course of their network maintenance,\textsuperscript{591} they had traditionally considered the postal service as primarily an organ of government and business\textsuperscript{592} (a point that needs to be factored in when discussing the relationship between the Post Office and postcards).\textsuperscript{593} The \textit{Otago Daily Times} complained that “it seems absurd that important business communications should be detained for a fortnight for such things as these.”\textsuperscript{594} Such a reaction suggests that Barry Shank may be overstating his case in arguing that “Christmas cards were able to merge the sensuous social actions of holiday gift exchange with the process of evaluating

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{588} Yrjö Kaukiainen, "Shrinking the World: Improvements in the Speed of Information Transmission, c.1820-1870," \textit{European Review of Economic History} 5, no. 01 (2001): p.1. Kaukiainen argues that it was primarily the introduction of coastal steamers that improved delivery times, with this supported later by the railways.
\item \textsuperscript{589} Clarke, \textit{Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand}, p.63.
\item \textsuperscript{590} Otago Daily Times (Dunedin, NZ), “News From Home,” March 6, 1876, p.3.
\item \textsuperscript{591} Gordon Boyce and Simon Ville, \textit{The Development of Modern Business} (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave, 2002), pp.60-1.
\item \textsuperscript{592} Bannet, \textit{Empire of Letters: Letter Manuals and Transatlantic Correspondence, 1688-1820}, pp.9-10. This was overtly stated in the 1838 report of a Commission examining the Postal Service, which said that “the safe and speedy conveyance of letters, for the benefit of trade and commerce, was the primary consideration with the Government on the first establishment of the General Post Office.” Quoted in Daunton, \textit{Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840}, p.17.
\item \textsuperscript{593} Gillen and Hall’s calculation that 74% of the British Civil Service in 1914 were employed by the Post Office, for example, needs to be contextualised in relation to the Post being the primary conduit of business, as well as of personal communication. [Gillen and Hall, “Any Mermaids? Early Postcard Mobilities,” p.45.] Daunton documents changes between 1875-1900 with letters dropping from 80.4% to 66.9% of the total post, and postcards and printed papers rising from 19.6% to 33.2%. He believed that most post before 1840 was business related, but private usage grew thereafter. [Daunton, \textit{Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840}, pp.72,79.] Nevertheless, since postcards before 1900 were extensively used by businesses, and there is no way of distinguishing between business and personal use of letters and postcards in the statistics, I have found no clear way of establishing how much of the Post Office’s activity revolved around private communications, but it is likely that it was well under half of the total.
\item \textsuperscript{594} Otago Daily Times (Dunedin, NZ), “News From Home,” March 6, 1876, p.3.
\end{itemize}
kinship and business networks.” Admittedly, America embraced the chromolithographic trade card and business card far more than England,

but although there are occasional references in the 1880s and 1890s to New Zealand firms sending Christmas cards, discussion in the press at the time treats the card as social phenomenon between individuals and not businesses. It therefore seems reasonable to argue that the networking rituals that clogged the 1876 mails were more those of the greetings card than the business card.

The numbers that caused this initial glut were small by later standards. The next year, it was reported that 700,000 cards were delivered in London, showing that by no means all Londoners had yet caught the Christmas Card bug. One such sceptic was a correspondent to *The Times* who characterised the Christmas Card as a “social evil,” and said it was an inconvenience “like the ‘Boat-race’, and the ‘Harrow and Eton match’, and will, I trust, disappear as suddenly as “spelling bees” and most of the [roller-skating] rinks.” After proclaiming the demise of two institutions that have continued to this day, he proceeded to paint the sending of Christmas cards as a female practice by arguing that “when Mary Ann the maid can boast of as many Christmas cards as her mistress or the young ladies, it will soon go out of favour.”

Grinches notwithstanding, over the next few years, the Christmas card would further enrich the Postal Department at the expense of business communications. Initially, as indicated by the 1877 *Times* correspondent, Christmas card users were those who had servants, rather than those who

597 In 1882, the fact that a business man was giving a Christmas card to all his customers was news enough to be noted in the paper. Marlborough Express (Blenheim, NZ), “Local and General News,” December 16, 1882, p.2.
598 For example, the Te Aroha News (NZ), “Our London Flaneur,” March 12, 1887, p.3 notes an increase of four million Christmas cards on the number sent the year previous, but irritatingly does not give totals.
599 West Coast Times (Hokitika, NZ), “General News,” April 9, 1878, p.3.
601 Ibid. p.5.
602 *The Times* reported in 1883 that the Christmas post at London’s chief post office alone was worth £58,000 to the Post Office. The Times (London, UK), “Christmas Cards,” December 25, 1883, p.5.
were servants. And, as a primarily middle class phenomenon, it received a level of press coverage from *The Times* and other society mouthpieces far beyond what the postcard would be accorded 20 years later.

An 1883 article is useful in summing up the state of the card at this point. It remarks on the prevalence of the Christmas card custom and then notes that “the simple and inexpensive trifles that did their duty well enough some 30 years ago are fast passing away and surrendering their position to the higher claims of art.” Acknowledging that some will find the cards to be “so much worthless sentiment,” it makes a case for the card’s “moral benefits” as well as its “development of a new department of art.” If German cards were cheap, the writer believed that “all the more artistic and highly-finished cards are the result of English workmanship.” Christmas card usage was seen as a universal custom, with cards “sold at a range of prices calculated to meet any pocket.” Nevertheless, the writer concluded, with a degree of unacknowledged patriotic intent, that “at the present time the more artistic and highly finished cards, and consequently more expensive, find even a more ready sale than those of a cheaper description.” It is clear that, at this point, Art was providing the central discourse for driving Christmas card manufacture. Henry Cole may have retired in 1873, but

---

603 A good indicator of the period during which the Christmas Card held high cultural capital is provided by the firm De La Rue. The only firm to still be trading under its original name, (now concentrating on security printing for areas like banknotes), De La Rue seem to have consistently stayed close to political patronage, and their period of activity in Christmas cards of 1875-1885 matches the period when it was a fashion of the upper echelons. On the firm, see Buday, *The History of the Christmas Card*, pp.67-8.


605 Ibid. p.5.

his project of merging art and manufacture for moral uplift was still alive, albeit now under challenge from differing views on art.\footnote{Cohen, Household Gods: The British and their Possessions, pp.76-7.}

\section*{Art for the Leisured Masses: Aesthetic Reform and the Christmas Card}

In 1884, the Auckland Society of Artists organised a competition for Christmas Card designs. After reviewing the resulting exhibition of entries, the \textit{New Zealand Herald} recommended that the exhibition run for longer, and be opened free from 4-6pm. Following the lead of Mechanics Institutes, and like other Arts Societies, they believed in the educational benefits of art,\footnote{Warren Feeney, "The Establishment of the Canterbury Society of Arts: Forming the Taste, Judgement and Identity of a Province, 1850–1880," \textit{New Zealand Journal of History} 44, no. 2 (2010): pp.176-8. Feeney concludes, p.186, that for its proponents, the progress of art was seen as an indicator of New Zealand’s progress. Michael Smythe echoes this, noting that the design reform agenda of using art to improve manufacture was prevalent within the colonial government. Michael Smythe, \textit{New Zealand by Design: A History of New Zealand Product Design} (Auckland, New Zealand: Godwit, 2011), pp.52-3.} and hoped that:

\begin{quote}
the working classes might have the opportunity of availing themselves of the free admission. This step could not fail to popularise Art among the masses,\footnote{An article in the \textit{Auckland Star} the next year, explaining why “Auckland does not appear to have roused much enthusiasm” for the Mackelvie bequest to the Auckland museum is useful for seeing the extent to which art was seen as irrelevant by self-professed “philistines.” According to the author, “art, you see, is so unpractical, so wanting in utility….What we want in the colonies is something to make money out of.” \textit{Auckland Star (NZ)}, “Random Shots,” August 8, 1885, p.4.} which is one of the objects the society is endeavouring to achieve.\footnote{First prize in the competition was won by a man, but all other winners were women. \textit{New Zealand Herald} (Auckland), “Auckland Society of Arts,” November 7, 1884, p.5.}
\end{quote}

Here, three discourses overlap: those of class, art and leisure. As Jim McAloon points out, class was central to the social organisation of New Zealand colonial society,\footnote{Jim McAloon, "Class in Colonial New Zealand: Towards a Historiographical Rehabilitation," \textit{New Zealand Journal of History} 38, no. 1 (2004): p.21. This article examines historiographical arguments about the extent to which class was a fundamental construct in nineteenth century New Zealand. There are competing views about this but McAloon’s position is convincing. Please note that the pagination given here relates to the online version of this article, which contains material omitted from the original printed copy.} and the middle class assumption in the above quote is that the “masses” would want to take the opportunity to see the
artwork. However, only workers with an eight hour day would have had the leisure to attend an exhibition at these hours during the week.

The Role of Leisure

New Zealand had, from the start of its settlement, a relatively benign set of principles in the relationships between masters and servants,\textsuperscript{612} and the eight hour day provided its ideal. Otago workers were lured to New Zealand on a promised reduction of the working day from ten to eight hours, and they rigorously observed an eight hour day from the beginnings of settlement in the 1840s.\textsuperscript{613} It appears elsewhere over the next few years, but implementation was ad hoc and neither universal, nor consistent.\textsuperscript{614} Two years prior to the Society of Arts’ competition, there had been large-scale eight-hour day demonstrations in Auckland [Figure 64], but although definitive eight-hour day legislation remained elusive,\textsuperscript{615} workers could increasingly expect to have leisure time.

Leisure seems to have held a particularly key role in New Zealanders’ identities.\textsuperscript{616} Tanja Bueltmann, for example, after an extensive study of Scottish immigrants, concluded that their cultural cohesion was primarily gained through leisure (as opposed to American Scots who found identity through philanthropy), citing institutions like Caledonian games.\textsuperscript{617} In spread-out rural communities, leisure activities like dances, helped to cement social and friendship networks.\textsuperscript{618} and

\textsuperscript{613} Bert Roth, 	extit{Trade Unions in New Zealand: Past and Present} (Wellington, New Zealand: Reed, 1973), p.4.
\textsuperscript{615} Ibid., pp.10-16.
Caroline Daley found communal leisure activities to be a constant of the small-town social life she studied. Roy Rosenzweig’s classic study of working class leisure in industrial America found a similar emphasis on collective leisure activities, highlighting such activities as the saloon, the cinema, the picnic and the park as venues for shared free-time. In the early stages of the Industrial revolution, workers tended to opt for increased opportunities for collective socialisation ahead of working longer hours to pay for additional consumer items. Leisure and consumption, therefore, did not initially overlap, but increasingly connected over time. The leisure consumption that Rosenzweig concentrates on, however, relates largely to liquor. Such preferences were where working class and middle class attitudes to leisure diverged, even as Friedrich Engels was despairing over the increasing conflation of the British bourgeoisie and the proletariat. Pleasure, for the middle classes, was deeply suspect, and working class pleasure had the potential to be loud, boisterous and public. For most of the century, the middle classes subscribed to a doctrine around leisure described as “rational recreation,” a set of ideas which emphasised physically disciplined and morally improving activities in the place of the earlier lax practices associated with street games, drinking, gambling and

622 Ibid.
623 Rosenzweig, Eight Hours for What We Will: Workers and Leisure in an Industrial City, 1870-1920, pp.46-9.
624 One tactic was to regard working class preferences as remnants of a more barbaric past. “Fireworks are a survival of our pre-Adamite instincts, just as are picnics and camping-out and the love of the drum as a musical instrument,” argued the Nelson Evening Mail (NZ), “Weekly Whispers,” May 12, 1900, p.2. On Pre-Adamite thinking as it struggled to integrate religion and Darwinism, see David N. Livingstone, Adam’s Ancestors: Race, Religion and the Politics of Human Origins (Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press, 2008), pp.137-61.
626 Ibid., p.73.
festivals. Pleasure and leisure had to be separated, and attractive alternatives provided to supplant sensually degraded forms. This led to an increased emphasis on such activities as holidays (justified on health grounds), physical fitness, sport, and educational tourism. The idea was also applied to hobbies like collecting, whose educational aspects were noted on page 132. Studying nature, through such activities as the hugely popular fern-collecting craze, perfectly fitted the rational recreation prescription. Reading fiction had similarly been justifiable to eighteenth century puritans like Hannah More (whose ideas helped mould this middle class mind-set), only when it could “teach good principles.”

Where the working classes were concerned, moral guardianship around leisure activities like reading (a prerequisite for later postcard practice) was even stronger. Libraries that catered to this demographic were particularly slow to supply fiction and concentrated their collections on religious texts. In any case, British working class reading at this point remained the exception rather than the rule. Although the 1830s poor laws stressed education as a means of eradicating pauperism, it was not until 1870 that the Elementary Education Act, by providing universal public primary schooling, started to counteract the inequities in British education which had seen only half of British children attending school in 1850.

---

Between 1870 and 1900 working class illiteracy rates fell from almost 30% to under 5%.\(^{640}\) Through increased working class literacy, the British government hoped to increase levels of informed opinion in future voters, after franchise had been granted in the 1867 Reform Bill.\(^{641}\) They inadvertently created a much larger market for postcards.

In addition to the Education Act, 1870 would herald a Bank Holiday’s Act, substantially improving working class holiday making,\(^ {642}\) and it also saw the Married Women’s Property Act which gave women some rights over their own money, rather than keeping them beholden to their husbands.\(^ {643}\) This may explain why, from the 1870s onwards, women of all classes would be assiduously courted by British manufacturers trying to cope with the twin impacts of increasing mass production and steeper overseas tariffs.\(^ {644}\) Middle class women made up just over 10% of the population, whilst working class women accounted for almost 40%.\(^ {645}\) And since the working classes were highly segregated from the rest of society,\(^ {646}\) for manufacturers, the working class female consumer must have represented which had had compulsory education since 1763, could boast 97% school attendance. The military success of the Prussians in the late 1860s was one of the drivers for the Gladstone government’s decision to focus on improving literacy through this Act. They also needed to deal with the quality of teaching. In 1851, seven hundred teachers in working class schools, when sent a survey, signed with a cross. [Porter, ““Empire, What Empire?” Or, Why 80% of Early- and Mid-Victorians Were Deliberately Kept in Ignorance of It,” p.260.] Assuming that Porter’s figure – that the working classes made up around 80% of the population at the time – is correct, and that middle class children would have been going to school, that means that of the remaining working class 80% of the population, only 20-30% (around a quarter) were going to school.

\(^{640}\) David Vincent, “The Progress of Literacy,” ibid.45, no. 3 (2003): pp.413-4. This statistic related to both genders.


\(^{642}\) Barton, Working-Class Organisations and Popular Tourism, 1840-1970, p.88. By the 1880s the ubiquitous comic Ally Sloper’s Half Holiday was highlighting such leisure practices. Bailey, Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City, pp.47-79.

\(^{643}\) Cohen, Household Gods: The British and their Possessions, pp.104-5. She notes that this process was tentative, but considerably improved through additional 1882 legislation.


\(^{645}\) This is based on Porter’s figure of the working class making up 70-80% of the British population. Porter, ““Empire, What Empire?” Or, Why 80% of Early- and Mid-Victorians Were Deliberately Kept in Ignorance of It,” p.256.

\(^{646}\) Porter argues that the classes at this period were “distinct nations, entirely separated from one another.” Ibid., p.257.
something of an unknown quantity. Nan Enstad notes the trend to initially target reading and fashion as areas of working class female leisure that could be exploited through dime novels and inexpensive clothing.⁶⁴⁷ And large hats, she argues, became emblematic of working women’s aspirations.⁶⁴⁸ Symbolising the desire to be treated as a ‘lady’, such material items functioned “as powerful representations of female workers’ dignity.”⁶⁴⁹ In this new consumer environment, the shop girl would come to symbolise what Erika Rappaport describes as “a new feminine ideal that stressed youth, style, and performance.”⁶⁵⁰ Young women were targeted because, in leisure terms, before the advent of labour saving devices, working class women with both job and children had precious little leisure time at all.⁶⁵¹ When available, however, Enstad suggests that consumer leisure, allowed female workers to dream, albeit within tight social constraints.⁶⁵² The question is: how much was Art involved in those dreams?

Art and Improvement

Within middle class leisure, Deborah Cohen has argued that Art, with all the moral overtones that design reformers had bequeathed it, helped to provide a very compatible argument for consumption.⁶⁵³ Whilst the middle classes in general distrusted the commercialisation of leisure, Peter Bailey has noted that entertainments like the theatre were regarded as legitimate.⁶⁵⁴ Cohen sees Art in the same way, arguing that the middle class home during the later nineteenth century developed into a veritable “haven for art.”⁶⁵⁵ This formed part of what Ann Bermingham characterises as the modern period’s “aestheticisation of the self and the things of everyday life.”⁶⁵⁶

⁶⁴⁸ Ibid., p.10.
⁶⁴⁹ Ibid., p.16.
the 1880s, this attitude had reached sufficiently ubiquitous levels to warrant home-improvement newspaper advice columns.657

Art itself, however, was no longer a single discourse. During the decade, ‘art for art’s sake’ broke from art for the sake of morality and religion, with aesthetes like Walter Pater and Oscar Wilde treating beauty as a religion in its own right.658 Aesthetic apologist Walter Hamilton defined the ‘aesthetic movement’ as follows:

The essence of the movement is the union of persons of cultivated tastes to define, and to decide upon, what it to be admired, and their followers must aspire to that standard in their works and lives. Vulgarity, however wealthy it may be, can never be admitted into this exclusive brotherhood, for riches without taste are of no avail, whilst taste without money, or with very little, can always effect much.659

Taste had become an end in itself. Cohen notes, however, that although the elitist discourses of William Morris, Oscar Wilde and the aesthetes had a major influence on a limited portion of the upper-middle classes,660 it was the more popular (and distinctly anti-aesthete) “lady art advisor” newspaper columnists that played a central role promoting the dominant middle class approach.661 The home, under their prescription, would come to be seen an expression of the individual “personality” of its owner.662

If Wilde and the Aesthetic movement proved too extreme for mainstream middle class propriety,663 let alone the working classes, John Ruskin’s version of taste would remain a major inspiration for those who wanted to introduce beauty, morality and spirituality into working class leisure.664 Lucinda Matthews-Jones sees Ruskin as the philosophical inspiration behind the Whitechapel Fine Art Exhibitions,665 which were staged annually

658 Ibid., p.79.
661 Ibid., pp.64, 109-16.
662 Ibid., pp.111, 136-7. Peter Bailey sees this tendency as part of a move towards hedonism, seeing it as the triumph of self-development over self-sacrifice. Bailey, Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City, p.28.
665 Ibid.
between 1881-1898 by the Reverend Samuel Barnett and his wife Henrietta – exhibitions which aimed to mediate East London’s “spiritual poverty” through exposing the working classes to art.666 Like the 1884 Auckland Society of Arts exhibition, the Barnetts were probably drawing on Henry Cole’s approach at the South Kensington Museum, where, by opening the museum to the whole public, he envisaged earnest workers taking refuge from their mundane everyday toil in the experience of Beauty.667 Cole had subsequently, and with considerable support from East Enders, opened the East London Museum at Bethnal Green.668 This had proven popular, though Lara Kriegel argues that the working class discourse about the museum’s use supports Peter Bailey’s earlier contention that the nineteenth century working classes were prepared to accommodate the middle classes through “respectability,” but only on their own terms, and without relinquishing traditional pleasurable pastimes.669

It is, however, necessary to understand that the working-classes in the East End cannot be seen as a single entity, and that this has implications for the working class discourse of Art. In his grim 1894 novel A Child of the Jago, Arthur Morrison made it clear just how wide the cultural divisions were that the Barnetts were dealing with.670 He mercilessly parodied the efforts of the nominally fictional “East End Elevation Mission and Pansophical Institute” which, like the Barnetts, borrowed pictures to exhibit to East Enders, with resulting “revelations to the Uninformed of the morals ingeniously concealed by the painters.”671 However, the demographic for this mission was made up of “tradesmen’s sons, small shopkeepers and their families,

---

666 Ibid., p.385.
668 Ibid., p.181.
670 Arthur Morrison, A Child of the Jago (New York: Duffield, 1906 [1894]). In this novel, the child is unable to escape the cultural vortex of the East End, despite the best efforts of the only good character in the novel, the local priest. Although from Poplar in the East End himself, Morrison’s work was criticised for exaggerating the brutality of the Nichol (the area he modelled the Jago on), which was an enclave of the East End. Diana Malz, "Arthur Morrison, Criminality, and Late-Victorian Maritime Subculture," 19: Interdisciplinary Studies in the Long Nineteenth Century 13 (2011): pp.2-5.
and neat clerks, with here and there a smart young artisan.”

Morrison’s point was that the self-congratulatory reformers were actually dealing with an aspirational subset, the ‘petty bourgeois’ element of parts of the East End, and they completely missed the sordid realities of some of the working class people living in the “Jago.” Marc Brodie makes much the same point in analysing East End voting patterns, finding that the much vaunted “slum conservatism” in fact occurred in areas with strong artisanal and trade-based residents.

Yet even amongst this aspirational subset of East Enders, taste was not easy to mould. As Gleeson White noted in relation to Cole’s earlier South Kensington “Chamber of Horrors” project, faced with examples of good and bad design, “the public eagerly accepted new vices they had hitherto shunned only from ignorance of their existence.” Despite their bowdlerised selection of artworks, the Barnetts would discover something very similar, through having their Whitechapel audience vote for favourite pictures. Much to the organisers’ mortification, the voting demonstrated that, given the opportunity to choose between ‘good’ art and work of somewhat lesser merit, it was frequently the latter that was preferred, with sentimental and genre paintings being selected for reasons far from those of moral uplift. The Barnetts reported some of the voters’ idiosyncratic reasoning:

‘Because I liked him’, answered a big lad who voted for [General] Gordon’s portrait against the remonstrance of his women friends who thought it a ‘dull’ picture. ‘Because they’re lovely’, the elder sister said, who voted for ‘The Two Sisters’ (a picture with less artistic

---

672 Ibid., p.22.
673 Morrison’s description fits with Peter Bailey’s categorisation of the archetypal lower middle-class or ‘petty bourgeois’ occupations being the shopkeeper and the office clerk. [Bailey, “White Collars, Grey Lives? The Lower Middle Class Revisited,” p.276.] This is the same group that was earlier identified as the audience of melodramas and members of Friendly Societies. This group was very keen to promote itself as typical of the area, and this is why Lara Kreigel found such a strong reaction amongst the artisanal group to negative newspaper coverage about the locals at the opening of the Bethnal Green Museum in 1872. Kriegel, Grand Designs: Labor, Empire, and the Museum in Victorian Culture, pp.185-7.
675 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.18.
677 Ibid., p.401.
merit than almost any other)... ‘Because it is a comfort to mothers’ ‘Because it speaks likeness, and his eyes are so kind and friendly’ ‘because the dove’s flying straight’.\(^{678}\)

Much the same occurred in literature, where legislative attempts to ‘better’ working class literature at best resulted in a conservative appreciation of the classics (which were out of copyright and thus cheaper),\(^{679}\) and more often saw working class readers gravitate to the ‘Penny Dreadful’ (or ‘Dime Novel’) variety of sensational crime novel.\(^{680}\)

Art and the Christmas Card Craze

This dichotomy – between the poles of artistic ideals on the one hand and individual and class-based preference on the other – appears to play out similarly in the arena of the Christmas card, which, like the later postcard, utilises Art to justify a commercialised leisure activity.\(^{681}\) Gleeson White, whose study of Christmas cards was by far the most extensive contemporaneous discussion of card aesthetics, firmly situated himself on one side of the debate,\(^{682}\) but is nonetheless important. His work can serve as an overview of the substantive part of the Christmas card craze, as well as pinpointing several issues affecting Art Publishing in the years just prior to the postcard craze, and which would subsequently affect the dynamics of that trade.

White started with a meditation on whether the card had managed to merit the term “artistic,” concluding that whilst not yet at the level of coins or terracottas,\(^{683}\) the designs were decidedly more artistic than postage stamps

\(^{678}\) Samuel Barnett, quoted in Matthews-Jones. Ibid.

\(^{679}\) Rose, “A Conservative Canon: Cultural Lag in the British Working-Class Reading Habits,” pp.99-101. Rose notes that the first generation of English teachers following the 1870 education act tended to promote these classics, whilst not examining the more expensive modern literature. It seems likely that a similar propensity to promote the 1870s agenda would occur in art and design teaching.


\(^{681}\) This is just one aspect of what Rozenzweig calls “the gradual spread of commercialised leisure between 1870 and 1920,” though he frames this through more public forms, such as amusement parks. Rozenzweig, Eight Hours for What We Will: Workers and Leisure in an Industrial City, 1870-1920, pp.180-1.

\(^{682}\) He hints at being under editorial orders when he says “I am forbidden to illustrate here too many popular specimens which supply the antithesis to the Marcus Ward ideal.” White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.18.

\(^{683}\) This sense of an upper tier of collecting practices identifies some of the same genres that Mahoney locates as the discourses that would be dealt with in The Connoisseur. Mahoney,
and playbills, however in its poetry “the sentiment, though excellent in itself, is worn threadbare by repetition.”684 Within the art world, the Christmas card trade was significant because, during its glory years between 1878 and 1888, it became a significant patron of artists, with one publisher alone spending £7,000 in a single year on designs – thus providing work for Royal Academicians and aspiring younger artists alike.685 After 1888, however, White noted that the bulk of designing was “supplied by those who habitually work for colour printers,” and suggested that “instead of being almost wholly of British origin…the very large proportion of cards today are not merely “manufactured in Germany,” but designed there also.”686 Although he acknowledged that it was the introduction of cheap German embossed chromolithography that helped create the card’s popularity,687 White’s narrative is cast as one of progress and decline, noting that “Germany begins and ends the great period of popularity. Once cheapness is set against quality, the English are beaten.”688 He saw the decline as implicit not only in the card’s production values and price, but also in the fact that the volume of cards being sent turned it from a carefully personalised greeting to a formulaic one, a move from “amity” to “etiquette,” with the result that by 1895 the “practice is by no means so universal in “Society” as it was ten years since.”689

For White, the basic problem of conducting a survey of such a substantial trade as the Christmas card was one of “deciding where the borderline is to be drawn between the worthy effort and sheer inanity.”690 He wanted nothing to do with those mercenary manufacturers who “from the very first set themselves the one task of selling,” or those “who delight in producing


684 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.3.
685 Ibid., p.4.
686 Ibid., p.5. In arguing this, White must be assuming that anonymous card designs – and most of those I have encountered have been unsigned – were likely to be of German origin. This assumption is suspect – as I note below (page 228), anonymous work could equally relate to female and working class British artists.
687 Ibid., p.13.
688 Ibid., p.16.
689 Ibid., p.45. It is important to note the word “Society.” His comments do not relate to the numbers of sales – which appear to have grown during the 1890s, as the demographic base widened.
690 Ibid., p.13.
imitations of unlovely objects.” Publishers who “withstood the vulgar demand for novelty at any price” and got out of the business rather than publish “meretricious rubbish” were to be praised, whilst the followers of vulgar novelty were blamed for not utilising people like Aubrey Beardsley and keeping up with the “taste of the moment.” Novelty, it would appear, was acceptable to White as long as it conformed to educated rather than popular taste.

For White, beauty was at its best in the aesthetically inspired cards of Thomas Crane, which had a “certain simple treatment, obviously printed and aiming to be decorated pasteboard – no less and no more” [e.g. Figure 65]. Underpinning this view was the ‘fitness of purpose’ of Morris

---

692 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.22.
693 Ibid., pp.41-2.
694 This would play out very similarly in the fashion arena, through condemnation of “mashers” who dared to try and challenge middle class dress codes. Christopher Breward, The Hidden Consumer: Masculinities, Fashion and City Life 1860-1914 (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1999), p.234.
695 According to White, Thomas Crane was the Brother of Walter Crane. White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.17.
696 Ibid., p.8.
and of Cole. Indeed, he described the latter as “one of the best-abused men of the century.” He contended that the strength of such British work – contra the excesses of German colour printing – was its “simplicity, dignity, and absence of imitation.” White also followed Pugin in arguing that “an architectural, not a pictorial, aim was the correct one.”

While the Christmas card had the potential to become more artistic, there were structural obstacles, and White thought that he could identify the fly in the ointment that had stymied the card’s aesthetic progress. It was, surprisingly, not the manufacturer. The art editor in a Christmas card firm had most to fear from a different quarter, and White’s comments on this, in relation to class and organisation are significant enough to quote in full:

[The art editor] also has to face the prejudice and vulgar taste of a very important factor in the whole matter, the buyer for the trade. This personage, unlike an editor – the middleman for black-and-white art – usually meets his customers face to face and exchanges direct opinions with them. The ordinary buyer, drawn as a rule from the lower bourgeois class, has absolute ignorance of the traditions of art, but a very decided belief in his own ill-formed taste. He is ready enough to tell you, in unasked confidence, “that he knows nothing about art, but he knows what will sell.” Fancy yourself a manufacturer bent on improving your wares, be they carpets or cards, whose every effort to attain a higher standard in design is snubbed by the men whom you employ to sell them to the retail tradesmen, and you will criticise his actions less sharply! For it is evident that some such individual, whether called buyer or commercial traveller, comes between the manufacturer and the retailer in almost every instance. Not only has this personage to reckon with the taste of shopkeepers, which varies from the best to the worst, with a tendency to the latter, but he has also his own standard to defend. Hence he sells most readily not only those goods the average retail trader is most likely to choose for himself, but a great many others which, since they approve themselves to the vendor, he can recommend with sincerity.

---

697 This idea of fitness for purpose, generally seen as utilitarian, goes at least as far back as Edmund Burke who argues that the beauty of nature stems from its “fitness for any determinate purposes.” Burke, A Philosophical Enquiry into the Sublime and Beautiful, p.93.
698 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.10.
699 Ibid., p.9.
700 Ibid., p.18.
701 Ibid., p.11.
702 Ibid., pp.11-12.
White therefore regarded the salesman as the “needle’s eye, through which so much Applied Art has to pass ere it reaches the public,” arguing that neither the public (which got presented with predominantly weak wares) nor the manufacturers were ultimately to blame for the lack of artistic progress. Whether correct or no, White’s analysis is significant in identifying the strong strain of independent taste amongst the lower-middle class, one which prioritised individual preference, over historically-legitimated ideals. And although he refused to acknowledge that the buyer might actually understand the taste of the market rather better than any itinerant art critic, White’s characterisation of the manufacturer as being caught on the horns of an aesthetic dilemma appears largely correct.

When the public appreciate good design [the manufacturer] is delighted to give it them; but if they will have nothing but petty trifles, unless he can retire entirely from the manufacture, or turn his energies to other subjects — he must, for a while, like “Brer Rabbit,” lie low, and hope for new allies to rout the champions of the commonplace, who never cease their endeavour to drag down everything to their eminently respectable, but dull level of mediocrity, minute and uninteresting finish, and generally “pretty” ideal.

This dilemma – whether to be artistically credible, or commercially viable – would result in the White’s two favourite firms, Ward and De La Rue, taking the high road out of the business. The choice between art and the “pretty” commonplace favoured by the ‘respectable’ petty bourgeoisie would still face manufacturers of the picture postcard a few years later, but to a lesser degree. As will become apparent in the next section, by the time White was writing, manufacturers had very largely understood which side their bread was buttered, though the most skilful had managed to butter up both sides. Learning how to market the Christmas card effectively had

---

703 Ibid., p.12.
704 Ibid.
705 Ibid., p.35.
706 Ibid., pp.16, 21. He notes that Ward had largely abandoned the market, while De La Rue had left it completely.
707 “Pretty” was already a lesser form of praise. In 1882, the Timaru Herald noted that “the Christmas cards which at last Christmas were unanimously voted beautiful are now considered only pretty.” [Timaru Herald (NZ), “Display of Christmas Cards,” December 23, 1882, p.2.] By 1913, it had acquired decidedly negative connotations. The Evening Post quoted the Times as saying that “the fatal quality of an advertisement is ‘pretty-pretty,’ which is always a platitude to the eye, and sends it to sleep, as a platitude thought sends the mind to sleep.” Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Pretty-Pretty,” August 1, 1913, p.7.
not, however, come easily, still less when the increasing focus on colonial trade was factored in.

A Postcard Precursor: The Christmas Card Business

During the early days of the Christmas card trade, prior to 1880, New Zealand stationers’ advertising indicates that Christmas cards were imported as part of cases of generic Christmas goods or consignments of stationery, suggesting that the trade in cards was scattergun rather than specific, with New Zealand merchants engaging an external dealer to source goods.\textsuperscript{708} Hence, whilst British printers like Charles Goodall and Marcus Ward had been printing Christmas cards for some years, they seem to have used intermediaries to help them expand into the colonial market. There is no sense from the stationers’ advertising that these companies were recognised as a brand that could assist stationers in selling Christmas Cards – although from as early as 1862 the Marcus Ward name had been used to add value to other products such as ledgers.\textsuperscript{709}


Branding, at this point, was still an ad hoc affair in Britain, with no national registry of trademarks until 1876, and it would not be until the 1880s that businesses started to understand the benefits that branding brought. This may explain why retailers for the most part failed to advertise company names, relying instead on product descriptions. Equally, the companies themselves may not have defined the Christmas card as a separate or significant business category, with Ward’s wares often being described as simply “Marcus Ward’s fancy goods.” The only New Zealand 1870s retailer found promoting a specific brand of Christmas cards was Samuel Cochrane & Sons, who announced “a consignment of choice Christmas Cards, from the well-known house of De la Rue & Co.” Roy Church notes that this period’s entrepreneurs often struggled to locate their arena of business, and this could explain why there was a lack of specific brand awareness around an area like the Christmas card, which the manufacturers had little reason to assume would ever be more than a short-term fad. Thus, on the basis of the evidence available, it is reasonable to conclude that prior to 1880, in New Zealand at least, Christmas cards were treated as a sub-category of general stationery, that there was no clear strategy with regard to importing or marketing them, and that there were no companies that were specifically trying to corner this particular niche market. This would change during the 1880s.

By December 1880, the Pall Mall Gazette was able to list the names and addresses of fifteen principal Christmas card manufacturers, headed by Charles Goodall, the firm that had first mass-produced Christmas cards in 1862, and Thomas de la Rue. All of these firms were based in London,
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715 Buday, The History of the Christmas Card, p.61. Gleeson White places De La Rue alongside Marcus Ward as one of the pre-eminent firms, although their period of activity in
and the advertisement suggests cooperation between the manufacturers and a number of major retail outlets, which were also listed. This collective approach can perhaps be explained by the fact that German business had, since the mid-1870s, become fascinated with the cartel model.\textsuperscript{716} Such an influence from Germany is credible, since, as previously noted, a high proportion of Art Publishers had German roots – indeed five of the fifteen listed in the \textit{Pall Mall Gazette} advert appear to be of German origin.\textsuperscript{717}

Germans had an affinity for cultural forms that played to the domestic, rather than the public sphere,\textsuperscript{718} and Andrew Stephenson, discussing a slightly later period, has argued that Anglo-German art and design networks were stronger than previously believed.\textsuperscript{719} Certainly German bourgeois émigrés played a significant role in British manufacture, bolstered in no small part by Prince Albert’s German heritage.\textsuperscript{720} The largest ‘foreign’ minority group in England and Wales until 1891,\textsuperscript{721} they shared many of the cultural values of non-conformist manufacturers, with whom they found considerable common Anglo-Saxon ground.\textsuperscript{722} Cartel or no, the sense of a coherent group of card manufacturers would continue through to the postcard era, when the majority of the major postcard producers could be found located within a square mile in London’s East End,\textsuperscript{723} forming professional groups aimed at improving collective efficacy.\textsuperscript{724} Card


\textsuperscript{717} These are: Raphael Tuck, Hildesheimer & Faulkner, Bernhard Ollendorff, William Lukas and Herman Rothe.

\textsuperscript{718} Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910," p.137.


\textsuperscript{721} Ibid., p.575.

\textsuperscript{722} Ibid., pp.573-4. Westaway notes, on p.583, that the German Jewish influence was particularly large, and that these groups both inter-married, but also married into the commercial middle classes – with inter-marriage providing a particularly strong way of cementing ties within the manufacturing community.


\textsuperscript{724} Carline notes that the Association of Publishers of Picture Postcards was founded in 1906. Carline, \textit{Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard}, p.36. Byatt mentions
manufacturers thus appreciated what Veblen saw as the inevitable consequence of mechanisation: interdependence.  

This cosy set of publishing relationships evidently entailed social and religious ties as well. In 1883, Sigmund Birn married Minnie Tuck, daughter of Raphael Tuck, at the Great Synagogue in London. The wedding joined together two of the major Jewish Art Publishing firms, Birn Brothers and Raphael Tuck & Sons – who, as noted earlier, were already the leading producers of scraps, and would go on to be major HATS postcard producers [Figure 67]. Records that might have documented the conditions that these companies worked under are unfortunately non-existent, owing to the ‘postcard mile’ having been razed during the second world war, but during the Edwardian period, the East End was, according to George Sims, notorious for unscrupulous manufacturers, sometimes Jewish, exploiting immigrant Jews as “sweated” labour. This was particularly prevalent in the tailoring and furniture businesses,  

that the “Postcard Publishers’ Association” was wound up during the First World War, Byatt, *Picture Postcards and their Publishers*, p.104. Daniel Gifford quotes one of the objectives of the 1908 US Post Card Importers Publishers and Manufacturers’ Protective Association being “to promote harmony of feeling between the members which will lead them to work as a unit.” Gifford, “To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910,” pp.79-83.  


however I have found no evidence to suggest that the British card trade was involved in such blatant practices. There was some early exploitation of women – but within the small-scale photo-tinting and hand-made card areas respectively.\(^{731}\) And whilst some photographers used outsourced labour to colour their images, others, like Cynicus, had workers doing such work in-house.\(^{732}\) Overall, it was only hand-colouring and design which could be outsourced locally, since larger manufacturers like Raphael Tuck, as already discussed on page 149, tended to outsource their chromolithographic printing to Germany.\(^{733}\)

A Christmas Entrepreneur: Raphael Tuck & Sons

Raphael Tuck & Sons was listed fifth on the Pall Mall Gazette’s list of Christmas card publishers. They had started publishing Christmas cards in 1871,\(^{734}\) but had only received their first national press coverage for their cards in England in 1879,\(^{735}\) having previously been better-known for publishing other art-related items such as *Birket Foster’s Portfolio of Gems*.\(^{736}\) Nevertheless, by 1908, they were long established as perhaps the pre-eminent Art Publishing firm.\(^{737}\) Their letterhead from this year included an engraving of the factory and reviews of Tuck’s cards.\(^{738}\) They also listed offices in Paris, Berlin, New York and Montréal, and board members

---


733 This may be a case of Nike-like ‘out of sight out of mind’ outsourcing. Part of the reason that German cards were so cheap, according to the press at the time, was that wages in Germany were low. Hawke’s Bay Herald (Napier, NZ), “Boycotting Cheap Labour,” October 31, 1891, p.2.


736 Sheffield and Rotherham Independent (Sheffield), “Literary Notes,” December 19, 1878, [no page].


738 It is reproduced in Byatt, *Collecting Picture Postcards: An Introduction*, p.47.
including Sir Arthur Conan Doyle and Alfred Parsons ARA. Their product lines were given as:


It would, on reflection, be economic suicide to build a business around a seasonal product like the Christmas card or the Valentine. Art Publishers did not make that mistake. Judging by references to them in newspaper advertising, companies of the 1870s and 1880s like Ward, Goodall and De La Rue all maintained a diverse set of stationery offerings – no doubt as insurance against changes in fashion, but also because specialisation required a large enough market to sustain it. 740 The Christmas card clearly had not reached such a threshold. This is why Marcus Ward was marketing their generic stationery, rather than Christmas cards alone.

Because the literature tends to discuss products such as Christmas cards, scraps or postcards in isolation, the business ramifications of this multifarious Art Publishing manufacture seem to have gone unremarked. Nevertheless, it was precisely the ability of large companies like Raphael Tuck to offer a wide spectrum of products that allowed them to effectively market themselves overseas, and Tuck appears to have been the market leader in tapping the potential of the colonial market. 741 Over 200,000 people had emigrated from Britain to New Zealand during the 1870s, 742 providing a substantial pool of people who carried British rituals and traditions overseas, and could be expected to communicate home. For the purposes of understanding how Christmas card makers tapped into this

739 Ibid.
741 I base this on their being by far the most reported company in New Zealand and Australian newspapers.
market, and thereby established the international economic networks that paved the way for the international postcard trade, I particularly concentrate on Raphael Tuck, the most visible of the companies, rather than attempting a larger portrait of the business as a whole.

Tuck’s first marketing coup is well known, enhancing its reputation, as Byatt puts it, “to unassailable levels for sixty golden years,”743 and providing the Auckland Society of Artists with inspiration.744 In 1880 Tuck arranged a competition, judged by the most eminent academicians that they could involve,745 to find the best Christmas card designs. Nine hundred and twenty five entries were received, and the winners were exhibited at the fashionable Dudley Gallery.746 The monetary prize winners were of impeccable taste and consequently sold badly – as Gleeson White noted, sourly blaming the power of the “middle-man.”747 Tuck, however, also purchased a large number of other non-prize winning entries, which sold much better,748 and which may also, along with the winners, have been promoted as “prize designs” – a term widely used by manufacturers whose wares had won prizes at the various international Exhibitions.749 At all events, by 1881, with Tuck’s sample books offering one hundred and eighty

744 Also in 1880, Louis Prang, of Boston, announced a Christmas card competition, which allowed him to leap to the forefront of the American market. [Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture, p.99.] The next year, John Sands ran a similar competition for Australian-themed cards [Bay of Plenty Times (Tauranga, NZ), “Fine Art Competition in Sydney,” June 16, 1881, p.2], with somewhat less success. Neither affected the New Zealand market, however, as much as Tuck’s.
745 These included Sir Coutts Lindsay and Academicians H. Stacy Marks and G. H. Boughton. Pall Mall Gazette (London), “Reuter’s Telegrams,” August 18, 1880, [no page].
746 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.25. Byatt says five thousand entries were received, but White had access to Tuck in 1895, and is unlikely to have got facts like this wrong. Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.288.
747 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.25.
748 Ibid., pp.25-6. For an example of what White lists as the sixth prize winning card by K. Terrell “after the style of Kate Greenaway” and showing that the phrase “prize design” was printed onto the card itself, see Elizabeth Aslin, The Aesthetic Movement: Prelude to Art Nouveau (London: Ferndale, 1981), Fig.110.
749 Jennifer Black notes how this is often associated with companies visually displaying medals won at exhibitions on their advertising cards. [Black, "Corporate Calling Cards: Advertising Trade Cards and Logos in the United States, 1876-1890," p.301.] ‘Prize Design’ appears regularly in the New Zealand press, relating to designs that had won prizes in the various exhibitions that had been held from 1850 onwards. In this context, prize winning designs were a sign of international recognition.
sets, incorporating seven hundred designs,\textsuperscript{750} New Zealand retailers were for the first time advertising Christmas cards with Tuck’s prize designs.\textsuperscript{751}

The competition was thus the prelude to a marketing push. Tuck had understood that with the Christmas card there are two points of consumption: the purchaser and the receiver. Most of the 1880s Christmas cards I have collected have no publisher’s details on them because they were originally sold in packets, and the packets themselves were branded. Manufacturers who used this approach were thinking only about the initial purchaser. Tuck, along with Marcus Ward and Hildesheimer & Faulkner,\textsuperscript{752} were the British companies who most regularly seem to have put their brand name onto the card itself.\textsuperscript{753} This allowed the receivers of Christmas cards to become aware of the Tuck brand, and thus reinforced the “prize design” marketing strategy. It is therefore no coincidence that Tuck applied for a trademark at the end of 1880.\textsuperscript{754} In the light of their approach to the competition, it comes across as part of a considered push to create a defined brand, probably reflecting the influence of Raphael’s son, Adolph, who took over management of the firm in 1881, on his father’s retirement.\textsuperscript{755}

Tuck was the third company, after Ward and De La Rue to be examined by Gleeson White in his monograph. White was much more ambivalent in his treatment of Tuck compared to the first two. He noted the huge volume of Tuck’s output,\textsuperscript{756} and praised the company for attempting to involve Royal Academicians, as it had done in the 1880 competition, and when commissioning works during the 1882-83 period – though these were again

\textsuperscript{750} White, \textit{Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers}, p.25.
\textsuperscript{752} Hildesheimer and Faulkner also followed Tuck in putting on a competition, in 1882, which had £5,000 in prize money. White, \textit{Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers}, p.31.
\textsuperscript{753} This observation is only based on my non-comprehensive collection of around two hundred cards and on internet searching. The area needs further, more detailed research. Louis Prang, for example, was including copyright and publisher’s name on cards by 1876. See New York Historical Society Museum and Library, PR31, Bella C. Landauer Collection, shown in Marybeth Kavanagh, “Louis Prang, Father of the American Christmas Card,” \textit{From the Stacks: The N-YHS Library Blog}, December 19 (2012). http://blog.nyhistory.org/ [accessed December 26, 2012].
\textsuperscript{755} Ibid., p.289.
\textsuperscript{756} White, \textit{Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers}, p.25.
not well received by the public.\textsuperscript{757} He concluded that this experience demonstrated that “to commission an artist to go out of his way and prepare a scheme for work outside his sympathy is nearly always fatal,”\textsuperscript{758} and summed up the relatively short section on Tuck with a barbed comment:

The collector will find more of Raphael Tuck’s publications essential to complete his selection of typical cards, than any other single firm can offer; and at the same time, he will find those “he has no use for,” to employ an American idiom, are as likely to bear the well-known trademark of Raphael Tuck & Co. than that of any less known firm.\textsuperscript{759}

Part of the reason that White kept the section short was that his focus was on Christmas card artists, and with the exception of the competition cards and the Royal Academy commissions, very few of Raphael Tuck’s cards can be attributed to an artist. Whilst increasingly they carried the Raphael Tuck brand name prominently, they were artistically anonymous. White noted that “if two designs of equal merit [were] offered, the public preferred the work of the outsider to that of the honoured member of the Royal Academy,”\textsuperscript{760} and this perhaps gives a clue to the company’s thinking. The cultural capital associated with the Royal Academy had exchange value only within a limited section of the population, and this segment was being catered for by companies like Ward and De La Rue. Tuck’s particular skill seems to have been in broadening its market base, utilising the commercial vernacular to offer cards which suited popular taste [e.g. Figure 68], whilst at the same time projecting themselves as “artistic.” Nor did they have to go to Germany, as White implies,\textsuperscript{761} to find a pool of artists prepared to work anonymously. As Mirra Bank put it, in the title of her 1979 book, “anonymous was a woman.”\textsuperscript{762}

The Anonymity of the Greetings Card Artist

Dawn and Peter Cope, examining the artists who created children’s postcards, note that competitions, such as those run regularly through the 1890s by \textit{The Studio}, encouraged many young female artists to try

\textsuperscript{757} Ibid., p.26. \\
\textsuperscript{758} Ibid., p.27. \\
\textsuperscript{759} Ibid., pp.28-29. \\
\textsuperscript{760} Ibid., p.26. \\
\textsuperscript{761} Ibid., p.5 \\
\textsuperscript{762} Mirra Bank, \textit{Anonymous Was a Woman} (New York: St. Martin’s Press, 1979).
commercial art. Women had increasingly been entering the arts during the second half of the nineteenth century, finding it a socially acceptable alternative to governessing, and one that could be undertaken from home. Tuck later reported paying its unnamed top female artists between £700-£1000 per annum, with even their female in-house artists paid up to £3 per week. It thus seems likely that it was this mix of middle class professional women along, perhaps, with the working class students being turned out of Cole’s new design schools, that would have provided a group of artists willing (or obliged) to work on the publisher’s terms. Ellen Mazur Thomson observed that advertising artists of both genders were usually anonymous at this period, while John Hewitt noted the same tendency amongst publishers of posters (also often chromolithographic), as it suited these publishers to have images as part of their stock which could then be reused with modifications without recourse to the artist.

Contracting work to artists who worked speculatively from home was not restricted to the card industry. Wood engraver, John Whitfield Harland, complained in 1892 that freelance engravers were being exploited through capitalist employers always finding people prepared to undercut current

763 Dawn Cope and Peter Cope, Postcards from the Nursery: The Illustrators of Children’s Books and Postcards 1900-1950 (London: New Cavendish, 2000), p.25. Alan Young points out that the term ‘commercial art’ itself was only popularised in the twentieth century. Alan S. Young, “A Genealogy of Graphic Design in Victoria” (PhD, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia, 2005), p.78. This is supported by its occurrences in the New Zealand press.


767 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Art and Artists,” January 27, 1898, p.46. This is around three times a good labouring wage.

768 An article on advertising design within the lithographic printing trade gives the normal payment for a poster design at 7s 6d, and mentions that some of the best advertisement designs had been submitted by a clergyman. [Tuapeka Times (NZ), “A Curious Industry. Advertisement Designing,” June 28, 1893, p.3.] The same process of concept submission and 7s 6d payment are reported in 1899. Hudson, The Design and Printing of Ephemera in Britain and America 1720-1920, p.100.


prices. Such “outworking” could be used to isolate workers from any form of Union support. It is common to criticise the anonymity of commercial art in Marxist terms as being an example of commodity fetishism, with artists, in Drucker and McVarish’s words, having their “identities swallowed or erased by the system of production.” The signing of cards by companies, such as Tuck, rather than the artist, supports such an interpretation. Nevertheless, it is important to be aware that, in arguing this, our prioritising of individual identity is, in itself, a reflection of a set of middle class values that prioritise individual identity over collective contribution. Nowadays, Ben Highmore is probably correct to say that we regard art as leading the viewer “towards the authoring subject,” whereas anonymity is a property of the “document,” which leads us towards its subject matter rather than the author. Yet Rachel Buurma points out that nineteenth century print culture was much more comfortable with anonymous, non-individualistic, approaches than we are today. In literature, anonymous authorship, which had originated in “gentlemanly reticence,” remained relatively common throughout the nineteenth century. Steven Papson’s contention, that mechanised card production involves a move from authorship to anonymity, may therefore be overstated. Anonymous authorship within the card industry is not consistent between publishers, and when used may have allowed some artists to work commercially without affecting their social roles. It would also potentially have allowed publishers like Raphael Tuck to publish good ideas regardless

774 The signing of cards by companies rather than individuals is similarly noted within the photographic genre by Rosalind Krauss. Krauss, The Originality of the Avant-Garde and Other Modernist Myths, p.140.
of where they came from. Nevertheless, whilst one may argue as to whether individual instances are necessarily exploitative, the potential for manufacturers in such a system to impose their commercial priorities at the expense of the subjective needs of its employees, to control them by keeping them unknown, cannot be denied.

Raphael Tuck and the Colonial Trade

Peter Bailey, somewhat unkindly, describes the emerging nineteenth century popular culture industry as “a rogue branch of liberal capitalism whose operations may at one and the same time match or surpass the Fordist or Taylorist aspirations of manufacturing industry, while retaining a populist address akin to the pseudo-gemeinschaft of the publican and the prostitute.” The card industry may indeed have exploited its artists – albeit whilst providing them with opportunities – but this industry’s mode of address was almost the direct opposite of Bailey’s familiarity. Buday, discussing the period’s advertising, said that “if the words “Christmas card” were replaced by the name of some more decisive human achievement, these essays would still sound rather pompous today! They quoted Ruskin and spoke of art with a capital A.”

Tuck were particularly successful at getting their cards reviewed in newspapers, becoming the go-to company for journalists wanting to discuss the trade, and in the 1890s particularly, relative to other manufacturers, they received disproportionately large numbers of copy-inches

779 This was the complaint of the Magazine of Art. Quoted in the Bruce Herald (Milton, NZ), “The Artist, ‘Pure’ and ‘Applied’,” April 12, 1889, p.5.
780 Bailey, Popular Culture and Performance in the Victorian City, p.10. He defines this popular cultural industry as “the new pub, the music hall, the theatre, and the popular press.”
782 This is the reason it has been possible to determine something of Tuck’s tactics. No other company has left a similar record in the press.
reviewing their oeuvre. Although a German Jewish refugee, Tuck was blessed with the Christian name Raphael, and had altered his surname from Tuch to Tuck, thus referencing a character from Robin Hood. As a result Tuck’s brand came across as artistic (their trade mark was an artist’s easel and palette) and British. They added an additional cachet of respectability in 1893 when they were granted the Royal Warrant of Appointment, and the effect was completed when Raphael’s son, Sir Adolf Tuck, was created a baronet in 1910.

Tuck’s success showed an early understanding of the power of branding, but it also required practical application. Of particular interest here, is how Tuck responded to the New Zealand market during this period when New Zealand was moving in British minds from being a periphery to a ‘hinterland’. A key prerequisite for Tuck’s ability to expand into this market appears to have been the post. By 1881, the Evening Post was carrying an advert for the Wellington firm of Lyon and Blair who were pleased to announce the forthcoming “arrival by incoming Suez mail, [of] a shipment of Christmas cards, comprising a large and varied assortment, selected from proof copies received by us from the best London houses. Prize designs are the predominating feature of the shipment.” Numerous other adverts attest to cards arriving by mail. A thousand pasteboard cards will fit into a shoebox, so it was viable for publishers to utilise the post.

The Post advert also talks of “proof copies,” suggesting that the retailers had received individual samples or a sample book. Gleeson White refers to

---

783 My comments in this section are based on extensive database searching. I have not tried to turn search results into statistics, owing to the high numbers, repeat adverts, and references not always relating to Christmas cards. Such a study, though possible, is beyond the scope of the present research. Nevertheless I have only included assertions where the evidence gathered is clear enough that I am confident that the results could easily be replicated.
784 Byatt says that this change occurs in 1873. Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.288.
785 Ibid., p.289.
786 Ibid., p.300.
789 The alternative would have been sending bulk stationery consignments via ‘forwarding agents’, whose focus was on volume rather than speed. Forwarding agent prices were given by the ton – in the cited case, the normal cost is seen as 20s per ton. Otago Daily Times (Dunedin, NZ), “The Lake Trade,” June 12, 1871, p.3.
Tuck’s use of sample books, and while lamenting the company’s not having kept a full set, he was able to view one from 1881. What is less clear is how Tuck had accessed the company details of a significant number of New Zealand retailers. Andrew Popp notes that branded British companies actively sought to cut out the middleman, and there is some evidence to suggest that Tuck used travelling salesmen rather than a local agent. An 1892 article in the Pall Mall Gazette gives an insight into Tuck’s methods at that time, and is probably indicative of earlier practice. A Tuck’s representative states that the colonial market accounted for half of their trade – which at this stage meant over 10 million cards sold overseas – and that travelling salesmen started soliciting orders in May. The use of travellers is clearly demonstrated here, though whether they visited the retailer each year, or established contacts which then allowed Tuck to send its sample book by post, is unclear.

The article is also useful in establishing how Tuck framed its business in the early 1890s. Making cards for all pockets was something they were proud of, but they reiterated that “if the public wants a good card it must pay for it. The public knows this, and the greatest run is on our sixpenny cards.” They positioned themselves as fashion conscious publishers, who did not have a large staff of artists and poets to create the designs. Rather, as with magazine publishers, contributions were submitted to the company, who then selected those they wished to use, and utilised their in-house artists to finalise the designs ready for printing. With 4500 different designs on offer that year, the company were processing an average of well over a

790 White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.23.
793 In 1883, Tuck had been able to boast that they had “brought within reach of all artistic productions of a character that, but a few years ago, could only have been found in the portfolios of the wealthy.” Aberdeen Weekly Journal (UK), “Messrs Raphael Tuck & Co.’s Christmas and New Year Cards,” November 28, 1883, [no page].
795 A 1906 article quotes Adolph Tuck saying that at that point they had around a dozen in-house artists, and that they commissioned much of the art, J. Kennedy Maclean, “Picture Post Cards: The Story of their Rapid Rise into Popularity,” Quiver 220, January 1 (1906): p.170. This shows a development of their earlier policy of having work submitted.
dozen designs a day. And since most of these cards remained unsigned, the artists that submitted designs must have been working for money rather than glory. The company was evidently interested in unearthing new talent and fresh ideas, saying specifically that “novelty in form, arrangement, and even in material counts nowadays for almost as much as the artistic merit of the design.” Hence they were happy to encourage people with good ideas to submit them – even if they lacked technical facility – since the in-house artists could execute the final work.

The New Zealand Christmas Card

Raphael Tuck & Sons would prove correct in their contention – made prominently in the article – that the Christmas card craze was not finished. If anything, it grew in scale during the latter part of the 1890s. During it, other publishers, too, would develop international sales networks that included New Zealand, with several different approaches to the business evident. Most seem to have been less proactive than Tuck, relying instead on the retailers themselves. I examine the mechanisms behind this trade, and the competition between British, U.S. and German companies in Appendix 3. However, whilst large Art Manufacturers seem to have supplied the bulk of the cards for the Colonial trade, consumers in places like New Zealand could also purchase locally, where the competition between Art Manufacture and its main rival, photography, can be clearly seen.

A number of New Zealand manufacturers engaged in Christmas card designing, none more determinedly than Whanganui’s A. D. Willis, the only local company during the nineteenth century to compete head on with companies like Tuck by creating chromolithographic Christmas cards [Figure 69]. From 1882, Willis heavily promoted his productions – which
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796 Ibid.
797 This process of designs being executed in-house was widespread, as evidenced in this article on advertising design. Tuapeka Times (NZ), “A Curious Industry. Advertisement Designing,” June 28 1893, p.3.
798 Gleeson White credit/blames drapers for offering large volumes of good cards at lower prices than stationers, and ultimately pushing prices down. White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.44. This was a major factor in making the cards more widely available, and increasing the craze, but it also reduced their social distinction.
included playing cards and a variety of other print media – through his newspaper connections,\textsuperscript{799} and such newspaper advertising allows something of a picture of the trade to be reconstructed.\textsuperscript{800}

The earliest reference to a New Zealand card, however, is to one by J. Wilkie who, in 1880, submitted “some admirable specimens of Christmas cards, consisting of photographs of choice bits of New Zealand scenery, tastefully mounted” to the \textit{Otago Witness}.\textsuperscript{801} The critical moment for the local industry, however, came two years later, when a large comet was visible in New Zealand during September 1882. This was late enough for images of it not to be able to be sent back to England, turned into Christmas cards and re-exported to New Zealand. Local publishers put this advantage to good effect, with no fewer than four different comet cards being created.\textsuperscript{802} The most widely distributed of these, [Figure 70], was described in glowing, if inaccurate, detail by a \textit{Wanganui Herald} advertorial.\textsuperscript{803}

\textsuperscript{799} Willis has been the subject of an extensive study by Rosslyn Johnston, which, given that local chromolithographers will not play a role in the later discussion of the Hands across the Sea postcard, renders further discussion unnecessary here. Johnston, “Colour Printing in the Uttermost Part of the Sea,” pp.287-366.

\textsuperscript{800} What follows is a brief summary of research into New Zealand Christmas cards which I intend to publish separately.

\textsuperscript{801} \textit{Otago Witness} (Dunedin, NZ), “News of the Week,” September 18, 1880, p.18.

\textsuperscript{802} The three not discussed further here, all photographic, were by the Wilkies, W. Brickell Gibb, and Thomas Muir. I intend to publish my research on New Zealand Christmas cards separately. For the purposes of this study, only a brief overview is necessary.

\textsuperscript{803} It reads: “We have seen a new Christmas card brought out at Dunedin, and on sale by Mr Joseph Paul, in the shape of an artistic photograph embracing a picture of the comet taken from a beautiful view at Dunedin, with two of the most picturesque scenes of the wild grandeur of Otago, Parakanui Bay and Mound Caversham. Anything more tastefully got up it would be difficult to conceive, as characteristic of New Zealand scenery, and no more pleasing reminder of the festive season could be sent to friends at Home. Mr Paul is the sole agent for the firm of Saunders McBeath & Co., who have brought out these cards, and he now offers 1000 of them for sale at one shilling each. We have never seen anything
Josep Paul, the draper who advertised the card, was sole agent in Whanganui, but the card was advertised by agents in most centres, and must have been created in large numbers, if the thousand on sale in Whanganui are in any way typical, and its price of a shilling was the same as that of the Cole card, whose structure it mimics [see Figure 62].

From this point on, a succession of local cards were produced, though judging from their advertising, only Willis and Auckland photographer Josiah Martin [Figure 71] appear to have produced them on a more than occasional basis. More typical are the various artists who submitted work to
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804 Gleeson White credited drapers with being the first group outside stationers to stock Christmas cards, noting that one British draper, Botten and Tidswell, had stocked £10,000 worth of cards. He regarded this as responsible for introducing a demand for cheap cards. White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.44. Drapers also had a relationship with theatres, and helped popularize carte-de-visite images of actresses. Rappaport, Shopping for Pleasure: Women in the Making of London’s West End, p.154. Thus Joseph Paul was following a British trend in moving into this area.

805 Gleeson White notes that the 1843 Horsley/Cole card had been reissued by De La Rue in 1881, so although the triptych is a common format, it is possible that Saunders McBeath was consciously quoting its structure. White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, p.10.
the Auckland Society of Artists competition, who seem to have created one-off cards for the local tourist market.

With the exception of Willis, none of the local producers tried to beat the large Art Publishing companies at their own game. Rather, their Christmas cards were an offshoot of the trade in photographic views. Companies like the Burton Brothers and the company that took them over, Muir & Moodie, created Christmas cards sporadically [Figure 72], but by 1900 they had established a substantial market for tourist-based imagery that could help promote New Zealand. This photographic tourist tradition was the precursor to the tradition of tourist postcard views. Such views were not tied to Christmas celebration and were collected in their own right. Indeed, purpose-made albums for New Zealand views were available from the late 1870s. However, following the introduction of the simpler gelatino-bromide dry plates in 1882, photographs of New Zealand’s scenic beauty

---

had become popular gifts for family “at home,” and these were often sent at Christmas. Some overlap with greetings cards was inevitable.

The Card’s Material Format

These New Zealand photographic and lithographic cards provide a useful comparison in terms of considering the genre’s material format. Willis’s card, typical of the lithographic tradition, is flat, flexible and thin enough to be pasted into an album. Burton Bros, and Martin’s photographs were mounted on the thick card mounts customary for cabinet cards and cartes-de-visite. This solid format allowed the thin photographs to be displayed standing up in a cabinet or on a mantelpiece. Although also put in albums [e.g. Figure 42], their bulk made these relatively cumbersome. Their format seems primarily aimed at stand-alone display.

The 1890s would see the emergence of folding lithographic Christmas cards. Although we might assume this also relates to display in the modern sense, their frequent use of text inserts suggests they were more styled as miniature books [Figure 73].

809 Ibid.
Many images of Victorian interiors attest to the public display of cards, but those shown are usually photographic portraits. I have yet to discover any image of an interior depicting the display of Christmas cards on the mantelpiece or elsewhere in the period up to 1920. According to stationers’ advertising, which made a point of distinguishing between folding and flat cards, the majority of Christmas cards from this period were still flat pieces of card, like Willis’s. From a twenty-first century perspective it seems counterintuitive, but the dominant expectation of a Late Victorian Christmas card was that it consisted of a flat piece of flexible card with an image on one side, which could be pasted into an album. And this material aspect is crucial to understanding the relationship between Christmas cards and postcards. Ultimately the only way of distinguishing between the two is the printing of guidelines for placement of stamp and address, and the printed term ‘Post Card’. The move from one format to another was therefore much less of a jump than a glide.
Summary

The picture postcard’s development into a craze in the early years of the twentieth century did not occur in a vacuum. The role of the photographic tradition, which expanded the carte-de-visite into a tourist trade in ‘views’, is well known. Less well known are the lithographic Art Publishers that forged vital trading networks for greetings cards which would subsequently benefit the postcard. For companies like Raphael Tuck, the postcard would have been just another variation on a set of existing practices which had already been well rehearsed through genres such as Christmas cards. Constant renewal of their offerings helped these firms build fad-proof stationery businesses. However, the predisposition of Art Publishers to subcontract work to Germany created a ticking bomb that the postcard industry would inherit.

Not only did consumers of postcards benefit from advances in production, they also drew on a tradition of collecting commercially-printed ‘fancy goods’ in albums and scrapbooks. Poetry (a major element of greetings cards) was similarly collected: in commonplace, friendship, and autograph books. The album as a material receptacle, I argue, connects a wide range of ostensibly discrete collecting practices. Albums of fashionable ephemera had long allowed youthful collectors to become familiar with consumer culture and its rituals, with cards functioning as greetings, gifts and collectibles. And album culture’s consumer base had, by the end of the century, extended out from the middle classes to include the expanding border territory between lower and middle classes – people who now had the leisure and disposable income to enjoy the exchange of miniature, mass-produced items of art: Valentines, cartes-de-visite, birthday cards, Christmas cards, and a range of other items of printed and collectible ephemera. Such items contained many of the components, both visual and literary, that occur later in HATS postcards.

Cards, however, had to tread a delicate path through the minefield of taste, drawing on a visual language that could evoke sentimental nostalgia for some, and celebratory continuity for others. The dividing line between the
reprehensible commercial vernacular and worthy artistic endeavour was perilously thin, and depended considerably on demographic factors. Taste was intricately intertwined with middle class identity and its markers. The postcard, therefore, did not suddenly arrive in a neutral and uncontested environment. Debates that would later be theorised as High and Low were already well underway before the picture postcard emerged. I consequently suggest that the greetings postcard’s elements cannot simply be explained away as pass-me-down sentiment, or interpreted via the liberal discourse of privacy. By bringing together the self-contained visual traditions of the trade unions (examined in chapter 1) with the idea of a celebratory culture, I suggest that it is not appropriate to write off deeply-rooted vernacular traditions, in both visual art and poetry, under the patronisingly middle class definition of ‘folk’. Such assumptions lead to the pre-emptive side-lining of this vernacular as nostalgic, instead of understanding its vital role within a living tradition.

The Christmas card provided a particularly fertile battleground for taste, as artistic standards conflicted with the commercial realities of popular culture and taste. Despite the ministrations of design reformers, the lower classes seem to have retained a strong preference for realism and imitation (clashing with the middle-class preference for graphic simplification). Any aspiring postcard manufacturer at the turn of the twentieth century was thus faced with a series of difficult decisions about the potential audience, and how to harness its mercurial fashion sensibility. Not that this problem was in any way unique. As Michael Epp has pointed out in a study of popular humour, nineteenth century publishers ideally wanted a predictable and reliable audience.810 It was here that novelty, the driver of consumption, sat uncomfortably with originality, the icon of romantic individualism: originality is deeply unpredictable. The challenge for card producers seeking the next craze would be to harness novelty to that wellspring of the predictable, the commonplace.

---

Hands Across the Sea

Situating an Edwardian Greetings Postcard Practice

Peter Gilderdale

Volume II: The Postcard
Chapter 3: The Postcard Craze

As shown in the previous chapter, the Christmas card was the card craze of the 1880s and 1890s. It was to be superseded in the following decade by the ‘postcard craze’. Although the postcard literature tends to treat this event as discrete, the phrase itself has two parts. Therefore, before examining the more obvious postcard element, the contextual connotations of the craze phenomenon itself warrant some consideration.

The Infectious Craze

The application of social pressure to inflate prices far beyond an object’s intrinsic use-value can be traced back at least as far as the Dutch ‘tulip mania’ of the 1640s,1 one of the earliest examples of a middle class collecting craze.2 Such ‘manias’ became a regular and necessary aspect of the market economy through subsequent centuries. ‘Mania’ was also applied to social phenomena like “balloonomania,” especially when critics wanted to emphasise the spectacular and irrational aspects of a particular activity.3 The term ‘craze’, however, only became widespread – at least in the New Zealand press – during the 1870s.4 Over the fifteen years prior to the postcard craze taking hold in 1903, thirty seven other different ‘crazes’ were noted in the pages of one provincial New Zealand newspaper, the Ashburton Guardian (see Appendix 8).5 Such constant replacement of one
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2 Belk, *Collecting in a Consumer Society*, p.35.
4 My working hypothesis, formed from searching these terms in newspaper databases, is that using ‘mania’ primarily emphasises the speculative aspects of an activity, whereas the terms ‘craze’ and ‘fad’ relate to its leisure and consumer aspects. The increasing use of ‘craze’ could be linked to changes in consumer culture, and the broader audience for newspapers. Nevertheless, this is not totally consistent – there are four NZ examples in the *Papers Past* database of the term “postcard mania,” although there are eighty-seven “postcard crazes.” “Postcard fad” occurs only once. Exploring this in detail, however, is beyond the scope of the present study.
5 Many of these ‘crazes’ were spurious, and the tendency was for the overseas ones, particularly those from Paris, to be presented as weird (glass bonnets, diamond studded teeth, photo transfer tattoos or yoga) whereas the New Zealand crazes seem to have been primarily physical – roller skating, barn dancing, cycling, golf, football challenges and walking. However some wider social crazes, such as making presentations, motoring and spiritualism do occur in New Zealand. The only collecting craze given a local context is the collecting of first numbers of newspapers, though autographs, engravings and stamps are mentioned overseas.
fad for another is seen by Peter Stearns as a standard indicator of mature consumerism.⁶

Metaphors of disease and infection, like “epidemical madness,” had been applied to such phenomena from the early eighteenth century onwards.⁷ Valentines were a “social disease,”⁸ whilst those who caught the postcard bug had “postal carditis.”⁹ Writers discussed “the virulence with which the picture-card mania is raging,”¹⁰ and how “the illustrated postcard craze, like the influenza, has spread to these islands from the Continent, where it has been raging with considerable severity.”¹¹ Turn-of-the-century writers on social psychology, interested in the effects of such mass phenomena within the “sub-waking social self,” came to regard crazes as a form of mass hypnosis.¹²

Daniel Gifford highlights the role of network-related transmission in the spread of social ‘diseases’ like the postcard, noting that this informs us about the communities involved.¹³ Nevertheless, the historical concern about the delusional and abnormal qualities of crazes is also significant. It alerts us to the perceived threat which the irrational pressures from mass culture posed to Locke’s “sovereign individual,” a conception which, from the start, created an incompatibility between self-interest and common-interest.¹⁴ It was this tension that Adam Smith’s ‘hidden hand’ theory had
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⁶ Stearns, Consumerism in World History: The Global Transformation of Desire, p.18. Rajendra Sharma, following Kimball Young, discusses the difference between a fashion, a craze, and a fad, arguing that a fashion represents a disposition to change away from a contemporary behaviour to something new, becoming a fad once that change is prolonged. It becomes a craze once the rate of transmission is sufficiently accelerated to behave like a contagion. Rajendra K. Sharma, Social Change and Social Control (New Delhi, India: Atlantic, 1997), pp.111-2.
⁸ Schmidt, Consumer Rites: The Buying & Selling of American Holidays, p.35.
¹³ Gifford, ”’To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910,’” p.156.
sought to ameliorate, arguing that self-interest worked, on balance, for the common good.  

His theory, which aimed to minimise government interference in the markets, had sowed the seeds for mid-century industrial expansion but had, as noted earlier, been challenged by those who believed government intervention – particularly protectionism – was both inevitable and necessary. Within this debate, the postal service, a public institution that facilitated private enterprise, played a delicate role. Yet, it is precisely this set of tensions, between the public and private sectors, and between rational service and commercial contagion that inhabit the genesis of the postcard craze.

The Early Official Postcard

In 1874, in Berne, Switzerland, the countries of Europe, the United States and Egypt finally came to an agreement as to how to transport post internationally, forming the General Postal Union. David Vincent argues that, for the people of the period, the achievement of ensuring safe, standardised, and cheap postal delivery across national boundaries represented the triumph of the public sector, since neither single countries alone, nor private enterprise, could have accomplished what this group of government bureaucrats did. It seemed that these new postal and telegraphic systems were ushering in the era of international peace and cooperation that liberals, like Elihu Burritt, had dreamt of. The brainchild
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16 Ibid., p.2.
17 Vincent, “The Progress of Literacy,” p.405. This process had begun with an earlier conference in 1863, which had produced only non-binding recommendations. Daunton, Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840, p.149.
18 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.44. It became the Universal Postal Union in 1878, ibid.
19 Vincent, “The Progress of Literacy,” p.411. This fact supports Casson and Lee’s contention that the creation of better standards was an area in which governments actively facilitated market growth. Casson and Lee, “The Origin and Development of Markets: A Business History Perspective,” p.35.
of German Postmaster General, Dr. Heinrich von Stephan, the Berne agreement, amongst other things, standardised the international charge for sending a relatively new postal accoutrement, the postcard, at half of the letter rate, costing a penny farthing in English currency.

Although there are several contenders for the title of inventor of the picture postcard, it is generally agreed that Dr. von Stephan first mooted the concept seriously at an 1865 Austro-German postal conference at Karlsruhe. Initially the idea was not implemented, the convoluted regulations of pre-unification Germany proving an insuperable problem.

In 1869, however, at the behest of Dr. Emanuel Herrmann, Austria instituted the first government-sponsored postcard, with lines for the address on one side and space for a message on the other – selling over two million cards in the first three months. Its success saw Germany, Switzerland and Britain introduce the postcard during the next year, and it spread across most of Europe and the United States by 1873. In Britain, with the cards priced at a halfpenny (albeit only for internal usage), over half a million sold on the first day of sale in 1870, and 75 million went through the post in the first year.
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22 Ibid.
24 Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.44. Staff, p.83, notes that an American patent for a private post card had been obtained by John P. Charlton in Philadelphia in 1861, and whilst these cards do not appear to have ever been used until 1870, the United States was nevertheless the first country to allow such a card.
25 Ibid., p.45.
26 Ibid., pp.46-7.
27 Ibid., p.47.
28 Ibid., p.49. New Zealand did not introduce it until 1876. Jackson, *New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939*, p.2. These cards were probably printed by Waterlow and Sons, who in 1886 were reported as having been contracted “for many years past” by the New Zealand Telegraph Department. [Evening Post, (Wellington, NZ), “Building Stone,” July 1, 1886, p.2.] Although this article reports Waterlow losing the contract, Main notes that it was this company that printed New Zealand’s first official picture postcard in 1897. Main and Jackson, “Wish You Were Here”: The Story of New Zealand Postcards, p.7 [see Figure 76].
29 Daunton, *Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840*, p.73. He notes that this low rate was not supported by the Government, but was passed after a vote in the House of Commons.
31 Ibid., p.48.
Inevitably, there were discussions about privacy. If the message was public, then it opened the sender to charges of libel, and the Star reflected this debate when it queried whether Mr. Gladstone, a well-known user of the medium, would like to have his cards read out at a public meeting, and noted that people were offended by his use of what was regarded as a business medium [Figure 74].

Introducing postcards also put the government at loggerheads with the stationery industry, since the British Post Office now had a monopoly on the cards, and was selling them at the face value of the stamp. Printing was exclusively contracted to De La Rue, meaning that it was virtually impossible for other manufacturers to compete, since any postcards they produced would have to have a stamp added to the price of the printed object, thus making them inevitably more expensive. This was eventually resolved when the government raised the price of postcards and established
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32 Ibid., p.47. For a contemporaneous discussion of the implications of this “postal novelty of a very remarkable kind,” including the use of cyphers, see the Hawke’s Bay Herald (Napier, NZ), “Post-Cards v. Envelopes,” December 30, 1870, p.3 (quoted from Chambers’ Journal). In another paper there is a report of a servant whose excuse for delivering the postal late was that there were too many postcards to read. Wellington Independent (NZ), “Untitled,” January 19, 1872, p.3.


34 Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Home Gossip,” November 24, 1876, p.3. This article emphasises that whatever was written on the postcard was considered ‘public’, and points out that Gladstone’s practice was seen as a “slight” by some of his correspondents, thus emphasising the contempt that the postcard was held in by those who valued postal etiquette. Richard Carline notes that the Victorians only regarded the postcard as appropriate for business correspondence. Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.30.


37 Ibid., p.25.
protocols whereby private manufacturers could have printed stamps added to their cards.\textsuperscript{38}

It is not necessary here to detail the entire history of the ‘official’ postcard. Suffice it to say that the first thirty years of the postcard’s history are defined by fluctuating government regulations.\textsuperscript{39} Its size, the positioning of its elements, its thickness, its colour, its wording, and its mode of cancelling were all debated, and all differed at times between the various postal union countries.\textsuperscript{40} Such changes required constant negotiation and lobbying, and perhaps the best known British postal lobbyist, and the most important in relation to HATS and the colonial post, was the conservative politician Sir John Henniker Heaton. His arguments finally convinced the British government, in 1894, to rescind the regulations banning the use of postage stamps on private cards, thereby removing De La Rue’s monopoly on officially adding the stamp on behalf of the Post Office.\textsuperscript{41} These regulations had discouraged private production of postcards, leaving British picture postcard manufacture years behind that of other European countries.\textsuperscript{42} In terms of international communication, however, another of Heaton’s long-fought-for changes had more impact. The introduction of a “Universal Penny Post” in 1898, championed by Joseph Chamberlain in the cause of colonial unity,\textsuperscript{43} marked the culmination of twelve years’ agitation by

\textsuperscript{38} Staff, \textit{The Picture Postcard and its Origins}, p.48.
\textsuperscript{39} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{40} On what was involved in negotiating this, see Vincent, "The Progress of Literacy," pp.407-8.
\textsuperscript{41} Holt and Holt, \textit{Picture Postcards of the Golden Age: A Collector's Guide}, p.30. It is worth noting that De La Rue’s policy of staying close to government (they never issued picture post cards) has left them the only manufacturer dealt with in this thesis to still be in business under their original name – now specialising in banknotes and, according to their website the “trusted partner of governments, central banks, issuing authorities and commercial organisations around the world.” http://www.delarue.com/ [accessed January 14, 2013].
\textsuperscript{43} Staff, \textit{The Penny Post: 1680-1918}, p.136-40. It was universal in name, but far from universal in reality, remaining largely confined to the British Empire, though the United States joined it in 1908.
Heaton, though his pleasure was tempered by the refusal of New Zealand and Australia and South Africa to join.44

The rhetoric of colonial politics and business was, in Heaton’s arguments, closely entwined with that of improved private communication.45 And he drew on the HATS metaphor when arguing that “it is on behalf of this mighty Empire, this greater Britain across the sea, that we plead for free communication.”46 HATS would be regularly invoked by others talking about international penny post – as when the *Nelson Evening Mail* prophesised the following:

When Colonial Ministers of the Crown are prepared “to face the music” and show that the Imperial bond of ocean penny postage is worth forming in spite of local anomalies, Australasia too will enter into the new compact, and join ‘hands across the sea’.47

Heaton had particular reason to be frustrated by Australasian recalcitrance.48 It had been his experience as a settler in Australia that gave him an understanding of “how much these letters from the Old Land meant to the recipients – voluntary exiles as they were,” and how much hardship was created by the sixpenny cost of postage.49

45 He believed, for example, that cheaper postage would insulate British trade in the colonies from foreign competition, and that better communication would help the defence of the empire. Ibid., p.151. The tenor of his argumentation for this type of international post demonstrates the extent to which he was indebted ideologically to Elihu Burritt: “What we want is some cheap and ready means of bridging over the chasm of distance between our people and the millions of their colonial kindred, of restoring the broken arch in their communications and the severed link in their sympathies, of weaving the innumerable delicate threads of private and family affection into a mighty strand that shall bind the Empire together; and resist any strain from our foes or the Fates.” J. Henniker Heaton, *Postal Reform: Ocean Penny Postage and Cheap Imperial Telegraphs*. p.46. Quoted in Thomas, “Racial Alliance and Postal Networks in Conan Doyle’s ”A Study in Scarlet”,” p.16.
48 Richard Seddon eventually agreed to New Zealand joining the universal penny post in 1901, arguing – correctly as it turned out – that the £88,000 of lost revenue would be made up for by increased volume. [Wanganui Herald (NZ), “The Financial Statement,” August 18, 1900, p.2.] Australia entered a penny post agreement with the UK in April 1905 [Staff, *The Penny Post: 1680-1918*, p.142], but did not enter the full Union until 1911, ibid., p.150.
49 Quote from the Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Obituary,” September 10, 1914, p.2. See also Staff, ibid., pp.127-8. It was also Australia that had involved Heaton in postal legislation, through an appointment as Tasmanian delegate to a postal Congress in Berlin in 1883. Percival Serle, *Dictionary of Australian Biography* (Sydney, Australia: Angus &
Crucial as the international penny post would prove for colonial communication as a whole, however, it does not rate a mention in Staff’s *The Picture Postcard and Its Origins*. Having discussed it in an earlier book, Staff was here more concerned with the fact that even after 1894’s relaxation of the rules, few British publishers had been interested in creating picture postcards, owing to legislation insisting on an idiosyncratically-sized “court” card that was smaller than those used in other countries, and which did not fit into albums intended for Continental cards [Figure 75].

Overseas the picture postcard was more developed, with the German craze peaking around 1900, just as it was launching in Britain. By 1897, even the New Zealand Government had jettisoned the court card size, issuing 250,000 copies of a highly pictorial continental-sized tourist card [Figure 76], and then lining up their regulations to allow private companies to print similarly sized cards. British manufacturers understandably felt they were missing out.
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Figure 75: Cynicus, ca.1897, court-sized comic card. The tight composition of this card shows why publishers disliked the ‘court’ format. Cynicus was the pseudonym for Scottish cartoonist Martin Anderson (see page 116), who became a major postcard publisher, and whose later offerings included some HATS cards. This card was sent to Germany from London. *Author’s collection*


50 Staff, *The Penny Post: 1680-1918*.
55 Ibid., p.7.
The Early Picture Postcard

Whilst official postcards were designed with the same gravitas that accompanied stamps and banknotes, within a very few years of postcards being allowed, private pictorial postcards of more varied design were produced in some numbers. This occurred particularly in those countries where legislation was less restrictive than in Britain, such as Germany, Switzerland, France, and Austria. Often, the pictures were unofficial additions on the reverse of the official cards, as was also the case with the small number of early British examples. Most commonly such cards contained pictures of places, and this type developed into a recognisable genre by the 1890s. Like some 1880s Christmas cards [e.g. Figure 69 and Figure 212], it collaged multiple images of places into an album-like design, integrating views, decorative elements and text. It is known as the “Gruss
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56 Knowing what to regard as a postcard is a problem. For example, George Webber argues that the 1871 card that Staff regarded as the first pictorial postcard was sent as a registered letter. George Webber, “Early Austrian "Dragon" Pictorial Card,” Picture Postcard Monthly, no. 372 (2010): p.19.
58 Ibid., p.51.
59 Ibid., p.49.
Carline argues that it was the 1889 Paris Exhibition, where cards could be sent from the Eiffel tower, that helped popularise the card across Europe. Certainly this inspired some of the earliest British official pictorial cards, which commemorated exhibitions, or were sent from a model of the newly renovated Eddystone Lighthouse – part of what George Webber describes as the “top of” fashion.

It is perhaps the prominence given to these commemorative souvenirs that has caused other implications of the ‘Gruss aus’ genre to have been overlooked. Because these are early pictorial cards, writers have focussed on their role as tourist mementos, with their views, vistas and resorts, seeing them as a forerunner to the photographic view postcard. But they were not only souvenirs. Their communicative intent and their medium are also significant. The earliest British colour postcard, from 1870, was a chromolithographic “Christmas Greeting,” and similarly the work of these largely chromolithographically illustrated German ‘Gruss aus’ cards is to greet.

This needs stressing. The largest genre of nineteenth century pictorial postcards actually advertises itself as a greetings card, and was published by chromolithographers, facts that surely connect it to other types of greetings card and the practices associated with them. Such connections have, however, been resolutely ignored in the postcard literature. This is to some
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60 Ibid., p.56. It should be noted, however, that French cards of a similar design used the French word ‘Souvenir’, ibid., fig.72.
61 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.25. Willoughby lists earlier commemorative cards, but agrees with Carline that Paris was the defining event. Willoughby, A History of Postcards: A Pictorial Record from the Turn of the Century to the Present Day, pp.39-40.
63 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.49.
extent understandable from a British perspective. Given its thirty years of largely non-pictorial history, it is not surprising that writers like Frank Staff felt comfortable assuming that the postcard had become a discrete genre by the end of the nineteenth century, and therefore felt no compulsion to link it to other forms of commercially available card. Even the fact that the company responsible for popularising the pictorial postcard in Britain was a greetings card manufacturer – chromolithographic ‘Art Publisher’ Raphael Tuck – could not shake the desire to regard the postcard as something entirely distinct.

Staff acknowledges that the credit for properly initiating the craze in Britain – by getting the British Post Office to adopt continental sizing – belonged to Tuck, but fails to contextualise this. If it was the court card’s irregular size that was holding up postcard usage, then this suggests that the card was already thought of primarily as a collectible, not as a decorative or utilitarian object. A 1906 interview with Tuck’s managing director Adolf Tuck, however, provides a fuller insight into the specific thinking behind their move. Tuck said that the firm had watched the development of the picture postcard overseas for some years (being German, they would have been aware of the popularity of ‘Gruss aus’ genre), and were convinced that it had potential in Britain. Nevertheless the regulations, they believed, put British manufacturers at a distinct disadvantage: the small ‘court’ size allowing inadequate space for both image and message, and fostering a sense of the local card being a mean thing. After negotiating with the Post Office for four years, arguing the financial implications of the imbalance of overseas cards being sent to the UK compared to British cards being sent
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64 Ibid., p.60.
65 Staff spends half a sentence on Tuck’s greetings cards. Ibid., p.60.
67 This was the view formed by a young Evelyn Wrench, holidaying in Germany, who felt that German cards were much better than their English counterparts. [Byatt, *Picture Postcards and their Publishers*, p.336.] In this objection to the card’s size, Tuck may have been talking from experience, having arguably put their toes in the market in 1894, with a postcard view of Mt Snowdon. [Ibid., p.289.] The existence of such a card is accepted in most literature, but none has been found, and George Webber raises some legitimate concerns – most particularly that in 1906 Tuck’s own manager, Frederick Corkett, did not list Tuck as amongst the originators of the craze. Webber, “The Myth of a Tuck 1894 Snowden PPC?” p.18.
overseas, the necessary change was finally agreed on. Tuck, having been given prior warning in November 1898 of the regulation’s date of introduction six months hence, was able to print cards of the new size to be available on the day. What is important about Tuck’s account is that, in detailing the teething problems associated with introducing the postcard, it becomes clear that the postcard’s success was in no way automatic, and that Tuck was utilising their existing greetings card and other business contacts to try and promote the new type of card:

We had the conservative instincts of the trade to contend with, and, honestly speaking, I do not know of any other firm that could have managed it in anything like the time we did, for this reason, that we do possess a certain reputation with the dealers, who know that we generally make a success of a thing. We have thousands of customers, and yet only fifteen or sixteen percent of them would take post-cards up. After three or four months of discouraging experience, I found that something drastic would require to be done in order to quicken the sales. So we instituted a prize competition, offering thousands of pounds in prizes to ladies making the best collection of picture post-cards. That set the ball rolling, and the demand has gone on increasing ever since.

The Tuck recipe for invigorating a market (a competition) was once again applied, with a defined female target audience. Advertised on the back of their packets of cards, and doubtless assisted by Tuck’s established reputation with consumers, it succeeded. When the competition was judged in 1901, the winner had collected over 20,000 cards. The postcard craze in Britain was under way.

The change of allowable size almost immediately evoked press comment, demonstrating that collectors understood the multimodal qualities of the latest craze, and recognised how it related to previous collecting practices:

Postcard albums are for the moment ousting both stamp and autograph albums from favour, and have the advantage in both
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69 De La Rue retained the rights to the official cards, which were similarly altered in size. Holt and Holt, Picture Postcards of the Golden Age: A Collector’s Guide, p.32.
71 Ibid.
73 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.40. The winner of a second Tuck collecting competition in 1904, again a woman, had amassed 25,000 cards.
respects, inasmuch as they supply the stamp of various nationalities as well as the autograph of some friend or distinguished individual – and, furthermore a dainty bit of scenery.  

By November 1899, “pictorial postcards, stamped for use,” had joined “sweetmeats, cigars, cigarettes, matches [and] scents” in London’s penny-in-the-slot machines. Patriotic cards, capitalising on the Boer War, were on offer, and companies like Valentine and Sons, and George Stewart, who had been amongst the companies to experiment with postcards between 1894-8, upped their presence in the market.

George Stewart had been a publisher of pictorial notepaper, a genre that had long incorporated views of places. Valentine had expanded their original engraved stationery business, which had worked for Elihu Burritt to become one of the leading manufacturers of photographic views. One might thus have expected Valentine, in particular, to have sensed that the postcard had the potential to integrate their stationery and photographic operations. New technical innovations were appearing from the late 1880s, such as photogravure and the lithographically-based collotype. Both of these could mechanically reproduce photographs, which had previously, and expensively, been printed individually. Letterpress halftone, on the other hand, although in

74 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Ladies’ Gossip,” June 15, 1899, p.52. The article relates to Britain. The omission of the photograph album from this list is significant. It shows that the postcard was not yet seen as photographic.
76 The Times (London, UK), “The War,” 25 November 1899, p.9, col. 5. An article the following year ascribes the German craze’s “gaining a foothold” to the military cards, and notes particularly Faulkner & Co. Auckland Star (NZ), “Literary Notes,” June 23, 1900, p.10.
80 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.17.
81 Like Raphael Tuck, this was a family business. James Valentine, who worked with Burritt, was the son of the founder, and James’s sons also joined the Dundee-based business. Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, pp.301-2.
82 Ibid., p.302. On their associations with New Zealand, see below, page 468.
83 Richard Benson, The Printed Picture (New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 2008), pp.230, 244. Both techniques were well established by the end of the 1880s.
development, was still a few years away from providing a level of quality that could compete with people’s expectations of a photograph. Staff dates Valentine’s experimentation with collotype postcards to 1895, whilst Webber more recently argues for 1897. It is therefore surprising that many of Valentine’s early postcards are illustrated, rather than photographed, and based on the German ‘Gruss aus’ multi-vignette format.

Valentine had perhaps yet to see postcards as a direct competitor for their quality larger photographic views. Rather, as the obituary of W. D. Valentine would later state, he “saw in [the postcard] great possibilities for the extension of his business.” The postcard was still being treated as postal stationery – an additional few pence that could be squeezed from the pockets of tourists after they had bought proper photographic views, of greater size, detail and expense, for their albums.

E. M. Forster used the idea of the ‘view’ as emblematic of a particular mode of Edwardian experience. Tourist consumers of views certainly seem to have provided the early market for the picture postcard and, as the ‘infection’ spread from the continent, portrayals of fanatical German tourists depicted them barely looking at the actual views on offer in their frenzy to send off cards from desirable sites like the tops of
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84 It had started around 1888. Woody, "International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915)," p.16.
85 Benson notes that it did not have a major impact until around 1900. Benson, The Printed Picture, p.222.
86 Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.58.
87 Webber, George. “Earlier Claims to be the First British PPC Publisher.” (Undated). http://www.webber-postcard.me.uk/preclaims.htm [accessed April 28, 2013]. He argues here that the earliest known Stewart card is from early 1895.
89 The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “The Late W. D. Valentine, Dundee,” 7 November, 1907, p.6. The obituary also notes that Valentine was married to a cousin of Joseph Chamberlain.
90 Forster, A Room with a View.
mountains. Such tourist souvenir cards tacitly acted as markers of conspicuous consumption, and early collectors reinforced this by only being interested in cards that had the correct postmark for the place viewed. Without this proof of authentic experience the card was deemed worthless.

All of this posed something of a problem for manufacturers interested in expanding the potential market. The period between 1897-1900 saw a major improvement in the British Post Office’s delivery services through rural areas, meaning that for the first time relatively speedy delivery could be guaranteed throughout the country. Yet middle class tourists and a coterie of pernickety collectors could only take picture postcard sales so far. For mass sales to occur, people needed to send cards because they were interesting items in themselves rather than as authenticators of travel – to move, in Susan Stewart’s terms, from “souvenirs of exterior sights” to something more like “souvenirs of individual experience.” Manufacturers might have needed the tourist postcard to initiate the craze, but they would have to sacrifice some of its early collecting approaches to develop its full sales potential. Achieving this sacrifice would turn out to be surprisingly easy, since the postcard faced some considerable social obstacles.

Despite its early rise in popularity amongst travellers, the postcard struggled from the start to be regarded as a legitimate form of communication. As a collectible, early on, there was a push to equate it with the poster, which had been heavily collected during the 1890s by wealthy collectors of fine art.
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91 Carline, *Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard*, p.40. He was quoting an article by George Sims.
92 The *Melbourne Argus* quoted in the Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “Untitled,” January 19, 1906, p.2. This is the same information which Sims is quoted as saying in the above quotation from Carline, p.40.
93 Daunton, *Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840*, p.44.
94 No connection has been made in the postcard literature between this improvement in delivery and the start of the postcard craze, but their concurrent occurrence suggests that certainty of delivery may have acted as one of the contributing contextual drivers for the craze.
95 Stewart, *On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection*, p.139.
97 Hewitt, for example, mentions a shortlived magazine, published between 1903-4, called the *Poster and Postcard Collector*. Hewitt, "Designing the Poster in England, 1890-1914," p.70, note 65.
prints.98 Specialist postcard collecting journals aiming to promote the card’s “respectability” appeared,99 and the Picture Postcard Magazine portrayed postcard collectors as part of “an artistic, cultured and wealthy class.”100 It was also made known that the Duchess of York was collecting postcards.101 But despite these moves to increase its cultural capital, the picture postcard brought with it some unwelcome baggage: the official postcard. As noted earlier, issues of privacy dogged this card, resulting in a clear consensus within ‘Society’ that postcard communication was inappropriate, a breach of etiquette. Thus, although Mark Simpson has legitimately described postcard exchange as a “ritual practice,”102 postcard ritual was omitted altogether from most etiquette books.103 Even well into the twentieth century, these gave detailed instructions on the use of visiting cards, and on letter-writing, but were at best lukewarm about postcards:

Despite the ever-increasing popularity of the post-card, it is still considered a rather unceremonious, hasty mode of communication, at any rate between acquaintances, and its proper sphere is supposed to be confined to business.104

‘Society’ was well acquainted with the postcard and, Duchesses notwithstanding, regarded it as a quotidian instrument of business.105 The objection to the postcard among the leisured set stemmed less from its levelling features, which, according to the Star, allowed people from

---

100 Quoted in Holt and Holt, Picture Postcards of the Golden Age: A Collector’s Guide, p.41. Although they accept this picture of collectors as accurate, the Holt’s do note that this may be a “partisan” view.
101 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Ladies’ Gossip,” June 15, 1899, p.52. Her collecting is reported to relate to cards sent from German relations.
103 There is no mention, for example, in the Ward Lock, Complete Etiquette and Letter-Writer (London: Ward Lock, n.d.). This undated work can be ascribed through its style of illustration to the 1890s.
105 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.30. As late as 1919, the postcard is not mentioned in Edward Summers Squier, Etiquette Made Easy (New York: Edward J. Clode, 1919). By 1926, however, Lady Troubridge was allowing that postcards could be used to invite friends to small gatherings, but that it would be inappropriate, and would cause confusion, if used for larger parties. Lady Troubridge, The Book of Etiquette (Kingswood, UK: The World’s Work, 1926), pp.125-6.
different classes in different countries to correspond with one another on an equal basis, or indeed from worries about privacy, which Julia Gillen and Nigel Hall argue was less of an issue by Edwardian times. It came from a sense that the meagre allocation of one’s available leisure implicit in postcard communication amounted to an insult. As Emma Gad would later tell Danes, “one ought not to make do with sending postcards to those one cares about.” Such strictures might, perhaps, be relaxed to allow the sending of a “friendly greeting” during a holiday, but not otherwise.

Such reservations may explain why, by comparison with their earlier Christmas Card competitions, which boasted four Royal Academicians as judges, Raphael Tuck could only find a single associate academician willing to judge their 1901 postcard competition. As is quite clear from the following satirical 1904 poem, written as the craze was taking off in New Zealand, the postcard was socially tainted:

Kelburne Well-bred held high his head
And cast the girl aside,
Whilst down his cheek a damp tear sped,
And frantically he cried:
“Thou hast deceived me, Angeline,
And we must go our ways,
And often think what might have been,
By accident had I not seen
You had the post-card craze.”

The stigma attached to the card was not helped by the opposition of the real Society collectors, philatelists. They had, admittedly, embraced the official
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109 Emma Gad, Takt og Tone: Hvordan vi Omgaas (Copenhagen, Denmark: Gyldendal, 1918), p.66. [my translation].
110 Ibid.
111 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, pp.6-7. Carline notes that Tuck had had a second competition in 1890, which boasted Millais and Marcus Stone as judges. As mentioned earlier, Joseph Pennell believed that the products of commercial firms were ipso facto not art. 1906 edition of the Journal of the Society of Arts, quoted in ibid., p.8. The drop in Academicians’ interest in the postcard suggests this was no isolated viewpoint.
postcard as an extension of the stamp, because the limited supplies of
certain issues made such postcards collectible.\textsuperscript{113} Indeed, Stanley Gibbons
had introduced postcard albums as early as 1888, seeing them as a
counterpart to the stamp album.\textsuperscript{114} Such collectors, however, strenuously
objected to being compared with pictorial card collectors, with one saying
that “a postcard to my mind implies a card issued by the Post Office, and to
apply this to pieces of card issued by a lithographer is clearly a
misnomer.”\textsuperscript{115} A stamp dealer, quoted in an early article on postcard
collecting, regarded the picture postcard craze as a “nuisance,” seeing the
picture as “a disfigurement of the card,” and pointing out that the large
numbers being printed meant they would be worthless.\textsuperscript{116}

The same article asked the “firm that first introduced the idea into England”
(i.e. Raphael Tuck) to respond to the philatelist’s objections.\textsuperscript{117} Though
Tuck was the only company to experiment with limited edition postcards,\textsuperscript{118}
their expertise with Christmas cards and scraps gave them considerable
experience with items that were collected in their own right rather than for
rarity value or as souvenirs. They responded by saying that, regardless of
any sceptics, demand was rising constantly and that although cards were
currently largely sold in stationers (thus by implication used by a more
bookish set),\textsuperscript{119} they expressed the hope that the postcard would soon be
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adopted by “all classes.” Tuck aided this cause materially in 1902 by giving away 100,000 commemorative postcards at the Coronation of King Edward VII, noting that “most of the recipients being poor people [had] never before had a postcard given to them or realised its interest or significance.”

It would, however, only be a subset of the ‘poor’ that could have been inspired to start frequenting stationers and sending and collecting postcards. Real wages at the time had started to fall, with, for example, 78.7% of women employed in the printing industry in 1906 earning under 15 shillings a week. Seebohm Rowntree, five years earlier, had conducted a detailed study of British poverty, concluding that the average labouring wage of 21 shillings a week was insufficient to maintain a family of two adults and three children at even the most basic level. On his figures, at least 20% of the British population would have been quite unable to afford to post a letter. With a pint of milk costing 1½d, and oatmeal 2d per pound, it is not hard to see why a postcard, even priced at a penny and sent for a halfpenny, would have been beyond such a family’s means. Users needed to
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120 Bruce Herald (Milton, NZ), “Collecting Picture Post-cards,” June 12, 1900, p.7. Philatelic schadenfreude resonates through the previously quoted 1906 comment on postcards that “when collectors came to sell their collections they found no market,” but went on to note that there was no such problem with stamps. Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Philately,” April 4, 1906, p.81.
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123 B. Seebohm Rowntree, Poverty: A Study of Town Life, 2nd ed. (London: MacMillan, 1908), pp.132-4. The book was originally published in 1901, and the following details of what the working classes could afford are unlikely to have changed much between editions. “[The working class labouring family] must never spend a penny on railway fare or omnibus. They must never go into the country unless they walk. They must never purchase a halfpenny newspaper or spend a penny to buy a ticket for a popular concert. They must write no letters to absent children, for they cannot afford to pay the postage. They must never contribute anything to their church or chapel, or give any help to a neighbour which costs them money. They cannot save, nor can they join a sick club or Trade Union, because they cannot pay the necessary subscriptions. The children must have no pocket money for dolls, marbles or sweets. The father must smoke no tobacco, and must drink no beer. The mother must never buy any pretty clothes for herself or for her children, the character of the family wardrobe as for the family diet being governed by the regulation, ‘nothing must be bought but that which is absolutely necessary for the maintenance of physical health, and what is bought must be of the plainest and most economical description.’ Overall rates of poverty for “male-headed working-class urban households in Britain” at the turn of the century have recently been calculated as being even higher than Rowntree’s figure, at 26%. Ian Gazeley and Andrew Newell, "Poverty in Edwardian Britain," The Economic History Review 64, no. 1 (2011): p.69.
have more disposable income, which is why more women stayed in work longer, or started working again after having children in order to supplement the family income.\textsuperscript{125} It has already emerged that theatregoers were portrayed as those “shop assistants, typists and clerks, on 18-30 shillings a week.”\textsuperscript{126} Thirty shillings was enough for someone with dependents to afford leisure activities which were otherwise only available to those at the lower end of the pay scale with either two incomes, or few dependents. Whilst the upper classes and those aspirational enough to read etiquette books may have been hostile to the postcard, postcard manufacturers applying an emulative model would have felt they needed to cement middle class approval, knowing that it could then expand to the broader base of the leisure-ready petty bourgeois.

Tuck, and the other manufacturers must, by now, have developed considerable experience in the dynamics of consumer behaviour. They had seen how sales of an item like the Christmas card had increased as it moved from being a plaything of the elite to achieving mass recognition. They had used their sample books, with their constantly changing designs, to prime the public with the idea that cards were a fashion item, but that one could have cards for all pockets. It was this apparatus which was now brought to bear on the postcard. Whilst their initial public was infatuated with the idea of tourist cards, they needed to be presented with other novelties that could sustain and extend the craze.

All this explains why, initially, through 1899 and the early 1900s, the types of card that Tuck offered were targeted to an affluent taste. Heraldic cards,\textsuperscript{127} paintings by Turner, and prize images from the Paris exhibition did better than the work of popular cartoonist Harry Payne, which did not sell particularly well.\textsuperscript{128} The Queen, the South African War, and an “Empire

\begin{footnotes}
\item[128] The material used for this and the next sentence is given below in note 129.
\end{footnotes}
Series’ catered to the patriotic, whilst views of the large cities, London and Edinburgh, ensured a broad catchment of both tourists and locals for these views.\textsuperscript{129} By early 1902, the date when the craze is generally regarded as being established,\textsuperscript{130} British annual postcard use was up 6.2\%,\textsuperscript{131} and the postcard’s potential was attracting new companies. One of the best known was created by aristocratic teenager Evelyn Wrench,\textsuperscript{132} and it exhibits all the characteristics of a dotcom boom and bust enterprise.

Wrench utilised German printing to produce his cards, whilst family contacts allowed him to dovetail his operations with government-run tourist attractions and railways.\textsuperscript{133} Wrench’s initial cards were tourist views and fine art reproductions, followed by series on Captain Scott and the Empire, and he broadened out to include cartoons from Punch, illustrations from Dickens and images of footballers, cricketers, railways and ships.\textsuperscript{134}

Between 1900 and the end of 1903 the company grew to have 12 travelling salesman and over 100 staff,\textsuperscript{135} a production of 50 million cards a year and over 4000 customers.\textsuperscript{136} Then, in early 1904, it went into receivership, ostensibly owing to poor accounting.\textsuperscript{137} The company had ridden the boom relating to view cards and art reproductions, which were both popular with

\textsuperscript{129} The list of series is from Byatt, \emph{Picture Postcards and their Publishers}, pp.290-1. The material on Payne is from Carlene, \emph{Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard}, p.48.
\textsuperscript{130} Willoughby, \emph{A History of Postcards: A Pictorial Record from the Turn of the Century to the Present Day}, p.67.
\textsuperscript{131} These figures are quoted for the year to the end of March. Wanganui Chronicle (NZ), “Dead Letters,” September 22, 1902, p.4.
\textsuperscript{132} Wrench wrote an autobiography, called \emph{Uphill} in 1934, which provides the only detailed account of a postcard enterprise. Peter Backman has written a very full, but as yet unpublished, account of Wrench’s business based on a number of previously undocumented diaries and other family material which goes beyond the published version. I have been fortunate to read his work in manuscript, and it brings to life the detail of running a postcard business. Nevertheless, as the product of an inexperienced youth, learning the business on the fly, it is difficult to be sure how typical Wrench’s operation was. I do not want to pre-empt Backman’s work, so have opted to omit his conclusions, relying instead on other accounts drawn from the elusive autobiography.
\textsuperscript{133} Staff, \emph{The Picture Postcard and its Origins}, p.65.
\textsuperscript{134} Byatt, \emph{Picture Postcards and their Publishers}, pp.337-8.
\textsuperscript{135} Ibid., p.336.
\textsuperscript{136} Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “Boy Fortune-maker,” November 21, 1903, p.3.
\textsuperscript{137} Byatt, \emph{Picture Postcards and their Publishers}, p.337. The company tried to carry on, largely selling existing stock, but wound up in 1906.
women, but as it developed out from this, the stock seems to reflect the taste of a young, public-school educated male. The attributes that helped Wrench to establish the business were not necessarily those best suited to maximising the postcard’s initial demographic – women.

What helped to disguise this flaw, allowing Wrench’s business to grow anyway, was the escalation of the craze itself during the first years of the century. This is normally ascribed to a change of legislation in 1902. Until this point, the Post Office had regarded the address and stamp as being the important part of the postcard, viewing the area they inhabited as the front. Any message had to be placed on the ‘back’, along with any pictorial content. This is why on the ‘Gruss aus’ type of card, the printed image never filled the whole back. From 1902 onwards, however, British cards could use what is now called a ‘divided back’, which allowed the sender to write a message beside the address, thus freeing the reverse entirely to the creativity of the manufacturer, whilst giving consumers a larger space in which to compose their message.

Gillen and Hall argue that this change led to “a cultural shift in every day communications practices,” but this appears somewhat at odds with Anthony Byatt’s observation that few publishers moved immediately to the new format, and that, because other countries did not yet recognise it, cards using the divided back could not immediately be sent overseas, thus negating some of the
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139 Dûval and Monahan, *Collecting Postcards in Colour*, p.21. Dûval notes that this had been particularly driven by the publisher F. Hartmann.
140 Jackson, *New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939*, p.11.
change’s other benefits. The increased area for the message did increase the card’s communicative potential, but this was a local rather than an international boon. And, if Raphael Tuck is in any way typical, there was no immediate rush by manufacturers to fill the entire front of the card. Most of Tuck’s cards from 1902 and 1903 left room for messages on the picture side, even when a divided back had been applied. Companies would, in fact, have to go on hedging their bets for some time. International postal rules were not finally altered to allow the international transmission of divided back cards between member countries until June 1906, and the United States did not implement it until October 1907.

Although Byatt may be correct in arguing that the divided back was less of an immediate game-changer than most writers assume, Gillen and Hall’s argument that the confluence of cheaper printing and communication technologies created a ‘tipping point’ around 1902 is credible. The craze certainly developed apace after 1902, helped by a British postal network delivering ever speedier communication. Calibrated as it was to the needs of businesses, the postal service provided much of London with twelve deliveries a day, and between six and eight in other large cities. Divided back or no, and despite attempts to rationalise the cards as educational, it was probably the card’s ability to function as both a rapid communicative
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144 From a collecting standpoint, moving the area for communication to the address side meant that any message written on the card would no longer be as publicly viewable when displayed in an album, as it had hitherto. This is listed as a major improvement by the writer of a long 1904 article about post-card collecting. [Star (Christchurch), “The Collecting of Pictorial Post-Cards,” October 1, 1904, p.3.] References to legislation that fits Britain rather than New Zealand suggest that this article was reproduced from an English source. Other benefits for users related to the potential length of text and some increased privacy. With the additional space available, it became possible to write more substantial messages (especially if one reduced the size of one’s handwriting), and the range of possible non-intended readers was reduced to post office employees, family and, perhaps, servants. One’s friends, however, need not be privy to them via the album. And for manufacturers, being able to use the full face of the card meant fewer restrictions in terms of having to resize or retouch photographic images.

145 This research was done using the largest online database of Raphael Tuck postcards, TuckDB Postcards. “Search.” (2013). http://tuckdb.org/ [accessed January 17, 2013].
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medium and as a collectible item that encouraged its increasingly broad usage – and its collectible aspects demanded novelty.

Developments in postcards were strongly featured in a 1904 exhibition of the stationery trades in Edinburgh, with Valentine & Sons prominent. Manufacturers were continually testing which cards appealed to the public and which did not. Colour played an important role in this, as the craze moved across class lines. Working class Edinburgh cook Christina Campbell’s album shows that, on average, during 1904 she received a card (mostly coloured) almost every third day. Her album, gathered between 1904-8, is broadly typical of a taste formed during this period, containing 42% landscapes, 25% buildings, 16% cityscapes, 8% people and 9% miscellaneous – with 80% of the album thus being views. Gillen and Hall calculated that three quarters of the 1500 cards they used in their study were of buildings, and overall, penny views became the predominant genre throughout the early period, with artists and photographers vying to extend the range of places portrayed. However, as has been seen, larger companies like Tuck and Wrench were in no way restricted solely to this type of card.

Daniel Gifford argues that postcards had now acquired power – not only from their imagery, or from the display involved in sending and receiving cards, but from the way they associated users with an enormous social phenomenon. The craze had developed to the point where postmasters complained about the numbers of people writing cards in their offices. Yet despite the power of the phenomenon itself, some subject matter proved distinctly more popular than others. For example, Tuck discovered a huge
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154 Ibid., pp.175, 180.
158 Manchester Guardian (UK), “The Picture Postcard Craze,” April 25, 1905, [no page]. The article quotes one postmaster as saying that the craze cost at least three shillings a week in ink and stolen pens.
desire for a particular type of view: images of ‘Rough Seas’. They introduced this theme in 1901,\(^{159}\) and it was already popular enough to be found in pavement art the next year.\(^{160}\) By 1903 Tuck had thirty “Rough Sea” series in print,\(^{161}\) while the Wanganui Herald, reviewing Tuck’s cards, noted that “a speciality has been made of the rough sea series.”\(^{162}\) In 1906, Frederick Corkett, Tuck’s postcard departmental manager, saw it as defining this period of Tuck’s postcard production.\(^{163}\) Carline observed that the genre’s appeal was exclusively British, with European buyers uninterested in it. He believed that its appeal lay partially in the additional drama, but saw its emotional qualities as more crucial, providing the illusion of dash and danger from a safe distance.\(^{164}\)

Artist and anthropologist Susan Hiller, who utilised her collection of hundreds of ‘rough sea’ cards in a 1976 work entitled *Dedicated to the Unknown Artists*, highlighted the role of bystanders in the cards. They are, she suggested, “standing like voyeurs watching some sexual act,” sea and land acting as male or female, depending on one’s perspective [Figure 80].\(^{165}\) She saw the cards as metaphors for the collision of nature and culture.\(^{166}\) Whether sublime or sexual, these
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\(^{159}\) Carline, *Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard*, p.53.


\(^{163}\) The idea for ‘rough seas’ came from an 1898 photograph which Corkett took, and then adapted to the postcard in 1901. Carline, *Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard*, p.53.

\(^{164}\) Ibid., pp.53-4.


\(^{166}\) Ibid., pp.137-8.
explanations are, however, unlikely to fully explain the Edwardian predilection for these cards. Cohen’s observation of the importance of religion is pertinent here.  

Sunday schools were attended by three quarters of turn-of-the-century New Zealand children, and teaching in them had focused on utilising the visual to express religious concepts. Education had had a pictorial turn, rediscovering seventeenth century educator Johann Amos Comenius, who had argued that understanding needed to be accessed via the senses, using images to embody complex abstract concepts. It was therefore educationally fashionable to use blackboard visuals to communicate ideas, with, for example, the contrast between rough and calm seas used in emblematic blackboard drawings to symbolize the biblical quote, “He maketh the storm a calm, so that the waves thereof are still.” It is likely that most people during the period were familiar with Psalm 107 and would have recognised its symbolism in the ‘rough seas’ cards. Such a sense of divine interaction with nature is certainly hinted at in cards like Figure 81, with its beams of light piercing through from the heavens.

At all events, for British Edwardians, increasingly used to travel, mobility and emigration, the power of the sea must have had the potential to evoke not only awe and passion, but also prayer to providence. The sea represented a liminal space, symbolising the barrier between Edwardians
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170 Moseley, A Century of Emblems, p.7. Comenius was the subject of an 1889 talk to teachers in Auckland. Auckland Star (NZ), “Educational Institute,” May 13, 1889, p.3.  
and absent loved ones – a gulf to be spanned if communication was to occur. While CDV’s and photographs provided a virtual mnemonic substitute for someone’s lack of presence, images of the sea, like Greetings cards, provided an emotional charge to compensate for absence. Nevertheless the ambiguity evident in interpreting such a genre, and the necessity for a detailed understanding of the social contexts that informed just one postcard genre’s popularity, makes it prudent to examine further the changes in Edwardian society before continuing the exploration of the postcard craze, and the way that the Rough Seas’ successor, the HATS card, developed the concept within the wider Greetings genre.

**Speed, Space and Emigration: Edwardian Society**

The previous chapters have already introduced key changes which would define the latter part of the nineteenth and early part of the twentieth centuries. These bear recapping. The broadening of the demographic base for postcard collecting stems from facts like compulsory schooling’s improvement of literacy, the incorporation of design into the school curriculum, and the increased interest in all things ‘artistic’. The push towards the eight-hour day meant that more people had leisure time to engage in hobbies such as collecting. These factors, with minor variations, apply across the British diaspora. As increasing numbers of Britons emigrated, a market was created for greeting cards that could link the inhabitants of an Empire that was trying to create a more overtly unified set of cultural and political relations. Improvements in colour printing had rendered complex items of technological virtuosity affordable to most, whilst the consumer economy had proved increasingly efficacious at blending the discourses of novelty, originality and taste into a desire to consume expressively. The result of all these factors was that collecting and album practices – whilst they may have become less common in the upper echelons – became widely understood in the middle and lower ends of the social spectrum. Collectively, factors such as these had resulted in a market that was receptive to new developments, yet none of these elements, singly,
is sufficient to account for picture postcards becoming such an enormous craze during the first decade of the twentieth century. They all played their part, in association with several other features which need to be explored now. As will become apparent, the Edwardians, like the Victorians,\textsuperscript{172} had a strong sense of the increasing pace and complexity of their society, but it would be profoundly un-Edwardian to look for a singular cause. The “entangled” postcard phenomenon resulted from the intersection of many separate factors.\textsuperscript{173} Nevertheless, the sense of increasing pace is, in itself, a useful starting point.

Much as we ourselves imagine that we are hitting unheard of levels of change, the Edwardians had the strong sense that “haste is the watchword of our age.”\textsuperscript{174} Frederick Corkett, of Raphael Tuck, for example, said that the postcard was “part and parcel of the busy, rushing, time-saving age we live in.”\textsuperscript{175} Popular entertainment courted this quality of speed, idolising people like “Percival Mackenzie, the art-humourist and crayon artist, [who] draws ‘impression’ pictures in record time.”\textsuperscript{176} Singer Madame Chaminarde complained that singing students now wanted instant results saying “there is too great haste to get to the end of things without much thought of the beginnings.”\textsuperscript{177} Advertisers also understood haste, and simplified posters to attract the hurrying passer-by.\textsuperscript{178} Scientists reported personality changes

\textsuperscript{172} Cohen, \textit{Household Gods: The British and their Possessions}, p.154. She points out that this was the period in which the “most profound transformation of time and space the world has ever known” occurred. The appreciation of the quickening of modern life was evident early in the Victorian period, as shown by an 1836 poem about Picadilly by Letitia Elizabeth Landon, published in \textit{Fisher’s Drawing Room Scrap Book}: “All hurry on – none pause to look, Upon another’s face; The Present is an open book, None read, yet all must trace. The poor man hurries on his race, His daily bread to find; The rich man has yet wearier chase, For pleasure’s hard to bind.” Mark Ford, ed. \textit{London: A History in Verse} (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2012), p.375.

\textsuperscript{173} Rogan, “An Entangled Object: The Picture Postcard as Souvenir and Collectible, Exchange and Ritual Communication.”

\textsuperscript{174} Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Old Saws that will not Re-Set,” May 22, 1907, p.65. This is a particularly detailed contemporary discussion of the sense of speed, taking as its starting point the aphorism “more haste, less speed.”


\textsuperscript{176} Auckland Star (NZ), “Amusements,” October 20, 1908, p.3.


\textsuperscript{178} Hewitt, “Designing the Poster in England, 1890-1914,” p.64.
amongst cyclists and motorists who were ‘intoxicated’ with speed, and there was widespread reinforcement in the press of the view, summed up by the promoters of John Philip Sousa’s band, that this was an age “of hurry, steam and electricity.”

Increasing sources of energy, such as electricity, would enable first the telegraph and then the telephone to generate a sense of temporal simultaneity, though in places like New Zealand this was understood as fragile. As the *Bay of Plenty Times* commented:

> The extreme slenderness of the thread which binds us to the rest of the world . . . is again emphasised in unpleasant fashion by a sudden cessation of all news from beyond Australia . . . . It is all very well to talk of ‘hands across the sea,’ ‘silken bonds which bind us to the Motherland,’ ‘the thin red line which encircles the globe,’ etc., but the real, visible emblem of all this is the telegraphic cable.

Business benefitted from this, and from improved road, railway and ocean networks. In monetary terms, distance now posed less of a barrier to international trade. Steamship travel became 350% faster between 1838 and 1912, with the introduction of steel-hulled steamships, in 1880, allowing for both greater speed and size. Increasingly powerful ocean liners not only carried commodities to expanding markets, they also became
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182 Ibid., p.314.

183 Bay of Plenty Times (Tauranga, NZ), “Bay of Plenty Times,” July 4, 1900, p.2. The completion of the “All-Red Cable” to New Zealand in 1902 therefore drew the following excited comment, saying that it was of greater importance than New Zealand’s involvement in the Boer War: “That was a transitory excitement. This is a permanent joining of hands. The British Empire has engirdled itself with a cincture of steel. It is a tie stronger than adamant, and pulsating with life and the constant inter-change of thought between kindred peoples.” Free Lance (Wellington, NZ), “The All-Red Cable,” November 8, 1902, p.8.


185 Ibid., p.13.


“the great colonising agency,” with each new migrant acting, according to the Poverty Bay Herald, as a “link that binds us to those of our blood.” As spatial barriers collapsed for these migrants, they found new ways of imagining the spaces they had encountered. Simultaneity was developing not only temporal but spatial dimensions – helped in no small measure by what Stephen Kern terms “the sweeping ubiquity of the camera eye.”

Speed played a large part in this sense, as the mechanised transport of the car and bicycle met the mechanised vision of the camera. Earlier leisurely enjoyment of picturesque panoramas now began to be replaced by the sense of a frenetic succession of views. The term “hyperstimulus” was coined in 1909 as a response to modernity’s sensory overload, and this was preceded by a marked ‘sensationalization’ within both the media and entertainments such as melodrama. The succession of dramatic ‘trials’, which Vivasvan Soni sees as characterising sentimental culture’s quest for instant affective gratification, had translated into a desire for the ‘situations’ of melodrama. The visual experience from the motorcar, with its succession of discrete and photographically envisioned views, provided an extension of this melodramatic mind-set. Understood in this way, the relentless Edwardian quest for views, so well encapsulated by
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191 Bicycles both unlocked spatial constraints and offered a realistic, and faster alternative to walking. Ibid., p.111.
192 Nead, "The Age of the "Hurrygraph": Motion, Space and the Visual Image, ca. 1900," p.101. Nead argues, on p.106, that the move from a panoramic to a cinematic mode of vision, as posited by Baudrillard, (she cites Baudrillard, The System of Objects, but I have been unable to locate any reference in that work to this idea) properly belongs to the post-war period, with the Edwardian age being characterised by multiple means of engaging with both city and countryside – the cart coalescing with the car.
195 Singer, Melodrama and Modernity: Early Sensational Cinema and its Contexts, p.41.
E. M. Forster,\textsuperscript{197} fits not only with modernity, but also with both the melodramatic and sentimental cultures examined previously. Indeed, an album of postcard views provided a virtual gallery of situations – stimulating substitutes for the sensations of travel.

Recently, Ryan Vieira has argued that accelerating change was not necessarily viewed in rosy terms, and that the speed of economic and political change also engendered pessimistic responses.\textsuperscript{198} However, whether optimistic or pessimistic, both viewpoints prioritise a progress-oriented narrative of the Edwardian period. It is therefore easy to forget that speed and space were not all-defining during this period. As Morna O’Neill points out, the simultaneous desire for continuity created an inevitable tension between tradition and change.\textsuperscript{199} Baudelaire had recognised that “the ephemeral, the fugitive, the contingent” aspects of modernity were the flip side of “the eternal and the immutable.”\textsuperscript{200} Thus, if the economy was increasingly predicated on the novelty of change, behind it lay powerful forces like nationalism, which looked to the past for legitimacy.\textsuperscript{201} Tony Ballantyne frames this tension between the “fixity celebrated by nation-builders and the hyperactive movement that was at the heart of the economy and culture,” as fundamental to understanding nineteenth century New Zealand.\textsuperscript{202} Britons became aware of this tension as they realised that the economy – reliably expansionist for most of the century - was starting to stagnate, and that the nation’s future could conceivably involve a step backwards rather than forwards.\textsuperscript{203} As Foss observed in 1898, “foreign competition is expanding with rapid strides, whereas many of our industries

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{197} Forster, \textit{A Room with a View}.
\textsuperscript{201} Ballantyne, “On Place, Space and Mobility in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand,” p.66.
\textsuperscript{202} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{203} Vieira, “Connecting the New Political History with the Recent Theories of Temporal Acceleration: Speed, Politics, and the Cultural Imagination of Fin de Siècle Britain,” p.387.
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are, comparatively or actually, at a standstill.”204 This substantially fits with Gazeley and Newall’s conclusion that, sceptics notwithstanding, there was a genuine economic slowdown from 1899.205 Real wages for workers, having for decades risen relative to prices, now started to decline.206 This slowdown affected places unevenly, with Scotland particularly hard hit. Between 1898 and 1901, the worth of major Scottish companies fell from £20.2 million to £6.5 million, with the number of these top companies reduced from 387 to 201 over the same period.207

Thorsten Veblen explained such drastic occurrences through what was effectively a network theory, arguing that the closely interwoven sets of business relationships within an increasingly international industrial system created the conditions for major disturbances, as problems reverberated through spreading networks.208 The potential for individuals to profit from events that were collectively detrimental was, he argued, at the heart of economic instability,209 though, echoing Adam Smith, he believed that the “sentimental” desire to help others acted as a regulator.210 For those caught up in such larger forces, change started to acquire negative connotations. Even those not already given to Blake-like pessimism (about ‘dark satanic mills’) were attracted by either nationalist, nostalgic visions of historical rural England,211 or visions of a future in some other, better, land.

The opportunity for renewal provided by emigration to somewhere like New Zealand is well described in these lines from the last verse of Thomas Bracken’s poem The Immigrants Welcome:

209 Ibid., p.28.
210 Ibid., p.41.
No wretched dens, nor crowded lanes,
Where squalid starvelings hide,
Disgrace our pure untainted plains.
The road to wealth is wide.  

New Zealand needed to play on factors like wealth and health. With fares costing four times as much as the journey to the United States, the New Zealand Government would, at various points, provide assisted passages as enticement, notably between 1871-90 and from 1904-39. In the early years of the twentieth century more than a quarter of a million people emigrated annually from Britain and, between 1900 and 1915, New Zealand attracted nearly 300,000 of them. This meant that during the Edwardian period, the proportion of New Zealanders wanting to communicate with family overseas was exceptionally high.

These turn-of-the-century immigrants contributed to a New Zealand population that was becoming noticeably more skewed towards the middle classes and the aspirational upper end of the working class – precisely the postcard’s optimal demographic. They were more white-collar, and more likely than the British average to be from rural or craft backgrounds rather than industrial towns. In earlier phases of immigration, the New Zealand population,
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relative to Britain, had been much less English, with higher Scottish and Irish representation.\textsuperscript{218} The 1904 wave, however, saw higher numbers from the North of England.\textsuperscript{219} And British immigrants often had stronger ties to regional rather than national identity,\textsuperscript{220} something that would subsequently encourage HATS postcards to be targeted regionally [e.g. Figure 83 and Figure 86].

New Zealand’s appeal to British migrants in the early twentieth century lay in the sense that here, as Ian Hunter puts it, “growth, not retrenchment, was the order of the day.”\textsuperscript{221} New Zealand’s standard of living would head world rankings by 1913.\textsuperscript{222} It represented a place of opportunity where, even with limited initial capital, entrepreneurship could bring success.\textsuperscript{223}

A 1907 article discusses New Zealand’s “easy optimism,” arguing that it led to “the poorest cottage no less than the Treasurer’s office, getting into debt to-day in order to ‘develop’ to-morrow.” Households, according to this article, were living totally up to their means, and the notion of “self-denial” was non-existent. Instead they were driven by the motto “how to get all that we want without giving up anything we have.”\textsuperscript{224}
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\item \textsuperscript{219} Ibid., p.74.
\item \textsuperscript{220} Clarke, \textit{Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand}, p.8.
\item \textsuperscript{223} Hunter, ”Making a Little Go Further: Capital and the New Zealand Entrepreneur,” p.68.
\item \textsuperscript{224} Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Old Saws Re-Set,” January 23, 1907, pp.72-3. A 1904 piece, however, complains that extravagance was a gendered attribute, with boys encouraged to be generous, whilst girls were taught self-denial. Auckland Star, (NZ), “Women’s Realm,” November 16, 1904, p.10.
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The late Victorians, Miles Orvell has observed, found great joy in material abundance [Figure 84 and Figure 85], with increasing industrial capacity providing a burgeoning supply of new products. Beyond pure greed, one can speculate as to the origins of this optimistic embrace of consumerism in New Zealand. It is perhaps inevitable, given the ‘can-do’ attitude necessary to decide to uproot, that those seeking Bracken’s ‘road to wealth’ might measure success in consumer terms. Equally, amongst immigrants, consumer spending could be interpreted as a therapeutic response to the trauma of relocation.

The decision to emigrate involved an affirmation of change and of the future, one requiring an inversion of the norm which sees movement, mobility and change as an aberration of geographical permanence. Regaining the normal and ordinary, however, in the wake of displacement could take multiple forms. Apart from finding material justification for the decision to relocate via the trappings of consumerist success, another major way of regaining the balance between continuity and change involved re-asserting the links with one’s past. This desire for a stable axis explains the intensity with which the imaginary concept of ‘home’ is treated during the Edwardian period.
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In tandem with that other imaginary construct, the ‘nation’, ‘home’ has recently had a bad press. Richard Zumkhawala-Cook, for example, takes issue with nostalgic Scottish views of a highland ‘home’, seeing it as the psychologically soft front for an ideologically driven nationalism underpinning the hegemonic interests of the ruling classes [e.g. Figure 86].

As a poetic figure, the collectively understood ‘home’ is indeed open to the charge of being a fundamentally fraudulent construct, as is the English nationalist equivalent, the ‘rural myth’. For first-generation immigrants, however, ‘home’ would have resonated with specific places, and specific people, as well as being a broader “sustaining idea.” For Edgar Wallace, fighting in South Africa, home meant “kin.” On the other hand, for immigrants’ children, through the contagious force of their parents’ sense of identity, ‘home’ might still be Britain. For these children, however, this was a purely imaginary concept.

Nevertheless, every imaginary home was different, so any poetry or postcard that tried to collectively communicate the affective concept of ‘home’ was likely to opt for a synthesis of the concept, rather than the
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specifics. And like any commercial attempt to communicate affect via a mass-produced intermediary, as I will argue in the final chapter, the material message carrier is open to the classic criticisms of being ‘sentimental’ [e.g. Figure 87]. This term had itself migrated – from upper class approbation at the start of the century, to being associated with inferior items, and the lower classes by the end.\textsuperscript{233}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{card.png}
\caption{Wildt & Kray, ca.1909, HATS card.}
\end{figure}

Sent within England in 1910, this card draws together imagery of the ‘Rural Myth’ and of old-fashioned transport with the concepts of memory and home in an overtly affective manner. The reinforcement of the word ‘homeland’ on the life belt, covered by pansies (symbolising ‘thoughts’ in the language of flowers) and forget-me-nots is particularly striking.
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Edwardian Visuality

The devaluing of sentiment was not the only change occurring within turn-of-the-century culture. In reviewing the 1896 Berlin Trade Exhibition, Georg Simmel referred to the “the shop-window quality of things,” as they strove to overcome any deficit in utility with a surplus of visual stimulus.\(^{234}\) The application of this visually frenetic version of the ‘artistic’ to large numbers of cheap products encouraged high-end retailers to remove the term ‘artistic’ from their advertisements, preferring instead to evoke the stylish through pared-down copy and visual references to the modish ‘art nouveau’.\(^{235}\) Their elegant clientele thus eschewed “loud” elements because they evinced, in Veblen’s words, “an undue desire to reach and impress the untrained sensibilities of the vulgar.”\(^{236}\) This tendency threatened further fragmentation of visual culture. As discussed in Chapter Two, Victorian culture had created different taste categories in design, with moral ornament opposed to facile imitation, the handmade opposed to the commercial vernacular, and the typographic ‘art printing’ opposed to the illustrative ‘art publishing’. The late 1890s would see a hardening of these differences, as art increasingly found business wanting. The art market’s preference for collectible dead masters over the living compelled artists to take on commercial work, but this very necessity to relinquish ‘art for art’s sake’ to pay the butcher’s bill made such work seem sordid.\(^{237}\) James Pryde and William Nicholson, two artists doing commercial work under the pseudonym of the ‘Beggarstaff Brothers’, reported a frosty meeting with an advertiser whose protestations of ignorance about art echo Gleeson White’s contemporaneous description of philistine middlemen.\(^{238}\) Pryde and Nicholson refused to shake the man’s hand,\(^{239}\) thereby symbolically denying

\(^{238}\) White, Christmas Cards and their Chief Designers, pp.11-12.
\(^{239}\) Colin Campbell, The Beggarstaff Posters: The Work of James Pryde & William Nicholson (London: Barrie & Jenkins, 1990), pp.23-4. This is not the same Colin Campbell as the sociologist who writes on consumption.
equality between themselves, as gentleman artists, and the advertiser as petty bourgeois businessman.

At its heart, these disputes related to class identity, with artists feeling demeaned when they found themselves “the servant of the manufacturer,” who found it “commercially convenient to keep him in that position.”

Percy Hughes reveals the ideological issues that made such gentlemen artists uncomfortable with working commercially, when detailing how a technical and a liberal education diverged. Doing something for utility, like the ‘art’ of business, differed from doing something for its subjective fulfilment, like ‘art for art’s sake’.

The technical aim is to fit the individual to take his place in the social scheme of toil through efficiency in some art, whether it be teaching or engineering, medicine or “business.” The liberal purpose is the realisation in each individual of the highest manhood, of those ideals of character and personality which alone make the toil and sacrifice of society meaningful and worthwhile.

From its own utilitarian standpoint, meanwhile, business found the discourse of art wanting. Although convinced of the utility of the “picture habit,” American advertisers like Charles Bates discovered that whilst French posters might be good art, a little less art, and a bit more advertising sold the product better. If, during the 1890s, artists had been effective at publicising the poster medium, the realisation that without some design, art did not sell the product, led to a greater focus on design in the new century. And with manufacturers increasingly advertising directly to the customer, the planning and coordination of different types of print campaign required a specialist’s approach to the integration of text and image, message and medium.
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242 Ibid. The idea that individuality equates to “manhood” emphasises the underlying gender inequalities of the period.
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Graphic design history, as Ellen Mazur Thompson pointed out a quarter of a century ago, has a tendency to overemphasise the role of printing, at the expense of advertising.\textsuperscript{246} The literatures relating to the two diverged at the start of the twentieth century, yet, as Johanna Drucker noted, it was not printing but rather advertising that most discussed the graphic design element.\textsuperscript{247} Obviously, the technical innovations that allowed mass produced images to be printed in newspapers and magazines belong to the history of printing. However, advertising provided the context for realising the power of text combined with image – most notably when the increasingly popular mail order companies discovered that advertising catalogue pages could act as a virtual shop window for rural consumers.\textsuperscript{248} Cities had long been plastered with text-based advertising, but it is hard to underestimate the extent to which catalogues, along with 1880s and 1890s chromolithographic posters and coloured magazine inserts, expanded the entire population’s visual familiarity.\textsuperscript{249} The “picture habit” as Bates called it,\textsuperscript{250} was effective at selling to a mass market precisely because it was, for a significant demographic segment, new. While education had, as noted on page 267, discovered the utility of the visual, advertising must take considerable credit for much of this popular cultural ‘pictorial turn’.\textsuperscript{251}

The turn of the century world, that the pictorial postcard inhabited, was thus one in which the pictorial could both excite and divide. When a billboard appeared on the White Cliffs of Dover, it caused outrage,\textsuperscript{252} but it was
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symptomatic of the ubiquity of the medium. Pears Soap (a company that, through its purchase and subsequent use of Millais’ painting *Bubbles*, had early embraced the marriage of art and commerce) maintained provocatively that “we personally can do more good for the spread of art and culture than your Royal Academy or your endless galleries.”

Commercial art was democratizing ownership of the visual, whether through advertising or Christmas cards, trade union emblems or letterheads, newspapers or magazines, street posters or their interior counterparts, show cards.

High art would soon complete the Bourdieu shuffle towards the stylish, the spare and the flat, but, as the postcard craze took hold, popular culture’s appreciation of visual sensation was still maturing. The lavish decorations at the hugely popular fairgrounds, which have a similar aesthetic to that of the greetings card, are a case in point [Figure 88]. Modernist restraint was hardly going to appeal to people for whom the Victorian “aesthetic of abundance,” found in mail order catalogues, department stores and industrial exhibitions, was representative of their only partially fulfilled material aspirations. And, as more consumers discovered the joys of the increasingly complex visual activity of shopping, it is hardly surprising that retailers would be prepared to battle for a share of this market.

---

Though the Eyes of the Sellers: The New Zealand Postcard Craze

As he stepped out of his ‘Novelties in Fancy Goods’ store, onetime stationer, Robert Spreckley [Figure 89], would have looked diagonally

Spreckley was born in 1861. The census that year shows him as a newborn in Leicester, but by 1881 was in Southampton. He therefore must have emigrated to New Zealand as an adult, first showing up on shipping records after travelling steerage (i.e. without having made a fortune) to Auckland from Sydney in 1891. New Zealand, Immigration Passenger Lists, 1855-1973,” index and images, FamilySearch
across Shortland Street, to where Wildman & Arey’s much larger Stationery and Fancy Goods store sat strategically on the corner of Auckland’s prime thoroughfare, Queen Street, in a building called the Victoria Arcade [Figure 90].

Their was an old rivalry which even extended to sport – with Messrs Spreckley and Arey playing for opposing bowling clubs. Ever since Spreckley had established his original stationery business in 1894, the two firms had competed over certain commodities. In particular, the flurry of popularity that the Christmas card enjoyed during the late 1890s would see both businesses conducting veiled advertising warfare in the pages of the local press. A levelling off of the Christmas card craze around 1900 saw a reduction in these adverts, and Spreckley’s bankruptcy in early 1901 created a temporary lull in their public skirmish for

This company has a more complex genesis, with three names and multiple personnel changes before it finally became Wildman and Arey. It began life in 1886 as Kidd and Wildman, at which time it was already based in its Victoria Arcade premises, [Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Men of our Time,” April 3, 1887, p.17]. In 1894, Mr. Wildman moved to become editor of the Thames Advertiser. [Observer (Auckland, NZ), “They Say,” April 6, 1895, p.10]. Kidd had departed, and the company became Wildman and Lyell, with a Mr. Arey as manager. They were able to claim by this time to be Auckland’s largest book store. [Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Chats with our Business Men,” November 24, 1894, p.19]. The Lyell who appears in the name was James Arbuthnott Lyell, who was, according to census data, born around 1841, and who appears to have emigrated in the 1860s. He and his brother started as brewers, going bankrupt at the start of 1872. [Daily Southern Cross (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” January 11, 1872, p.1]. It is not clear when he joined Wildman but by 1896 he had admitted the manager William Ewbank Arey to the partnership, albeit still trading as Wildman and Lyell. [Auckland Museum Deed, MS 360]. They became Wildman, Lyell and Arey by 1902. [Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” December 27, 1902, p.22]. Notice of the dissolution of the company was announced in November 1904, with Arey to continue under the name Wildman and Arey. Auckland Star (NZ), “Public Notices,” November 17, 1904, p.2.


The business was announced in the Star, noting that Spreckley already had contacts in the stationery industry. [Auckland Star (NZ), “Untitled,” March 28, 1894, p.4]. Spreckley’s first advert appeared in the Auckland Star just below one for Wildman and Lyell, as the firm was known at that time. [Auckland Star (NZ), “Booksellers,” July 14, 1894, p.3].

Wildman and Lyell found the Christmas trade strong enough to open a “Special Christmas Cards and Fancy Goods Room” annually from October 1895. Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” October 26, 1895, p.7.
supremacy.\textsuperscript{262} Once Spreckley revived his business, choosing the start of 1906 to place a sustained emphasis on postcards,\textsuperscript{263} their tussle recommenced.

Wildman & Arey countered Spreckley by setting up a postcard depot, promising New Zealand’s “largest and most up-to-date” selection,\textsuperscript{264} and employing, by 1909, “six lady attendants.”\textsuperscript{265} They had long stocked Raphael Tuck’s Christmas cards, and the access to Tuck’s network would have made achieving volume relatively straightforward.\textsuperscript{266} Spreckley responded claiming, with some justice, to be the “first in the field, first ever since” and asserting that his postcard business was the “largest and most up-to-date.”\textsuperscript{267} For the next few years the two companies vied with one another for the attention of the postcard-smitten public, with advertisements appearing regularly, updated three or four times a year. Wildman & Arey were the better advertisers.\textsuperscript{268} Spreckley, however, understood his public.\textsuperscript{269} Postcards had become his major line, whilst his opponents had a much wider stationery

\textsuperscript{262} Auckland Star (NZ), “In Bankruptcy,” January 5, 1901, p.2.
\textsuperscript{266} In 1895 they could say that all of their “Xmas card packets are from Tuck.” Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” October 26, 1895, p.7.
\textsuperscript{268} They initiated several changes of layout for their adverts, which Spreckley copied within a week.
\textsuperscript{269} The pricing of his adverts, as will become apparent below, shows an understanding of seasonal fluctuations, whilst W & A’s adverts maintain uniform prices year round.
and fancy goods stock-list to manage. The rivalry came to an abrupt end in 1909, with Spreckley’s premature death.270

The reason that this minor business battle matters, is that it provides a unique and detailed insight into the operations of postcard retailing in the period between 1906 and 1909, precisely the years when the Hands across the Sea postcard came of age. The British postcard collectors’ magazines, which provided Richard Carline with much of his hard information, had all ceased to function by late 1906,271 and there appear to be no other equivalent sources to show the relationship between businesses and consumers.272 As a result, subsequent histories have simply not attempted any detailed chronological analysis of this phase of the postcard craze. Grace Lees-Maffei has highlighted the importance of considering the channels of mediation that connected producer and consumer,273 and Spreckley’s and Wildman & Arey’s adverts provide a new window into that retail part of the postcard business where the card is still a commodity, in the process of becoming a piece of communication or a gift.274

As far as I know, there are no equivalent series of adverts, nationally or internationally. Other retailers advertised postcards, but only sporadically with full lists of prices and the available genres. Cards must have been inviting material for window displays in a period where visual attractiveness was increasingly important for shops,275 and displays were changed frequently.276 Most companies, however, could simply point out through generalised advertising that they had postcards, and allow the product to do the rest. The sustained nature these two sellers’ advertising in part reflects

271 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.41.
272 It should be possible to get a sense of the state of how the British trade saw itself from stationery trade journals. I have been unable to find any of these in New Zealand libraries, owing to collecting policies that do not insist on retaining overseas journals, however there is further research potential for gaining a clearer picture of the British craze via such journals as well as copyright records at Stationers’ Hall. To date, such a study has not been published, and falls outside the scope of this present study.
274 On this distinction see Alexandra Jaffe, “Packaged Sentiments: The Social Meanings of Greeting Cards,” Journal of Material Culture 4, no. 2 (1999): p.117. She makes the point that “cards are neither pure gift nor pure commodity.”
275 Rappaport, Shopping for Pleasure: Women in the Making of London’s West End, p.11.
direct competition for rural postal orders, but it was nevertheless highly unusual.

As a resource, however, this information must be approached with some caution. The advertisements do not give the retailers’ full price lists. Rather, a conscious decision had to be made to include a particular item on the advertising list. This raises potential questions as to whether the prices were intended as typical, or were intended to be attractive to the consumer. Nor can one be certain about how representative this information is for the trade in the rest of New Zealand, and it is almost certainly not exactly representative of the trade worldwide. New Zealand had a particular set of cultural factors that affected the card’s development, and even where there is a connection with Britain, New Zealand traders were probably following trends some months old there. Nevertheless, whilst what the advertisements provide must be treated with caution, if their evidence is compared with those of other stationers and cross-referenced against comments in a press which was prepared to report across the ‘high/low’ divide, collectively the three sources of information become more reliable. The account that follows is inevitably incomplete, and nor is it intended to be fully fleshed out. However the advertisements help strip away the huge amount of detail that otherwise gets in the way of trying to work out fashion shifts from the cards themselves, and present instead what two dealers considered the cards most likely to sell, and which could command the highest prices. It therefore offers a first overall description and analysis of the postcard craze as a cohesive fashion phenomenon – a necessary contextual prelude that will allow the HATS card to be situated within the overall trajectory of the craze.

**The Early Craze in New Zealand**

Spreckley was correct in his assertion that he championed the postcard before other Auckland sellers. Although in bankruptcy, and trading under his wife’s name, in December 1901 the firm started to advertise “Pictorial

---

277 Both companies appear to have acted as wholesalers, offering attractive rates for rural stationers wanting to stock postcards.

Postcards N.Z. Scenery.” At this point the postcard would not have looked a particularly attractive option. The introduction of the penny post to New Zealand meant that for some time letters and postcards both cost a penny to post overseas, and postcard usage consequently dropped between 1900-2, only regaining its 1900 level by 1904 [see Figure 111].

There was, at this time, no price incentive for those writing ‘home’ at Christmas to use postcards rather than putting a Christmas card in an envelope, perhaps with a letter. This explains why Spreckley’s initial postcard advert first ran in mid-December. It was aimed solely at the local market, and interestingly suggests that, contrary to those who see postcards as primarily tourist cards, in Spreckley’s mind, at least, view cards were part of the Christmas trade. The following October, this time with the overseas Christmas “home mail” in mind, he was advertising the newly issued New Zealand Tourist Department postcards [Figure 91].


William Main notes, with some surprise, that despite a couple of regional offerings, larger companies like Muir and Moodie were initially reticent about entering the postcard market, a reticence he tentatively ascribes to “forbidding” postal regulations. Main, “Some Notes on the Life and Times of Thomas Muir and George Moodie,” p.7.


The drop, between 1900-2, is shown in the New Zealand Post Office Annual reports quoted in Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.23. Statistically, more cards were sent in 1904, but New Zealand had major immigration at this period, and once one adjusts these figures to include population, as was done in the New Zealand Yearbook figures for the period, the 1900 and 1904 figures of 2.43 postcards per head are identical. Statistics New Zealand. “Digital Yearbook Collection.” (1893-2010). http://www.stats.govt.nz/browse_for_stats/snapshots-of-nz/digital-yearbook-collection.aspx [accessed January 30, 2013].

For example, Willoughby, A History of Postcards: A Pictorial Record from the Turn of the Century to the Present Day, p.77.

The text of the advertisement said: “Pictorial Postcards. – New Zealand scenery, new designs just received for the home mail.” Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” October 30, 1902, p.6.

Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” October 25, 1902, p.23. Printed by Whanganui chromolithographic Christmas card specialist, A. D. Willis, these cards were intended to promote New Zealand through being sent overseas. The Department of Tourist and Health Resorts had just been set up, and the 100,000 postcards printed represented one of its first initiatives to publicise New Zealand tourism. [Margaret McClure, The Wonder Country: Making New Zealand Tourism (Auckland, New Zealand: Auckland University Press, 2004), p.57.] The Wanganui Herald praised these cards at length, noting that “we have not seen anything neater and prettier in the post-card line, and as the price is one penny each we feel certain the sale will be enormous.” Wanganui Herald (NZ), “Business Notes,” September 24, 1902, p.2.
At a penny, these government-sponsored, high-quality, coloured postcards represented good value, and the *Feilding Star*, in summing up that year’s Christmas trade, noted that “there is no doubt…that the many varieties of pictorial postcards have affected the sale of the ordinary Christmas cards.”\(^{286}\) It was not, however, only the Tourist Department cards that Spreckley had on offer. He mentioned a series of 24 cards by Dunedin company Muir & Moodie [see Figure 92],\(^{287}\) the last time a postcard publisher would be named in his adverts.\(^{288}\) In this first advertisement to detail prices, Spreckley was selling some Christmas greeting postcards and views of Auckland and New Zealand at a penny each.\(^{289}\) This was more expensive than his cheaper Christmas cards, some of which were offered at between a farthing and halfpenny. Postcards of Maori sold at a premium of 1½d,\(^{290}\) with some of these being cards that Spreckley had published himself.\(^{291}\)

---


\(^{287}\) This established photographic firm had started issuing postcards in early 1901 [Main, "Some Notes on the Life and Times of Thomas Muir and George Moodie," p.7], though postcards were not a large enough element of their production to warrant inclusion in the company’s 1901 catalogue. Jackson, *Burton Bros and Muir and Moodie of Dunedin: Their Photographs and Postcards*, p.14.

\(^{288}\) Muir & Moodie were a major New Zealand postcard company, but they did not issue HATS cards, so play little role here. On the company, see (in addition to the two sources referred to in the previous note) Main and Jackson, “Wish You Were Here”: The Story of New Zealand Postcards, pp.44-7.


\(^{290}\) For any reader unfamiliar with the conventions of British currency abbreviation, 1d = one penny, while 1s = 1 shilling. Any item separated by a diagonal line shows both shillings and pence (the plural of penny). Hence 10/6 = ten shillings and sixpence, as does 10/6d. I have followed the advertisements as to which form is used.

\(^{291}\) Spreckley applied to patent six designs on October 9th 1902, paying ten shillings per item. Registering designs was atypical. With the exception of Harding and Billing, who registered designs the next year, no other early publishers registered their designs. AtoJ’s Online. “Appendix to the Journals of the House of Representatives,” 1903 Session I, H-10: Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks: Fourteenth Annual Report of the Registrar, p.58 & p.v. More work needs to be done on this area, but it would appear that photographs were also registered, but not as designs. The Photographic Copyright Act of 1897 was responsible for
If Spreckley had embraced the postcard, Wildman, Lyell and Arey’s advertisements for Christmas 1902 concentrated solely on Raphael Tuck’s Christmas cards, and they were typical of most stationers of this period in not highlighting postcards. Nevertheless, postcards were evidently being consumed avidly because, by March 1903, teenage Rita, writing to The New Zealand Farmer’s children’s pages, was asking about her ‘cousins’ collecting habits, and noting that she already had 500 postcards. It was not until August 1903, however, that the local craze attracted press attention. The Evening Post noted that “the latest craze is collecting picture post-cards. One Gisborne stationer states that he has recently put through 5000 of these cards.” New Zealanders were, by now, aware of the British craze, if not through the press, then through the letterbox. This hit home in the lead up to Christmas 1903, when the Free Lance commented that this “latest and rather reasonable rage” meant that “instead of the deluge of Christmas cards hitherto received from the old home, already the stream of post-cards are trickling in.” In England, if not in New Zealand, the Christmas card was “grappling in a death struggle with its younger rival the Christmas postcard.”

New Zealand cards using copyrighted photos often carrying the word “Protected.” [Jackson, Burton Bros and Muir and Moodie of Dunedin: Their Photographs and Postcards, p.21.] One of Spreckley’s Maori cards is reproduced by Alison Clarke. Clarke, Holiday Seasons: Christmas, New Year and Easter in Nineteenth-Century New Zealand, p.29.

293 New Zealand Farmer Stock and Station Journal (Auckland, NZ), “Older Cousin’s Circle,” March 1903, Home and Household Supplement, p.vi. Alan Jackson also concludes that the New Zealand craze did not start until between 1902-3, and suggesting that these early collectors were largely “well-to-do.” [Alan Jackson, “Who Published the First New Zealand Postcards,” Postcard Pillar, no. 100 (2013): p.65.] This article is a reprint of one from Postcard Pillar, Issue 61, February 2003.
296 Manchester Guardian (UK), “Christmas Cards,” December 12, 1903, p.9. It noted that the difference between the two was that the latter tended towards humour and the former sentiment.
By now, Wildman, Lyell and Arey had realised that the postcard represented an opportunity, and were advertising, hyperbolically, “a splendid assortment of over 60 different views,” sold in five different packets at a penny per card.297 Postcards intended “for the home mail,” were the headline for this advertisement, but a much wider selection of other cards is mentioned.298 Once W.L&A began advertising for the local market, however, postcards initially disappeared, with the focus moving to “Our Special Xmas Card Department” which carried cards “ranging from 1d to 10/6, to suit all tastes.”299 A few days later, however, the adverts added “our New Series of 36 Postcards of Auckland showing the Electric Cars running in the streets, views of Rotorua and General Scenery; price 1d each.”300

298 These included cabinet card photographs of Maori by Arthur Iles, a Rotorua photographer whose work they had stocked since at least 1895 and costing 1/6d. Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” October 26, 1895, p.7. On Iles, see Main and Turner, New Zealand Photography from the 1840s to the Present, p.23.
300 Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” December 1, 1903, p.3.
What characterises these early postcard advertisements is an assumption that customers would buy locally produced – or at the least locally themed – postcards. Although Wildman, Lyell and Arey stocked and promoted Raphael Tuck’s Christmas cards, they certainly did not promote that company’s postcards. Tuck’s cards during this period were not limited to views, but both Auckland retailers concentrated on scenic cards. This conceivably relates to the early bombardment of tourist imagery in the Tourist Department postcards, but the concentration of postcard adverts prior to Christmas makes it clear that the retailers thought of these cards as a continuation of the twenty-year-old tradition of sending New Zealand scenes as Christmas cards [compare Figure 70 and Figure 71 with Figure 94].

Oddly, neither firm placed much emphasis on the postcard during 1904. View and Maori cards at a penny are included in W,L&A’s “Home Mail” advert, but they stopped advertising under that name after November 19th, and the revamped firm Wildman and Arey’s advertisements did not mention postcards, concentrating instead on other Christmas lines.

---

301 Tuck’s cards were the only overseas postcards to be promoted by Adelaide’s W. C. Rigby, who was selling Tuck’s “views, heads, figures, humorous etc.” for a penny. The Advertiser (Adelaide, AU), “Advertisements,” December 9, 1903, p.3.


304 Auckland Star (NZ), “Booksellers,” December 13, 1904, p.6. Another advertisement in the same column, for the Queen Street stationer Upton & Co., similarly omits postcards. Although a fierce competitor in the Christmas card business, Upton, a former mayor of
Perhaps, like some others, they regarded the card as one of the “hobbies for children,” but equally the lack of postcard advertising in 1904 could relate to an assumption that the postcard was the craze of 1903, and that the public would be looking for other things this year. Even by late 1903 one major Wellington retailer was arguing that “the post-card craze has been almost done to death, and it’s time to think of something new.” This situation may have been exacerbated by consumers not being aware of the postal regulations that allowed postcards with a short message to pass as “printed matter” at a halfpenny. At a penny, sending a postcard was not financially advantageous. Whether any of these factors played out in Spreckley’s thinking, however, is unclear, since his advertising between 1902-1905 was thoroughly parsimonious, giving little or no detail – presumably a consequence of his financial woes.

Auckland, never advertised with postcards, suggesting that the social stigma relating to the card was understood in New Zealand, Cyclopedia Company, The Cyclopedia of New Zealand: Auckland Provincial District (Christchurch, New Zealand: Cyclopedia Company, 1902), pp.126-7.


306 Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “The Premier Obstructionist,” October 3, 1903, p.4. It continued by suggesting a replacement: “Kirkcaldie and Stains (Ltd) have a splendid showing of autograph books at 1s each.” Autograph albums were hardly new, but the advert is representative of the tussle between the two genres.

307 An article early the next year advised readers of this, reporting that most of the cards posted could have been sent as printed matter, and were thus overpaid. Hawera & Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “Local and General,” January 12, 1905, p.2.
Overall, the most plausible primary cause for this dropping off in the promotion of the New Zealand postcard craze was an assumption on the part of stationers that the postcard, in itself, was the ‘novelty’, and that the fad would fade, as fashions did. There is little sense in the early adverts that there could be ‘postcard novelties’. The profusion of genre experimentation that characterised the period after 1902 in Britain (and earlier in Germany) is much less evident in New Zealand – probably because, with the rapidly expanding craze, British companies were able to sell their production at home, without worrying about the colonial trade. Despite some companies like Wrench publishing New Zealand views [Figure 79], and evidence that Marcus Ward postcards were available [Figure 97], there appears to have been comparatively little overseas competition for New Zealand manufacturers like Muir & Moodie, who thus had little reason to consider the card as other than a continuation, albeit cheaper, of the pre-existing trade in photographic views,\(^{308}\) with an occasional foray into montaged greetings [Figure 92].

Revitalising the Trade: From Views to Actresses

Despite the retailers’ apparent assumption that the craze for collecting view postcards of New Zealand had run its course, consumers were hearing that the postcard situation internationally was quite different, and that overseas “perhaps no hobby has ‘caught on’ of late years to a greater extent than

---

\(^{308}\) With the exception of the chromolithographic Tourist Department cards, and some early commemorative cards, all of the 1900-1906 cards reproduced in *Wish You Were Here* are either photographic views or Maori related. Main and Jackson, *Wish You Were Here*: The Story of New Zealand Postcards.
As the *Wanganui Chronicle* pointed out, “the post card craze at Home seems to have reached a degree at present unknown in this colony.” This translated to New Zealand letterboxes, with five times as many postcards arriving from overseas in 1904 as in 1903, and more than doubling the next year. New Zealanders’ own usage went up by 58% between 1903-4, and the same percentage the next year. By late 1905 the *Otago Witness*’s children’s page was reporting “that the ‘post-card craze’ has once again caught on,” a comment which reinforces the perception, if not the reality, of an earlier lull.

The driver for this revival would appear to be the realisation that the postcard had much more to offer. In 1904, a reader’s receipt of a “giant postcard” had been regarded as newsworthy. During the following year papers increasingly reported on novelty items like leaves being used as postcards, “talking postcards” being played on the gramophone, and comic cards with the nose area cut away to fit over the receiver’s nose. “The Post Card Hobby. Something New and Novel,” proclaimed an advert in the *Taranaki Herald*, where Bartlett’s Studio – for eight-pence apiece – offered to make postcard portraits of the sender. These simply reprised the carte-de-viste portrait, but the rhetoric is telling. The postcard was new again. Whanganui’s H. I. Jones imported 2000 “new rotary postcards of actors and actresses,” and Dannevirke’s Thomas Bain added that these “real photo postcards….are being sold at the low price of 3d each.” In Wellington, for four-pence, Thomas Pringle was offering a series of cards

---

311 The figures are 75,476 received in 1903, 257,188 in 1904 and 708,074 in 1905. Jackson, *New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939*, p.23.
312 Ibid.
316 Ohinemuri Gazette (Paeroa, NZ), “Notes from London,” April 19, 1905, p.3.
“a little more artistic than the ‘usual thing’” which differed “entirely in subject from the usual picture post card.”321 And in the lead up to Christmas, there were predictions that the postcard would supersede the Christmas card:

The pictorial post card has come to stay and it is nearly certain that at some time or other it will displace all other season’s pictorial cards, Christmas as well as others, entirely. In a word the one thing will serve for all, and it will merely remain to make dear cards as well as cheap ones and to adapt the designs to the circumstances.322

These opinions were not unique. A Tasmanian dealer said that such Christmas cards as he had on display were old stock, and that the public wanted postcards instead, with sales of these up twentyfold on 1903.323 He was then asked if rising prices would harm the trade and answered:

That is not our experience. The better the card, the more eager people are to buy it. Especially at this time of the year….They don’t rush the penny cards. They require something a good deal better. But the price of cards is not ascending. It is the quality you must regard. The cards now being issued are of such better quality as to be really cheap at the price they are sold.324

In Auckland, Wildman and Arey, true to form, had not read the signs. Although they did mention packets of penny postcards, the focus of their Christmas 1905 advertising remained on their wide range of Christmas card packets.325 Spreckley similarly ignored postcards for the overseas mail, but advertised postcards of “the finest variety in New Zealand” for the local Christmas trade, detailing both penny views and some “charming novelties

---

in postcards for the Christmas season” which included name cards, flowers, comic cards and good luck cards.\textsuperscript{326}

Evidently these “charming novelties” sold. Starting in mid-January, Spreckley ran weekly advertisements devoted solely to postcards, which reveal that the novelties previously listed cost three-pence, or sixpence if hand coloured [Figure 100].\textsuperscript{327} Many were ‘real photographs’, with place names [Figure 98], Christian names, actresses and children as the main categories. View cards remained a penny, but when coloured could double in price. Comic cards were not priced, but promoted as “up-to-date.”

Spreckley’s advertisement is a reflection of the trends that had been developing during the last year, and closely mirrors prices earlier advertised by Dunedin stationer Braithwaite’s.\textsuperscript{328} The craze in New Zealand was fuelled by the greater variety of cards being made available, and ‘real photographs’ seem to be at the heart of this. These were dry plate gelatin-based photographs, mass-produced via rotary plates.\textsuperscript{329} Although recent scholarly work

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Figure 98: Large Letter ‘name cards’ by Philco (above) and Beagles (below).}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{326} Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Advertisements,” December 16, 1905, p.17. This advert still prioritised Christmas card packets over postcards.
\textsuperscript{328} Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Advertisements,” November 1, 1905, p.38. The only major difference was Braithwaite’s offering Japanese cards, something neither Auckland stationer ever advertised with. Braithwaite was a former Mayor of Dunedin, whose store had a large horseshoe shaped entrance – showing his appreciation for the type of popular symbolism used in postcards. Jackson, \textit{Burton Bros and Muir and Moodie of Dunedin: Their Photographs and Postcards}, p.33.
\textsuperscript{329} Benson, \textit{The Printed Picture}, p.122.
on these has highlighted the subjective “authenticity” of the medium, focussing on home-made domestic postcard photographs. New Zealand photographers with Kodak cameras were not capable of supplying cards to the huge range of local stationers who, like Spreckley, Jones, Braithwaite, Bain and Pringle, started to supply such cards during 1906. The real photo’s sudden arrival is therefore evidence of a very considerable increase in postcard importation.

The companies most likely to be behind this push were the British firms Rotary Photo and J. Beagles & Co. These two, with Adolph Tuck, were interviewed in 1906 by The Quiver, showing that by that time they were considered amongst Britain’s leading postcard publishers. Rotary’s manager, Mr. Haenel, claimed that they had introduced real photographs in 1901, and that after a slow uptake these had become very popular. Beagles, it was noted, had adapted a pre-existing business – taking cabinet card photographs of celebrities – to the postcard. Beagles’ earlier business was just one of many that had catered for photographic images of theatrical celebrities, drawing on, as Veronica Kelly puts it, “a half-century’s tradition of skilful collusion between stars, photographers, card publishers, and theatre publicists.”

331 Rachel Snow notes that the 3A Folding Pocket Kodak was capable of producing real photo postcards from 1903 [Snow, "Correspondence Here: Real Photo Postcards and the Snapshot Aesthetic," p.2.] Rebecca Preston puts the Box Brownie even earlier at 1900, Preston, "Hope You Will Be Able to Recognise Us!: The Representation of Women and Gardens in Early 20th Century British Domestic 'Real Photo' Postcards," p.782.
332 Dûval and Monahan list these two companies as being the main publishers of images of theatrical personalities. Dûval and Monahan, Collecting Postcards in Colour, p.84.
333 The Quiver article focuses largely on questions about the popularity of cards showing (mainly male) Society figures. It therefore does not mention these companies’ popular actress cards. Maclean, "Picture Post Cards: The Story of their Rapid Rise into Popularity."
334 Ibid., p.171.
335 Ibid., p.172. In the 1899 Post Office Directory, Beagles was listed as Beagles & Co under the heading “Photographic Publishers.” Their address was 9 Rockley Road, Shepherds Bush. [London Post Office, The Post Office London Directory for 1899 (London: Kelly’s Directories, 1899).] They moved their postcard operation in 1903 to 9-10 Little Britain, and thus within the ‘postcard mile’. [Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.40.] Shepherd’s Bush would have been a better location for celebrity cabinet cards, but the new address was closer to printers.
images translated easily to the postcard. Richard Carline, using material from various postcard magazines, claims that whilst Rotary and several other early companies did generic pictures of unnamed actresses from 1901, it was Beagles that started, in 1904, to put the actresses’ names on the cards, making figures like Edna May, Ellaline Terris, Marie Studholme and Girtie Miller household names, and setting off the craze for ‘actress’ cards in earnest.\(^{337}\)

Beagles also started to add spangles to their cards, as well as the powdered tinsel ‘jewelling’ pioneered by another early entrant to the New Zealand market, Philco.\(^{338}\) These hand-done elements, as later adverts would show, could push the price of such cards to nine-pence [Figure 99]. And the market for these cards was huge. By the time the actress craze had subsided, Rotary Photograph’s company manager “Miss P.” was telling the press that they had done over a thousand different cards of the actress Gabrielle Ray [e.g. Figure 108], and sold conservatively between 7-10 million of these.\(^{339}\)

We know from H. I. Jones’s earlier quoted advertisement that Rotary cards were imported during 1905.\(^{340}\) The likelihood of Beagles also having entered the New Zealand market at this time is high, because an Australian stationer, Samuel Wood, had started a business in Sydney in 1905,

---

\(^{337}\) Carline, *Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard*, pp.60-1. Unpublished research by Peter Backman shows that Evelyn Wrench’s 1903 exhibition of “Art in Picture Postcards” at the Grafton galleries included many labelled cards of theatre personalities. [Peter Backman, e-mail message to the author, March 16, 2013.] I have subsequently purchased such a Wrench card, dated to 1903. It would appear, therefore, that Carline was wrong, and that Wrench, rather than Beagles should be credited with this innovation.

\(^{338}\) Ibid., p.61. It will be recalled (see above, page 161) that tinselling has a much longer history in popular culture, so Philco were simply the company that adapted a pre-existing popular form to the postcard.


gaining the agency for Beagles. Wood had previously managed a bookshop in Cheapside, close to where Beagles was based, and this is probably where the contact between the two firms originated. With Australia having finally entered a penny post agreement with Britain in April 1905, the Australasian market would have become considerably more attractive to British postcard companies like Beagles, and it is likely that the boost in postcard imports to New Zealand relates, at least in part, to this legislative change spurring on the activities of agents like Wood, and of wholesale companies like the Regal Postcard Company [see Figure 117], who targeted the rural market in competition to retailers like Spreckley.

Real photos provided substantially finer detail than the collotype or halftone processes otherwise used to reproduce photographs. This was the novelty element of such cards, with the quality and cost qualifying them as gifts.

---


342 Beagles’ premises at Little Britain were approximately 100 meters up St Martins Le-Grand from Cheapside. Owing to the Blitz, the map of this area retains few of the original streets, with Little Britain being one of the casualties.

343 Staff, The Penny Post: 1680-1918, p.142.

344 Alternatively, stationers’ own initiatives to import cards by postal order in response to consumer demand could also explain such growth.

345 Unlike firms like Raphael Tuck, Valentines or Marcus Ward, newer photographic companies like Rotary and Beagles had neither pre-existing business networks in New Zealand, nor the scale to mount advertising exhibitions such as where Raphael Tuck won a Gold Medal at the Christchurch exhibition in 1906-7 for their display of postcards. [Main, "Some Notes on the Life and Times of Thomas Muir and George Moodie," p.9.] They would therefore have relied on either trade advertising, press publicity or agents’ travelling salesmen to establish contacts in New Zealand. Rotary, for example, garnered worldwide press attention in 1904 for having exhibited the world’s largest photograph at the Dore Gallery in London. It was 39 x 5 feet large, costing in excess of a thousand pounds to produce. Ashburton Guardian (NZ), “Largest Photograph in the World,” May 20, 1904, p.4.

346 This Sydney-based company began targeting rurally-oriented newspapers in February 1906, with an advertisement promising free jewellery to people who sold twelve packets of their cards and returned the money to them. [Hawera & Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “Advertisements,” February 3, 1906, p.6.] Variants of this advert appeared in the Poverty Bay Herald, Wanganui Chronicle, Feilding Star, Taranaki Herald, NZ Truth and the Otago Witness until early 1908. This must have got a good supply of trinket-addicted salespeople, as almost no further adverts occur before late 1912 – by which time, presumably, the novelty had worn off, and they needed a new catchment of (almost) free labour.

The subject matter of the cards in Spreckley’s advertisements [e.g. Figure 100], however, relies heavily on variants of existing staples. Actress postcards were a development from the celebrity carte-de-visite and cabinet cards, discussed earlier. Pictures of children were the most common motif on late nineteenth century American trade cards, and appear prominently prior to their postcard iterations in publications such as The New Zealand Farmer, a reflection of how, in sentimental culture, the treatment of children, animals, and the poor was seen as emblematic of an individual’s ethical character. One need only think of “Peter Pan” to appreciate the continuing Edwardian fascination with childhood. And comic cards built on a long tradition of humorous cards that began with comic Valentines, but also played into the period’s fascination with caricature.

The one new postcard genre was ‘name’ cards, which photographically collaged images of actresses or views into the names of places or people, thereby linking the consumer’s individual identity with the most popular genres of card [Figure 98]. One such card, from a young Australian man, carried the following message, which sums up the changing fashion in cards, with views being eclipsed by actresses:

---

348 Black, "Corporate Calling Cards: Advertising Trade Cards and Logos in the United States, 1876-1890,” p.298.
349 E.g. New Zealand Farmer Bee and Poultry Journal (Auckland, NZ), “Twin Queens of May,” June 1900, Home and Household Supplement, p.v. The two images of children shown here were sent in by the Waikato photographer Ellerbeck. Several other such images, some full page, were printed in other issues of this publication. At this stage, the images would have been created as cabinet cards — a larger format than cartes-de-visite.
353 Fraser, "Propaganda on the Picture Postcard,” p.40.

---

SPRECKLEY AND CO
PICTORIAL POST CARDS
Largest Variety in New Zealand. Latest Novelties, Real Photograph Post Cards. Good Luck, Good Wishes, Best Wishes, Greetings, Welcome, Kisses. Hearty Greetings from Auckland, From New Zealand, 3d each card.
Christian Name and Initial Series. Real Photographs, 3d; hand-coloured, 6d each.
Actresses—Immensely Variety of all the popular favourites in black and white. Real Photographs and hand-coloured.
Children — Real Photograph, etc. Many charming subjects.
Post Card Albums, to hold from 100 to 1000 cards. Splendid Stock on hand. Pretty designs. Lowest prices.
Packets of 12 Views of Auckland, Hot Lakes, Maori Belles, N.Z. Scenery, etc., each Packet 1/ post free.
12 Charming Coloured Post Cards of N.Z. Scenery, 2/ post free.
Forty Views New Zealand. Descriptive and Illustrative, 1/6; posted, 1/7.

SPRECKLEY AND CO.
NEXT THE POST OFFICE,
SHORTLAND-ST., AUCKLAND.

Figure 100: Spreckley Advertisement, Observer, January 13, 1906.
The unevenness in detailing prices is typical of postcard adverts at this period.

Courtesy of the National Library of New Zealand
Would you try and send me a better class of PC? I never send an actress PC that cost less than 4d. This one cost 6d, but I have a good lot of exchanges just at present of actresses, good cards that is. That is why I have given up collecting views.\textsuperscript{354}

By May 1906, Wildman and Arey must have become aware of the quickening postcard sales across the street, and set about redressing the gap Spreckley had clearly opened up on them.

We beg to advise our friends and the public generally that, owing to the enormous demand for Picture Post Cards, we have opened a special Shop inside the Victoria Arcade, to be devoted entirely to the sale of Post Cards, Mounted Views of N.Z. Scenery, View Books, Post Card Albums, all sizes and prices, etc. Having this large Show Room, we are enabled to stock and show every known variety of Post Cards, and new lines will be added daily, thus making our stock the largest and most up-to-date in New Zealand.\textsuperscript{355}

This copy cannily comes across as though W&A had the largest stock of postcards, when in fact they only promised to build up to it. However, the major innovation of this advert lay in the format of its body. Detailed prices were provided for each item,\textsuperscript{356} meaning that it now becomes possible to see nuances not previously visible – especially since Spreckley responded the next week with a new advert that emulated W&A’s layout, and that both companies subsequently retained this detailed format.

The data for this study was gathered by examining all of the advertisements from both companies in order to ascertain when new ones, with new pricing, had been printed. Most of the advertisements were repeats, but for this research it was only necessary to record a price when a new price list was advertised. These changes did not occur regularly, but collectively, Spreckley ran sixteen differently priced advertisements over a nine year period (fourteen of them between 1906-9), whilst Wildman and Arey, over eight years, changed prices fifteen times (nine of those in the four years before 1910). Overall, between 1901 and 1909, Spreckley listed 98 different

\textsuperscript{354} Quoted in Kelly, “Beauty and the Market: Actress Postcards and their Senders in Early Twentieth-Century Australia,” p.108. P.C. was the common abbreviation for ‘postcard’ at this time.


\textsuperscript{356} At this stage, cards are listed under prices, so one can see what types of card cost a penny or cost sixpence. This format was new in the Auckland market, but not nationally. Dunedin stationer Braithwaite’s had used a similar, fully priced, format in November 1905. Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Advertisements,” November 1, 1905, p.38.
types of priced card, whilst Wildman and Arey, between 1903 and 1910 advertised 113. Some of these lines occur only once, and are of little help in drawing a broader picture of the craze, but certain types appear recurrently (see Appendix 9). Those that are of most use to this discussion are those which demonstrated longevity, and those which, when introduced, commanded high prices. These reflect both the new and enduring trends.

Only one theme spans the full duration of the advertisements, and that is the New Zealand view card. Local cards were ubiquitous, but the prices for the standard black and white Auckland and New Zealand views were consistently low. Wildman and Arey retained these at a penny throughout the period, however Spreckley’s unit price for these cards (they were usually sold by the dozen) dropped to three farthings in early 1908. Other than in Spreckley’s very early advertisements, the same pricing applied to cards of Maori themes, with Spreckley’s price dropping in 1908 and W&A’s remaining constant at a penny.

On the face of it, these prices seem reasonable. Frank Staff says that “the usual price of the picture postcard was a penny,” going on to note that competition often meant companies were prepared to sell at even cheaper prices. It does not appear that anyone has queried this conclusion, because the majority of both collectors and academics studying postcards are interested in postcard views for which such pricing is substantially accurate. However the Auckland advertisements show that for the Edwardians, standard black and white view cards were regarded as cheap. Whilst black and white view cards remained popular, the addition of colour to a card meant that it could initially be sold for two-pence, showing that the emotional efficacy of colour carried a premium. This added value

---

357 These refer to markedly different types of card. Where adverts have different wording for cards that are clearly of the same type, these cards were recorded as a single category. Maori scenes were predominantly categorised as portraits of ‘Chiefs’ or ‘Beauties’, the more generic scenes of ‘Maori life’ and ‘Comic Maori’. Prices in all of the above categories differentiated between black and white, colour, and real photographs, and here each variant has been counted as a separate entity.

358 Raphael Tuck at one point apparently sold their coloured ‘Oilette’ cards at five for a penny, Staff, The Picture Postcard and its Origins, p.66.

359 On this, in a contemporary context, see Donald A. Norman, Emotional Design: Why We Love (or Hate) Everyday Things (New York: Basic, 2004), pp.9-10.
dwindled in mid-1907 when Spreckley discounted these to 1½d, subsequently dropping them to a penny in 1908. Even Wildman and Arey, who were generally more averse than Spreckley to dropping prices, did the same thing.

View cards were one of the two staples that provided the bedrock of the stationer’s catalogue. The other was the comic card. These appeared on lists from 1905 onwards, priced at a penny for black-and-white cards, and tuppence for colour (although this, like coloured view cards, dropped to 1½d in mid-1907). On their own, however, it is debatable whether they could have sustained the craze. Printed view and comic cards were consistently cheap, and their profit margins cannot have been large. Both manufacturers and retailers, like the Tasmanian stationer quoted on page 296, had a strong vested interest in raising postcard prices, and ‘real photos’ helped to achieve this. They were sold at a minimum of three-pence, and if hand coloured and jewelled could achieve nine-pence. This made business sense. In 1907, the Washington Post commented of postcard retailing that “instead of being a fad of the hour, [postcards] have shown themselves worthy of serious consideration. The cards cost next to nothing, and are sold at up to 10 cents apiece.” And over and above the profits for manufacturers, retailers expected to apply a 100% mark-up.

One conclusion stands out from an analysis of both Spreckley’s and Wildman and Arey’s pricing. From 1906 the average of the advertised prices rose dramatically [Figure 101 and Figure 102]. It will be recalled that in 1892, Tuck had noted that Christmas card buyers wanted sixpenny cards in preference to penny ones. There was thus a pre-existing sense of what ‘better’ cards were, and postcard customers were prepared to pay in order to...

---

360 Although first appearing in the Auckland stationers’ adverts in 1905, comic cards relating to drinking are mentioned as being used as Christmas cards at the end of 1903. Free Lance (Wellington, NZ), “Town Talk,” December 12, 1903, p.22.
marry better quality with the latest craze. And since higher priced cards allowed for better margins, the retailers were more than happy to oblige. The continuation of the postcard craze beyond 1905 can therefore be seen as predicated on the thoroughly capitalist ability of new types of cards to drive higher prices.

![Wildman & Arey's average unit prices](chart1.png)

Figure 101: Chart showing the average price of the cards in W&A’s advertisements. The chart does not show even units of time – representing instead each time a new advertisement occurred. The assumption here is that the average price is a measure of confidence in the market.

![Speckley's average advertised unit prices](chart2.png)

Figure 102: Chart showing price variations in the average postcard price at Spreckley’s. It is noticeable that Spreckley’s prices vary much more than W&A’s, with changes reflecting more seasonal awareness, with prices generally higher around Christmas and lower mid-year.

While the early success of the postcard was driven by the printed view card, its next wave had focused around the real photograph and saw the rise of the real photo actress card, which was promoted more heavily than real photographic view cards. Wildman and Arey maintained high prices for
these actress cards from late 1905, but in mid-1907 they reduced the price for coloured, non-real-photo actresses from tuppence to 1½d. Spreckley, whose pricing seems to have been more pragmatically market driven, made the same change, but dropped all of his actress prices at the same time, along with the pictures of children and animals that seem to have been associated with the same fashion phase. In Britain, Carline saw the heyday of the actress card as 1904-6, but the conclusion from the advertisements is that these cards peaked in New Zealand between late 1905 and late 1907. This is borne out by newspaper articles.

The *Bush Advocate*, looking in 1906 at the development of the postcard, highlighted the problems of trying to “feed man’s insatiable desire for ‘some new thing’.” Having initially found suitable subject matter, like views and art reproductions, it noted that:

> Having exhausted the elevating and intellectual fields, the publishers felt it their business to cater for another section of the public, and to appease the still unsatisfied desire for change, they produced a series of cards pourtraying [sic] actors and actresses in various stages of dress and undress. To make these more attractive they were decorated with gaudy tinsel.

The article then went on to note that there had been a tendency towards extremely immoral cards, and the 1905 Post Office annual report similarly drew attention to their importation. The cards in question were probably not the strongly pornographic variety, which would never be offered over the counter, but rather cards that, in removing elements like nipples and pubic hair were allowed to pass in other countries [e.g. Figure 104].

---

364 Carline, *Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard*, p.42. This is an example of the time lag in fashions between Britain and New Zealand.
366 Ibid p.4.
367 Jackson, *New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939*, p.15. The 1905 report was delivered in June 1906.
368 Sigel, “Filth in the Wrong People's Hands: Postcards and the Expansion of Pornography in Britain and the Atlantic World, 1880-1914,” p.861. Sigel’s otherwise thorough account treats all such images from 1880 as postcards, not distinguishing between the photograph and picture postcard traditions. It is worth noting that women mimicking classical statues by wearing skin-tight body suits—a staple of the theatrical *tableau vivant* since the 1840s—had been, according to Edith Hall, one vehicle that allowed the working classes to develop familiarity with the classics. [Edith Hall, "Classical Mythology in the Victorian Popular Theatre," *International Journal of the Classical Tradition* 5, no. 3 (1999): p.365.]

However, if this could be argued as a positive, Lynda Klich argues that the various
These cards became the subject of much bourgeois outrage in the New Zealand and Australian press during 1906, as authorities tried to draw the line as to what was art and what was objectionable [Figures 103 and 104], resulting in several prosecutions of retailers. Such moral scruples, however, did not materially affect the postcard’s popularity with the Press saying that “the Actress post-card holds the record for the largest sales.”

369 This is a significant discourse, revealing a good deal about Edwardian values and attitudes to art. For an overview of the battles around postcard decency, see: Daley, Leisure & Pleasure: Reshaping & Revealing the New Zealand Body 1900-1960, p.88. I am largely omitting it here because, whilst these obscene cards may have generated much heat, they were not a dominant element in the popular craze. For an example of the equivalent discussion in Australia, see: The Advertiser (Adelaide, AU), “The Postcard Craze,” August 25, 1906, p.6.

One possible explanation for the change of focus is that it related to demographics. Early on in the craze, it was women that were the primary collectors, and who had a decided preference for view cards. By the second phase, with actresses and comic cards on offer, many more men had become interested. As the Wairarapa Daily Times put it, “this craze is not only prevalent amongst the gentle sex, but gentlemen have been seized with it.” Lionel Miles (son of the Alice Miles who as a girl had painted flowers around her friend’s photographs in the 1870s – see page 141) had a “picture gallery” of such cards, which were taken down before his Mother visited.

Nevertheless, many women collected actress postcards, such as “Lady Lilias,” who wrote regularly to the Otago Witness’s children’s page. Jerome K. Jerome ascribed the female collecting of such cards to a paucity of postcards of actors, but my own collection suggests that young women were comfortable sending these photos, sometimes using them to experiment with their own identities. “Do you think this is a good photograph of my noble self,” asked Elsie playfully of her cousin Miss Hill in 1906, beneath a photograph of Mabel Love [Figure 105]. This supports Veronica Kelly’s point that actress cards referenced the “desirable beauty” of the actresses, rather than having any direct relationship with their actual theatrical work.

---

371 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.41.
372 Ibid., p.42.
375 Rebecca DeRoo argues that women were also collectors of more edgy material such as harem scenes, allowing them a rare opportunity to view the sexuality of other women. DeRoo, “Colonial Collecting: Women and Algerian Cartes Postales,” p.154.
376 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Letters from the Little Folk,” October 30, 1907, p.83. This correspondent’s name was actually Lilian Holden.
378 One can compare this to the way that young people enjoyed inventing pseudonyms when writing to newspapers like the Otago Witness.
A Second Revitalisation: From Actresses to Greetings

Until 1907, the postcard industry would have been able to rely on both market dynamics and Postcard Collectors’ magazines for consumer feedback to its offerings. After 1907, the latter channel disappeared, leaving manufacturers to rely on the market alone. Beagles, for example, between 1906-7, tried to expand their range away from celebrities by importing and marketing genre scenes from Holland, Belgium, Germany and the United States, as well as experimenting with images of the ever popular children, animals (with one series by the popular Louis Wain), and large letter cards. They produced views of Australia for their Australian agency, and published both comic cards, and a new line of greetings cards with ‘everlasting perfume’. The scattergun quality of their designs during this period is suggestive of a company that has realised that the actress card would succumb to the same stylistic stationery vagaries that would see “the shape and fashion in notepaper change almost as often as those of boots or gloves.”

Beagles’ activities point to a change in the dynamic of the craze, something alluded to by the British Postmaster General, who blamed sluggish growth during the 1906-7 on the fact that “the picture postcard is on the wane.” He ascribed this to the growth in the telephone network, which could well have affected businesses’ use of official postcards, but picture postcard

---

381 Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.40. Wain’s cards feature regularly in both Auckland stationer’s adverts from 1907, usually costing 2d, but occasionally up to 3d.
382 These conclusions are based on my having collected and dated over 1500 Beagles cards. On this, see Appendix 7.
383 Although this sounds like advertising hype, I have found one such card which remains scented a hundred years on.
384 Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Notepaper,” December 7, 1907, p.13. This experimentation by Beagles occurs immediately after the death of John Beagles which, according to genealogical researcher John Bland, occurred on January 8th 1907, with Beagles only able to leave a very modest estate. [John R. G. Bland, e-mail to the author, October 10, 2011.] Shortly after this, the company became limited liability. [Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.40.] These factors, along with the genre experimentation, suggest that new management felt that it was time to expand the range away from reliance on the theatrical genre which had clearly been John Beagles’ passion, but had not made him a fortune.
sales were more likely to have been affected by a market saturated with view and actress cards, and consumers waiting for the next big thing.

Both of the trends that had dominated until 1907 – actress cards and view cards – were derived from the nineteenth century photographic traditions of the tourist view and the celebrity portrait. Despite the dominance of photography in the early years, lithographic companies like Raphael Tuck had been active in initiating the postcard craze, and were able to grow the market in art reproductions and comic cards.\textsuperscript{386} The ease with which entrepreneurs like Wrench could enter the new industry,\textsuperscript{387} and the sheer number of new companies entering the postcard market (Rotary being one of the largest) inevitably diminished the large Art Publishers’ market share. Tuck had adapted to the view card via their ‘Rough Seas’ series, and their ‘Oilette’ illustrated landscapes. They then added colour to the actress card through an extremely popular synthesis of art reproduction and beauty via reproductions of Italian painter Anglo Asti’s ideal women [Figure 106].\textsuperscript{388} This series was introduced in England at the end of 1905,\textsuperscript{389} sporting gold fore-edges. However, while the initial phases of the craze were conducted primarily on the photographer’s terms, these next iterations would shift the focus to territory more favourable to the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{image.png}
\caption{Raphael Tuck, ca.1906, Angelo Asti’s Irene, issued as a postcard. This is from a series that was introduced in England in late 1905. It first shows up in the Auckland stationers’ advertising from mid-1907, when it was being sold for three-pence. The addition of colour to figures reminiscent of the actress genre helped to make these cards desirable at a point that photographic actress cards were losing their market share. Author’s collection}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{386} By 1907 Tuck’s Christmas media package was informing papers that they had 50,000 distinct postcard designs – even though postcards were still not their main Christmas line. The Register (Adelaide, AU) “Christmas and New Year Souvenirs,” October 26, 1907, p.12.

\textsuperscript{387} Woody, ”International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915),” p.13.

\textsuperscript{388} In the Auckland stationers’ advertisements, Asti, along with a painter of similar works called Marco, two illustrators of fashionable women, Philip Boileau, and Earl Christy, and the comic cat artist Louis Wain were the only artists whose names were used as selling points.

lithographers. And it was a shift that was as much forced on lithographers as not, since by Christmas 1907 the Christmas card market was in decline – the one notable feature of the Christmas trade that year:

There appear to be no features of particular importance in the trade; the public is buying the same old things in general. In one respect only is there a marked change; the picture postcard has killed the Christmas card. A few years ago before the postcard craze swept over the Empire, created new problems in post-offices, and lifted Miss Zena Dare to the topmost pinnacle of fame, almost everybody bought Christmas cards, and the shops had to provide huge stocks. To-day the demand is comparatively small, while the market for the picture postcard grows.\footnote{Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Damp, but Smiling. The Christchurch Shopkeeper,” December 24, 1907, p.3.}

The \textit{Evening Post} had already remarked on this shift more moderately in an earlier piece, where they also emphasised consumers’ desire for quality cards:

> The volume of trade in ordinary Christmas cards is diminishing slightly,” said the head of one large wholesale firm, “but only for the benefit of Christmas picture postcards.” Again, the people have a fondness for buying specimens of good quality. They would rather pay 6d or 9d than 1d or 2d to please a friend or relative.\footnote{Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “The Christmas Season,” December 11, 1907, p.8.}

By the following Christmas, the Art Printers and the photographic publishers were locking horns over which brand of card would dominate this lucrative Christmas trade. In November 1908, the \textit{Evening Post} reviewed the cards for the overseas trade thus:

> If the people’s fortunes can be told by post-cards, the community is well enough. The masses still have funds for post-cards, especially the Christmas brand, but their fancy has developed along special lines which the manufacturers have to meet. The people now like their cards to serve a practical purpose.\footnote{Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Christmas Cheer and Otherwise,” November 30, 1908, p.8.}

Whilst the actress, according to the article “has lost much of her post-card charm for the populace,” animals and photographic names of geographical areas were still popular as Christmas cards. However the key change lay in the revival of Christmas card imagery that was the traditional territory of the lithographers:

---

\footnotesize{390} Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Damp, but Smiling. The Christchurch Shopkeeper,” December 24, 1907, p.3.
In the early days of the post-card craze, the old-fashioned Christmas-card (the angels, the violets, the gilt, the floral harps, the clasped hands, the glowing hearts) had a set-back, but it has re-established itself.\footnote{Ibid p.8.}

This article represents just one person’s reading of the trends, but much the same is visible in both Spreckley’s and Wildman & Arey’s advertising (see Appendix 9), where major changes in the market appear by July 1907. While Spreckley offered some new, highly priced lines, including Asti’s cards and some greetings cards, other genres were put on ‘special’, presumably in an attempt to sell static stock. Prices of printed colour actress and view cards were cut by 50%, whilst real photos and coloured views of children were reduced by a halfpenny – a smaller reduction showing that these were in a less perilous situation than actresses and views.

Spreckley’s pricing tended to reflect fluctuating seasonal demand, with prices lowest in the middle of the year, but the 1907 dip was larger than before [Figure 102]. The reversal was even more pronounced with Wildman & Arey, whose average advertised postcard price had risen progressively from a penny in October 1905 to almost four-pence in mid-1907 [Figure 101]. Although they did not offer specials like Spreckley, or drop prices on single items to the same extent,\footnote{Unlike Spreckley, W&A maintained their actress prices well into 1909.} the slump is very clear in their overall average price. Indeed, W&A appear to have lost confidence in the postcard’s expansion and created no new advertisements until Christmas 1908.\footnote{This does not mean that they did not advertise at all, but simply that they simply repeated their existing advertisement with no change in prices.} This is strange, given that the postal rate for sending a card in NZ had been reduced to a halfpenny on December 16\textsuperscript{th} 1907,\footnote{Jackson, \textit{New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939}, p.17.} supposedly “further fuelling use of pictorial cards.”\footnote{\textit{Burton Bros and Muir and Moodie of Dunedin: Their Photographs and Postcards}, p.20.} Nevertheless, the \textit{Evening Post} backed up the perception of decay, saying in September 1908 that “the postcard craze seems to be gradually dying down,”\footnote{\textit{Evening Post} (Wellington, NZ), “Native Lands,” September 16, 1908, p.8.} and adding in

\footnote{In fact Jackson’s own figures, drawn from Post Office reports, only show a 2% additional increase on previous trends in postcard usage between 1907-8, suggesting that these postal pricing changes had only limited effects within the market. \textit{New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939}, p.23.}
November that, “it is said that the post-card “craze” has been on the decline lately, but efforts are being made to keep the little squares of card-board popular.”

These clearly worked. The prices for both company’s cards rebounded to their highest peak for Christmas 1908. And their listings confirm the opinion of the Evening Post article, quoted above, that the “old fashioned Christmas card” had “re-established itself.”

The new entries to Spreckley’s list were Muir & Moodie’s stamp cards at 2½d, a range of floral greetings at 2d and 3d, NZ fern cards at 6d, and Hands across the Sea cards at 3d.

Wildman & Arey had offered the New Zealand fern and stamp cards at these prices in 1907, but added the floral and Hands across the Sea cards at 6d in 1908 [Figure 107].

The implications of this timing for the Hands across the Sea card itself will be examined in the next chapter. Here it is enough to note that HATS, and floral greetings cards were the major new trend of late 1908, strong enough to suggest that this greetings postcard revival constitutes a third – and hitherto unacknowledged – fashion wave within the postcard craze.

The reason for this change is suggested in an article from Adelaide newspaper the Advertiser, which had early on spotted the move towards using postcards for Christmas. It pointed

---

401 On these, see: John Watts, Muir and Moodie Stamp Cards (Auckland, New Zealand: Postal History Society of New Zealand, 2001).
402 This was also the case in Australia where HATS cards were highlighted by Brisbane’s McWhirter & Son: Brisbane Courier (Australia), “Advertisements,” December 17, 1908, p.1.
out that although Christmas cards might end up in children’s scrapbooks, they had not been seriously collected by adults. Postcards, however, were avidly collected, and sending a postcard for Christmas meant it would add to the recipient’s collection. Relating to Christmas 1905, this article is alluding to tourist-type cards being substituted for Christmas cards, but the point was reinforced by the Adelaide Register in 1909, arguing that Christmas cards were “too pretty to burn and too cumbersome to keep, they gradually find their way to the nursery,” whereas postcards were collectible, and had the sender’s name on the card, rather than – as apparently often occurred with Christmas cards – on the envelope. By moving the historically variably-sized Christmas cards into the standardised postcard format, Christmas card manufacturers could capitalise on the postcard collecting ‘mania’. Sending view cards for Christmas would only continue whilst they were popular, and with photographic view cards and actresses losing their gloss, lithographers were able to fill the void by supplying a broad range of familiar Christmas and other greetings imagery in the more collectible format.

The evidence for a revival of the greetings postcard in 1908 is consistent, and not only in New Zealand. By 1908, British publisher Beagles had largely stopped experimenting with different genres, and were producing large numbers of Greetings cards, including Hands across the Sea ones. They even overprinted some increasingly unfashionable child, animal and actress cards with Christmas, Birthday and New Year greetings messages [Figure 108]. Whilst they retained their line of view cards, their

404 The Register (Adelaide, AU), “Christmas Post-Cards,” December 7, 1909, p.9. This article describes the wide range of designs available under the Christmas banner.
experimentation narrowed drastically as they became confident of the next emerging trend: greetings cards.\textsuperscript{405} Other publishers similarly re-aligned their offerings to the new trend by printing HATS imagery over older rough seas cards [Figure 109].

![Figure 109: Wildt & Kray, ca.1908, overprinted ‘Rough Sea’ card.](image)

The overprinting of a rough sea card with a HATS design is a sure sign of the developing popularity of HATS at the expense of ‘rough seas’. The text on the rough sea card is a quote from Longfellow. The overprint is the same design as that used in figure 3.

Author’s collection PC048

Interpreting how this phase developed through 1909 and subsequent years is less straightforward. Given that 1909 was the year in which, according to Post Office statistics, the largest number of postcards were sent within New Zealand [Figure 111],\textsuperscript{406} one might expect considerable interest amongst stationers and the press. There were still interest-pieces published – mostly in the postcard-friendly \textit{Evening Post} – about people falling in love via the postcard,\textsuperscript{407} or about Dr Truby King’s concerns about the effect of comic cards satirising marriage on the desire of young men to enter the institution,\textsuperscript{408} but there was no repeat of the detailed reviewing of card trends that had occurred in previous years. Perhaps reports, starting in late

\textsuperscript{405} As mentioned above, these are conclusions derived from a study of over 1500 Beagles cards that I have collected and analysed. Appendix 7 documents the processes used in this study.

\textsuperscript{406} Jackson, \textit{New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939}, p.23.


1908, of the postcard craze’s decline in France and Germany created a sense that this was a phenomenon that had already peaked.\textsuperscript{409}

The stationers’ advertisements, too, become less reliable as a source because Spreckley did not run postcard advertisements for much of 1909, and then died in August. Although the shop placed advertisements in November and December of that year, the continuity of the advertisements as a series reflecting Spreckley’s reading of the market was inevitably broken. His wife presumably took over the business, and later advertisements reprise view cards, although greetings cards also remained prominent. Wildman and Arey were aware of the need to advertise, but their advertisement in the \textit{Observer} for much of 1909 was, in fact, one that they had used since August 1907, so it cannot be used to try and interpret trends. Nonetheless, they did create a new advert in December 1909 in which, once again, floral and Hands across the Sea cards were the featured highly-priced genres, suggesting either that they had retained popularity through the year or had spiked again for Christmas. A September advertisement from A. A. Pratt in Palmerston North is perhaps most indicative of the 1909 trends, announcing new cards “including new airship, new birthday, new animals, new tinsel, new Hands Across the Sea, new flowers, new views, new Palmerston cards, 2d each, real photos.”\textsuperscript{410} The prices are the attractive mid-year ones, not those one could command at Christmas, but despite the timing, at least half of these categories are greetings-related, and suggest that this is still the dominant new feature of the trade, supported by perennials like animals and views. And the capitalisation of ‘Hands Across the Sea’ clearly demarcates it as a significant and recognisable genre.

1910 would see Wildman & Arey cease advertising in the \textit{Observer}, running only sporadic adverts in the \textit{New Zealand Herald} – mostly in the

\textsuperscript{409} Wanganui Herald (NZ), “Wanganui Public Library,” October 20, 1908, p.7; New York Times, “Postcard Craze is Dying,” December 27, 1908, p.9. The latter reported that German exports to the United States were down to thousands instead of millions, presumably as a result of instability in the market created by the US postcard industry’s intense lobbying for a tariff, which would arrive in 1909: the Payne-Aldrich Act. On this see Gifford, “To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910,” pp.79-83.

lead-up to Christmas. Without the competition from Spreckley, the necessity to advertise was perhaps diminished. Business remained strong, but their department was now reported as being “specially devoted to Christmas and New Year Cards, picture postcards, New Zealand view books, and fancy calendars.” Christmas cards were making a comeback, and reports from Britain also indicated that during the 1909/10 year, “the increase in postcards was very small – in Scotland there was a distinct falling off – and the figures suggest that the picture postcard craze has about reached its zenith.”

Postal Statistics and Envelopes

One might expect the Post Office statistics to give a clear indication of the overall trends but although the reported statistics look highly detailed, they need to be treated, at least in New Zealand, with caution. An Otago Witness journalist spent time with the Dunedin post office employees over Christmas 1907 and wrote a detailed account of the operations. Rather crucially he reported that:

In previous years an accurate record has been kept of the number of articles handled; this has not, however, been done in detail this year. Last year some 632,000 articles were handled in the Christmas period, and old hands at the Post Office estimate the increase varyingly, some going as high as 50%, others lower.

The postal ‘articles’ mentioned, as the article goes on to make clear, included postcards. As such, even if Dunedin was the only post office not to count the Christmas post accurately, the reported statistics for 1907 were already inaccurate. Given the extreme pressure that post offices came under at the height of the craze – particularly around Christmas – it is reasonable to assume that there was similar skimping elsewhere, and that the statistics reported were estimates and not strictly accurate. The same article notes that “post-cards are the bugbear to the entire staff. They are wretched things to

411 These advertisements include views, comics and greetings. Hands across the Sea is the only specific genre named. New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Advertisements,” November 24, 1910, p.3.
413 Hawera & Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “A Huge Post-Bag,” December 19, 1910, p.2.
414 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Christmas Correspondence;” January 1, 1908, p.27.
handle. And they are increasing daily.”

Such a dislike would not encourage lovingly detailed reporting. Alan Jackson was puzzled by the fact that, during the height of the postcard craze in New Zealand, the number of postcards sent per head of population did not rise above seven, whilst in Britain the level was three times as high. Inaccurate reporting may account for some of this, but another factor also comes into play: the use of envelopes.

Both Howard Woody and William Main point out that cards sent inside envelopes show up in the Post Office statistics as letters, and not as postcards – meaning the statistics only show postcards sent with stamp attached. Strangely, in his study of American holiday cards, Daniel Gifford entirely misses this point, stating that “postcards were different from items like stationery or greeting cards that were mailed inside envelopes because postcards never left the public display.” Barry Shank similarly treats postcards as open, and cites envelope usage as something encouraged by greetings card publishers in opposition to the postcard. Whilst Shank has some evidence of this practice, the distinction is not so clear cut. Tom Phillips omitted American holiday postcards from his book precisely because they were either sent in envelopes or had “scant messages.” Postcards were, in fact, sent in envelopes, and the potential for this to muddy our understanding of the postal statistics was actually noted during the craze. The Hobart Mercury, in 1905, lamented that “many of the better specimens [of postcard] are injured in travel and by the post marks.” In 1907 the Adelaide Advertiser was sufficiently alert to argue that, although the postal statistics were saying the craze was slackening, in
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415 Ibid p.27.
416 Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.9. Daunton shows that in Britain the rate rose from 10.2 postcards per head in 1900/1 to 20.1 in 1913-14. Daunton, Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840, p.81.
417 Woody, “International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915),” p.42; Main, Send Me a Postcard: New Zealand Postcards and the Story They Tell, p.8.
fact it was “developing rather than dwindling,” and it ascribes the anomaly of dropping numbers in the official statistics to the fact that:

The missives are now being sent under cover of envelope, their chief value now being their beauty, which can be preserved only by the safety which an envelope affords. What has happened is that the taste of the public has undergone (or is undergoing) a change, and the cards are dispatched for their artistic merits, as well as a means of carrying messages.\(^{422}\)

Figure 110 tracks the sending of both stamped and uncovered HATS postcards through the post.\(^{423}\) What the chart demonstrates is that during the initial stages of the craze all HATS cards were sent uncovered, but envelope use started in 1908, accelerated in 1909, and by 1911 – another year of steep growth – almost as many cards were posted in envelopes as were sent uncovered. After 1913 more than half were in envelopes.

![Comparison of methods of posting cards](chart.png)

Figure 110: Chart showing the numbers of HATS cards sent uncovered or in an envelope. Cards which were given, or whose envelope protected it from any impressions left in the surface are omitted from this chart. As such, the envelope statistics may be slightly low.

The gap increased during the war, and almost the entire postcard bulge during the First World War would have gone undetected in the Post Office records, because, being sent in envelopes, they would have been counted as letters. Bjarne Rogan speculated that “there is reason to believe that the

---


\(^{423}\) Overall, 517 cards of the 601 in my study were posted, being either stamped, or not stamped but showing dents and impressions on the surface of the card caused by the automatic stamping and sorting machines (something one cannot ascertain from an online image). These could thus be confidently said to have been sent in an envelope. The remainder – not included in this chart – were either given by hand or had gone through the post without damage. This means that whilst the ‘uncovered’ statistics are certain, if anything, envelope usage on this chart is conservative and could have been higher.
number of cards bought but not mailed was not very much lower than the enormous numbers that were put in the mail.”

My study confirms Rogan’s surmise, showing that amongst HATS cards at least, there are slightly more cards unposted than posted, with 49.42% cards posted uncovered, 36.61% posted in envelopes, and 13.97% either given by hand or in envelopes. And my study does not include cards which were bought to be put directly into the purchaser’s album. The fact that the phenomenon of envelope use is not symmetrical, predominantly affecting the latter end of the craze, casts additional doubt on the reliability of Post Office statistics as a measure of the craze.

There were several reasons why such a change of practice might happen. It was not simply, as the Adelaide Advertiser had suggested, a way of protecting the card. Firstly, as argued on page 195, giving something in an envelope, like putting it in wrapping paper, heightens its gift quality. Indeed, the envelope ensures that the receiver is the first person to see the card, meaning that gift culture, and not just privacy, needs to be considered when thinking about envelope use. For an expensive card to be understood as a gift, it needed a more ritualistic treatment than a postage stamp could provide.

The rise in envelope usage, however, may not relate to a single factor, and privacy does provide another possible explanation. After 1906, for example, there was an increase in questionable cards (see page 306), and thus some cards may have been sent under cover because of objectionable subject matter such as pornography or (in America) lynching.

---

425 I argued earlier (page 317) that Post Office record keeping after 1906 may have been inaccurate.
429 Kim, "A Law of Unintended Consequences: United States Postal Censorship of Lynching Photographs," p.184. And what was then seen as risqué might be, to our eyes, very innocent. For example, on one large-letter card, which depicted the word ‘Mizpah’
More crucial, however, was the role of the Post Office itself. The addition of glitter to actress postcards around 1904 (see page 299) coincided with an outbreak of “tinsellitis” which affected “dress, millinery, notepaper, and picture postcards.” By 1907, the New Zealand Post Office had become heartily sick of glitter, issuing an edict stating it was a health hazard to sorters, and that any cards with glitter had to be sent under cover, at letter rate. Post Offices elsewhere banned uncovered glitter-cards at different times, but since the study of stationers’ advertising has shown that glitter cards were expensive, the ruling would have helped to encourage the idea that expensive cards should be sent in an envelope. Additionally, in 1909 the Post Office ruled that when it was overwhelmed (such as at Christmas) letters would be given priority. If senders wanted their Christmas postcards to arrive on time, sending them as letters was therefore a way of ensuring they were not held back. These were significant incentives, and it is thus best to conclude that a combination of both specific practical and broader cultural factors caused the increasing prevalence of envelope usage, but that it was more user-driven than writers like Shank allow. Once one factors in the increasing sending of cards under cover, it becomes clear that the postcard craze continued longer, and with more intensity, than the official figures indicate. The latter relied only on posted cards which (if the pattern detected amongst HATS cards was indeed general) would have had a bias towards the earlier stages of the craze.

filled with figures of actresses, the unnamed writer told Jim “I hope you like this card. You remember the one you had on your glass like it,” and went on to add “I did not wish to send this open because, you know, I thought it wasn’t nice.” Clearly it was acceptable to send a slightly salacious card for a friend to ogle in private, but not to be seen to! The card quoted is a Beagles 897M card, sent in 1906.

Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ) “Woman’s Desire to Glitter,” June 15, 1907, p.2.
Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.17. This ban was not entirely effective. A third of the twelve heavily glittered cards like Figure 3 in the study passed unremarked through the post.
Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.18.
Figure 111, which overlays HATS envelope usage onto the Post Office’s reported statistics, shows that there can be no dispute that the craze peaked in 1909-10 in New Zealand, but that rather than dropping below 1906 levels by 1913, the craze could have continued at that 1906 level well into the war.

The Postcard’s Decline

All things considered, the exact point when the postcard lost its fashionable frisson is unclear, but the statistics point to 1910, and this is supported by an article in the New Zealand Herald:

"The great post-card craze, which rose to a dizzying height some years back, has gradually fallen to very much of a side-line with the big shops. In Auckland during the past year there has been a very perceptible slackening off in the demand. A leading bookseller, who still stocks fairly large quantities of postcards, informed a Herald reporter that only in a few instances did the trade warrant big window displays. The public taste had been caught by some other novelty."

---

435 Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939. p.23. This data is only indicative. A similar study using a representative cross-section of all genres is needed in order to ensure that the HATS data is typical.

436 The chart figures are not adjusted to the NZ population, which rose 23.8% from around 908,726 in 1906 to 1,192,665 in 1920. Factoring this in, the 1906 level was reached in the 1915-17 period.

437 New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Postcard Predilections,” January 9, 1912, p.5. Written at the start of 1912, the “perceptible slackening” would thus date to the end of 1910. The article goes on to note that view cards remain popular for the overseas mail. By the end of the year Wildman and Arey were making a “pretty [window] display” of
The bookseller quoted here was probably Mr. Arey, whose Christmas card adverts for 1910 reverted to highlighting the non-postcard versions, and the article shows that although the quantities of cards available were still substantial, perceptions had changed and there was a sense that the postcard was no longer the primary craze – something that applies internationally as well as nationally. Postcard historians have tended to remain coy as to the causes of the postcard’s decline during the second decade of the twentieth century. Richard Carline and Frank Staff avoided offering any explanation whatsoever. Anthony Byatt noted a dropping off just prior to the First World War, which was partially reversed during it, but similarly offers no explanation. Tonie and Valmai Holt remained ambivalent. In one book they argued that the first world war was the postcard’s “zenith.” In another they identified 1910 as the peak of the craze, but argued that “the picture card was now a product for the masses, and standards began to decline as quantity displaced quality,” particularly blaming “imported cards” for this drop. Quite apart from the ‘high art’ assumptions embedded here, this explanation, as I will show on page 384, runs counter to the evidence of the cards, which shows some of the most highly sophisticated work was being done in Germany just prior to the war [e.g. Figure 163 and Figure 184]. The Holts do, however, pick up on the factor that would be the final nail in the postcard’s coffin: the 1918 raising of the price of posting a postcard to one penny. Another contributing factor was isolated by Steven Dotterer and Galen Cranz who pointed to the effects of the Kodak camera. Their argument assumes postcards and view cards are synonymous, but if one looks at album practice, it is clear from the way that the photograph album ousted the postcard album for pride of place by the 1920s that the photograph was implicated in the postcard’s demise.

441 Ibid.
442 Ibid.
More recently, scholars have provided further explanations. Although William Main repeats the Holt’s arguments around mass-production, he notes both the increased use of the telephone after the war, and the loss of trade with Germany – since the war cut off many manufacturers from their printers. Both of these relate to the postcard’s ultimate decline, but they do not explain the pre-war slackening. Howard Woody highlights a reduction of quality after 1912, but points to other possible factors too, such as a glutted market, a reduction in new subject matter, fewer postcard clubs, publishers focusing on other product lines, and the extent to which magazines had taken over the role of distributing images. Again, all are feasible, but relate primarily to the United States. Daniel Gifford develops another credible argument (backed up with evidence from stationers), based on the premise that in the United States there was a drop in postcard quality when German cards were effectively removed from the market by the 1908 Payne-Aldrich tariff. American manufacturers, he says, struggled to achieve similar quality to the Germans, particularly with embossing, and this resulted in a dropping off of sales. Nevertheless, the patriotic unwillingness of later U.S. writers to acknowledge the superiority of European imports over American cards is, Gifford believes, the reason subsequent explanations for the decline have been inadequate. He thus disagrees with Fred Bassett, who had argued that the problems stemmed from retailers having stockpiled German cards prior to the tariff, thereby causing a glut, and with Barry Shank, who quoted contemporary
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444 Main and Jackson, "Wish You Were Here": The Story of New Zealand Postcards, p.8.
445 Woody, "International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915)," p.43. He also argues that paper shortages resulted in postcard production being halted, however, if correct, this only applies to the United States. I have found no evidence to suggest that this occurred in Britain.
446 The ones that seem most applicable to the New Zealand market are the issues of glut, and magazines. Journals like The New Zealand Farmer increasingly carried sections of postcard-like images. This area will, however, be picked up in a later study.
448 Ibid.
449 Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910," p.91. He lists the genealogy of this view, from a single 1950s memoir, on p.71.
stationers as saying that too many U.S. manufacturers had entered the business in search of easy profits, and created an over-supply resulting in low prices and undercutting. For Gifford, it was not retailers who were responsible for the end of the postcard craze. Rather, it was a case of consumers rejecting an inferior product made in the United States.

These factors may apply in the United States, but in the British Empire the quality German cards denied to the Americans were still available at competitive prices. One must therefore seek other reasons for this initial drop in the craze, and although Woody’s point about a glut causing a diminishing of variety has merit, ultimately the New Zealand Herald’s argument that “the public taste had been caught by some other novelty” seems credible. Fashions change, and it is not necessary to assume that the reason behind the drop was internal to the postcard market itself. The reason could just as well relate to some other social factor.

By 1910 the demographic using the postcard was broad, but arguably most prevalent across the spectrum of those earning thirty shilling a week or below – a group with limited funds. It is also significant that the bookseller did not specify which new novelty had replaced the postcard, suggesting that the replacement might not be found in a bookshop. Although the camera is one option, Sandra Ferguson suggests moving pictures as a phenomenon that changed the focus of users away from the postcard. It is significant that the “moving picture craze” had hit New Zealand by 1909, with New Zealand’s first purpose-built permanent cinema opening in 1910. Judging by advertisements, this new craze expanded hugely during

---

455 This is an uncited, one sentence suggestion on Ferguson’s part. Ferguson, ”“A Murmur of Small Voices”: On the Picture Postcard in Academic Research,” p.172.
1911. By this time 1.5 million mainly working class people attended the movies weekly in the United States.\textsuperscript{458} If this trend was mirrored in New Zealand, then it would certainly have accounted for a reduction of disposable spending on cards. Ben Singer argues that the early cinema also targeted the female audience,\textsuperscript{459} another demographic factor that would impact heavily on postcards. Hence, in the absence of clear documentary evidence, the cinema seems a likely social contributor to the postcard decline during 1911.

Within the card industry itself, there are only two potential competitors for the postcard, neither of which is particularly convincing. Cigarette cards had been around since the 1880s, but would not reach their full potential as a collectible until the 1920s.\textsuperscript{460} However, when the self-adhesive stamp was trumpeted as the latest craze in 1914, it was the postcard and cigarette card that were seen as most threatened by it,\textsuperscript{461} suggesting that it was a substantial craze by that time. In the United States, 1912 saw the mass introduction of French-folded greetings cards, and Fred Basset argues that this resulted in postcard dumping to make way for the new style of card.\textsuperscript{462} Regardless of whether this is true in the United States, I have found no evidence of such an abrupt change in New Zealand. Folded cards had been available, albeit not in dominant numbers, since at least the 1890s [Figure 73], so they would not have been any particular novelty. Their introduction thus seems to be part of a more gradual swing towards greetings cards that had started within the postcard itself in 1908, and resulted in a gradual reassertion of the old order [e.g. Figure 112].

temporary cinema was constructed by West’s Modern Marvel Company at the New Zealand Exhibition at Christchurch in 1906.
\textsuperscript{459} Singer, \textit{Melodrama and Modernity: Early Sensational Cinema and its Contexts}, p.5.
\textsuperscript{461} Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Newest Collecting Craze,” May 9, 1914, p.12.
By 1914, a report on Wildman and Arey’s Christmas offerings barely referred to postcards at all, putting all the emphasis on Christmas cards. Nevertheless, Raphael Tuck was still claiming that “of the making of Christmas postcards there is no end,” though the focus of their 1914 offerings was also on Christmas cards proper, boasting 5000 new designs, as well as 500 for personalised “Christmas Auto-Stationery.” It was these items that led an article that demonstrated that Tuck had regained the Christmas market and were secure enough to be able to re-position themselves in relation to Christmas cards or postcards as fashion dictated. Tuck had indicated in late 1910 that they believed that the postcard “boom” was over but that there was still money to be made in the manufacture of quality postcards. Their loud complaints at threats to cut the halfpenny rate on postcards in 1915 demonstrated that they believed they still had something to lose if the postcard trade was legislatively neutered.

One has to keep the idea of a postcard decline in perspective. The numbers of postcards sent in 1914 were still at 1905-1906 levels, and enough for the postcard craze to be blamed, along with competition from “illustrated papers,” for the 1914 failure of Christchurch photographer E.R. Wheeler’s

---

This overlap between the postcard and greetings card was the subject of little comment in the press, and without the help of detailed stationer advertisements, unravelling its implications requires a different approach.

The Hands across the Sea postcard, which spans this period, provides a hitherto untraced thread which, in the next two chapters, helps tease out these issues. Before moving to that, however, it is necessary to recap the points from this chapter that relate to the HATS postcard craze.

Figure 112: HATS Celluloid Christmas Card, ca.1911.
This anonymously published folding card was used in 1911. Although the use of celluloid in Christmas cards dates to the 1890s, such very three dimensional cards are typical of this period, and shows one of the ways that Christmas cards could differentiate themselves from postcards.
Author’s collection

---

Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “Advertisements,” June 13, 1914, p.7. The point about ‘illustrated papers’ provides contextual support for Woody’s contention that magazines were damaging to the postcard craze.
Summary

Underpinning the HATS postcard phenomenon were patterns of early twentieth century emigration that left many families separated by the seas. Economic instability had cast enough doubt on Britain’s ability to sustain growth into the new century to motivate large numbers of Britons to seek a better life in the colonies, frequently measuring their success in consumer terms. In New Zealand, these immigrants were predominantly from those parts of the British social spectrum most given to card usage and susceptible to the sensational. The postal service, along with new technologies like the telegraph, encouraged a desire for immediacy and connection – if only virtual – and worked to mitigate emigrants’ sense of distance. At the same time, in a spatially dispersed environment, the tourist trade in ‘views’ gave an almost emblematic role to images of places in Edwardian culture. These disembodied images helped sentimental connections to be forged across space.

Certain business patterns were also important. The business world was increasingly connected during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, and more aware of its own mechanisms – which included the fashion-ramping craze format itself. Whilst mail order companies had demonstrated the importance of the visual, the idea that art automatically benefited business had come under scrutiny, with advertising agencies developing industry-specific expertise. Nevertheless, for many consumers – particularly those less well-off – the busy late-Victorian sensation graphics retained an appeal even as high culture sought refuge in style. And despite the importance of the period’s rampant capitalism, the postcard’s success was predicated on a network of international postal alliances forged by bureaucrats, and postcard history would remain intimately tied to government legislation throughout the postcard craze.

This type of contradiction is typical of the period. As Edwardian politicians teetered between the poles of free trade and tariffs, Edwardian culture juggled a deep sense of the accelerating pace of change, with a nationalism rooted in history. The old and the new seemed able to coalesce, something
that applies across many facets of culture, and shows up strongly in the postcard. Despite a significant pre-history, picture postcards represented an opportunity for companies to renew their offerings. However, just as the previous chapter demonstrated that postcard album practice was inherited from earlier iterations, this one showed that the principal genres of postcard drew squarely on tried-and-true elements of the existing market. Tourist views, actress portraits, images of children and animals and latterly greetings cards were all well-established market favourites before the postcard craze occurred. Nevertheless, the extent of this continuity has, I have argued, been downplayed in attempts to promote the cards’ ‘modernity’, as has the role of the greetings card trade in establishing and promoting the craze. I questioned, in relation to this, why the most popular early picture postcard type, the German ‘Gruss aus’ (or ‘greetings from’) card has been treated solely as a tourist card, without reference to its greetings function.

The misunderstanding of the postcard has been furthered by a lack of appreciation of the dynamics of the postcard craze itself. This huge and amorphous event has hitherto been uncharted territory, but new digital sources provided two parallel sources for examining it. The advertisements of two Auckland stationers and parallel press coverage relating to the postcard enabled the dominant trends to be isolated. It turned out that the postcard craze began significantly later in New Zealand than in Britain, with an initial flurry in 1903, but only reaching epidemic levels in late 1905. Australia’s 1905 agreement with Britain around the penny post probably encouraged British manufacturers to become more involved in an Australasian market that had hitherto been predominantly left to the locals. And whilst local views provided the staple for the craze, the variety provided by imports helped the postcard market to expand massively during the 1905-1907 period, driven by the introduction of real photographs, notably of popular actresses. This phase faltered in the middle of 1907, with prices of actress, comic, and view cards all dropping. The trade was able, however, to continue growing until around 1910 on the back of a renewal of interest in the greetings postcard, with HATS cards plainly playing a
prominent role. These cards were expensive, and users acknowledged this by increasingly subverting the postal function of the cards and sending them instead in envelopes – a practice that acknowledged the cards’ gift qualities, and would ultimately help revitalise the greetings card trade at the expense of postcards. The postcard craze subsided after 1910, probably as a result of competition from the cinema, but it still retained a significant presence until the price of postage was raised at the end of the First World War.
Chapter 4: The Hands Across the Sea
Postcard – The History

Given the almost constant use of the clasped hands symbol documented in chapter 1, one might expect that images of hands would play an important role in the postcard craze. But, despite handmade HATS cards having been sent by unionists as early as the 1890s (see page 89) the length of time it took for the format to spread demonstrates that the connection of phase and symbol was in no way automatic. It came as a result of an iterative process which had separately allowed these elements to become increasingly familiar through the nineteenth century, but which first merged fully some way into the postcard craze. The central theme to emerge from the preceding study is that the initial postcard craze was maintained by at least two later fashion changes, and that HATS belonged to the last of these. These re-inventions allowed postcard retailers and manufacturers to make and sell highly-priced cards on the back of a public that was prepared to pay for what were considered ‘good’ examples.

Today’s collectors also look for what they consider to be ‘good’ cards, and HATS cards do not figure at all. It is easy to assume that this situation also pertained during the Edwardian craze, but the data from both Spreckley and Wildman and Arey demonstrates otherwise. Between 1908 and 1909, New Zealand consumers wanting to send Hands across the Sea cards were willing to pay up to six times the price of a standard printed view card to do so. It is thus necessary to begin any consideration of the Edwardian Hands across the Sea phenomenon with a realisation that the evidence from the previous chapter shows that HATS was not an incidental and minor genre, but rather one important enough to attract a premium at the high point of the postcard craze, and that it helped sustain the craze for at least two years beyond the demise of the previous driving force, the actress card. This chapter therefore takes up the task of examining the HATS card more thoroughly.
The Study: Logistics and Demographics

Whilst mediating channels such as newspapers provide considerable historical material on the HATS craze, there is much information relating to production and consumption which remains opaque. The cards themselves provide additional evidence. Singly, cards can be frustratingly unspecific, so it is first when large numbers are analysed, that patterns emerge that go beyond what can be gleaned from newspapers. As a result, parts of the following sections draw on material gathered through a detailed study of 601 dated HATS cards, as well as a larger group of 2111 HATS cards, both dated and undated, collected between June 2006 and June 2012. This sample represents almost every card that came on the market in New Zealand during that time.¹ However, such a statistical study inevitably involves quantitative research, and this needs some justification.

As mentioned on page 37, amongst sociologists and social historians, quantitative research fell out of fashion when it became apparent that social categories – such as class, occupation etc. – were not fixed but fluid, and thus studies which attempted to statistically map such categories over time were inherently flawed.² In choosing a quantitative approach to study holiday postcards, Daniel Gifford argued that the brief period of time involved in the postcard craze meant that this criticism of the quantitative approach need not apply in this case.³ He therefore felt justified in using a quantitative approach for very similar subject matter to that studied here, seeing it as analogous to the less contentious academic use of surveys and samples, both of which deal well with non-archival sources.⁴ Published after the current work was underway, Gifford’s study did not influence the choice

¹ About seventy cards were missed, mainly due to their having imagery that pushed their prices beyond my means. First World War cards, particularly, have attracted some evidently wealthy collectors and thus, if there is a slant in the statistics caused by these missed cards, it is to underestimate the numbers of cards in the 1914-18 era. The potential for other slanting of the data, caused by what was initially selected by Edwardian collectors, and themes which may have been entirely mined by other collectors prior to 2006 must add a note of caution to any statistics gained through this study.
² Bonnell and Hunt, Beyond The Cultural Turn: New Directions in the Study of Society and Culture, p.7.
⁴ Ibid., p.30.
of method, but has provided a useful point of comparison for aspects of my research.

One notable similarity was that Gifford also opted to exclude institutional collections as atypical, arguing that a more representative sample could be found on auction sites. His study had a strongly demographic focus, allowing him to ultimately conclude from his work that “the 1907-1910 phenomenon was driven primarily by rural, white women of Anglo-Saxon or German backgrounds from the northern half of the country.” He was able to achieve this level of demographic certainty because his study concentrated on cards which had been posted, and had the names of both senders and receivers. He could then cross-reference these with census data. As he put it, “largely ignored by collector and scholar alike, the verso of the card is rich in demographic information.” However it is this focus that explains Gifford’s error, noted on page 318, of not recognising that postcards were also sent in envelopes, because the ones he studied were, by definition, not. Gifford also studied cards seen online without purchasing them, as he was able to find the postal information from the images provided on eBay, where sellers often photograph both sides of the card. His study of six different types of Holiday cards, including Christmas cards, also meant he had a huge selection of cards available for analysis.

The present study, however, had to respond to different factors. With fewer HATS cards – relative to the overall greetings genre – there were not 2000 dated cards readily available online. And, having opted for a more New Zealand focus, my main resource was the New Zealand auction site
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5 Ibid., p.26. My decision to exclude institutional collections came partially from similar reservations to Gifford’s, but was confirmed when the National Library of New Zealand put most of its ephemera collection into inaccessible storage between 2009-12, whilst renovations were being done. This lack of access, for the substantive part of the duration of the research, to one of New Zealand’s largest institutional collections of postcards meant that any institutionally oriented research would have been seriously hampered.
6 Ibid., pp.69-9.
7 Ibid., p.34.
8 Ibid., pp.44-5.
9 Ibid., p.3.
10 Ibid., p.34.
11 This was initially done to try and assemble a more demographically coherent sample than I would get on eBay, however this was somewhat counteracted by a couple of dealers who, it became apparent, were sourcing cards overseas for on-selling.
TradeMe, where dealers do not normally scan the verso of the card. This meant I had to purchase all the cards in order to access their information. Thus, to get a statistically viable sample size, I could not restrict the study to cards with stamps and addresses. The criterion for inclusion became simply that the card’s date of use could be established, meaning that both cards sent through the post uncovered, and cards that were dated but sent in an envelope were included. The loss of detail resulting from this choice was less demographically detrimental than one might think, since penny-pinching New Zealand bureaucrats at some point discarded the census forms for the period, meaning that census data is not available in relation to New Zealand residents. Gifford’s method of cross-referencing with the census was thereby automatically precluded.

 Nonetheless, if Gifford’s study had differing logistics to mine, it confirmed the viability of the sample size used. My sample related to 601 cards that carried dates. Gifford undertook four separate studies using samples of 500 American holiday cards in each. The results of these were sufficiently consistent to confirm Neuendorf’s ranges regarding sampling errors, which would, for example, see a study of 665 items achieve a +/-5% error rate, with a 99% level of confidence. This means that the current study can, with some confidence, interpret larger trends, but can only provide indicative rather than reliable data relating to the finer detail. The intention was always to use the data gained from this study to supplement the history rather than for the study to be driven by data. And because of this wider focus, involving production, consumption and mediation, the study also involved attempting to date the production of the cards – something that becomes feasible when the dates of posting of larger numbers of a publisher’s offerings are cross-referenced. This has therefore allowed the design development of the craze to be reconstructed with some confidence, although the uncertainties around the time it took for a card to move

through the stages of design, manufacture, distribution, retail and usage mean that any such dating achieves, at best, an accuracy of +/- six months.

The study itself was much fuller than can be reported in here. Some aspects will be published elsewhere, whilst for reasons of space I have moved two relatively discrete detailed sections, which nevertheless relate to other aspects of this study, into the appendices. Appendix 5 develops the themes of card publishing, confirming the tensions between lithography and photography, the problems of German printing, and showing how these played out in New Zealand. Appendix 4 examines the demographics of senders and receivers. Demographic data gathering was not the primary focus of this study, and the information garnered tended to support the existing literature on postcard users rather than adding anything startling to it. Appendix 4 concludes that HATS card exchange to and from New Zealand was a strongly Anglo phenomenon, with the English and Scots most involved. Contrary to the imagery (where female hands clasping male hands predominated, and female/female combinations were rare), women were the primary users. And although both genders sent HATS cards to one another, women were much more likely to send them to other women, whilst few men sent them to other men. Recipients were more often family members than not. Sisters and aunts were the most common correspondents, and such intra-familial card practices are a testament to the solidity of the Edwardian family unit. Friends also sent one another cards frequently, but the small number of couples identified points to a predominantly youthful and unmarried demographic, most typically in the later teens or early twenties. Unlike Britain, the typical users were not in service, but were likely to work. And whilst city-dwellers were more apt to send HATS cards than their rural counterparts, the most statistically precocious card senders resided in the smaller rural centres, where crazes could mature to epidemic levels quickly.

Consolidating the HATS Meme: 1898-1904

The previous chapter provided a bird’s-eye view of the broader dynamics of the postcard craze, but the HATS postcard constitutes its own mini-craze. It
emerged as a popular postcard phenomenon in 1908, but before returning to its role at the height of the craze there is a gap to be accounted for between where chapter one left off its narrative about the developing awareness of HATS as a concept, and where the postcard retailers studied in chapter three decided it was worth advertising. The decade between 1898 and 1908 therefore needs to be addressed in order to understand why, amongst the many possible postcard themes that were floated in the early part of the craze, HATS proved so infectious.

Crazes like the postcard became epidemics through sustained person-to-person replication. The similarity to sickness, noted on page 243, has led to analogies with evolutionary biology, through both ‘social contagion theory’ and Richard Dawkins’ thoroughly infectious term, the meme. Memes have not been universally accepted. Some scholars regard the concept as a more ideologically loaded iteration of previous labels such as ‘trait’ and ‘motif’. Nevertheless, others argue that provided the analogy between culture and genes is kept loose, and the meme is treated purely as an “element of cultural transmission,” then it can become a useful tool. Limor Shifman, for example, used it to analyse contemporary popular culture – albeit with the proviso that one needs to factor in the role of human agents, rather than treating memes as conceptually discrete. Treated this way, memes become the “building blocks of complex cultures,” and the process of dissemination, and ultimate synthesis, of the HATS and the clasped hands ‘building blocks’ can therefore usefully (if not definitively) be framed in memetic terms.

John Paull proposes that memes have a “development zone,” during which they are not widely known but the ground work is developing, a “birth
point” where one can say the meme is established, and a “gestation zone” where it matures. Following this logic, one can probably regard the period up until 1885 as being the ‘development zone’ of the HATS meme. Until this point, the phrase had existed in multiple, competing formulations and, although these do not entirely cease after 1885, it was Byron Webber’s poem (see page 93) which seems to have decisively established the meme in the British popular consciousness. The years until the turn of the century can thus be seen as the ‘gestation zone’, as the meme’s increasing familiarity resulted in greater rates of transmission, across multiple competing discourses.

In 1898 Germany committed itself to becoming a naval power. As shown in chapter 1, this was also the year in which Anglo-American relationships thawed, amidst a flurry of HATS headlines and imagery [Figure 113]. It was no accident that the White Star Line commissioned Linley Sambourne to design their 1898 Christmas calendar, showing “Europa and Columbia joining hands across the sea.” Improved bi-lateral relations might be expected to increase trans-Atlantic traffic. 1898 also saw the Universal Penny Post introduced in Britain, with the backing of one of the architects of Anglo-Saxon unity, Joseph Chamberlain, and one finds the emerging HATS meme called on to reference these issues. A Canadian Anglo-Saxon League private postcard, published by J. C. Wilson, selected the clasped hands symbol,

---

22 In addition to the examples given earlier in this thesis (page 105) the phrase occurs in an illustration of American Officers, about to embark for Cuba, shown toasting and with caption given in the following reference: The Graphic (London, UK), “‘Hands Across the Sea’: The Anglo-Saxon Alliance.” June 18, 1898, [no page].
along with other patriotic imagery, to promote Anglo-Saxon sentiment [Figure 114]. This card does not, however, use the phrase ‘hands across the sea’.

The reverse occurred the next year. A company called Beechings published an envelope, apparently designed with an eye to the market created by the newly implemented Universal Penny Post. It depicted a two-funnelled steamboat, with a message below it saying, “A Greeting from the Old Country,” whilst above, a ribbon emerged from the steam saying “RMS… Hands across the Sea.” This envelope included a ship, globe, flags and ribbons – all elements of what would later become the classic HATS card – but it omitted the clasped hand emblem. Thus, whilst the emblem and phrase had already been integrated within trade union iconography (see page 88), that combination had not migrated from its initially defined social arena. The push towards its ultimate wider dissemination was instead provided by the political cartoon.

26 Beechings was one of the first stationers to publish postcards, doing so by 1895. Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, pp.40-1. This design, aiming at the international market, however, was only issued as an envelope. It is reproduced in Staff, The Penny Post: 1680-1918, plate 17a, opposite p.129.
27 RMS stands for ‘Royal Mail Ship’.
Luther D. Bradley’s 1889 cartoon, “Hands Across the Sea” [Figure 31], had interpreted the subject literally by showing multiple stretching hands. To date, the earliest HATS-captioned political cartoon I have found depicting two figures clasping hands across the sea is one by George Yost Coffin, published in the Washington Post in 1893. President Cleveland and British Prime Minister Gladstone stand on either side of the Atlantic, shaking hands with distinctly elongated arms. The cartoon is less formal than traditional concordia images [e.g. Figure 23], with the figures shown in perspective and Cleveland closer than Gladstone. Nevertheless, the concordia derivation is clear. By 1898 this pattern was apparently familiar enough to be used more symbolically by Victor Gillam, who adapted it to predict that Uncle Sam and John Bull would be able to “boss the whole world” [Figure 115].

The last fifteen years of the nineteenth century had seen a burgeoning print culture, with exponential increases in the numbers of both newspapers and magazines. With well-developed transatlantic networks for both the circulation and consumption of such items, there is no reason to think that there was not an equally vital set of networks operating between America, Britain, and its colonies. This increase in shared graphic culture must have allowed cartoonists to be aware of what other cartoonists across the English-
speaking world were doing, and to use overseas material to source ideas. Amongst all these (mostly inaccessible) publications, there are probably more HATS cartoons to be found. At all events, by 1900, HATS was widespread enough as a visual meme for a New Zealand cartoon to broadly mimic the design of Coffin’s 1893 cartoon in order to visualise the San Francisco mail’s role in New Zealand’s economic relations with the United States [Figure 116]. Although this visual schema was not so entrenched as to preclude other variations, its Concordia references help distance it from the trade union-based fides clasped hands emblem.

![Figure 116: Cartoon from the Free Lance, 1900, “Hands Across the Sea – A Question of Fostering Trade.”](image)

This cartoon, from August 11, p.7, accompanied an editorial article discussing concerns some people in Australasia had with the subsidy paid to the shipping line that ran the San Francisco mail service, and arguing that the benefits of trade outweighed the costs of the subsidy. The cartoon is unsigned. Courtesy of the National Library of New Zealand

Whatever concerns there may have been in New Zealand about the San Francisco mails and relationships with the United States, by 1901 new ships such as the Sierra were promising a mere 24 days for the London mails to arrive in Auckland, thereby increasing the perception of the service’s speed at precisely the time when the postcard craze in Britain was beginning. Nevertheless, any advance in communications was just as useful to other trading nations – such as the Germans – as it was to the British. On his return from an ‘Imperial Tour’ that included New Zealand, the Prince of Wales made this danger clear. In two speeches he firstly “bade the British


33 Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “The San Francisco Mail Service,” January 9, 1901, p.21. This claim would prove an exaggeration. Although a correspondent to the Auckland Star also regarded San Francisco as being faster than the alternative routes via Suez (36 days) or Vancouver (39 day), he claimed ‘Frisco’ took 28 days. Auckland Star (NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” August 10, 1903, p.2.

34 The Sierra and sister ships did not live up to the promise and by 1907 the Frisco route was downgraded to one that had a 35 day journey for the London to New Zealand post. Gavin McLean, The Southern Octopus: The Rise of a Shipping Empire (Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Ship & Marine Society, 1990), pp.79-80.
Merchant ‘wake up’” before applauding “the strengthening of ‘the grip of hands across the sea’ through increased facilities of [telegraphic] inter-communication.”35 The Prince clearly enjoyed the HATS phrase, using it repeatedly,36 and he was later credited with, if not originating, then having given “increased currency to the fine phrase, ‘Hands across the sea’.”37 By 1902, however, the phrase was already flourishing without the Prince’s help. Although Joseph Chamberlain seems to have been careful not to use it in relation to the colonies,38 Chamberlain’s policies around tariffs brought a different set of relationships to the fore – ones that also suited the HATS metaphor.

During the latter half of the nineteenth century, British Tories and Liberals had been united in following the laissez-faire economic doctrine of free trade.39 By the turn of the century, as Thorstein Veblen pointed out from an American perspective, many business people were seeing the advantage in aggressive nationalism.40 Faced with competition from an increasingly buoyant American and, more particularly, German business apparatus, Britain’s free-trade economy looked decidedly vulnerable. As tariff reformer John Beattie Crozier put it, “the ghost of a dead and superannuated political economy has forbidden the erection of defences against the wolves.”41 Ryan Vieira argues that this sense of threat invoked a narrative

36 Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Reciprocity: Colonial Institute Banquet,” June 17, 1908, p.4.
38 This is probably because he saw it as associated with Anglo-American relationship. He was heavily involved with American Ambassador Choate, who gave a very widely reported, and carefully vague speech, saying “Let England and America clasp hands across the sea, and the peace of the world is absolutely secure.” The Times (London, UK), “Mr Chamberlain’s Speech,” December 2, 1899, p.7.
of British decline in the face of accelerating change,\textsuperscript{42} change that started to be viewed pessimistically.\textsuperscript{43} In Darwinian terms, this meant adapt or perish. During the 1880s and 1890s, a new school of “fair trade” economic thought had developed, arguing that historical ties with certain countries and colonies gave Britain a greater moral responsibility for the colonial, than for the foreign marketplace.\textsuperscript{44} Chamberlain became the political voice of this approach, arguing that the trade with the colonies must be promoted, “even at some present sacrifice.”\textsuperscript{45} It was only through changes such as increased technical education and fair trade that Britain could be saved from inevitable decline.\textsuperscript{46}

What was being proposed was something not unlike the German method of promoting commercial links between states,\textsuperscript{47} and at its heart lay reciprocal ‘preferential’ tariffs.\textsuperscript{48} New Zealand implemented preferential tariffs in 1903,\textsuperscript{49} boosting British hopes of arresting increasing ‘foreign’ incursions into colonial markets.\textsuperscript{50} For New Zealand, which sent over 80\% of its exports to Britain,\textsuperscript{51} there was a strong vested interest in preferential access to Britain: “Drawing closer the silken ties that bind us to the Motherland” was, for New Zealanders, a case of “patriotism and hard cash.”\textsuperscript{52} Indeed, when Chamberlain resigned his Colonial Secretary position in 1903 (the year that New Zealand instituted “Empire Day”),\textsuperscript{53} his loss was deeply felt, and Prime Minister’s Seddon’s remonstrations in favour of Chamberlain

\textsuperscript{42} Ibid., p.382.
\textsuperscript{43} Ibid., p.389.
\textsuperscript{44} Thompson, \textit{Imperial Britain: The Empire in British Politics c.1880-1932}, p.84.
\textsuperscript{45} From a 1903 speech by Joseph Chamberlain on “Imperial Union and Tariff Reform.” Quoted in Thompson, ibid., p.81.
\textsuperscript{46} Vieira, “Connecting the New Political History with the Recent Theories of Temporal Acceleration: Speed, Politics, and the Cultural Imagination of Fin de Siècle Britain,” p.387.
\textsuperscript{47} Thompson, \textit{Imperial Britain: The Empire in British Politics c.1880-1932}, p.86.
\textsuperscript{48} Ibid., p.90.
\textsuperscript{49} Ibid., p.91.
\textsuperscript{50} Ibid., p.106.
\textsuperscript{51} Ibid., p.91.
\textsuperscript{52} Nelson Evening Mail (NZ), “Patriotism and Hard Cash,” February 19, 1902, p.2.
and the preferential tariff were quoted in the New Zealand press under the heading “hands across the sea.”

It is unclear whether such tariffs had any marked effect on small-scale imports like postcards, which could generally expect to avoid paying duty by use of the postal system [Figure 117]. Nevertheless, as a rhetorical driver, preferential tariffs made Joseph Chamberlain a postcard favourite, and created opportunities for ‘hands across the sea’ debate. Lord Hugh Cecil, for example, was quoted as saying that “he did not relish the metaphor of “Hands Across the Sea” because “hands” suggested “pockets,” whilst the Unionist MP for Edinburgh displayed, at an election meeting, a large coloured lithograph with the motto, “Hands Across the Seas. The Empire’s Trade for the Empire’s People.”

Despite such instances, it would be overstating the case to say that the tariff debate directly sparked the HATS postcard. There is little other evidence of its being used overtly to support the tariff cause, and other previously discussed factors, such as communications, union iconography, the long-running Pettit melodrama, and HATS associations with Christmas.

---

55 McDonald, “Postcards and Politics,” p.5.
57 The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Election News: West Edinburgh Sir Lewis McIver Adopted as Unionist Candidate,” December 23, 1905, p.11. It continued: “The picture in the centre showed Britannia wrapped in the Union Jack clasping the hand of a colonial, while around were representative bales of British and colonial produce.”
59 The play “Hands Across the Sea” was still getting headline billing in Auckland in 1903. Auckland Star (NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” August 24, 1903, p.2.
handshaking all played their part. Nevertheless, the focus on colonial relationships engendered by this debate must have furthered familiarity with the meme, and informed the thinking behind a manufacturer entitling a 1905 box of Christmas cards, sold at Spreckley’s Auckland store, as “Hands across the Sea.”

It is hardly surprising that one should find Hands across the Sea cards being sold at this stage as Christmas cards. As discussed on page 288, postal regulations still precluded postcards from being sent internationally at less than letter rates. Similarly, the early phases of the postcard in Britain had tended to move away from greetings cards, and towards views. There was also a prior tradition of sending cards with clasped hands on them for Christmas. One photographic example from the 1880s has already been noted on page 200, but it is probable that it was inspired by even earlier printed cards [e.g. Figure 43]. Given that printers were creating scraps in the 1880s showing clasped hands (see appendix 2), it is hard to believe that larger cards were not created with that motif, even if dated examples have eluded my researches. It will be recalled, too, that printers sent one another cards with clasped hands and “hands across the sea,” on them during the early 1890s (see page 89).

Certainly, during the 1890s, clasped hands designs were found on enough Christmas cards [e.g. Figure 118 and Figure 119] for the motif later to have been regarded as typical of the “old-fashioned Christmas card,” and for

---

Spreckley to be selling a “handclasp” series of such greetings cards in 1902.65

It makes sense, then, at this stage, for the HATS concept to exist in Christmas cards to be sent internationally, and for postcards only to employ handclasping imagery for cards that could be sold on the local market, such as those created at the end of the Boer war [Figure 120]. Nevertheless, by the time Spreckley was selling Hands across the Sea Christmas cards in 1905 – the first time the phrase is used in advertisements for cards in New Zealand – at least four British companies were already selling postcards using the HATS theme.

Early Hands Across the Sea Postcards

The companies that initially introduced the HATS card were evidently banking on the concept being strong enough to survive in spite of the inhibiting international postal regulations that obliged people to write on the front of any cards sent overseas [Figure 121]. And although, with its imperial connotations, one might have expected a strongly English derivation for the concept, the two earliest companies involved were Scottish. Given the difficult economic situation in Scotland, and the very strong tendencies for Scots to migrate overseas (see pages 273 and 275), the Scottish link becomes less strange – and still less so when one recalls the strong Scottish patriotic attachment to handclasping stemming from Robert Burns and *Auld Lang Syne*.

Because HATS cards from this period are scarce, one cannot ascertain with any certainty which company first introduced the HATS postcard. An obscure company called D. & F.L.T. and a much larger firm, Millar & Lang, both published cards which were posted in 1904 [Figure 121 & Figure 123]. Gabriel Coxhead realised how well this particular Millar & Lang card encapsulated the HATS theme, using it to lead off his article – though he incorrectly dated it to ca.1907.\(^{66}\)

---

\(^{66}\) Coxhead, "A Link to Bind Where Circumstances Part," p.107. This card has a divided back, and Coxhead was evidently confused by the fact that 1907 was the date when America introduced the divided back. This is an easy mistake to make. Most internet histories of the postcard use the American date for the divided back, and omit the different ways it was implemented elsewhere. However British cards can have divided backs from 1902 onwards. Coxhead is aware of this distinction, p.110, but does not apply it to this dating.
The two cards’ interpretation of the HATS theme is significant. The larger amount of arm shown in the M&L card, and the divided hands in the other suggest some initial reluctance to emulate the union-related emblematic form of the clasped hands. The next year, the English company of Henry Garner created a card, using a *concordia* design [Figure 122]. Like Figure 123, its use of “hands across the sea” in quotation marks emphasises the sense that this was a novel use of an idiomatic phrase.\(^{67}\)

The fourth company was the leading producer of silk pictures and postcards. Thomas Stevens had its roots in 1850s ribbon-weaving,\(^ {68}\) but had started to manufacture pictorial bookmarks in the 1860s as a response to the decline in the silk ribbon trade.\(^ {69}\) This lead the company to ultimately manufacture many types of woven silk pictures, including Christmas cards, and Stevens also occasionally ventured into Art Publishing.\(^ {70}\)

---

\(^{67}\) This card appears with some regularity on eBay, mostly dated, like the example here, to 1905, and with none from 1904. This dating is therefore reasonably secure.


\(^{69}\) This was caused by overseas imports and a fashion swing which saw feathers displace ribbons in hats. Geoffrey A. Godden, *Stevengraphs and Other Victorian Silk Pictures* (London: Barrie & Jenkins, 1971), p.17.

\(^{70}\) Ibid., p.349.
By the early twentieth century, Stevens had a wide portfolio, including a stock series of HATS designs [e.g. Figure 124], which used a well-established version of the fides emblem. Stevens cards were sold for 3d at places like the Crystal Palace, and they were thus expensive enough to primarily attract people who were more familiar with the patriotic and ritual uses of the clasped hands than their Trade Union connotations. Nor would the cost of overseas postage have been an issue for such consumers.

Although Stevens began producing postcards in 1903, and Coxhead believes theirs were the first HATS cards, Byatt asserts that it was a couple of years before they started to publish cards of ships, and that the HATS cards appear subsequent to that. Certainly, they do not figure in the 1903 trade advertising that Geoffrey Godden cites. The evidence is more suggestive of Millar & Lang having preceded Stevens into the market. Apart from publishing the earliest HATS card I could find [Figure 121], M&L continued to issue HATS cards through most of the period covered in this study, and were still listing them for sale in a 1922-3 sample catalogue. They also later re-issued some of the early designs. Both of these factors are suggestive of their having a sense of ownership of the genre.

---

71 Ibid., p.358. Godden notes, p.356, that these early cards were sold and marketed by the London firm R. T. Morgan & Co.
72 Ibid., pp.356-7.
74 Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.273. Stevens cards are avidly collected and extremely expensive. I have thus not been able to access many of their cards and am grateful to Dr Mark Cotтрил, Malcolm Roebuck and Dave Murray of the Stevengraph Collectors Association for their assistance in this research. They were also unable to find any Stevens HATS cards from before 1905.
75 Godden, Stevengraphs and Other Victorian Silk Pictures, p.358.
Millar & Lang are an important firm to understand, being one of the largest producers of HATS cards, and one where, unusually, some detail of their operations can be gleaned. They were already established as “manufacturing stationers, Robertson Lane, Glasgow” in 1898.\textsuperscript{77} By 1905, the company was claiming to be the “largest publishers of view postcards in Britain,” and became a Limited Company.\textsuperscript{78} At this point, they floated £100,000 of shares, and the accompanying description of the company in \textit{The Scotsman} helps explain what a large manufacturing stationer might look like.\textsuperscript{79} They described themselves as “entirely wholesale,” with the business consisting of “the Manufacture of all kinds of Art Publications produced by fine printing, embossing, and kindred processes.” A new factory had been built in 1901, and doubled in size by 1903. Their customer base consisted of 1000 wholesale stationers and 3500 retail stationers. Net profits for 1904 alone were given at over £14,000, indicative of the expansion M&L were

\textsuperscript{77} This was when the partnership between George Grandison Millar and Alexander Campbell Lang was dissolved, with Millar continuing alone: Edinburgh Gazette (UK), “Notice,” March 14, 1899, p.282.

\textsuperscript{78} Byatt, \textit{Picture Postcards and their Publishers}, p.186.

\textsuperscript{79} The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Display Advertisement,” March 8, 1905, p.5. Subsequent quotes in this section are from this detailed advertisement.
The directors were named as Millar, along with the chief artist, Andrew Allan [see Figure 125], the head traveller, and the works manager. Their salaries were given at £400 per annum – a very comfortably upper-middle class income, though Millar himself was earning sufficient for his estranged wife to claim £3000 a year in alimony.

Although the in-house directorships suggest a slightly insular firm, with capital of £100,000, Millar & Lang counted as being “among the larger public companies” in Scotland, being a quarter of the size of the largest Scottish company, the “Bank Line of Steamships.” It was still tiny compared to the £17.5 million worth of Britain’s largest company, Imperial Tobacco, and minuscule compared to the $1.4 billion value of the United States Steel Corporation. Indeed John Micklethwait and Adrian Wooldridge argue that it was the British preference for smaller and more personal or family-oriented firms that would subsequently disadvantage British business in relation to the United States.

In its own context, however, M&L was a substantial firm. Whilst it is important to be aware that, like Raphael Tuck, postcards were only one arm of a much broader publishing operation, the prominence of Art Publishing within the contemporary business world meant that when such a firm placed emphasis on a genre like the postcard, this must have carried weight. Millar & Lang certainly had the reputation, impetus, and means of distribution to ensure that any new postcard idea, such as a HATS card, was taken note of.

---

80 Only £9720 of M&L’s assets were tied up in designs, with £3500 in copyrights and patents, and the bulk of the £100,000 relating to materials, property, machinery, and “goodwill,” the last of which, at £33,745 was said to be “less than the profits of the last three years.”

81 His address is listed as London – indicative of the focus of the company’s sales.

82 The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Wife’s Heavy Claim for Aliment.” June 6, 1907, p.11.


84 Micklethwait and Wooldridge, The Company: A Short History of a Revolutionary Idea, p.82.

85 Ibid., p.70.

86 Ibid., p.82.
The spread of a new idea was, however, subject to the limitations of copyright. What could be copyrighted, and what could not, had been heavily contested since the 1840s, and remained ambiguous enough for Millar to engage in strenuous legal battles during 1907-8, as he tried to assert ownership of his designs. Whilst the HATS term itself was generic, and could be transmitted, meme-like, complete, for the Hands across the Sea postcard to develop beyond the clutches of a litigious single manufacturer, the designs would necessarily have to differ between companies.

By 1906, with international transmission of divided back cards newly sanctioned by the Postal Union, the prospects for cards celebrating international connection had improved. While HATS postcards had yet to appear in New Zealand newspapers, Australia’s Hobart Mercury published an advertisement for a

---


88 He took two cases to court over the unauthorised reproduction of some Christmas cards which he appears to have personally designed. The first related to a stationer who retailed designs from a German company that had copied M&L’s designs – items the Scottish judge ruled to be “really of no value at all.” [The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Court of Session,” February 14, 1907, p.11]. Millar appealed this to the High Court. [The Times (London, UK), “High Court of Justice,” December 21, 1907, p.3]. The case hinged on the defendant trying to justify his importation of cards which were copied from Miller’s designs by claiming that Millar & Lang had incorrectly registered the designs for the cards that had been copied. They had been registered under the Fine Arts Copyright Act of 1862, when they should have come under the Patents, Designs &c., Act, 1883. The judge came to the conclusion that the card designs could count as drawings under the Fine Arts Act, despite the fact “that their value consists not in the use of the originals for exhibition, but in their use for multiplying copies.” [Ibid, p.3]. Having won this case, Millar then claimed that five British manufacturing stationers, principally Macniven & Cameron, had copied his Christmas card designs, which had been “registered under the Fine Arts Copyright Act, 1862.” [The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Christmas Card Designs,” March 21, 1908, p.12]. Like the previous case, the contested designs related primarily to “gold foil designs” many involving intricate interlinked letterforms. The Scottish judge ruled that the cards should have been registered “under the patents act of 1883 as designs for manufacturers,” and that “the designs complained of were not “original drawings” or fit subjects for copyright within the meaning of the act of 1862.” [Ibid p.12]. What an Art Manufacturer could call art, and what was design, was thus legally in limbo, but these conflicting rulings clearly demonstrated how arduous it was to uphold copyright, even when an item was reproduced exactly, as in these cases. Once an item was modified there was little protection to be had.

89 Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.66.
furrier with largely Scottish and Irish themed “Christmas and New Year Postcards” that included HATS cards. The manufacturer of these cards could have been one of several firms which had now produced their own versions. Glasgow’s Art Publishing Company printed photographs of ships, with clasped hands and the HATS phrase, whilst the theatrically-oriented Rapid Photo Printing Company, which had recently moved to London, produced a version which placed popular actresses as characters in Pettit’s melodrama [Figure 127].

Although expanding, both of these companies were small players in the industry and unlikely to have yet established strong colonial connections. The same applies to D. & F.L.T. and Henry Garner. A larger firm, Wildt & Kray, produced its first clasped hands cards in 1906, but although their designs would prove highly influential in establishing the ultimate design pattern for HATS cards [e.g. Figure 128 and Figure 22], none of their early cards use the HATS phrase.

91 It had previously been based in Middlesex. Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.228.
The same applies to patriotic American cards showing the clasped hands above the Stars and Stripes and another country’s flag that were published in the United States by the National Art Company and by Frederik Peterson in the same year.

German publishers such as Paul Finkenrath, and some other anonymous firms, marketed Victorian-style clasped hands greeting postcards for Birthday, Christmas and general greetings usage [Figure 129], but with no HATS label. Gabriel Coxhead incorrectly dates cards lacking transport and distance references to the end of the HATS period, saying that this is “an indication of the eventual fate of HATS—absorption into the Valentine’s genre.”

In fact this type of card existed prior and then parallel to the transport-related cards. This is important to appreciate. Although, later in the craze, contemporaries routinely classified all cards with clasped hands as HATS cards, this cannot

---

have been the case whilst the genre was still establishing itself. At this stage, the identity of the genre must have related to the phrase itself, and it is probably better to see cards with clasped hands from this period as relating to a somewhat distinct ‘friendship’ model of greetings card, which companies like Wildt & Kray were expert in, but which became subsumed as HATS subsequently took over.

A similar issue of genre applies to a card by Rotary Photo [Figure 130]. This uses the HATS phrase, but fits more comfortably inside the large letter genre, not least through its failure to include clasped hands.

Therefore, if the HATS card being sold in Australia was indeed British, it is by default likely to have come from Millar & Lang. That firm had already used the phrase on multiple cards, and their generic brand for all their cards was known as the ‘National’ series, and they produced patriotic cards with both Scottish and Irish subject matter [Figure 126]. They could therefore have provided all the cards with national subjects that the furrier was offering. More importantly, their cards were apparently familiar enough in Australia for them to influence local companies. A Star Photo card [Figure 131] for instance, uses two arms that seem to derive from Millar & Lang’s Figure 125.

Australian production of HATS cards in 1906, alongside an Australian retailer simultaneously featuring the genre in advertising, is significant. As noted in Chapter One, the final act of Pettit’s play had been set in Sydney harbour, and Australian unionists had helped expand the usage of the phrase. It is therefore not surprising that a HATS postcard would resonate with an Antipodean audience. By 1907, ginger beer maker, Sharpe Brothers, had created a HATS flask [Figure 132] aimed at the trans-Tasman market, whilst the WTP company created a sophisticated Australian HATS postcard design [Figure 133].

The placement of the clasped hands within its vignette seems intended to reference a synecdochal relationship to actual people, helping to differentiate it from the union emblem. And, like most other early cards, its use of male and female hands distinguishes it from the Union emblem’s resolutely male hands.  

1906 had seen a major setback for tariff reform in Britain, with the election of a pro-free-trade Liberal government, which had convinced British workers that protectionism and preferential tariffs for the colonies would inflate the prices of essential items. Nevertheless, this did not
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95 Within the broader study, just 13% of the cards used two male hands, whilst 61% showed hands of both genders. Early cards are more likely to represent gender, whilst later cards tended to show hands without clothing, so that they could fit any combination of users.
affect the growth of the HATS meme, perhaps because British companies were seeing its popularity overseas. Having an Australian agent (see page 299), Beagles, for example, would have known of the favourable Australian reaction, and they became the next major company to introduce a HATS card. They used it to bring a new twist to their pre-existing line of actress and large-letter cards [Figure 134], with this card probably figuring amongst the “Xmas and New Year postcards” they advertised that year.97

Nevertheless, they were apparently still unsure about its potential, as it was just one card in a larger greetings series, which included a clasped hands card accompanying the well-established ‘Mizpah’ text from Genesis 31:49, “The Lord will watch between us now, though we be far apart.”98 Relating overtly to absence, ‘Mizpah’ was HATS most serious competition for the colonial card market. It was used widely enough for the Australian Women’s Weekly, looking back a quarter of a century later, to backhandedly imbue it with emblematic status, saying of 1933 Christmas cards that

98 Mizpah cards often included a pillar (or watchtower), which could arguably be linked to the popularity of lighthouses in postcards.
“Mizpah,” “Hands across the Sea,” forget-me-nots and golden bells have been relegated to things long past.” However, despite such initial overlapping, Mizpah and HATS imagery generally remained distinct, with clasped hands relating to the latter.

Sales of Beagles’ HATS card must have been sufficiently strong for the company to reissue it the next year – with a less idiosyncratic set of clasped hands. Its popularity can also be seen from its blatant plagiarism by German firm, Theodor Eismann, which created a full-colour version of Beagles’ design under their own name [Figure 135].

By mid-1908, Beagles were running a strongly worded advertisement in the Australian press:

It having come to our knowledge that certain infringements of copyright postcard designs are being offered for sale in Australia and New Zealand, we hereby respectfully warn our friends against dealing in these goods, as all persons offering such cards for sale are liable to heavy penalties under the Copyright Act.  

The card that Eismann copied did not have ‘copyright’ written on it. Subsequently, Beagles was rigorous about adding the word ‘copyright’ to their cards. It seems likely, therefore, that their copyright threats relate to the blatant plagiarism of another of Beagles’ HATS cards by New Zealand firm Collins Bros – photographing, it appears, directly from purchased cards [Figure 136 and Figure 137].

If this reveals the seamier and more cut-throat aspects of the trade, the existence of such opportunistic business practices simply underscores the extent to which, by 1908, HATS postcards were becoming the flavour du jour.

**The Pivotal Year: 1908**

It is debatable whether the HATS card would have been able to edge out more established competitors like the Mizpah card, without the phrase’s escalating usage elsewhere. The Prince of Wales had been widely quoted
using it in another speech, and a “hands across the sea marriage” now designated the mercenary “barter of a title and a fortune” inherent in rich American heiresses marrying into poor but noble European families. When, in 1907, William Inglis entitled an article on trade “Hands across the Counter,” he was in effect acknowledging that the idiom was sufficiently common knowledge for him to be able to play on it. The article, however, was serious, relating to a British push into parts of the Japanese trade that the Americans regarded as their own – a push made possible by a crisis in America’s relations with the Japanese. Inglis noted ambiguous rhetoric amongst the “English Press in Japan,” saying:

The funny thing is that the editors who publish it are just as full as ever of “hands across the sea,” “blood is thicker than water,” “our dear cousins over the pond,” and all the other pretty sentiments with which we are so often regaled. But the fact is that Great Britain and America are rivals for the trade of Japan and the Far East.

Quite apart from competing with the British, the Americans wanted stronger relations with the fast-emerging Japan for strategic reasons, to help exclude German influence from the Pacific. Therefore, President Roosevelt decided to display American strength and cement relations with countries in the region by announcing, in July 1907, that he would send the U.S. Atlantic Fleet to the Pacific. The very first country to formally extend an invitation was federally-structured Australia. Their overture to America reflected Australasian concerns about the British withdrawal of naval forces after a treaty with Japan. By March 1908, both Australia and New Zealand were on the itinerary – to the irritation of the British. Roosevelt’s

---

101 Marlborough Express (Blenheim, NZ), “Imperial Reciprocity,” July 2, 1908, p.3.
102 Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Inauspicious Marriages,” May 26, 1908, p.2. This is a reprint of an article in the New York Mail.
106 Ibid., pp.21, 76.
107 Ibid., p.76.
108 Ibid., p.92.
109 Ibid., pp.77-8.
intention in extending the visit to both countries was “to show England – I cannot say ‘renegade mother country’ – that those colonies are white man’s country.”

Until this point, what the *New York Times* called the “venturesome metaphor” of “hands across the sea” had primarily been used to denote either Anglo-American or Anglo-Colonial relationships. The ‘Great White Fleet’, as it came to be known, however, now opened the spectre of mutual US/Colonial handshaking. As the *Auckland Star* said after the visit:

> Some of the American newspapers are inclined to exploit the visit of the fleet to Australia politically, in the sense that it is hostile to the Anglo-Japanese treaty. The “New York Sun” remarks that Australia says, “Hands across the sea,” meaning the sea to America, and not to the Motherland.

Certainly, it would have taken no great political genius to realise that in the latter part of the year there would be a veritable orgy of ‘hands across the sea’ rhetoric in the Pacific, as indeed occurred in Japan when the American Admiral “declared that no two countries ever clasped hands across the sea so warmly.” With the visit announced in March, but not happening until August and September, postcard companies were provided with more than enough time to prepare. Ironically, one of the most elaborate of these offerings came from the German firm of A. Sala [Figure 138]. Sent from Auckland with the message “keep this in memory of the Fleet,” the sender had clearly not registered that the battleship was not flying an American flag.

---

111 Quoted in Reckner, ibid., p.78.
114 Marlborough Express (Blenheim, NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” October 26, 1908, p.4.
Local manufacturers in both Australia and New Zealand were evidently aware of the opportunity they had been given. Designs for fleet souvenirs varied. Many photographic postcards simply depicted the fleet itself, but a significant number were patriotic, with handshaking imagery prevalent. Australian company WTP created a more elaborate variant on their 1907 design, specifically to commemorate the visit [Figure 139].

Figure 138: A. Sala, ca.1908, HATS card.
This card was printed by German publisher A. Sala. Its sentimental imagery of swallows, ornate typography and bright colours disguise the menace of the warship. It is from the same series as the cards used on the title page and to introduce each volume. 
Author’s collection PC543.

Figure 139: WTP, 1908, HATS card.
According to the seller of this card, the ship was drawn by Gregory Dickson and the rest by Norman Lindsay. These fit with the initials on the card, but I have not been able to verify the attribution.
Author’s collection
In New Zealand, one anonymous company had large numbers of blank cards printed with patriotic frames including *concordia* figures of Columbia and Zealandia, so that it could quickly add photographic images of the decorations to print and sell during the visit [Figure 140].

Another local entrepreneur spotted the potential of a section within an *Auckland Weekly News* cartoon by Auckland cartoonist Trevor Lloyd, [Figure 141], modifying it – perhaps with Lloyd’s permission – into a ‘real photo’ postcard, and changing the caption to ‘hands across the sea’ in the process [Figure 142].

It was not uncommon for newspaper cartoons and illustrations to migrate into postcards [e.g. Figure 120], and in this case, the profile of the cartoonist meant that the card was, unusually, signed.
Such postcards are typical of the enthusiastic commercialism around the visit. As one paper commented:

The number of fleet souvenirs, fleet emblems, and fleet postcards displayed in the shop windows is quite amazing. There are probably enough to supply every man, woman and child in the Dominion with at least one clasped hands and “welcome” badge (sure to be a popular pick…).  

---

115 Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “An Impressive Sight,” August 8, 1908, p.5.
The patriotic pageantry associated with the visit can be seen from the decorations that lined Auckland during the visit. As the American troops marched up Queen Street they would have encountered the South British Insurance Building, carrying both the HATS phrase and clasped hands imagery [Figure 143 and Figure 144].

This building was, coincidentally, situated next to Spreckley’s shop and opposite the Victoria Arcade, which contained both Wildman and Arey’s shop and the American Consulate. Therefore, during August 1908, both the postcard buying public of Auckland and its chief merchants were as exposed to HATS as they possibly could be. Spreckley had, prior to the visit, advertised in the New Zealand Herald with “picture postcards, new varieties, fleet postcards, greetings cards, hands across the sea etc.” Then, in the November following the visit, HATS cards made their
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116 The building’s decorations are described in detail in the New Zealand Herald which clarifies why the clasped hands image is unclear in the photo: it is on a transparency, that is intended to be lit at night. New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Auckland’s Welcome. The Decorations,” August 10, 1908, p.12.


118 Spreckley’s adverts for the Herald were usually three-liners which lacked prices, so are largely excluded from this study, but he highlighted HATS cards earlier in the Herald than in the Observer. New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Advertisements,” July 27, 1908, p.7.
appearance in both companies’ Christmas advertising (see page 313). The Fleet alone could virtually account for the initial spike in HATS popularity in Auckland. However the HATS postcard was not just an Auckland phenomenon. In Wellington, the *Evening Post* framed it within the larger greetings card revival:

In the early days of the post-card craze, the old-fashioned Christmas-card (the angels, the violets, the gilt, the floral harps, the clasped hands, the glowing hearts) had a set-back, but it has re-established itself. The same old hands are still clasped over the same old sea, and the same old poets still sing more or less out of tune on the front, or back, or inside.\(^{119}\)

This writer regarded the greetings revival as the re-establishment of an existing tradition, and implied that the HATS image is traditional. Whilst clasped hands cards existed earlier, I have not found any older cards with the sea indicated, and suspect that this was said for literary reasons. Its being highlighted within a review of postcard fashions is, however, significant – another indicator of the push towards hands imagery that occurs in this year. And it was not just local cards. British manufacturers were also heavily engaged in manufacturing HATS cards, with eight more companies adding their versions during 1908 (see Appendix 6). Judging from the designs, however, they were not focussed on the Fleet, responding rather to larger market dynamics.

A. & G. Taylor created a HATS card early in the year [Figure 145], but with no fleet-oriented features. Rather, the fashion swing towards greeting cards accounts for most of the clasped hands designs created by these new entrants to the market. Davidson produced HATS Christmas cards (by definition too late for the Fleet) and Birn Brothers offered clasped-hand friendship cards. Novelty

Postcards’ cards were patriotic, whilst Crown, Geison Brothers and William Ritchie all marketed the same design – having probably imported this particular floral card from the same German company.

Valentine & Sons also entered the HATS market. Despite a long association with New Zealand (see page 468), their new clasped hand cards, like Figure 146, were also all-purpose, being as appropriate for the large numbers of Scots with family in America, as for Australasians sending a memento of the fleet back to Scotland. And a series of *concordia* cards highlighted the Anglo-Colonial aspects of the HATS discourse [Figure 147], without reference to the United States.

By the end of 1908, it had become clear where the HATS discourse would blossom, and despite the hype around the Fleet, it was not in America. For the Americans, New Zealand and Australia remained a subset of Anglo-American relations, and high profile British lobbyists were keen to maintain this two way focus. Particularly prominent was a group called the Pilgrims which had been formed in London in 1903 “for the express purpose of furthering the ‘hands across the sea’ policy which, it is hoped, will
eventually bring about an Anglo-American alliance.” Prominent coverage of their formal events helped to promote this HATS agenda. A 1909 Pilgrims’ banquet honouring an English admiral, for example, charmed guests, including J. P. Morgan, with decorations including “two clasped hands outlined in electric lights, and over them an arrangement of electric bulbs [that] spelled “Hands Across the Sea.” This may have been associated with Masonic politics. “Hands Across the Sea” was the founding motto of the 1906 Anglo-Colonial Masonic Lodge, and the British, Canadian and American Lodges seem to have promoted an Anglo-Saxonist agenda. Increasingly, however, Americans would come to see any Anglo-American alliance as something that was holding them back. Theodore Roosevelt, who early in his career had been strongly influenced by the same ideas that led others to Anglo-Saxonism, would target HATS twice in his 1916 book, one which presumably reflects thinking that evolved during his time in the presidency. Responding to people such as the Pilgrims he said:

You are asking Americans to proclaim themselves Anglo-Americans, and to sympathize with England on the ground that England is the mother-land, and in order to make what you call ‘hands across the sea’ a matter of living policy. I do not believe that this is the right attitude for Americans to take. England is not my mother-land any more than Germany is my father-land. My mother-land and father-land and my own land are all three of them the United States.

After this re-iteration of national independence, he later returned to the phrase saying:

I have never used in peace or in war any such expression as ‘hands across the sea’, and I emphatically disapprove of what it signifies save in so far as it means cordial friendship between us and every other

nation that acts in accordance with the standards that we deem just and right.\textsuperscript{125}

Roosevelt was keen to emphasise America as a “distinct nationality,”\textsuperscript{126} and whilst most overt American media hostility to the HATS term postdates Roosevelt’s book, had HATS enjoyed popular support, one might have expected it to appear in U.S. postcards. In fact, I have yet to see an American postcard relating to the Fleet that uses the phrase,\textsuperscript{127} and whilst the Americans created patriotic clasped hands cards early on (see page 354), they produced few HATS cards. Those that used the clasped hands motif generally did so in a broader friendship context, and most such cards used in America were German imports. Whether it was the historical associations with Unionist politics, memories of Horace Greeley (see page 76), or resentment against wealthy Anglophiles, it seems ‘hands across the sea’ simply failed to appeal to Americans, who were more inclined to apply Roosevelt’s “Eleventh Commandment” that “thou shalt not slop over.”\textsuperscript{128}

Away from ambassadorial dinners, England remained the old enemy – something that people started to realise once America entered the First World War. As Herbert Corey pointed out in \textit{Everybody’s Magazine}:

> It sounds as though all these years that we have been talking about the ties of blood and hands-across-the-sea we have really been displeasing each other. Come to think of it, the peoples have not been talking about these things. Only professors and editors and that form of exportable commodity known as diplomats have been talking them. Away back home in Abilene, Kansas, and Wimbledon Common, London, the sturdy taxpayer has used the mother tongue to lambast the other fellow of nights.\textsuperscript{129}

In Britain itself, however, the phrase was gathering momentum as a cypher for the colonial relationship. The Prince of Wales’ June 1908 speech, in proposing a toast to “the British Dominions Beyond the Seas,” helped

\textsuperscript{125} Ibid., p.145.
\textsuperscript{126} Ibid., p.146.
\textsuperscript{128} Roosevelt’s commandment was quoted in a 1925 speech by Lord Lee, advising the English-Speaking Union that British politicians needed to dampen down the HATS rhetoric because it provoked the Americans. The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Anglo-American Relations: Lord Lee on ‘Gush,’” June 17, 1925, p.9.
\textsuperscript{129} Everybody’s Magazine (New York), “Allies – or Friends,” Vol. 38, No.4, April 1918, p.28.
cement HATS in Britain as a simultaneously nostalgic and futuristic mechanism of colonial maintenance:

If we hold hands across the sea we shall preserve for future generations a noble heritage, founded upon the highest patriotism and knit together by the ties of race and of mutual sympathy and regard.\textsuperscript{130}

Such speeches were evidently effective in spreading the HATS meme. Nevertheless one has to ask whether this was the primary cause of so many people across the British diaspora buying and sending cards, or for manufacturers to make them. When the royalty-conscious Raphael Tuck belatedly acknowledged that HATS cards were a real competitor to their preferred Rough Seas, it is possible that the Prince’s speech played a part. Tuck had already published a patriotic card incorporating formal clasped hands for the 1908 Franco-British exhibition,\textsuperscript{131} but in 1909 they created a clasped hands Christmas postcard [Figure 148] before, in 1910, finally adapting their rough sea imagery into the HATS craze [Figure 149].

Nevertheless, Tucks did not here, or later, use the HATS phrase,\textsuperscript{132} and this suggests that, rather than patriotism, commercial imperatives were at play. With so many manufacturers entering the HATS race, and with prices in the 3d or 6d range, Tuck could not afford to be left behind. Whilst HATS was a good slogan, and had provided a focus that unified both the distance and friendship connotations of the clasped hands, its political aspect did not get to the heart of why the hands imagery had proved so popular. Clifton Bingham’s poem for Tuck’s card [Figure 148], does:

\begin{quote}
\textit{A Happy Christmas}

I cannot meet you clasp your hand.
But you will read and understand by this,
All that my heart would say,
And wish for you this Christmas day.

Owing to the War...}
\end{quote}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure148}
\caption{Raphael Tuck, 1909, Christmas postcard. Whilst the clasped hands are visually dominant, they support the text, filling in the promise of future handholding even though the text acknowledges its present absence. Author’s collection PC393}
\end{figure}
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I cannot meet you, clasp your hand,  
But you will read and understand  
By this, all that my heart would say,  
And wish, for you, this Christmas Day.

If it was the public discourse of the HATS phrase that helped knit together a unified genre, it was, as Tuck evidently understood, the private emotional drivers of distance, absence and relationship maintenance that would account for the genre’s ultimate popularity. As Alan Leonard put it, the clasped hands symbolised “maintenance of love and friendship, whatever the distance across the oceans between the correspondents.” Peter Stearns described the Victorian emotional style as being one where “intense emotions served as a desirable part of life and, ultimately, an enhancement of human ties,” and this style carried through until at least the 1920s. When the cards evoked strong affective responses to absence, therefore, this was no nostalgic throwback. The clasped hands served as a visual promise of future relief for the present situation. This linking of distance and emotional style provides the final strand that knitted HATS into the veritable craze it would become over the next few years. And the level of its popularity renders further detailed analysis impractical. The next section therefore focuses on certain broader dynamics of the craze and how its popularity inevitably contained the seeds of its demise.

---
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From Craze to Cliché: HATS 1909-20

The Auckland retailers’ advertising studied in the last chapter demonstrated that, having come to prominence in late 1908, the HATS craze was sustained through 1909. Despite less frequent advertising subsequently, Wildman and Arey were still promoting HATS cards at 3d for Christmas 1910 and 1911.\(^{136}\) Shops in smaller centres similarly highlighted HATS. Maggie, writing from Kaikohe in 1910 on a Vertigen HATS card [Figure 6], asked her friend Pheamia: “How do you like this sort of card, they are stuck in all the shop windows up here.” The following year, Brisbane stationers McWhirter & Sons were still headlining new HATS cards for 2d, 3d and 4d each,\(^{137}\) showing that HATS was still commanding an (albeit reduced) premium three years after it came to threepenny and sixpenny prominence. These prices could only be sustained if the cards still resonated with the public, and the high production values of many cards at this period [e.g. Figure 150 and Figure 153] demonstrate that manufacturers were anxious to

\(^{136}\) New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Advertisements,” November 22, 1910, p.8; New Zealand Herald (Auckland), “Advertisements,” October 14, 1911, p.7. HATS and NZ flowers are the only two genres specified amongst the limited range of Christmas postcards advertised in the 1910 advert.

maintain the genre’s popularity. Nevertheless, given the heavy exposure to the HATS concept during this period, it is unsurprising that it is at this point that one encounters the first indications of HATS being regarded as clichéd.

It was not simply that HATS was recognisable enough to be punned on affectionately [Figure 151]. The phrase had, as the American Journal of Philology pointed out, become one of the “pat mottoes” of modern life, and Jack Collings Squire reflected the negative aspects of this when he entitled one of his poetic parodies “Hands-across-the-sea wish wash.” The El Paso Herald echoed this a little more kindly:

![Figure 151: Millar & Lang, ca.1911, comic HATS card.](image)

In addition to views and greetings cards, Millar & Lang were also a large producer of comic cards. This example shows that HATS was now expected to be recognised by the postcard-buying public, at least, and that Millar & Lang were not above parodying one of their popular genres.

Author’s collection


A trite and time-worn saying, but how cheerful!
When some great orator moves you and me
To moods at once both brotherly and tearful
With his remarks on “hands across the sea.”
But, oh! when from our cash we have been sundered,
Could anything more gay and festive be
Than when we ask a friend to lend a hundred,
To note with joy he hands across the C\textsuperscript{141}

A good many publishers and retailers had benefited, between 1908 and 1911, from HATS’ capacity to sunder willing victims from their hard-earned cash, its marketability encouraging many more companies, like Tuck, to add a range of HATS cards to their list of offerings, (see Appendix 6.1).

The Trajectory of the Craze

Figure 152, which tracks the development of the HATS craze, shows just how exponentially exposure to HATS must have increased during that three year period.

![Date of Usage of HATS cards](image)

Figure 152: Chart showing the usage of the 601 dated HATS cards in the study.

Based on the study of 601 dated HATS cards, it becomes immediately possible to see how the demand for cards meant they were still commanding

\textsuperscript{141} El Paso Herald (TX), “Hands Across,” April 5, 1912, p.12.
good prices in 1911. Overall, too, the data accords with what has already been shown about the early period. While HATS card usage grew at a modest rate between 1904-7, 1908 saw its popularity soar – in keeping with the evidence from Spreckley and Wildman & Arey’s adverts. Nevertheless, it grew only marginally less through 1909 and 1910 before dropping somewhat in 1911, but still retaining a level similar to 1908 until 1913. It thus lasted as a significant phenomenon for over five years, following, albeit slightly later, the pattern that Gifford found with US holiday cards, which started their rise in 1907, peaking in 1909-10 before beginning “a steady march back into obscurity by 1920.”

There are, however, two anomalies in what might otherwise have been a perfectly symmetrical graph of the rise and fall of a craze. Firstly there was an abrupt drop and partial rally in 1912/13, and secondly a partial rally peaking during 1917. The latter coincides with the deployment overseas
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143 This rally may have been larger than the statistics given here. Of the small number of cards which I was not able to purchase during the time that I was collecting, most were from this period. Military collectors seem to have very deep pockets. With them, the bulge that appears in Figure 152 during the war years could have been more marked.
of large numbers of soldiers during the First World War. The earlier dip is less straightforward, but the evidence points towards a dropping off in usage of cards depicting ocean liners immediately after the sinking of the Titanic. This theory was suggested by the patterns of use of cards depicting steamships. 38.7% of the cards in the study had steamships on them [Figure 153], and these emblems of modern transport became increasingly prominent within the designs [Figure 154]. The very marked drop in use of cards with close-up images of ocean liners in 1912, and a rebound in 1913 (quite possibly showing the delayed posting of cards that had been bought in early 1912) strongly suggest that the collective trauma in relation to the Titanic’s sinking led to a significant drop in the sending of such cards.

The Titanic’s demise seems to have been instrumental in reviving the popularity of images of sailing ships (which overall appeared in 27% of the cards, but had been being outstripped by the steamship after 1908). There was also a spike, in 1913, in the sending of HATS cards depicting trains [Figure 155]. Publishers must have increased images of these during the latter part of 1912 in response to images of liners having abruptly moved from emblematic money-spinners to liabilities.
Prices of HATS cards also dropped during 1912, perhaps another ripple-on from the disaster, with Sydney firm Horderns advertising both a “Clasped hands series” and a “Greetings Across the Sea” at only a penny. Nevertheless, in Nelson, bookseller Alfred Robinson was still highlighting their “grand selection of Hands Across the Sea cards” as a major feature of their overseas Christmas offerings. 1912 would also mark the start of British photographic firm Rotary Photo’s extensive foray into HATS cards [Figure 219], after having previously published only a small number of such cards during 1906-9 [Figure 197 and Figure 130]. That one of the largest photographic publishers entered the HATS race seriously at this point, shows that they believed there was still life in the genre.

Ubiquity Beckons: The Decline of HATS Postcards

By 1911, HATS imagery had already started to be appropriated into the commercial vernacular of advertising [Figure 156], a good indicator, like the joking references mentioned previously, of its having crossed the ubiquity threshold.

---

Indeed, the more familiar it became, the more publishers seem to have discovered that they could evoke the concept with ever subtler references. Figure 157 shows graphically how, during the initial phases of the HATS craze, the clasped hands motif was normally the dominant iconographic feature of the card. From 1909 onwards, however, it was progressively relegated to a supporting role, so that by 1915 fewer than half of the cards used HATS as the main feature.

Physical size, however, does not necessarily equate to conceptual significance. A 1915 article on Christmas cards in the *Manchester Guardian*
suggests that by then the term had become synonymous with the greetings genre. After talking about the revival of Christmas greetings in 1915, following a dropping off during the previous year, the writer went on to say:

Cards adorned with the national flags in colours, and especially those lettered with cheery greetings in verse – “Hands across the Sea’ cards,” the dealer called them – are also being ordered pretty freely by the retailers.146

This shows that, for one British dealer at least, HATS had become a generic term for the entire overseas greetings genre. Whilst this may not have been true for everyone, it reveals, at the very least, that the concept was in no way forgotten. Indeed, the same newspaper later provides the best evidence of HATS imagery continuing into the 1920s, saying in 1920 that:

This is going to be the most decorated Christmas since the war…. It is by Christmas cards that one sees best how unchanging the festival is. The same phrases of greeting, the same bindings of cord or pale, thin ribbon, the same black cats on scarlet roofs, maidens at sundials, hands clasped across billowy seas. No social revolution has muddled the trays which keep rigidly apart the twopenny and sixpenny and shilling varieties.147

Two years later it would report that:

The tendency in cards for the colonies is to overdo the Colonial aspect. Linked hands across the sea is a favourite device in the twopenny trays and Britannia’s Lions for the more exclusive varieties. Our colonies must grow very weary of these simple notions.148

HATS was therefore still widespread, if clichéd, but its place was now clearly within the cheapest price range, indicative of its slide from sixpenny status fifteen years earlier. However, these 1920s references relate not to postcards but to Christmas cards, with the HATS genre generally having migrated into the broader Christmas greetings genre by 1920 [Figure 158]. The fact that Millar & Lang were still offering HATS postcards in a 1922-3 sample catalogue,149 does not prove that these cards were still being manufactured. It is more likely that they were old stock.

The Effects of the War

There is a telling change in tone between earlier and later Manchester Guardian references. The move from cheery greeting to tired iconography is in many ways defined by an event that both revived and ended the HATS postcard – the First World War.

HATS constituted the perfect cypher for colonial solidarity, and the war had barely begun before patriotic poets like C. Spencer Compton started putting it to work:

“Hands across the sea,” my boys,
When the empire sends its call –
We are ready with men, in thousands ten,
And more if the foremost fall;
We’ll show the world, when our flag’s unfurl’d,
New Zealand’s Sons are true –
When war’s alarms call to “Shoulder Arms!”
We’ll show what our boys can do.  

---

According to the *Dominion*, Compton’s song “embodies the spirit of lusty strength and firm resolution to do one’s duty in the hour of need” [Figure 160].

HATS retained its power during the war. British prisoners of war sent a published message to German counterparts imprisoned in Scotland saying “reaching hands across the sea to you, we will both cry together ‘Damn this

---

barbed wire’.” HATS imagery was also used in 1918 advertising [Figure 161]. Although the emotional imprint of trench warfare would lead to broader post-war disillusionment, it did not stop soldiers, once enlisted, from sending significant numbers of HATS-related postcards, resulting in a wartime spike in HATS card usage [Figure 152]. This was particularly noticeable in 1917-18, the years when most New Zealand soldiers were stationed overseas.

The immediate beneficiaries of this increase in HATS postcard usage were the large photographic companies Rotary and Beagles. They were the only two companies whose production continued unbroken through the 1914-15 period (see Appendix 6). The tourist market had been an immediate casualty of the war, and publishers scrambled to find alternatives to tourist-oriented postcards. War-related greetings such as HATS were an obvious choice. The war stimulated a similar renewal of interest in the HATS card on the part of lithographers, but the complete absence of cards from the lithographic firms in 1914-15 (see Appendix 6.3) shows that implementation was delayed, probably owing to these companies’ heavy dependence upon the suddenly inaccessible German printing.

---

154 One Canadian soldier, for example, pasted his photo over a pre-war Wildt & Kray HATS card, and added his own updated war-related HATS verse. This card, albeit not credited to W&K, is reproduced in Holt and Holt, Till the Boys Come Home: The Picture Postcard of the First World War, p.123.
The war would ultimately end Germany’s dominance as a postcard producer, but it posed British lithographers with three problems: how to sell stock already printed in Germany, where to print cards of an equivalent quality, and how to hide their German links. German immigrant firm Wildt & Kray, for example, found this an opportune moment to only use the acronym W&K on their cards. The newspapers were making people aware of “how wide was the hold the German octopus had on the business life of the British Empire,” pointing out that many German firms were still trading in Britain courtesy of the Joint Stock Companies Act. The same article also revealed German-printed postcards being sold door to door at much cheaper prices than equivalent English cards.

Discounting was evidently one strategy for moving stock, but other companies adopted deception. The Imperial Sales Company took to overprinting cards that bore the dreaded ‘Printed in Germany’ mark, leading to patriotic complaints. The Regal Post Card Company similarly salted some German-printed cards through bundles of British cards, overprinted others with the words “Arrow Brand,” and elsewhere guillotined the place of origin off. Seeing their cards sold by such methods must have been
particularly galling for manufactures like Beagles and Birn Brothers – British firms that contracted German printers, and which had produced some of their most sophisticated cards during 1912-13. It was especially ironic for Beagles, a photographic firm, to have inherited a problem borne of having to use lithography for colour printing [Figure 163]. Nevertheless, as had happened in the 1890s (when, as noted on page 151, German printed Christmas cards had also attracted patriotic ire), there were users prepared to prioritise card quality over place of production, sending ‘Printed in Germany’ cards during the war without apology.163

Raphael Tuck faced the reverse problem, with calls in the German press for Tuck’s German arm to be boycotted, and its subsequent sequestering by the German government.164 Without access to their German contacts, Tuck and other printers were obliged, they complained, to spend “thousands of

newspapers that first carried adverts for Regal Postcards in 1906 (see p.300), suggests that it retained a high level of visibility in these communities. The company’s tactics would lead to the banning of importing their cards, unless sanctioned by customs – thus effectively ending their method of using amateur salespeople [see Figure 117]. Dominion (Wellington, NZ), “Local and General,” August 30, 1918, p.4.

163 None of the messages on the cards in my study allude to the issue of place of origin. In a couple of cases, attempts had been made to scratch out the printing information with a knife – though whether this was done by the retailer or purchaser is unclear.

pounds in laying down new machinery for printing coloured postcards of
the kind hitherto obtained from Germany,” and were therefore much
perturbed in 1915 when it emerged that the halfpenny charge for postcards
might be raised in the upcoming budget.\textsuperscript{165} Nevertheless, by early 1916, \textit{The
Times} was reporting on a Trade Fair where:

\begin{quote}
The most noticeable feature is the large number of firms which can do
quite good colour printing at cheap rates. We are referring not solely
to the many lively and original designs for advertising; it seems that
the makers of Christmas cards and picture postcards, producers of
calendars and souvenirs can all do printing in colour which is neither
crude nor clumsy.\textsuperscript{166}
\end{quote}

The implication here is that British lithography in these areas had
previously been “crude and clumsy,” and it evidently took the lithographers
some time to revive their production. This was not helped by a climate in
which printing cards could be regarded, according to \textit{The Times}, as
“indefensible from a utilitarian point of view, even a wanton waste of
material and labour according to strict war-time standards.”\textsuperscript{167} Tuck, at an
AGM in 1916, listed the major issues facing the company as a contracted
market, and the scarcity and increased cost of both materials and labour.\textsuperscript{168}

\textit{Verse and the Move to Folded Cards}

Despite pressures that would ultimately further weaken the postcard craze
as a whole, by 1916 there was a marked revival in the usage of HATS cards
[see Figure 152]. Jones’ Postcard Emporium in Nelson advertised them,\textsuperscript{169}
while lithographers now joined photographers in creating cards targeted to
troops, as even more of those troops were being sent overseas. And the
\textit{Manchester Guardian}’s description of these HATS cards as being “lettered
with cheery greetings in verse”\textsuperscript{170} accords with the findings of this study.

The average number of words in the cards’ printed texts increased over time
[Figure 165] as the expectation of a HATS card moved from being

\textsuperscript{167} The Times (London, UK), “Christmas Cards,” December 19, 1917, p.9. It added that the
Christmas card nevertheless “persists as a token of good fellowship.”
primarily a picture with the label ‘Hands across the Sea’ to normally including a poem.

![Percentage of cards with verse](chart1.png)

Figure 164: Chart showing the percentages of cards including verse. Because of small numbers before 1907 and after 1916, the centre of the chart is most reliable.

Not only did more of the later cards have verses, but the average length of the verse increased [Figure 165]. Texts had been shortest in 1907, with texts averaging just 15 words [e.g. Figure 128]. They remained fairly stable at the four-line norm of 25 or 26 words between 1908-14, but increased on average to 29 by 1915, rising to 35 in 1917. Wartime cards were particularly verse-heavy.

![Average number of words in verses](chart2.png)

Figure 165: Chart showing the average number of words in the cards. This chart excludes captions, but includes short verses. The low numbers at the start result from most early cards having no verse.

---

171 This low average is largely a result of the large proportion of Wildt & Kray cards which had two-line verses.
Figure 166 is typical of this tendency in wartime cards. The amount of space given to the texts is greater than in W&K’s earlier cards.

This trend towards longer verses, seen also in publishers like Beagles [e.g. Figure 167], will be discussed later in relation to a frenetic brand of modernism, but it is also important for understanding the transition between postcards and greetings cards, and the trajectory of cards like the HATS genre towards becoming Christmas cards. In America, Joyce Hall, the founder of Hallmark, argued that:

Postcards were not really a means of communication between people. Most of them were either humorous or simply decorative and lacked a from-me-to-you sentiment; they were sent because it was the thing to do.172

Given that there is no reference to highly text-based postcards in either Gifford’s or Shank’s studies, they would probably accept Hall’s comments as broadly typical of the American situation. Nevertheless, this distinction is less clear within the British postcard industry. Later postcards like Figure 4, Figure 167 and Figure 188 do articulate more clearly the precise “me-to-you” relationship of sender to receiver,173 with consumers like the husband who sent Figure 4 clearly wanting the cards to index their specific context.

173 This specificity showed up as a very marked trend within my analysis of Beagles’ wartime and post-war greetings cards, with family relationships, and friendship status being made clear in the captioning.
Hall’s comments underestimate the importance of the verses that consistently appear on British greetings postcards from at least 1908 onwards. Companies like Bamforth had long specialised in poetic cards, and such cards came into their own during the war. They expressed very effectively “the sentiments of parted families,” as Anthony Byatt put it. Similarly, the Clifton Bingham verse quoted on page 371 has a clear ‘from-me-to-you’ quality. British consumers and greetings card companies appear to have already appreciated the importance of textual sentiment well before companies like Hallmark would appropriate both the approach and the credit. It is precisely this textual element which was shared by the friendship book and autograph album, as well as many nineteenth century Valentines and Christmas cards. Nevertheless, as the desire for longer and stronger verses intensified during the war, cards like Figure 166 and Figure 167 struggled to balance the pictorial and literary content. Folded greetings cards like Figure 158 and Figure 168 allowed more space for both elements. The HATS meme’s migration from postcard to folded greetings card is understandable in this context. Although postcards could accommodate longer verses, the greetings card could house them more effectively. It also made financial sense to move the popular greetings genre away from the progressively lower priced postcard into a new format that could thus attract higher prices. Manufacturers a decade earlier had used the postcard to revitalise the greetings card. The roles were now reversed.

The decline of greetings postcards was matched by an intensification of card usage elsewhere. In a 1939 article, looking back, the writer noted that:

In my small world Christmas cards didn’t become a real habit till about 1915, and I’ll never forget the first greeting card my family sent

---

out, engraved very properly but brightened with a sedate sprig of holly. Before then there were Christmas postcards but no one sent them out in any numbers.\textsuperscript{176}

His point about not previously sending cards “in any numbers” points to the growing ritual obligation of sending cards to all one’s friends. It is here that Barry Shank’s overall thesis – about greetings cards becoming a crucial element of business network maintenance – starts to make sense.\textsuperscript{177} After the war, as Shank shows, both greetings card use and greetings card companies flourished. But the tendency for manufacturers to change their emphasis away from postcards and towards greetings cards began earlier than that. Although Shank cites the founding of the National Association of Greetings Card Manufacturers in 1913 as emblematic of this change,\textsuperscript{178} New Zealand retailers had started prioritising Christmas cards over postcards as early as 1910 (as noted earlier in the discussion on pages 323 and 326), and this was reinforced in Raphael Tuck’s advertising.\textsuperscript{179} It is therefore necessary to take issue with Shank’s argument that the clichéd imagery and texts of greetings cards derive from the “conditions of large-scale business” that came from the evolution from small postcard businesses into large greetings card firms.\textsuperscript{180} The post-war generation would take to sending Christmas cards in bulk, but, in Britain at least, the preceding discussion should have shown that much of this trend had been rehearsed earlier through the postcard and its predecessors.

\textsuperscript{176} Barrier Miner (Broken Hill, AU), “Christmas Card Greetings,” December 9, 1939, p.25.
\textsuperscript{177} Shank, \textit{A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture}, p.9.
\textsuperscript{178} Ibid., p.142.
\textsuperscript{179} The West Australian (Perth), “Raphael Tuck and Sons’ Christmas Card Collection,” November 18, 1914, p.5.
\textsuperscript{180} Shank, \textit{A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture}, p.170.
The Afterglow

As this chapter explored HATS’ somewhat haphazard development into a recognisable genre, it has also shed light on some broader postcard trends. It is possible, for example, to conclude that the postcard craze as a whole did not so much fade as segment. By the twentieth century’s second decade the card’s fashion status had been eroded by other practices such as cinema-going. Illustrated magazines superseded its function of disseminating views and theatrical imagery, whilst the Kodak helped the photograph album – now with snapshots rather than CDV’s – to oust the postcard album and regain its central place as the parlour conversation piece.¹⁸¹ Similarly, the greetings postcard, having revitalised the fortunes of the Christmas card,

¹⁸¹ Naomi Schor mentions this relegation of the postcard album from sitting room to attic. Schor, “Cartes Postale: Representing Paris 1900,” p.239.
now progressively morphed back into the broader greetings card category, as greetings cards moved out of the album and onto the mantelpiece.

These trends were perhaps hastened by the rising price of postage, but they also related to wider social trajectories which perhaps made them somewhat inevitable. What was left for the postcard was its established role as holiday souvenir, and as light comic relief for holidaymakers. Companies like Beagles struggled on with ever more specific Birthday postcards and greetings postcards for those still inclined to use the older form. Beagles’ only 1920s HATS postcard, printed for an Indian firm [Figure 170], underlines how rare it now was to touch base with a form that had seemed so ubiquitous in the previous decade.

Although, by 1920, the HATS meme had all but moved on from the postcard, and would not last much longer within greetings cards, that is not to say that, as separate elements, either HATS itself or the clasped hands symbol were spent.

While the phrase declined in the 1920s, it remained a subheading perennial within newspapers,182 and would enjoy a second wind coinciding with Noel Coward’s short play

---

“Hands Across the Sea.”\textsuperscript{183} Although some wartime Americans reiterated that “the time for vague compliments, empty generalities, and “hand across the sea” slogans … is past,”\textsuperscript{184} this did not stop them using it for a wartime poster [Figure 171].

New Zealand’s Minister of Internal Affairs also drew on the phrase’s established power, saying:

That old phrase ‘hands across the sea’ receives new force from the present state of the war…. Thousands of the folk here will be eager to stretch out hands to British children and draw them to safety in the brighter Britain of the South.\textsuperscript{185}

\textsuperscript{183} Noel Coward, \textit{Tonight at 8.30: Ten One-Act Plays} (London: Methuen Drama, 2009 [1936]). “Hands Across the Sea” was one of the ten plays.
\textsuperscript{184} The Scotsman (Edinburgh, UK), “Men and Affairs,” May 30, 1942, p.4. This is a quote from an American educationalist, Chester S. Williams.
\textsuperscript{185} Evening Post (Wellington, NZ), “Homes in Dominion,” June 29, 1940, p.13.
Indeed, if Google’s Ngram is to be trusted, HATS returned to almost the same peak level of usage during the Second World War.\textsuperscript{186} This popularity, however, translated into just a single attempt at postcard reprisal, [Figure 172].

\textbf{Figure 172:} Anonymous, 1940s HATS card. This photographic image of the “Empire Windrush,” a German troopship that had been captured and pressed into service by the British during the war, is part of a series. Alan Leonard lists two other examples in his 2006 article, pp.7-8. In design and execution it provides a rather limp conclusion to the HATS postcard tradition.

HATS similarly retained its cachet within the Union movement until at least the 1950s. Versions of it have periodically reappeared in popular culture – as for example in British Railways’ long-running “Sands Across the Sea” catalogue [Figure 169],\textsuperscript{187} and the 1986 anti-hunger event, where almost seven million Americans formed a human chain across the USA: “Hands Across America.”\textsuperscript{188} It also resurfaced in a variety of postal and telecommunications contexts, such as when it was used during the 1930s as


part of the imagery on a new type of New Zealand greetings telegram [Figure 173].

![Figure 173: New Zealand Post Office, 1935, Greetings telegram.](image)

The HATS quality of this post 1935 telegram form is reinforced in the suggested messages on the back, one of which reads “A handclasp by wire.”

Overseas, it appeared in such contexts as a Pitcairn Islands envelope, and a widely used private rubber stamp added to Australian envelopes.

![Figure 174: 1938 Australian envelope with HATS stamp added.](image)
Figure 174 was carefully personalised by its sender who used this HATS rubber stamp, adhesive flags and a drawn hand pointing to the Koala bear on the Australian stamp, along with the handwritten label “Teddy Bear.” Since Teddy bears were named after Teddy Roosevelt, whose distaste for HATS was noted above (page 375), and whose forthright views on the subject in part contributed to the unravelling of the HATS meme, this envelope carries an unintended level of bricolaged irony which somehow seems an appropriate place to draw this more historical discussion to a close, and to move, in the next chapter, to some of the broader issues that have surfaced.

**Summary**

In New Zealand, the HATS card coincided with a major period of British immigration, during which the number of people recently parted from friends and family grew considerably. However, HATS postcard popularity stemmed from multiple issues. The phrase ‘hands across the sea’ became increasingly popular, thanks notably to the legacy of Henry Pettit’s widely performed melodrama, and associations with tariff reform and the penny post. It served as an all-purpose cipher for both colonial and international relationships – assisted by its widely reported use by the Prince of Wales, and its association (in Australasia) with the Great White Fleet. Given the potent symbolism of the hand, and that of the pre-existing genre of ‘rough seas’, it is not surprising that these cards were able to develop, from small beginnings in 1904 to prominence by 1908. At their height, between 1908 and 1911, HATS cards were amongst the most desirable cards to send and receive, commanding significantly inflated prices, and encouraging many publishers to produce increasingly lavish variations on the theme.

My study of 601 dated HATS cards helps to elucidate these trends by clearly dating the HATS craze. The craze followed a clearly defined path, which varied from a predictable bell curve in only two significant ways. The data suggests that the card’s decline occurred in tandem with the folded greetings card revival, but may have been hastened by the Titanic disaster (after which imagery of large ships on cards became a liability). And, due to
their overuse, by 1911 people had started to regard the HATS card and the phrase itself as clichés. The clasped hands were relegated to a progressively more secondary role on the cards, while lengthier postcard poems vied for space with the images. British greetings postcard manufacturers, it appears, appreciated the demand for prefabricated poetic sentiment earlier than their American counterparts. In combination with the tendency for consumers to protect their cards inside envelopes, this altered set of priorities encouraged card manufacturers to revive the folded greetings card format, which had greater multimodal potential. Thus, the things that created the success of HATS and the greetings postcard contained the seeds of their undoing.

Although HATS cards had a brief revival after 1916, when significant numbers of troops were deployed overseas, the greetings postcard genre barely survived the war. This did not, however, mean that the HATS phrase died away, and it has been utilised sporadically ever since, with a particularly strong reprisal during the Second World War.
Chapter 5: The Hands Across the Sea

Postcard – Tying Together the Threads

Clasping hands can mean many things: a greeting, a flirtation, a farewell, a deal. On a postcard, clasped hands can be at once descriptive and metaphorical, nostalgic and predictive, specific and universal. Such ambiguity is intrinsic to a self/other relationship. As hand clasps hand, it touches or is touched but can never, according to Maurice Merleau-Ponty, do both simultaneously.¹ Touching links our intent to the world that lies at our fingertips. It is the hand that writes a message on a postcard. It is a hand that posts it. Another hand (the hand of labour) takes a letter from the post box, and loads it on a ship – crewed by hands. Hands unload the letter and deliver it. A hand takes up the card, feels its substance, feels the indentations of the pen, and is touched by the intent of the sender, recalling the last time hands clasped, hoping for a renewal of that contact.

The HATS postcard clearly spoke to the Edwardian condition. The preceding chapters have situated HATS, the contexts in which it operated, and the factors that led to its popularity. This final chapter develops several strands relating to HATS, but also links these back to some of the key discourses that emerged from the earlier discussion. The anonymity of card producers and apparently nostalgic connotations of greetings cards imagery emerged as significant issues in chapter two, and they act as a locus for drawing together some of the other themes that grew out of the initial chapters. Underlying much of the discussion in chapters three and four has been the ambiguity of function inherent in greetings postcards, and it is to this key point that I turn now.

Greetings or Communication: Letters or Cards

Until Daniel Gifford’s work, scholars regarded the greetings card and the postcard as generically different. Granted, similarities were acknowledged between the two forms, such as their prefabrication, compression,

multimodality and blending of commodity and gift status. Nevertheless, the two were seen as fundamentally different, and any fraternisation was viewed with distrust. Shank, for example, entitled the section of his study of American greetings cards dealing with postcards as “the post card interruption.” In a narrative about greetings cards, this is understandable, particularly in the light of Shank’s view that small-scale, chaotic postcard publishing and the large-scale manufacture of greetings card were quite distinct. It may be true that manufacturers were segregated in this way in the United States – certainly, Gifford accepts this view. Nevertheless, as I have already shown, many of the major British postcard companies were also greetings card manufacturers, and both postcards and greetings cards were subsets of their larger stationery portfolio. Manufacture therefore cannot account for the generic differences.

Gifford’s work demonstrates that these boundaries are more porous. He argues that postcards are not inherently linked to travel, and that the holiday greetings postcard was not peripheral to the postcard, being instead “crucial to the overall phenomenon.” Despite this, however, there are two areas in which both Gifford’s and Shank’s analyses are inadequate as a basis for understanding HATS cards. Gifford regards the image as being the primary feature of the card, whilst Shank views verse as symptomatic of the greetings card, but not the postcard. This isolates another apparent generic distinction. As noted on page 387, American postcards appear to use less verse than their English counterparts. Over three-quarters of the HATS cards studied contained verse, a trend that intensified over time, with text in many ways dominating the imagery by the war years. The

2 Thurlow, Jaworski, and Ylä-Ne, “Transient Identities, New Mobilities: Holiday Postcards,” p.120.
3 Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture, p.126.
4 Ibid., p.134. He notes on p.129 that, between 1905-10 in America, greetings card manufacture became a subset of postcard production. Nevertheless, this still maintains the sense of a distinction between the two.
6 Ibid., p.11.
7 Ibid., p.153.
8 Ibid., pp.156-7.
9 Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture, p.144.
10 76.9% had four lines or more of verse.
generic distinction between the supposedly image-based postcard and
textually-dominated greetings card becomes decidedly more slippery in
relation to HATS.

Nor are these the only places where the boundaries blur. Shank argues that
whilst postcards have the potential to be used year round, holiday greetings
cards are part of specific holiday rituals.\textsuperscript{11} Gifford applies this idea to his
subject, showing that holiday postcards follow the same holiday patterns.\textsuperscript{12}
But he appears to regard holiday cards as a straightforward subset of
greetings postcards,\textsuperscript{13} and they are not. Some types of greetings postcards
(like HATS or ‘good luck’ cards) are not intrinsically tied to specific dates
and can be used for year-round, all-purpose communication.

This means that HATS cards hover between competing communicative and
ritual functions.\textsuperscript{14} Gifford frames holiday postcards as pared-back
substitutes for the holiday kinwork duty of writing letters,\textsuperscript{15} so that the ritual
aspect becomes a retreat from the communicative. However, this
assumption lacks historical support. Letterwriting may have been a middle-
class holiday tradition for some, but it was not necessarily the only
communication associated with holidays. At Christmas, for example, there
was already a well-established custom of sending Christmas cards, which,
as noted on page 197, were either unsigned, or simply carried a short
greeting. The divided-back postcard therefore made \textit{more} room for
communication than was expected on traditional Christmas cards. Gillen
and Hall’s argument that the divided back significantly altered everyday
communication is worth considering here.\textsuperscript{16} Postcards, as a whole, might

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{11} Shank, \textit{A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture}, p.132.
\item \textsuperscript{12} Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910," p.164.
\item \textsuperscript{13} For example, ibid., pp.11, 153.
\item \textsuperscript{14} Emily West, in talking about greetings texts, argues that there is an implicit class bias
between communication and ritual, with the middle classes preferring communicative
authenticity, and lower classes preferring to utilise existing ritual. West, “Expressing the
Self through Greeting Card Sentiment,” p.454.
\item \textsuperscript{15} Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910," p.164.
\item \textsuperscript{16} Gillen and Hall, "The Edwardian Postcard: A Revolutionary Moment in Rapid Multimodal Communications," p.2 of transcript.
\end{itemize}
encourage brief, informal communications, but it is a matter of interpretation as to whether this represented a contraction of previous epistolary practice (as it was for some of the middle class), or a stepping stone into the realm of written communications for people who were either first or second generation literate. Gillen and Hall note that writers often made reference to letters in their messages, and thus interpret the postcard as providing a venue for a more relaxed form of communication, free of the intimidating formal expectations that surrounded the letter. This informality allowed a different approach to communicating with, and organising, one’s networks. Given what we know about the broad demographic of the postcard, it seems likely that, for many, the short messages on postcard communications represented an expansion of earlier practices (see discussion on page 184). They also facilitated more frequent contact – albeit not the lengthy correspondence so valued by those for whom a letter acted as a symbol of conspicuous leisure.

One of the major benefits that postcard manufacturers had identified was that postcards, like Birthday cards, could potentially be used year-round. The greetings postcard allowed them to apply this thinking to a greetings genre that had hitherto been limited by its ties to defined ritual occasions. HATS offered a particularly high degree of flexibility – being able to be utilised both as a Christmas, New Year, Wedding, and Birthday card, as well as for year-round staying in touch [e.g. Figure 150].

22 I have not found the HATS genre applied to Easter or overt Valentine cards.
If all-purpose flexibility was the dream, however, it did not fully eventuate. Wildman and Arey were advertising HATS cards as “Xmas Picture Postcards” by 1910, and by far the heaviest use of HATS cards was in December [Figure 175]. Consumers responded to the cards’ new flexibility by reasserting their ritual connotations, and repurposing all-purpose HATS cards for specific holiday use. This repurposing occurred most particularly in relation to New Year, suggesting that users still strongly associated HATS with *auld lang syne* hand-clasping [Figure 176]. Sometimes such adaptations were pragmatic. As J. P. P. told Lilly, “although it is a Birthday card the handshake greetings are mine just the same. I only wish I was able to take hold of your hand now.”

24 Bjarne Rogan noted this type of widespread repurposing of cards, so it evidently extends beyond the HATS genre. Rogan, "An Entangled Object: The Picture Postcard as Souvenir and Collectible, Exchange and Ritual Communication,” p.7.
25 This was on a Beagles 933U card.
Yet, despite this preference for holiday greetings, a third of the HATS cards in Figure 175 were sent between February and August, times which had nothing to do with Christmas or New Year, and by no means all of these related to Birthdays, which accounted for only 16% of the overall sample. A substantial minority of the cards were sent for other than holiday purposes.

An emphasis on communication also appears in the messages in the 601 card study. More cards carried messages of three or more communicative sentences, than relied on the purely formulaic greetings that one associates with rituals. Some cards packed a great deal of information into the space, with fifty seven having more than one hundred words, five having more than two hundred with the longest cramming 303 words onto the card. This gives some perspective to the prevailing postcard wisdom, which says that the messages seldom contained any information, being mostly “banal or

Figure 176: Chart showing HATS card re-purposing. The coloured segments of each stack represent the proportion of cards given a new function. So, for instance, the green segments show cards which were not pre-printed with New Year greetings, but where the user has, in their handwritten text, sent a New Year’s message. 18.47% of the cards in the study were repurposed in some way. This chart shows the relative frequencies, with most relating to the adaptation of generic HATS cards for ritual occasions, though occasionally birthday or Christmas cards were adapted as general purpose greetings (the light blue segments on top).

Yet, despite this preference for holiday greetings, a third of the HATS cards in Figure 175 were sent between February and August, times which had nothing to do with Christmas or New Year, and by no means all of these related to Birthdays, which accounted for only 16% of the overall sample. A substantial minority of the cards were sent for other than holiday purposes.

An emphasis on communication also appears in the messages in the 601 card study. More cards carried messages of three or more communicative sentences, than relied on the purely formulaic greetings that one associates with rituals. Some cards packed a great deal of information into the space, with fifty seven having more than one hundred words, five having more than two hundred with the longest cramming 303 words onto the card. This gives some perspective to the prevailing postcard wisdom, which says that the messages seldom contained any information, being mostly “banal or

---

26 41.53% of the cards had three or more sentences, whilst 34.88% were short ritual messages. The balance is made up of short communicative messages of fewer than three sentences.

non-existent.”28 Certainly, it would have been in manufacturers’ interest to persuade people to communicate frequently via postcards, rather than by longer letters, since the profit margins on cards were better than on paper. There was just one problem with this, and it shows up clearly in the comments of the users themselves. Although there were some users, like Ivy, who excused not writing on the grounds of being “out of PC’s” (thus showing that the postcard was her preferred mode of correspondence), the evidence supports Gillen and Hall’s contention that postcards often contain apologies for not writing letters.29

This sense of the postcard as hurried stand-in is typified by a message on another card,30 which Fred sent to Lizzie in 1911:

You will be wondering why I have not written but I have been going all the week [so] that I have had no time to myself. So again I have fallen back on this card which I had knocking around in my box, so am sending it to show you were remembered in the midst of bustle.

Lavinia was less circumspect, telling Maggie “I am too lazy to write a letter.”31 However this tendency to use cards as substitute letters turns out not to occur symmetrically through the period studied. In the 601 card study, of the 84 dated cards where the sender’s message promised a letter, less than a quarter were from the six years between 1904-9, whilst almost two thirds were from the equivalent period of 1910-15.32 By comparison, all the cards that mentioned collecting (along the lines of ‘another one for your album’) occurred in the pre-1910 period. The same split occurred in a sample of messages found on non-HATS greeting cards,33 where none of the references to letterwriting predated 1910. This can be explained by examining the distribution of cards with lengthier messages [Figure 177].

29 Gillen and Hall, ”The Edwardian Postcard: A Revolutionary Moment in Rapid Multimodal Communications,” p.6 of transcript.
30 This was a Beagles 900Z card.
31 The card was Millar & Lang, series 1835.
32 The exact percentages are 22.4% between 1904-1909 and 65.8% from 1910-1915.
33 In this study the texts of 500 of the collected Beagles, Millar & Lang, Rotary and Tuck greetings cards were examined, and twenty six with texts referring to usage were isolated.
Messages on the earliest cards, affected by postal restrictions, were short, with little other than hasty greetings. This is congruent with them being primarily sent as collectibles. Between 1907-9 percentages of longer texts rose to being in the mid-30% range, and between 1910-13 they were consistently in the 40-50% range. They then dropped back to earlier levels with the exception of 1916, where almost 70% were longer messages.

There seems to be a pattern here, with cards moving from initially containing predominantly short greetings to latterly having longer communicative messages almost as often as not. However the subsequent regression suggests a change after 1914, whereby the card moved from being a greeting in its own right to being used more, in Gifford’s terms, as a substitute letter. Whether this was the fate of postcard sub-genres as they progressively went out of fashion, or whether this was a general trend in the postcard genre as a whole, is beyond the scope of this research. At all events, when not being used as pure greetings cards, the higher number of cards which were used as stop-gap letters in the second decade of the twentieth century sheds light on an important reason often given for the postcard’s later demise.
Quick communication is precisely the type of contact that a telephone could replace, and even by 1912, the telephone was shaping up to be a competitor for the postcard.\textsuperscript{34} As Claude Fischer pointed out (in a study that strangely omits the postcard as a medium displaced by the phone), “the telephone did not radically alter American ways of life; rather, Americans used it to more vigorously pursue their characteristic way of life,” allowing them to better maintain their networks.\textsuperscript{35} The telephone ultimately removed the postcard as a viable option for utilitarian communication,\textsuperscript{36} however one needs to add a caveat to this. Although the telephone had the capacity to take over from the postcard, it was not until at least 1930 that one could say that most households had one, and even then many rural areas lacked the infrastructure.\textsuperscript{37} The inroads into postcard use that occur in Post Office statistics from 1912 almost certainly refer to a drop in business usage of postcards, as businesses would have been the most substantial group of early telephone users. Among private users, if the postcard’s central demographic included substantial numbers of the telephone-less lower classes, then the displacement could not have been completed until well into the 1920s.

The telephone’s rise, then, does not fully account for the evidence around postcard use. It is thus worth returning to the use of letters. In 1909, New Zealanders sent more letters and postcards per head than anyone else, writing 97.1 per head, followed by Victoria at 92.9. The British sent a paltry 67.5.\textsuperscript{38} Relatively speaking, statistics gleaned from the New Zealand Yearbooks show that letter-usage rose steadily during the first two decades of the twentieth century [Figure 178].

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{34}Hawera & Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “Local and General,” December 4, 1913, p.4 reports the British Postmaster’s report for 1912, where the telephone is given as a cause for a decline in postcard usage.
\item \textsuperscript{35}Claude S. Fischer, \textit{A Social History of the Telephone to 1940} (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992), pp.5, 226.
\item \textsuperscript{36}Gifford, “To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910,” p.174.
\item \textsuperscript{37}Warf, \textit{Time-Space Compression: Historical Geographies}, p.133.
\end{itemize}
The sharpest rise in letter use coincided with the 1900 introduction of the Penny Post, whereas it was only marginally dented during the postcard’s glory years. There was a clear dip after the war, but a revival of popularity later – a trend that is difficult to interpret, given that the statistics encompass both business and personal letterwriting. If the drop was caused only by the telephone, there should not be a rally in the 1920s. Further research is required, but I would hypothesise, on the basis of the preceding discussion, that there were two overlapping trends. Business correspondence dropped owing to the telephone, but there was an overlapping tendency amongst people who could not afford telephones to write more letters. This might be accounted for if the demographic that had got into the habit of frequent written communication via postcards discovered that letters were a preferable mode. It is this which the hurried apologies in postcards hint at. Such a conclusion may be counter-intuitive for those who have accepted the 1907 view of journalist James Douglas that the postcard had relieved people from the obligation of the letter, but the evidence here suggests that any such process of change was more complex than hitherto appreciated.

Contrary to Tonie and Valmai Holt, who imply that the postcard was the preferred writing medium during the war,\textsuperscript{40} with the exception of 1916 (when, as Figure 177 shows, postcards were indeed used extensively), the collective experience of people parted by the war appears to have been to value longer and more intimate communications instead of postcards.\textsuperscript{41} This is certainly the trajectory that is encountered in Beth Sutherland’s 2008 “My Dear Chick,” which reproduces many of the letters sent by Len Wilton, son of a successful Wairarapa farmer, to his future wife, Irma McLachlan, daughter of a neighbouring farming family.\textsuperscript{42} Len had left school aged 14,\textsuperscript{43} and he alluded to his lack of literary finesse in 1916, saying “it is with pleasure I take this pencil to write to you but I regret that I am unable to express my feelings or put into words & write what I would like to be able to.”\textsuperscript{44} Despite this, as the war went on, he wrote longer and longer letters, albeit punctuated with notes like “I wish I could write better it would be easy to write a book on what is going on. I never did like writing but now it is a case of writing.”\textsuperscript{45} In January 1918 he wrote that “this letter writing is getting quite a bisseness [sic] and takes a lot of time to write to all.”\textsuperscript{46} Looking back, in early 1919, however, he said “I knoe [sic] I have improved a lot & find it much easier to write a letter now than what I did at first.”\textsuperscript{47} If the war failed to improve Len’s grammar, it made him appreciate a “nice long letter.”\textsuperscript{48} Whilst he also sent postcards,\textsuperscript{49} he made his preference for the longer form clear when he reprimanded his fiancé, saying “many thanks for the letter card you sent from Palmerston. I was expecting to get a letter from

\textsuperscript{40} Holt and Holt, \textit{Till the Boys Come Home: The Picture Postcard of the First World War}, p.9.

\textsuperscript{41} This is implied by the text of the Field Service tickbox postcards, in which one key option read “letter follows at first opportunity.” For such a card, see Peter Doyle, \textit{British Postcards of the First World War} (Oxford: Shire, 2010), p.41. Doyle suggests, on page 40, that letters and letter cards were the normal postal stationery, with postcards being used by “some soldiers” who wanted an easier option.

\textsuperscript{42} Beth Sutherland, \textit{My Dear Chick: A New Zealand Love Story 1911-1948} (Masterton, New Zealand: Fraser, 2008).

\textsuperscript{43} Ibid., p.80.

\textsuperscript{44} Ibid., p.83.

\textsuperscript{45} Ibid., p.95.

\textsuperscript{46} Ibid., p.118.

\textsuperscript{47} Ibid., p.226.

\textsuperscript{48} He uses the phrase twice. Ibid., p.137.

\textsuperscript{49} Ibid., p.216.
you so naturally I was dissapinted [sic] only getting a card.” Although Len noted that some soldiers could not face the pressure of writing letters, and that he himself seemed to spend all of his spare time doing so, it is clear that his experiences had helped him determine the relative value of cards and letters as forms of communication. A similar picture emerges from the letters of other soldiers. Victor Christophers, for example, felt it necessary to apologise for sending a card, saying he was short of paper and asking his family to send him spare sheets of writing paper in their letters, as parcels tended to go astray. Such factors may explain the abrupt but short-lived rise in longer messages on postcards that occurs in 1916 [Figure 177]. Certainly, letters, not cards, were what the newspapers exhorted families to send to the troops to keep morale high. Unlike telephones, which were not yet available to poorer families, the war experience encompassed most of the population in the British diaspora by 1918. Although perhaps some people opted never to go near a pen again once they returned from France, it seems credible to suggest that the war facilitated an increase in epistolary confidence amongst people like Len Wilton, who otherwise might have remained happy to get around their discomfort with writing by means of the postcard.

The evidence examined above suggests that sending postcards purely as fashionable collectibles had largely run its course by about 1909, being progressively replaced by first the idea of the postcard as quick communication, and then, increasingly, the notion of the postcard as a substitute letter, or greetings – albeit one that remained collectible. This could explain the increasing demand for longer postcard texts, as noted earlier (page 386). By the end of the war, however, it appears that letterwriting had become more widespread. A change in epistolary practices

50 Ibid., p.200. Letter cards actually had more space for correspondence than postcards, so Len would have been even less impressed with a postcard.
51 Ibid., p.187.
52 Ibid., p.198.
54 Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “Yearning for News,” March 14, 1918, p.5.
has not previously been suggested as a reason for the postcard’s demise, but I am suggesting it as one of the factors that progressively reduced postcard usage – in tandem with other factors like the telephone, the failure of the British Post Office to resume pre-war levels of delivery, the 1918 raising of the price of sending a postcard, and its inland rate then rising to 1½d – during 1920 in New Zealand, and 1921 in Britain. However, Figure 11 shows that after a nadir in 1922, and with the price of sending reduced back to a penny in 1923, the postcard enjoyed a modest revival during the later 1920s. In New Zealand, at least, this coincided with a Government campaign to use postcards to promote the country. The tourist-ritual potential of the postcard remained vital, but its ritual greetings and communication aspects appear, to a large extent, to have evaporated after the war, superseded by telephones, letters and folded greetings cards. Ultimately the postcard and greetings cards did forge discrete generic distinctions. However HATS belonged to a period when they were by no means so neatly demarcated.

55 While the evidence I found was consistent, a fuller study of the relationship between the use of cards and letters during the war is clearly called for, and until it is completed, my suggestions must remain a hypothesis. Such a full study is beyond the scope of the present study.
56 Daunton, Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840, p.48. This conscious decision by the Post Office to retain the substantially scaled-back delivery schedule implemented during the war must surely relate to the impact of the telephone. Daunton does not relate this observation to the postcard, and nor have I seen it referred to in the postcard literature. Nevertheless, such a reduction would have reinforced a sense among users that postcards were now a less reliable fast medium of communication.
57 Ibid., p.69.
58 Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.21. Ironically, Jackson points out that the overseas rate, which was set internationally, was cheaper, at 1 penny.
59 Daunton, Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840, p.69. He notes on page 152 that the British Post Office calculated that the international penny post ran at a loss, so the postwar changes were apparently intended to address long-standing concerns.
60 Jackson, New Zealand Postcards: Rates & Regulations to 1939, p.22. This occurred in 1922 in Britain. Daunton, Royal Mail: The Post Office since 1840, p.69.
The Often Anonymous Artists, Writers and Designers of Greetings

If HATS could straddle both ritual and communication, it also mixed modalities. Its characteristic blend of (HATS) text and (clasped hands) image meant it was a verbally defined genre within a pictorially defined medium.\(^{62}\) I want now to focus on the implications of this. The study has previously established that whilst ‘artistic’ cards provided users with the cultural capital related to art, this did not necessarily equate to the artists garnering glory – with an increasing tendency through the latter part of the century towards the anonymous creation of greetings card artwork (see page 228). This is a problem if one assumes that the artistic element of the cards is the image. The evidence, however, from my study suggests that the writers of such cards were seen as more important than the artists and designers, and that the users were often sending them more for their texts than their images. Although there were examples where attention was drawn to the image, such as when a user noted that “this is a pretty card with Scotch thistles on it. Hope you will like it,”\(^{63}\) it was more common to find mentions of the sentiments. When, in 1910, Jack simply wrote on the back of a Tuck’s card like Figure 149 the inscription “with all the sentiments embodied on the reverse of this card,”\(^{64}\) he may have been talking about the multimodal combination of text and image, but it is more likely that he was just referring to the text. Lavinia certainly was when she remarked to Maggie in another card, “don't you feel like the words of this P.C. I often do.”\(^{65}\)

This emphasis on the texts is surprising, because although early greetings cards utilised a mixture of text and image, their lavish imagery tended to

\(^{62}\) By this, I mean that the genre is initially defined by the verbal phrase “hands across the sea,” whilst the postcard craze is defined by the “picture postcard.”  
\(^{63}\) The card was W&K series 1102.  
\(^{64}\) Tuck’s R2202, sent in 1910 by Jack to his sister Molly.  
\(^{65}\) The text she was referring to was on a Millar & Lang card, series 1835, which read: “But however far I roam There is something aye at home That keeps tugging at my heartstrings Till it brings me back again.”
dominate the textual elements. And the Edwardians called their successors ‘Picture Postcards’. As noted earlier, this seems to have remained the case in America, where Daniel Gifford is probably correct to state that postcards were “image-based conversations.” Given, then, that the defining feature of the pictorial postcard is the picture, it seems natural to expect that the visual element ought to dominate the textual, but this becomes less self-evident when one considers the HATS genre as part of a greetings postcard revolution. Just as spoken communication often involves a multimodal mix of speech and gesture, both postcards and greetings cards are multimodal. Increasingly, however, pure greetings cards would come to prioritise the verbal elements, so that today, as Emily West puts it, “the conventional industry wisdom [is] that while a card’s design might convince a consumer to pick it up, it will ultimately be the sentiment that sells it.” None of the scattered user-texts in my sample that mention either the printed text or image mentions both, suggesting that Edwardian viewers understood the elements as distinct. Following West’s industry logic, the key element of the HATS card is the sentiment behind the phrase ‘hands across the sea’, while the designs and the clasped hands symbol have an indexical relationship to that sentiment.

This change in priorities shows up in several contexts, one of which relates to the visibility of the creators. When publishers, in my study, diverged from the standard approach of authorial anonymity, it was to acknowledge the writers of the sentiment. Just four illustrators and one photographer

---

66 This also applied to acknowledgement. Buday’s largely nineteenth century focused book lists more artists than sentiment writers, though this may reflect his own interests – as an artist. Buday, *The History of the Christmas Card*, pp.213-61.
69 Thurlow, Jaworski, and Ylänne, "Transient Identities, New Mobilities: Holiday Postcards,” p.120.
70 West, “Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment,” p.460.
71 Buday notes that although there was a phase after the 1870s where it became more common to acknowledge the authors of verse, with the exception of a few well known names, publishers found that the public preferred cards to be unsigned. Buday, *The History of the Christmas Card*, pp.200-1.
signed, or were credited on the cards, while 32 different writers were acknowledged amongst the 151 cards in the dated sample where texts were credited. Author, librettist, critic, performer, and lyricist for the Victorian sentimental classic “Love’s Old Sweet Song,” Clifton Bingham (one of whose verses was cited on page 371), was responsible for thirty-six cards. The pseudonymous ‘Terry’ wrote thirty-five. Bingham, in particular, seems to have been regarded as the go-to poet of the genre, with his poems being used by the Birn Brothers, Beagles, Wildt & Kray, Tuck, and the German company Paul Finkenrath, as well as – probably without permission – by Aucklander W. T. Wilson [Figure 2]. The Rev. W. Baumber appears to have articulated prevailing opinion about poetry when he listed amongst the great national services one could undertake, “the poet, who sings the truth that uplifts and purifies the nation’s life.”

Given its pervasiveness, postcard poetry remains seriously understudied. This probably relates to a point Cary Nelson identified, in arguing that postcards were primarily sent by the working classes. Nelson frames postcard poems as representative of the long-standing tradition of popular poetry, a tradition found in earlier greetings cards, and other areas such as autograph album entries and the newspaper poetry quoted in chapter 1. Jonathan Rose argues that the working classes tended to be politically radical but culturally conservative in their literary preferences, and it is

---

72 The only two to create multiple cards within the dated sample were New Zealand cartoonist, Trevor Lloyd, and the little-known Ralph Ruttle, whose work is discussed below, page 415. Single cards were found by the Americans B. Hoffmann, and publisher and illustrator E. Nash. Amongst the undated cards a further three artists occur: Andrew Allen and Frederick Leighton, whose cards were purchased after the cut-off for the dated card survey, and two other monograms, neither for known illustrators.
73 British Library. “Bingham, Clifton (1859-1913).” (Undated). http://www.bl.uk/reshelp/findhelpsubject/literature/authors/cliftonbingham(1859-1913)/clifbingham.html#top [accessed February 26, 2013]. Despite a huge reputation in his day, this modest British Library profile of Bingham is the most extensive I could find.
74 The text on several of Wilson’s cards is by Bingham, but if acknowledged at all, it is only through initials.
77 Ibid.
78 Some eighteenth century cards carried verse (see footnote on page 188), as did nineteenth century Valentines.
79 Rose, “A Conservative Canon: Cultural Lag in the British Working-Class Reading Habits,” p.102. He adds on p.103 that as late as the 1930s modernist academics struggled to
thus not surprising that the postcard poem might be analogous to the “highly ritualised, conservative discourse” that can still be found in such venues as *In Memoriam* poems in the death notices.\(^8^0\) Nevertheless, as a form of popular agency, postcard poetry could adapt itself to almost any political discourse,\(^8^1\) something which Nelson shows in relation to wartime poems.\(^8^2\) He notes that these almost certainly had a wider readership than academically sanctioned poets like Wilfred Owen\(^8^3\) – something which becomes important if one examines the cultural influence of an item, rather than its canonical import.\(^8^4\) Regardless of the quality of their rhyme, these cards played an important role in mediating relationships between soldiers and their families.\(^8^5\)

The greetings verse genre would attract ‘serious’ poets such as Sean O’Casey,\(^8^6\) and Archibald McLeish who, during the second world war, allowed one of his poems to be published anonymously because he understood that that the author’s presence could actually detract from the ability of the poem to be experienced as a communal sentiment.\(^8^7\) It is precisely this tension that early greetings cards manufacturers had to negotiate – whether the card was better served by referencing its creator, or whether it was better to allow the user to co-opt the creative elements of the cards to their own service, to provide them with sentiments that they may have otherwise struggled to compose themselves. Many of the users of these cards, like Len Wilton at the start of the war (see page 407), would have agreed with Ella, when she confided to Anna that she was “a proper duffer


\(^8^2\) Nelson is particularly interested in the ways that users actively distributed texts that sanitised imperialism, nationalism and war: Nelson, "Love Your Panzer Corps: Rediscovering the Wartime Poem Card," p.180.

\(^8^3\) Ibid., p.275.


\(^8^5\) Ibid., p.26.


at writing.” Joyce Hall, of Hallmark, believed that many consumers lacked confidence in their own creative ability to do justice to what they wanted to say, and Emily West argues that, for such users, “publicly available symbolic forms [are] capable of providing more impact than one’s own words.” This is why a meme like HATS or an emblem like the clasped hands fitted so well in the greetings card format. They were precisely the ‘publicly available symbolic forms’ that sentimental culture needed for the accurate transport of emotion.

Understood thus, the poet, and even more the artist, of the HATS card were interpreting and amplifying the meme, and facilitating networks, not simply emphasising their own originality. And they did this not only to make propositional statements, but to effect direct change on a situation. It is this active function that Alfred Gell sees as central to art. Given the focus on results, originality is not essential, with such works operating with what Gell described as “the principle of least difference.” This is analogous to the point made by Darron Dean (see page 164) that popular cultural work relied more on the “imaginative interpretation” of existing imagery than on novelty. For Gell, the creator’s aim is simply to do enough to distinguish the work itself from others of its kind. This approach can be seen today in the promulgation of anonymous works on YouTube, suggesting that the role of the designer in a popular cultural setting sits comfortably with anonymous facilitation. Such anonymity only becomes an issue when we overlay the discourse with a middle-class value set (where originality and novelty trump variations on a theme). Art Publishing, in such a popular cultural context, involved publishing items that were recognisably ‘artistic’, but this did not mean that they automatically carried the ideology of romantic individualism to the masses. Nevertheless, although most HATS

88 On a ca.1910 Beagles card, 834Y.
89 West, “Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment,” p.460.
90 Ibid., p.464.
92 Ibid., pp.217-8.
cards were anonymous, not all were – which begs the question of why certain artists signed their cards when most did not.

Apart from cartoonist Trevor Lloyd [see Figure 142 and Figure 223], who is well known in New Zealand for his comic postcards, the only named artist to play a recognisably significant role in the HATS genre is the now little-remarked Ralph Francis Ruttley, whose distinctive mirrored RR monogram appears on several cards. Ruttley must have enjoyed some success in his own day, because Richard Carline was able to quote a source (probably one of the Postcard journals that he utilises elsewhere) that described some of Ruttley’s cards for Bamforth as “a significant sign of our times.” Ruttley is also mentioned by Anthony Byatt for comic cards he produced for Shamrock and Co. [Figure 179]. Nevertheless, apart from appearing in the 1911 census, aged 60 and living in Ilford, there is no other information about this artist’s career other than the record of his cards.

Ruttley was responsible for one of the earliest HATS cards [Figure 127], which he created for the Rapid Photo company, a firm he also did large letter cards for. This work related to integrating illustration with

95 Main and Jackson, "Wish You Were Here": The Story of New Zealand Postcards, p.19. More of Lloyd’s cards are discussed in Appendix 4. Lloyd designed only two HATS cards, and the rest of his oeuvre does not contribute to the current discussion, being an extension of his newspaper cartooning [Figure 141].

96 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.65.

97 All such information is garnered from cards of Ruttley’s that I have collected (often unwittingly). It is, however, not necessary to illustrate all of them here.
photographs, involving a blackboard-like white-on-black illustrative style (widely used by photographic firms like Rapid and Rotary).

Ruttley made the chalk references more obvious for a series of Clown greetings cards for Beagles during the early stages of that company’s venture into greetings cards [Figure 180]. These are quite different from the union emblem-like quality that Ruttley adopted in one card for H. Vertigen, or his more designerly greetings card for the same publisher [Figure 181]. These differ, in turn, from the more decoratively rococo approach used for a series of cards done for Max Ettlinger [Figure 182]. The work shows Ruttley to be a versatile artist, obviously able to adapt his approach to the requirements of different publishers. In his fifties by the time the craze started, Ruttley must have had a prior career, and his use of the rococo – very much the flavour of 1890s graphics [e.g. Figure 76], but somewhat dated by this time – hints at his having worked commercially during that period. One can but speculate as to his background. He does not appear in Buday’s list of Christmas card artists, so how he came to be designing for the postcard industry is unknown.

By the early 1900s, however, Ruttley had apparently established a reputation and a set of networks. This is clear when one looks at the location of the London publishers that commissioned him,

---

98 This chalk-style probably intended to evoke the popular pavement art of the time.
101 He may have had a design school training, but equally there were commercial artists like Australian Harry Weston who had no formal training, having found their way into the industry via designing jam labels, scene painting, teaching and a detour into sailing. *Otago Witness* (Dunedin, NZ), “Australian “Black and White” Men,” June 27, 1906, p.80.
all of which were based in the south-western area of the postcard mile, within a few hundred meters of one another.102 And it was not just geography that linked these firms. When Felix McGlennan of Shamrock took another company to court, his expert witness was H. Vertigen.103 Ruttley worked for both companies, suggesting that friendship networks between firms may have helped him to develop contacts.

Overall, Ruttley was old enough to have an established profile, and was well known enough for his work to be discussed in the postcard press. He was therefore in a position to be able to request that his signature be displayed, and well-known enough for it to help sell cards. Nevertheless, it is significant that the cards he seems to have been best known for were, as with Trevor Lloyd, within the comic genre. It was normal for comic artists like Harry Payne or Tom Browne to sign their work. Newspaper cartoonists were celebrated popular cultural figures who needed to be acknowledged, whereas specialist greetings card artists were not.

102 See the map of the quarter in Byatt, Picture Postcards and their Publishers, p.318.
103 Ibid., p.248.
Ruttley’s signing of greetings cards may therefore have been an extension of a cartoonist profile that could increase the card’s marketability. However, there is another common factor amongst all of the signed artists. They were all men. Given that the vast majority of the postcard artists identified by Dawn and Peter Cope were women, it is hard to believe that the gender mix of HATS artists was not broadly representative of this trend. It therefore seems most reasonable to conclude that the convention of anonymity seen earlier in relation to Christmas card design and advertising art (see page 228) continued into the postcard era. It was easier to have one’s individual contribution recognised if one was male rather than female, a poet rather than an artist, had a recognised profile in a genre where authorship was normally acknowledged, or if one was in charge of the publishing process. Overall, however, it appears that the personality of the creator presented a potential threat to the ability of the card to act as a surrogate for the sender. Manufacturers thus had to make a judgement call as to whether the profile of the poet or artist was enough to counter-balance this effect.

The HATS Postcard’s Aesthetic

HAT cards are easily recognisable, but the genre was clearly not defined by artistic authorship. It is therefore worth examining some of the other contributors that may have helped define the direction of the HATS genre. Even discounting the role of the printers, secretaries and salespeople that facilitated the postcard business, there were two other roles within the greetings card creative process (over and above artist and poet) that contributed significantly to the cards, but remained entirely anonymous: the lettering artist, and the editor who co-ordinated the artwork and texts.

---

104 As mentioned in note 72 above, apart from Ruttley and Lloyd, the others were Millar & Langs’ head artist, Andrew Allen, who had no-one to veto his signing of his work, and the Americans B. Hoffman and E. Nash, both of whom were artist/publishers who presumably did the artwork for their own cards.

105 A tally of these shows that sixty-seven of the artists were women, and just fifteen were men. Cope and Cope, Postcards from the Nursery: The Illustrators of Children’s Books and Postcards 1900-1950, p.5.
Although sometimes typographic texts were added to HATS cards by the printer, the majority utilised the prerogative of lithography to combine lettering and artwork on the same stone.\textsuperscript{106} The lettering, when hand done, was very much akin to that created by the ticket writers who created the shop window mottoes and trade cards, and which Rickards says had their heyday between 1890 and 1939.\textsuperscript{107} Raphael Tuck had their own ‘Artistic Show Card’ department,\textsuperscript{108} and it is probable that these showcard artists contributed lettering to Tuck postcards. Ticket writing was another industry which utilised many women,\textsuperscript{109} though a photograph of New Plymouth showcard writer, W. Lints, shows that men were also actively engaged [Figure 183].

\textbf{Figure 183: Advertising Postcard, ca.1913, showing New Plymouth ‘show card’ writer W. Lints.}

A number of the lettering styles and the airbrushed borders used in these ‘show cards’ appear in the postcards of this period. Lints would go on to have a controversial career as a patriotic beauty pageant organiser.

Author’s collection

\textsuperscript{106} Specifically, 540 of the 601 cards used hand-lettering, whilst 126 used typography. 65 therefore used both – usually with a hand-lettered motto and the verse in typography.

\textsuperscript{107} Rickards and Twyman, \textit{The Encyclopedia of Ephemera: A Guide to the Fragmentary Documents of Everday Life for the Collector, Curator, and Historian}, pp.207, 97. The terms show that card and ticket writing are largely synonymous, however show cards are sometimes distinguished from normal commercial writing by their being like interior posters. Last, \textit{The Color Explosion: Nineteenth-Century American Lithography}, p.3.

\textsuperscript{108} This is in an advertisement reproduced in Byatt, \textit{Collecting Picture Postcards: An Introduction}, p.47.

Alan Young, in studying the curricula of Australian turn-of-the-century “Art and Applied Art” courses, found that lettering was part of “trade classes” and increasingly treated as a separate area, distinct from ‘Art’ painting or design.110 Whanganui’s “technical school” was thus typical in teaching “signwriting and lettering” within a broader course devoted to “house, sign and decorative painting,” and claiming that this class would be “useful to all engaged in any craft where a knowledge of lettering is required, such as lithography, ticket writing, etc.”111 The assumption here was that the same decorative lettering would be applied within lithography and ticket writing, and this helps explain why much postcard lettering evokes sign and ticket writing in its approaches. This association with a commercial trade, however, cannot have assisted the lithographers’ artistic standing, and perhaps explains why some of the later cards started to utilise gothic calligraphic forms, in conformity with Arts and Crafts standards [Figure 184].

The book-based lettering traditions of calligraphy and typography encouraged by the Arts and Crafts Movement aimed to bring “men of good education….back into the productive crafts.”112 Unlike these, signwriting and ticket writing belong to a tradition that was inherently wedded to commerce, and was regarded as a trade rather than as art or craft – one therefore appropriate to the working rather than the middle classes.

---

The similarities between postcards and the showcard tradition of the shop window dressing are evident if one compares the patriotic shop window in Figure 186 with the photographic postcard assemblage in Figure 185. Both card and window display what Orvell, as previously noted, describes as an “aesthetic of abundance,” in which the ‘fancy’ lettering plays its part, incorporated as a discrete element on banners/ribbons and display cards. This aesthetic could equally be interpreted as the static equivalent of the melodramatic situation – a moment of intense emotional concentration creating sensational affect. The card thus hovers ambiguously between the overall tendency of the melodramatic towards the episodic (where discrete sensational elements would be read sequentially) and the more

---

114 In shop windows, lettered banners like Figure 186’s “A Peaceful Prosperous Reign” were known as “shop window mottoes.” Rickards and Twyman, The Encyclopedia of Ephemera: A Guide to the Fragmentary Documents of Everyday Life for the Collector, Curator, and Historian, p.207.
115 Singer, Melodrama and Modernity: Early SensATIONAL Cinema and its Contexts, p.41.
modernist emphasis on a ‘gestalt’ that tries to unify those elements into a single communicative moment [e.g. Figure 188 and Figure 190].

Although many postcard genres incorporated such a gestalt, most HATS card designs tended towards the sequential – thus appearing fragmentary. Yoke-Sum Wong discusses the way that the postcard medium rendered the empire as fragments, but this is different from the segmented structure of cards like Figure 187, where the separate elements provide a series of emotional pulses. These extend and amplify the affective moment for the recipient, who progressively decodes the symbolism of first the hands, the globe and the flowers, and then the textual sentiment and verse.

Ultimately, many of the decisions regarding integration of sentiment, verse, and visual symbolism – and how they related to one another – must have been influenced by editorial decisions within the manufacturing firms, and these are the most anonymous contributions of all. Adrian Forty notes that the role of the entrepreneur in the design

---

117 In reality, as noted earlier (in a footnote on page 114) the gestalt approach was no new thing. John Kresten Jespersen sees it as being the key distinction that Owen Jones made between ornament and decoration (the latter relating to a collection of parts whilst the former co-ordinated an overall effect). Jespersen, "Originality and Jones' the Grammar of Ornament of 1856," p.9.
process is often downplayed in favour of valorising the creativity of the designer.\textsuperscript{119} This applies to the postcard, where scattered evidence points to manufacturers and editors playing a key role. American entrepreneurs like Paul Volland were heavily involved in driving all aspects of the business,\textsuperscript{120} as was Adolph Tuck. He discussed the nature of his involvement when answering a question about the independence of the artists working for him, replying that “the photographers get definite instructions, but the artists are left to themselves to a large extent. All the designs are submitted to me, and require to be passed by me.”\textsuperscript{121} Tuck was responding to a Society journal, where it was in his interests to downplay the part the firm played in directing the artwork. In 1906, too, much of the artwork in Tuck’s postcards was of scenery. However Tuck’s cards do tend to be eclectic, so it is possible that their work was, as Tuck says, driven by the creatives, with subsequent editorial co-ordination. On the other hand, firms like Wildt & Kray, Beagles, Taylor’s and Rotary had distinctive house-styles, which means that their greetings cards are instantly recognisable. This suggests either the use of a coherent team of artists, clear editorial guidance, or a combination of both.

The reason that this is important, historically, is that it affects how one attributes responsibility for spreading the HATS meme. Was it a question of publishers realising that this particular genre was selling well for a competitor and commissioning a writer and artist to create their own version, or was it that artists like Ralph Ruttley, having done a successful card for one publisher, created similar artwork speculatively to take to other publishers? It has to be remembered, that the Victorian greetings card industry appears to have followed the German model of working co-operatively (see page 221), and that the close geographical proximity of these companies suggests something of a collegial approach within the British postcard community – despite periodic legal battles over copyright and quality of service. As creators of fashion, it was mutually beneficial to be able to respond quickly to new market preferences, and the way that

\textsuperscript{120} Shank, \textit{A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture}, p.144.  
\textsuperscript{121} Maclean, “Picture Post Cards: The Story of their Rapid Rise into Popularity,” p.106.
HATS developed into a recognisable genre over the four years between 1904 and 1908 has provided a tantalising glimpse into the collective creativity of a popular cultural medium.

Similarly, it must have been editorial creativity that recognised that postcard poems provided the common ground that would allow the practices of the autograph album and the postcard to overlap. As mentioned earlier (page 136), by the time the postcard craze hit, autograph albums had migrated from the leisured to the working classes, and the practice of writing poems, and drawing pictures in one another's albums was the craze amongst children which the postcard had to oust (see page 137). The sending and receiving of verse was thus a recognised part of album practice, and just as postcard designs frequently referred to the structure of the album, so the presence of verse on cards is likely to have been understood as a hybridisation of the postcard and the autograph album [Figure 189]. It is hard for those schooled in the dour “less is more” of twentieth century modernism to appreciate an aesthetic where two is better than one, yet in a culture defined by a desire to “get all that we want without giving up anything we have,” the hoarding of abundance was endemic. And if Simmel had railed against this, the overall aesthetic in the cards shows that the Edwardians were still in its thrall. Thus it was that poems got longer, and visually HATS cards got more, not less complex.

As with much design, it is not possible to ascribe the qualities that makes a HATS postcard distinctive, to any single artist, or editor, or even writer,

---

given that it drew on existing visual and literary tropes. Nevertheless, within a few years those largely anonymous people had collectively fashioned a type of card that was understood by consumers as a ‘Hands across the Sea’ card. And the communicative success of this meme progressively rendered the original elements redundant.

**Modern or Nostalgic: The Languages of Greetings Postcards**

If the preceding sections have supported Emily West’s contention that greetings postcards utilised “publicly available symbolic forms,” it remains to establish what such forms in the HATS card meant. In speaking about the postcard more generally, Barry Shank is representative of most academic writing when he says that:

> Whereas post cards were flat celebrations of modern mobility, greetings cards were elaborate exploitations of the disruptions caused by this movement. Ambivalent to the core, early greetings cards were coated with nostalgia for an imagined premodern community of emotional abundance even as they built a language of clichés and stereotypes that exploited the blank affections accompanying individual mobility.

It should be clear by now that Shank’s neat distinction between the modern postcard and the nostalgic greetings card is fundamentally challenged by the greetings postcard. However, given the imagery involved, his sense of an opposition between the modern and nostalgic elements is understandable. Coxhead similarly highlights a perceived trend in HATS cards away from modern imagery of transport towards the nostalgic imagery of home and motherland as part of a “trajectory of ever-increasing emotionalism.”

---


127 Coxhead, "A Link to Bind Where Circumstances Part," p.111. I have already noted in the introduction that my dating of the cards shows that this perceived trend is illusory – the greetings cards are amongst the earliest HATS cards, not the latest.
This final section of discussion therefore explores the contradictory relationship that the HATS card has to the binary modern/nostalgic divide, and to the “clichés and stereotypes” that Shank believes inhabit both the visual and verbal languages of the card. ‘Nostalgic’ is one of those argument-killing terms which, once applied to anything, renders it untouchable. ‘Cliché’ (“the bad side of originality,” as Lawrence Lerner called it) is another.128 ‘Emotional’ is not much better. An understanding of the HATS phenomenon, however, requires one to move past such rhetoric.

**The Melodramatic Connection**

It is almost inevitable that any teleological history of the early twentieth century postcard will focus on the aspect of postcards which most closely resembles what we know came afterwards: modernism. By this token, over time, one might expect cards to follow the classic modernist stereotype and become more coherent, rather than more complex [e.g. Figure 188].129 With the exception of a single card [Figure 190], such a move to a simpler, more coherent gestalt is the opposite of what happened in the HATS genre. Not only, as noted earlier, did cards get wordier, but the same tendency to elaboration is evident in the design of the cards that users chose to send, with a steady upward trend in the number of discrete segments [Figure 191]. This was broken only during the early war years, where the lithographic printers were less active, and the most available cards were those by Rotary, whose photographic cards contained somewhat fewer elements.

---

129 This is the direction that one finds, for example, in the illustrations of New York’s *World on Sunday*, where the nineteenth-century album-like use of flowers to soften transitions between frames develops rapidly into design where frames contrast and overlap, and where illustration gets progressively more inclined to use line and flat colour. This move was probably driven, in part, by the effects of the comic book medium. Nicholson Baker and Margaret Brentano, *The World on Sunday: Graphic Art in Joseph Pulitzer's Newspaper (1898-1911)* (New York: Bullfinch Press, 2005).
This trend is counterintuitive, until one remembers that the postcard album’s succession of ‘situations’ (as I argued on page 272) equated to melodrama’s series of dramatic moments, and that single greetings postcards seem to have attempted to emulate this pulsating effect (see page 421). A similar development occurs in film. As Timothy Johns points out, film directors like D. W. Griffith and Sergei Eisenstein used montage-like juxtapositions in the editing of their films, borrowing from the melodramatic tradition of alternately layering the tragic and the comic, in order to mesh multiple elements into an emotionally cohesive whole.¹³⁰ Johns attempts to ascribe this tendency back to Dickens, but in doing so, largely sidesteps several decades of subsequent melodramatic and sentimental visual traditions which would surely have informed both filmmakers’ work. Nevertheless, his linking of cinematic and melodramatic tropes provides useful support for my earlier suggestion that it was cinema that provided the great rival to the postcard in the second decade of the

It will be recalled that in 1911 Pettit’s “Hands Across the Sea” melodrama was turned into a film in Australia (see page 98). This made complete sense given the popularity of HATS postcards at the time. The link between cards and the melodramatic mode was made explicit in 1908, when the *Evening Post* noted that:

The fantastic era for Christmas cards began several years ago, and does not yet show signs of dying away, any more than any other form of melodrama is losing ground. Perhaps, in a year or two, the popular taste will swing back to simplicity, but in the meantime the cards of elaborate design, and generally highly coloured, are selling well.

There is, therefore, a melodramatic cord which connects the complex montaged Victorian greetings card with its Edwardian postcard descendant [Figure 192]. Between them, the simpler photographic views and portraits of the initial postcard fashions fit with the idea of reductionist modernism. Read in opposition to these, greetings postcards appears like a throwback – as indeed they did to the above-quoted writer in

---

131 This should not be confused with an American film from 1911, also called “Hands Across the Sea.” Its plot, relating to the American revolution, was equally melodramatic, but had no connection to Pettit’s play. It is historically significant, being the first to be made by French firm Éclair’s American branch. Anthony Slide, *The New Historical Dictionary of the American Film Industry* (Lanham, MD: Scarecrow Press, 1998), p.63.


133 Contemporaries were aware of some of the changes wrought by the postcard, but most fall short of casting it in purely modern terms. A small number of quotes have traditionally been used in the literature to support the idea of the postcard as a modern medium, such as one from Margaret Mead in the December 1900 issue of *Girl’s Realm*, where she talks about the postcard as a ‘sign of the times’. Quoted in Holt and Holt, *Picture Postcards of the Golden Age: A Collector’s Guide*, p.20. Mead, however, does not use the word ‘modern’, it is the Holt’s that interpret it in this way. Frank Staff similarly cites James Douglas, who casts the postcard as “the best guide to the spirit of the Edwardian age.” Staff, *The Picture Postcard and its Origins*, p.79. My interpretation of the primary material
the *Evening Post*, who entitled his section “Old-timers are popular.”\(^{134}\) However, seen as a counterpart to the frenetic and sensational imagery of the early cinema, this tendency towards increasingly complex and montaged design makes perfect sense, and is in keeping with developments such as Cubism, Dada, or the early Bauhaus.

One need not assume, teleologically, that greetings card artists were aware of, or being influenced by, avant-garde movements like Cubism. Rather, these developments can be interpreted as an intensification of the melodramatic elements that were already evident in Victorian graphics, and as an attempt to pack multiple ‘situations’ into a single, visually abundant card. These are not intended to give up their meaning at a single glance, with understanding instead coming in waves. If the ‘Gruss aus’ genre started the work of incorporating album-like designs into the card itself, greetings cards like HATS increased the card format’s ability to co-ordinate multiple affective pulses. Granted, Timothy Johns argues that the way that D. W. Griffith utilises melodrama constitutes a “Victorian retreat.”\(^{135}\) But if, as Ben Singer maintains, melodramatic cinema as a whole should be understood as part of the increased sensationalism of urban modernity,\(^{136}\) a counterpart to the “sensational entertainments” that retailers like Selfridges offered to their customers,\(^{137}\) where does that leave the Victorian-lookalike greetings postcard?

---


\(^{135}\) Johns, *Birth of a Medium: Dickens, Griffith, and the Advent of Sentimental Cinema*, p.84.


In addition to the fragmented schema, both Figure 192 and Figure 193 utilise imagery which is typical of modernity. Tom Phillips maintains that “postcards have always, as one of their main functions, celebrated the new.” Ocean liners and steam engines certainly fit this prescription, with Frances Steel describing liners as “defining images of modernity.” The two sides of the globe are similarly emblematic of modern expansion. Nevertheless, the central images are, respectively a cottage and a church – both images heavily associated with the ‘nostalgic’ discourse of the rural myth, and which Coxhead remarked on when positing a tendency of HATS cards towards increased emotionalism. If Steel sees steamships as the “symbolic expression of imperial kinship,” Linda Austin argues that the cottage was an “icon of public memory,” its tenanted status providing a metaphor for the “symbolic relation of citizen to fatherland.” But cottages were equally a mnemonic stimulus, one that hinged not on the

139 Steel, Oceania under Steam: Sea Transport and the Cultures of Colonialism, c.1870-1914, p.47.
141 Steel, Oceania under Steam: Sea Transport and the Cultures of Colonialism, c.1870-1914, p.48.
142 Austin, Nostalgia in Transition, 1780-1917, pp.126, 128.
143 Ibid., p.147.
psychological thrill of difference, but on the comforting reflex of familiarity – a phenomenon which Austin regards as physiological.\textsuperscript{144}

Nostalgia had, since the eighteenth century, been understood as the condition of emigrants.\textsuperscript{145} It is therefore very easy to assume, as Shank does (in the quote on page 425), that a genre of card primarily intended to facilitate contact and communication between those at a distance – such as emigrants and their families – would adopt a nostalgic voice. Imagery of cottages and country life can certainly function nostalgically, but they appear only rarely in the study (in 5.15\% of the cards), and Colin Campbell made the point that things that were nostalgic for one person might not be for another.\textsuperscript{146} The complex emotions that a simple thing like a sunset could evoke (sunsets appear in 15.12\% of cards) are well expressed in the following letter, written by an unsigned soldier to the father of a comrade, whose dying, as he explained, was referred to in “soldiers jargon,” as having “gone west.”

The full meaning came to me shortly after I heard about Bob. We had had a bad afternoon, though a lucky one. I had been detained at Battalion Headquarters and I was riding home alone. I had just got out of the danger zone and was riding along a ridge. Just behind me and alongside, the heavies were roaring out and I could hear the crash of the Hun shells coming in and occasionally the whine of a heavy going well back. In front I could see the country bathed in the golden light of the setting sun and away in the West was a beautiful sunset, with the spires of a town silhouetted against it like a golden city. It seemed such a desirable place and so fine a place to 'go West', away from the noise and din and strife, to 'go West' to the beautiful peace of the setting sun. It seemed to me then a beautiful sentiment and I thought of Bob ‘going west’.\textsuperscript{147}

One could read this as a textbook example of a sentimental retreat from the realities of the modern world via an arcadian rural idyll. However, this interpretation applies only if one ignores the clear spiritual overtones. “Going west” is effectively going home, but spiritually this home lies in the

\textsuperscript{144} Ibid., p.23.
\textsuperscript{146} Campbell, \textit{The Romantic Ethic and the Spirit of Modern Consumerism}, p.143.
future, not the past. As noted in the discussion of ‘rough seas’ on page 267, it is easy for twenty first century academics to overlook the extent to which religious symbolism plays a part in these cards, and it affects our reading of whether something was forward or backward looking. This tension between looking forwards and backwards occurred previously (on page 157) in relation to pasting cards into a scrapbook – something that could simultaneously preserve a memory, or prepare for future display to friends. It is therefore difficult to pin down activities as necessarily nostalgic. And even when they are, nostalgia and loss are, according to Mike Savage, intertwined with the “modern condition’s” diagnosis of change.\textsuperscript{148}

Given such interpretative instability, one can question the extent to which nostalgia is the primary affective stimulus in HATS cards. Within the 601 card study, it turned out that only 15.6% of the cards had references within their printed texts that could be broadly defined as nostalgic – that is, cards which made reference to home, \textit{auld lang syne}, the good old days or the past in general. Slightly more (17.27%) referred to memory, but that was not necessarily the same thing. A good example of the difference occurs in a poem which said “Friends we still remain today, Trusty, tried and true, May you be the last to say – I’ve forgotten you.”\textsuperscript{149} Memory here was located not in the past but in the future, and almost half (48.67%) of the cards made such future references. They represented by far the largest body of texts, followed by the 30.56% that referred to the present distance between sender and receiver, and the 24.25% that mentioned the state of being parted.\textsuperscript{150}

This is not surprising, since the function of such cards was surely one of network preservation, with an eye to a future where distance was replaced by proximity and haptic engagement – an alleviation of the present state of separation.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{148} Savage makes this point in relation to consumerism. Savage, "Status, Lifestyle and Taste," p.557. Paul Readman similarly argues that although this type of ostensibly nostalgic historicising helped to maintain a sense of continuity, it was not entirely nostalgic – being focused on preservation of these traditions for the future. [Readman, "The Place of the Past in English Culture c.1890-1914," pp.150, 195.] This idea is supported by Robert Venturi, who noted that “creating the new may mean choosing the old or existing.” Robert Venturi, Denise Scott Brown, and Steven Izenour, \textit{Learning from Las Vegas: The Forgotten Symbolism of Architectural Form}, Revised ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1977), p.6.
  \item \textsuperscript{149} This is on PC596, an anonymous French printed card sent in 1918.
  \item \textsuperscript{150} Obviously, some cards had texts that fitted into more than one category.
\end{itemize}
Stephen Kern argued that the move towards increasing simultaneity is one of the defining features of the 1880-1914 period, and it is precisely this function that the clasped hands symbol so effectively encapsulates [e.g. Figure 194], actively denying any separation, whether by time or by space. The handwritten messages on the cards support this overall interpretation. Only 2.49% make references that are in any way backward looking or nostalgic, whilst 4.65% wrote about hopes of reunion. By far the majority, however, fall under the category that Kathryn Dindia et al. describe as “relationship maintenance” – such as one from Stella who told Susan that “I am just sending this to let you know I have not forgotten you.” Almost all of the messages functioned similarly in ways that reinforced the future strength of the relationship.

Sending a distinctive card with a future-oriented text can still be defined as nostalgic. Aurélie Kessoux and Elyette Roux would categorise it as belonging to a type of nostalgia that either deals with the experience of discontinuity by symbolising the relationship through a unique object (they mention jewels), or linking an object to habitual rituals of remembrance. A one-off card would relate to the former, regular correspondence to the latter. However, Kessoux and Roux

---

152 Images of Maori, like that in figure 194, are not frequent in HATS cards.
154 This occurs on a Beagles 976x card
155 In all, 94.68% of the cards could be interpreted as having this intention.
156 Aurélie Kessous and Elyette Roux, ”A Semiotic Analysis of Nostalgia as a Connection to the Past,” *Qualitative Market Research* 12, no. 2 (2008): p.199. Their jewel illustration is interesting, given the greetings card tendency to use glitter and jewelling on the cards.
distinguish these two models of nostalgia from ones which attempt to return to “childhood bliss” or to reinforce tradition – the two functions that are most associated with the negative meanings of nostalgia. Under these latter definitions of nostalgia, any act that attempts to engage with, or reprise, something from the past could be defined as nostalgic. Although HATS cards have such potential, for the most part they don’t seem to have been sent with such blissful or traditional intent. Nor did they primarily refer to bragging rights over tourist holidays, with travelling (on the part of either sender or receiver, and whether for work or recreation) featuring in under a quarter of the cards.

Sentimental Symbols and a Language of Emotion

The balance of the evidence suggests that the overarching purpose of the HATS cards’ elements was greetings related. However, if the texts are apparently focused primarily on the future, how do images of things like cottages and sunsets dovetail into the communicative scheme? It is here that the earlier discussion of sentimentality is important. If one understands the visual elements of the card as relating to a commonly understood set of tropes that communicated affect, as I argued on page 180, then the juxtaposition of elements of shared experience with symbols of future reunion makes more sense. And if Linda Austin is correct in arguing that we respond physiologically with pleasure to the sight of the familiar, then this explains the affective effectiveness of the cards’ sentimental symbolism. The hidden ivy leaf in Figure 192, for example, is no idle designer whim. In the language of flowers (already a well-established component of Christmas cards – see page 183), ivy was associated with the phrase “I cling” [Figure 196], thus symbolising fidelity and the maintenance of friendship. Ivy was the fourth most popular floral element within the

---

157 Ibid., pp.199-200.
158 The exact figure is 22.26% of the cards.
159 Austin, Nostalgia in Transition, 1780-1917, p.23.
160 Kate Greenaway and Jean Marsh, The Illuminated Language of Flowers (London: MacDonald and Jane’s, 1978 [1884]), p.36. The definitions for the next flowers mentioned in the text also come from this source. And Greenaway gives thirty two different options for roses on page 50, depending on type and colour. Love, however, was the overarching intent behind all of these. The definitions given for flowers appear to be standard across the ‘language of flowers’ literature for the main flowers, but can vary with the less common.
study, significantly behind roses, but close in popularity to forget-me-nots and ferns.\textsuperscript{161}

As mentioned earlier (page 180), such symbols operate as mnemonic links in Rousseau’s sentimental “chain of emotions,”\textsuperscript{162} and the ‘binding’ quality of links in a chain is referred to regularly within sentimental culture.\textsuperscript{163} This continued into the Edwardian period, where it occurred in, amongst other contexts, Anglo-Saxonism, travel, communication, letters and postcards [Figure 195].\textsuperscript{164}

\textsuperscript{161} 18.3\% of the cards included ivy, 42.52\% included roses (symbolising ‘love’), 23.09\% had forget-me-nots, 21.8\% included ferns (symbolising “fascination” and referencing the hugely popular fern collecting craze). Ferns were particularly significant in the symbolism of New Zealand, with the fern being the second most popular emblem (after the Southern Cross, but ahead of the Kiwi) to appear in the entries for the 1906 and 1908 competitions for a New Zealand coat of arms. Keith Sinclair, \textit{A Destiny Apart: New Zealand’s Search for National Identity} (Wellington, New Zealand: Allen & Unwin, 1986), p.192.

\textsuperscript{162} Assmann, "Three Memory Anchors: Affect, Symbol, Trauma," p.23.

\textsuperscript{163} The idea of a chain of union was used by eighteenth century Masons. [Beaurepaire, "The Universal Republic of the Freemasons and the Culture of Mobility in the Enlightenment," p.415.] Nevertheless, a more important driver for popularising the ‘links that bind’ image occurred in Thomas Moore’s 1823 poem “As Slow our Ship,” which included the lines “So loath that we part from all we love, From all the links that bind us.” Thomas Moore, \textit{The Works of Thomas Moore: Comprehending All His Melodies, Ballads, Etc.} (Paris: Galignani, 1823), p.179.

\textsuperscript{164} The Poverty Bay Herald (Gisborne, NZ), “New Blood,” December 9, 1907, p.4, described ocean liners as “the link that binds us to those of our blood who are settled in widely-severed lands.” The Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Woman’s World,” March 28, 1908, p.3, noted that, if the postcard had democratised international communication, “Esperanto is now the link that binds.” ‘Harry’, writing in the Otago Witness (Dunedin, NZ), “Our Little Folks,” January 25, 1900, p.61, thought that “letters are the link that binds.”
While only six of the HATS cards in the survey contained direct written references to links or chains, chains have discrete, linked sections, and they appear subtly in some key areas of HATS symbolism. Most of the 32.89% of cards showing women with bracelets showed them as chains (sometimes, as in Figure 197, with charm elements added), whilst cuff-links, which appeared in 33.88% of the cards, are so called owing to the two buttons being, until the end of the nineteenth century, linked by a chain.165

Thus the farewell in Figure 197 is probably intended to be mitigated not only by haptic contact but also by the symbolic references to the idea of the links that would continue to bind. Figure 198 provides another example, adding rope to the linking symbolism [see also Figure 200], even using the join between the A and S in the lettering to reinforce the concept of binding.

Lighthouses, swallows, doves, globes, hearts, horseshoes, and anchors were just part of the familiar symbolic repertoire, also used on celebratory items like wedding cakes [Figure 52], that users of HATS postcards were presumably expected to decode. Anchors, for example might be used as metaphor for the role of the Mother within a family, but also symbolised ‘hope’, and many of these items similarly carried with them long-standing symbolic meanings. Hearts, for example, hark back to the pre-nineteenth century belief that hearts were literally the seat of emotion.

It is unnecessary to itemise all of the emblematic elements used in the cards, since enough has already been said to make the point. Shank may call them ‘clichés’, but such “symbolic forms,” as Emily West labels them, can equally be understood as continuing the language of celebration discussed earlier, and as harmonising with the rituals and symbolism so popular with turn of the century unionists (see pages 159 and 83). They drew on the emblematic form’s non-linguistic ability to convey complex thought – making visible what Barbara Marie Stafford describes as “the combinatorial process of agency.” It is in this evocation of combinatorial psychology that the melodramatic design and the juxtapositions of emblematic iconography come together. However, rather than being intellectually motivated, as emblems originally were, they appear here to be used as part of a basic language that aimed to communicate emotion. This, Sonia Solicari argues, is what Victorian sentimentalism aimed for. Although intellectuals had long since begun to treat symbolism and ritual as

---

166 Stearns, American Cool: Constructing a Twentieth-Century Emotional Style. p.80.
167 Ibid., p.66.
168 The 601 card study identified fifty four different symbolic items used, not including flower symbolism, where twenty seven flowers were located. A botanist would have been able to identify considerably more species.
antithetical to the ‘real’, within the emotive arena of popular culture such symbolism retained its efficacy as a discrete semiotic form. Indeed, there was an entire genre of postcards that taught users about the “language of” cards [e.g. Figure 58], with not just flowers, but vegetables, rings, ribbons, stamp placement, and even cords allocated emotion-based definitions [Figure 196, Figure 199 and Figure 200]. Lawrence Lerner long-ago defined the cliché as “the use of commonplaces with sensational intent,” and his definition fits these items. My objection is not to the definition, but to the automatic moral judgement that commonplaces and sensation (the flipsides of originality and vision) are necessarily bad things.

Mieke Bal discusses how cultural objects are produced and interpreted inter-subjectively via commonly accessible codes. Languages necessarily assign agreed conventional meanings to arbitrary sounds, and any language of emotion would similarly have to rely upon the shared cultural

---

173 Carline, Pictures in the Post: The Story of the Picture Postcard, p.57.
174 De Roo shows that the language of stamps was operating in France in 1901. DeRoo, “Colonial Collecting: Women and Algerian Cartes Postales,” p.156.
176 Bal, Telling Objects: A Narrative Perspective on Collecting,” p.98.
meanings of its signifiers. Understood in these terms, accusing greetings cards of using nostalgic clichés, simply because they communicate through long-standing, commonplace emblems, makes as much sense as saying a piece of writing is nostalgic because the word ‘love’ has been used for centuries.

Whether emotional communication is an appropriate outcome for the linguistic process may be debated. Steven Skaggs’s interpretation of the symbolism associated with ribbons that are worn to support a cause is helpful in understanding how it can be. Using Peirce’s concepts of Firstness, Secondness and Thirdness, Skaggs argues that, at a basic level, simply combining a material item like a ribbon with a quality like colour is enough for it to become communicative. Exact meaning (such as supporting cancer) can only be discerned, however, if it occurs within a specific context. Interpreting it therefore relies on cultural forms, such as the way the ribbon is tied. Indeed, the longstanding association of ribbons with celebrations, as well as their original function as a ‘tie’, is why ribbons (which appear in 26.08% of HATS cards) were so important in emphasising the concepts of both connection and gift-giving.

Neither Peirce nor Skaggs, however, discuss the emotional aspects of such a communicative process, largely because Peirce framed Thirdness as a process of what Skaggs calls “principles, habits, and laws – in short systems,” something he re-interprets as “argument.” Skaggs’s example of such ‘argument’ imagines an encounter with a homeless child, where one moves from the premise “this child before me is homeless,” to “if a homeless child finds no shelter, it will die,” before concluding that “therefore, I ought to find shelter for this child.” The Cancer ribbon is similarly supposed to lead to a sympathetic conclusion that one ought to pay money to help prevent the disease. But such arguments are not solely

180 Ibid., p.271.
181 Ibid., p.273.
propositional, and it is hard to imagine that anyone’s response to a homeless child would not, at least in part, be emotionally driven.

Rationality is often ascribed to the public sphere and emotion to the private. On a theoretical level, this could be used to explain the differences between the concordia and fides versions of the clasped hands, and why it is the fides version that appears more within the private realm of the postcard. There is similarly a tendency to equate cognition with rationality and emotion with irrationality, but Donald Norman makes it clear that the two are inseparable. Emotions are also particularly susceptible to visual rhetoric. Although Skaggs, following Peirce, does not bring emotion into the argument, it is quite possible to use his model to get a sense of how an emotionally charged, visual/verbal, combinatorial item like a HATS card would have been read by its recipient.

1) The card in front of me has clasped hands on it.
2) I can’t clasp hands with that person because they are so far away.
3) I feel sad that I can’t touch them, but the fact that they are thinking of me makes me feel closer to them.

This stirring of emotions was then reinforced by subsequent waves of interpretive pleasure and frustration, as each symbolic reference in the card reiterated the sender’s undying friendship, whilst simultaneously hammering home the reality of their absence. It is precisely this tension between unity and separation that Bourdieu located as giving symbolic objects their power. Klein et al. proposed that episodic memories are a necessary part of the process of re-evaluating the past and making decisions on how to act in the future. Following this approach, the melodramatically episodic HATS imagery could serve to stimulate memories of parting, thereby prompting the recipient to re-evaluate and
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184 Norman, Emotional Design: Why We Love (or Hate) Everyday Things, p.7.
186 Bourdieu, Outline of a Theory of Practice, pp.124-5.
renew their relationship with the sender on the basis of the card’s positive gift function.

Peirce’s visual argument is intended to generate action. One of the criticisms encountered earlier of the sentimental mode is that it provokes not sympathy – which stimulates action – but instead promotes self-congratulatory wallowing in sentiment alone.\textsuperscript{188} The emotions evoked by HATS cards, however, are hardly self-congratulatory. A postcard representation of ivy was not intended, as perhaps it had been in the eighteenth century, to flatter the receiver’s sense of sensibility. Rather, it was intended to argue that the recipient should cling on to the relationship in absentia. The intended outcome was therefore psychologically instrumental. Indeed, this may help explain why 27.57\% of the cards added embossing. Although more expensive, by highlighting what Jan-Ola Östman calls a “mutual touching surface between the co-participants,”\textsuperscript{189} and accessing the “haptic memory” that Linda Austin argues constituted an alternate Victorian aesthetic mode,\textsuperscript{190} embossing created an additional level of emotional rhetoric that, in its embodied materiality, and “sensory complexity,”\textsuperscript{191} reinforced clasped hand simultaneity.

Ultimately there was another possible response to the HATS card’s emotional argument that did involve immediate action – and it was precisely this that made greetings postcards so profitable for manufacturers. One could substitute the negative emotional residue of being reminded of distance for the positive action of reciprocation, through the simple act of purchasing a card. Just as one can ameliorate one’s emotional response to cancer through rituals of charitable donation, so could the ritual exchange of emotionally charged greetings cards allow the Edwardians to use economic

\textsuperscript{189} Östman, “The Postcard as Media,” p.439.
\textsuperscript{190} Austin, Nostalgia in Transition, 1780-1917, pp.77-80, 201.
\textsuperscript{191} Scheffer, “Architectural Postcards and the Conception of Place: Mediating Cultural Experience,” p.226.
exchange as penance for prolonged absence, a penance exacted through the labour of shopping.\textsuperscript{192}

The same arguments can be applied to the greetings card sentiments. According to Emily West, whose work on contemporary greetings cards translates remarkably well to the Edwardian period, card texts function so effectively because they utilise what she calls “a socially accepted and understood language for caring and thoughtfulness,”\textsuperscript{193} which ritually indexes relationships rather than communicating authentic expressive individualism.\textsuperscript{194} What matters, she argues, in such a ritual form, is the card’s efficacy in conveying feeling,\textsuperscript{195} with users happy to trade originality for effectiveness.\textsuperscript{196} All of this ultimately explains why greetings postcard imagery also uses the commonplace, the hackneyed and the stereotypical. If Shank observed a desire for “emotional abundance,”\textsuperscript{197} that desire does not have to be seen as nostalgic, in the negative way he frames it. What he saw as clichés were, in fact, not backward-pointing signposts on the road to modernity. They were culturally specific,\textsuperscript{198} and, in the case of HATS, functioned primarily as an emotional strategy relating to a present situation and a desired future. Mike Savage’s point – that nostalgia and loss are part of the broader modern condition’s relationship with change – is appropriate here.\textsuperscript{199} Stripped of its teleological stigma, it is possible to appreciate the melodramatic mix of references – the clasped hands, the liners, the motor cars, the cottages, the flowers and the poetry – as a rounded response to the realities of an emigrant’s world. And it was so effective in symbolising the triumph of emotion over distance that 22.8% of the cards would be sent by people living less than twenty kilometres apart and a further 37.9% by people within the same country. Raphael Tuck’s ‘Hands across the

\textsuperscript{192} West, "Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment," p.467.
\textsuperscript{193} Ibid., p.454.
\textsuperscript{194} Ibid., pp.453-4. She sees this distinction as being substantially related to class, with the lower classes more inclined to use ritual, and the middle classes wanting to assert their individuality.
\textsuperscript{195} Ibid., p.464.
\textsuperscript{196} Ibid., p.460.
\textsuperscript{197} Shank, A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture, p.134.
\textsuperscript{198} Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910," p.133.
\textsuperscript{199} Savage, "Status, Lifestyle and Taste," p.557.
Distance’ card [Figure 168] was therefore technically more accurate than ‘Hands across the Sea’ for more than half of the users. But whether sent nationally or internationally, HATS cards as a whole clearly succeeded in connecting the collective Edwardian desire for both speed and stability to the individual Edwardian’s desire for reunion and a more socially replete future.

Summary and Synthesis

The HATS card’s trajectory destabilises the triumphalist sense of modernist inevitability that underpinn much of the literature in the field. By the First World War, attempts to use the postcard as a fast modern communication medium had stalled and the war did more to advance the letter than the postcard. If there was an epistolary revolution at this period, it relates to letter writing. Since most of the postcard’s users were lower or lower middle class (few of whom had access to telephones during this period), the telephone can have had little effect on the postcard’s demise. The cinema, on the other hand, was much more likely to reduce the amount of disposable income spent on cards. The cinema also proved useful in understanding the increasingly complex designs of HATS cards, which are typical of a strong current of frenetic sensationalism in Edwardian design. The later cards, I argue, had a format that provided recipients with multiple affective pulses: mimicking the melodramatic succession of discrete situations. They therefore defy a simplistic modern versus nostalgic binary. Card manufacturers were able to comfortably integrate both traditional and innovative elements into designs that evoked a culture which had yet to definitively divest itself from its past, but still saw itself, in all its guises, as being of its age.

This chapter therefore connected the HATS card to several of the key discourses identified earlier. One of these related to the issue of anonymity – which brings with it the spectre of the erasure of the subjective identity of the designer. Although graphic design history foregrounds charismatic individuals doing personal work, today’s graphic designers still work largely anonymously and with prefabricated messages. The reasons why
greeting cards companies tended to keep their artists anonymous are complex, and by no means pure, but they relate to the card’s ability to function as the voice of the sender. Fundamentally, the cards were functional rather than expressive of their creators. This is only a problem if one adheres to a Kantian conception whereby beauty only exists outside utility, or if art is understood as an expression of its author, rather than being of service to its user. Such debates still remain crucial to graphic designers’ self-perception.

The discussion of postcard artists’ anonymity highlights the way that tastes have different trajectories amongst different groups, and that although ‘commonplace’ or ‘cliché’ items may reference taste-patterns that differ from the subsequent (high cultural) narrative of design, they are nevertheless important to understand. Abandoning the requirement for middle class expressive ‘authenticity’ makes it possible to conceive of a greetings language, based on pre-existing elements, which could help consumers in a postal, pre-telephonic world to articulate their long-distance emotional needs. This, I argue, is what HATS cards aimed to achieve – but our antipathy to such emblematic, sentimental, pre-existing imagery and texts all too easily prevents us from realising how effective these items were. Appreciating HATS cards, in other words, requires a very fundamental questioning of today’s commonplace assumptions about the roles of art and design.
Conclusion

Tim Ingold’s notion of improvisational ‘wayfaring’, as opposed to planned ‘navigation’,¹ is not immediately amenable to outcome-oriented research, and adopting it for a PhD involves a calculated risk. Hypothesis-based research (akin to Ingold’s navigation) predicts its destination and maintains a steady course towards it. A version of the conclusion is therefore implicit from the start. With wayfaring, by contrast, the destination is less clear, and the sum total of the improvisational decision-making along the way may give the completed journey a distinctly different complexion to what was initially imagined.

However, having arrived at the point where a conclusion of some kind is required, it is now possible, retrospectively, to make some sense of this thoroughly entangled topic. It is not necessary to repeat here the more specific material that occurs in the chapter summaries, as readers may use these to reacquaint themselves with the full spectrum of discoveries made under way. The research has destabilised many established ideas about card culture, and the summaries detail the various corrective aspects. Here, I want to reflect instead on what I regard as the most significant findings, acknowledging both the areas where my work supports existing interpretations and those where it contributes new insights. Since this study has traversed much little-travelled terrain, I also want to indicate some of the roads not taken – ones which could usefully merit further scholarly attention.

The question that initially emerged as central to this research is: “Why was the Hands across the Sea postcard able to attain such prominence within the culture of its time?” It might, on the face of it, appear that this question cannot stand up to the exacting measure of significance: “So what?”²

Indeed, much as it pains the collector in me to admit it, HATS cards are not,

¹ Ingold, *Lines: A Brief History*, p.15.
in themselves, earth-shatteringly important. However, what the HATS card does provide is a significantly different lens from those habitually utilised in examining the territory of the postcard. Using this new lens has allowed me to re-evaluate, re-conceptualise and re-situate the territories in which we conceive these pieces of designed, published, printed, traded, sold, written, posted, read, consumed and collected cardboard that we call postcards.

Early on in my research, it became apparent that HATS postcards fitted uncomfortably into existing discourse, and that, to accommodate them, the history of the postcard needed to be substantially reframed. My work does not generally invalidate existing emphases on postal history, photography and tourism, but rather aims to add to them. In connecting the card’s origins to broader debates such as design reform, consumerism and gift culture, I hope to have expanded the contexts within which future discussions of the postcard’s history can occur. However there are two areas in which my research makes an original contribution to the understanding of nineteenth century card practice and its relationship to the postcard – one relating to production and one to consumption.

I conceptualised the manufacture of greetings cards and greetings postcards as part of a broader trade in stationery and fancy goods. It was particularly practised by a relatively close-knit group of lithographic ‘Art Publishers’ who were heavily involved in starting both the Christmas card and postcard crazes, and who competed vigorously with the manufacturers of photographic tourist views. The postcard craze allowed these companies to refresh their businesses as the Christmas card craze waned, but they used pre-existing business networks, tactics and iconographic approaches to achieve this. In many cases this simply involved updating and adapting earlier types of lithographic or photographic card.

The process of looking more broadly at the postcard practices involved (e.g. situating HATS within the stationery trade, rather than simply framing it as a postcard genre) made room to forge a second set of previously unrecognised connections relating to card consumption – those between postcard collecting and earlier nineteenth century album practices. My
identification of the album as a coherent practice – pulling together many seemingly discrete strands such as scraps, ferns, stamps, photographs and cards – has, I believe, significant potential for further application in the study of nineteenth century collecting. And the propensity of HATS cards to draw on aspects of many of these types of collection provides strong evidence that such album practices were connected in consumers’ minds.

Another major aspect that will assist in subsequent scholarship and, I hope, also encourage researchers to challenge and go beyond it, is my identification, within the postcard craze, of three distinct fashion phases: moving from view cards, to actresses, and then to greetings cards. Previous scholarship has uniformly treated the massive and amorphous postcard craze as a single entity. My analysis of the pricing of postcards therefore constitutes a first attempt to distinguish the craze’s internal dynamics and to explain the mechanisms by which it could be sustained for so long. The importance of the greetings card revival provides strong evidence of the HATS card’s significance within the final phase of postcard popularity. HATS cards remained highly priced between at least 1908 and 1911, a duration which demonstrates the genre’s appeal to consumers. Some of the data I use, however, is presently of limited scope, and I hope to find equivalent sources elsewhere, in order to test whether the trends identified here are local, or can be applied definitively across the Anglo world. The same applies to my tentative suggestion that the cinema was probably the largest contributor to the postcard’s decline after 1910.

The research processes adopted here also involve a degree of originality. Tim Ingold’s notions of lines, and of wayfaring allowed me to connect my visual practice as a calligrapher with the narrative practices of the historian. Ingold’s affirmation of the improvisational gave me the confidence to trace a path through the threads of evidence and to allow the narrative to evolve where it would. It also helped me not to panic when Daniel Gifford’s 2011 work elegantly removed the basis for what I had believed would be the main argument (that the greetings postcard was more central to the craze
than hitherto realised). I accepted that the major original conclusions might not simply relate to the HATS card, but could engage with its broader context. My subsequent re-evaluation of the relationships between postcard and greetings card adds to Gifford’s work, adapting it to the British and New Zealand contexts.

Obviously, like a proud parent, I found the story of HATS, as it emerged from this research, thoroughly fascinating. As a unit of culture, HATS was able to achieve widespread recognition, forming an increasingly popular and significant thread through the Victorian and Edwardian periods. And the narrative expanded the history of the New Zealand postcard and demonstrated the potential of using retailer advertising in postcard research. The data I had gathered from the HATS cards themselves, however, tended to support aspects of the existing literature rather than generating startlingly new material. Emily West’s studies of contemporary greetings cards, for example, raised a whole series of questions concerning the role of originality within greetings card sentiments, and the discourse of middle-class authenticity. Her ideas proved very applicable to the Edwardian context, and I have expanded on them in my study, linking them to the recurring theme of the ‘commonplace’. There was a sharp distinction between middle class expectations of originality and working class willingness to enjoy variations on a theme – what Alfred Gell calls the “principle of least difference.” This helps to explain the lack of academic interest in an anonymously generated genre like the HATS postcard, despite its spanning several thousand postcard designs, evidently to a warm popular cultural reception. The distinction between original and imitation, creation and copy also helps explain why I have opted in this conclusion to profile the continuities within this research, and to emphasise the extent to which they affirm existing approaches. It makes little sense to critique the foundations of a discourse of originality, and then apply it, unquestioned, just because ‘original contribution’ is enshrined in academic dogma.
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3 Gifford, "To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America's Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910."
4 Emily West, "Greeting Cards: Individuality and Authenticity in Mass Culture" (Ph.D., University of Pennsylvania, 2004); “Expressing the Self through Greeting Card Sentiment.”
Like Deborah Cohen and Lara Kriegel’s work (which alerted me to the significance of design reform and taste), Emily West’s writing on greetings cards played a major role in the initial orientation of this research. By contrast, I only discovered Peter Stearns’ study of emotional culture towards the end of writing. It was, however, the missing piece that drew together the threads of sentimentality and melodrama that had emerged from linking the history of HATS to Ben Singer’s work on early cinema.

The openness towards emotion that Stearns identified within Victorian culture helped me to interpret how the modern and nostalgic, sentimental and sensational aspects of HATS cards could coalesce so seamlessly, and to argue that this ambivalence was part and parcel of the period. Similarly, it helped reinforce the viability of developing Sonia Solicari’s ideas about sentimental imagery constituting a language of emotion. The attempt to overtly communicate emotional messages semiotically through clearly defined symbolism, rather than relying on romantic art’s ability to mystically express feeling, makes sense in a culture more open to talking about emotion than our current ‘cool’ allows.

Twentieth century design has owed much of its power to the rise of ‘cool’, and this has made it difficult, within a design historical framework, to research into a decidedly downmarket, emotional and sentimental item of the commercial vernacular. Initially, I had hoped that this research would be able to act as a conversation between design history, history and material culture. Increasingly, though, the material cultural aspects gave way to more of a dialogue between history and design history – a broadly historical interpretation of a designed genre. Designed items like HATS postcards function in multifarious ways. In decentering the design aspect, and allowing the item the freedom to fraternise beyond the boundaries of design discourse, I hope that this research helps to model an approach in which
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8 Singer, Melodrama and Modernity: Early Sensational Cinema and its Contexts.
10 On this, see Liu, The Laws of Cool: Knowledge Work and the Culture of Information.
design is woven back into the thoroughly entangled fabric of the wider cultural practice within which it properly plays its part.

Overall, then, this process of contextualising the HATS card has thrown new light on a range of discourses, but at its core it has demonstrated the need for further research into the history of the postcard and on collecting practice. It responded to a distinct need for a more engaged historical appreciation of the postcard phenomenon, one which could situate its practice more firmly into its historical, social and cultural contexts. Whilst the conclusions reached here are in no way definitive, I hope that the new perspectives I have developed contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the phenomenon and will serve as a stimulus for further research. In addition to the obvious need for more research on the postcard, this study has identified other areas that can be developed much further. The autograph album, album practice, birthday cards, wider celebratory culture, the New Zealand Christmas card, working class epistolary practice and its relation to the telephone all have considerable potential as research arenas. Most pressing, however, is the need for a fully updated study of the postcard’s broader history across the British diaspora – integrating the greetings postcard practices I have prioritised here with the photographic postcard tradition. This thesis has, I hope, prepared some of the groundwork for further, more comprehensive research and, in its re-interpretation of large parts of the postcard’s history, significantly re-oriented the context within which that research will occur.
Epilogue

During 1904, missionaries in Britain mounted what was described as “the largest sustained protest against imperialism in the decades before the Great War,”\(^{11}\) opposing the horrors being visited upon the people of the Congo by the agents of King Leopold of Belgium.\(^{12}\) Amongst atrocities that would fuel Joseph Conrad’s *Heart of Darkness*,\(^{13}\) the missionaries highlighted stories of sentries around the rubber plantations who were instructed only to shoot at people and not game. To enforce this, they had to produce a human hand for each cartridge they used. The sentries, however, liked hunting, and to cover up their poached game they took to cutting hands off the locals.\(^ {14}\)

The missionaries showed numerous lantern slides of mutilated children to enflame opinion against the Belgians, as well as images of other horrors. One of the most telling was a slide which showed a father staring at the hand and foot of his five-year-old daughter, after she had been hacked to pieces by sentries [Figure 201].

---


\(^{12}\) Ibid., p.33.


\(^{14}\) Grant, "Christian Critics of Empire: Missionaries, Lantern Lectures, and the Congo Reform Campaign in Britain,” p.33.
According to one of the missionaries, “the mute appeal of hand and foot will speak to the most sceptical.”

1904 was the year when Hands across the Sea postcards came on the market. HATS was in the air. Images of disembodied hands would soon grace millions of cards with an altogether different affective intent. Nevertheless, none of the British press coverage about the missionaries’ campaign to highlight the limb-chopping sadism of the Belgian colonists appears to have drawn the obvious (if tasteless) connection by saying that these Congolese victims had suffered ‘severed hands across the sea’. HATS had already shown itself to be a remarkably versatile term, but quite clearly it had its limits. For the Edwardians, ‘hands across the sea’ simply could not be situated in the macabre. It may have ultimately become a cliché, and an arcane term for international relations, but its Edwardian emotional register would remain one of cheery positive celebration in the face of distance and absence, one that could make an optimistic case for future reunion.

On a certain level, the emotional needs of colonists seem dwarfed by the emotional wreckage of the colonised, and one can ask why a postcard that ministers to such needs is even worthy of study. However, in our sentimental desire to identify with victims and not perpetrators, and to court the sensational extremes of past injustice in order to put as much distance between ourselves and our colonising forbears as possible, are we so different? If one can argue that history is a case of the present colonising the past, does not the propensity of postcard studies to highlight the exploitative, the pornographic and the violent aspects of postcard iconography tell us as much about our present anxieties as it does about the Edwardians? It is, I would argue, only when we appreciate both the soft and the hard edges of colonialism, the ‘hands across the sea’ after-dinner aspects, as well as its venal commercial practices, that we can start to ask questions about our own blind spots. As long as colonialism is demonised
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15 Ibid., p.27.
16 It is here that we risk becoming part of Lynn Festa’s “community of moved souls” which neatly distances us from the conditions that created the situation. Festa, Sentimental Figures of Empire in Eighteenth-Century Britain and France, p.170.
through exaggerated representations, we can remain smug in our own progress towards enlightenment. Yet, as academia leaps into the joys of transnationalism, for example, we seem remarkably oblivious to its potential to ideologically assist in denuding democratic systems, thus allowing transnational corporations unfettered free-trade licence.

If this study has shown anything, it is the porosity of the political/commercial, public/private, and rational/emotional binaries. Hands across the Sea’s straddling of these discourses calls attention to the need for broadly contextual research, not just isolationist compartmentalisation. I had not expected an inquisitive hobby collecting greetings postcards to leave me much more politicised than when I started, but the realisation that genuinely nice people, in aesthetically expressing laudable emotional needs, were nevertheless linked to a bellicose nationalism and the free-trade debate has made me fundamentally re-evaluate my own position. Examining how ideas of taste, originality, individualism and consumerism played out within Edwardian design has made me appreciate how designer aesthetics can cover the ideological drivers of our current brand of commercial colonialism. Teasing out the implications of this must await another venue, but my developing awareness of these factors did play a part in how this study has evolved.

Equally, it seems significant that our current over-emphasis on the visual and the rational, with their fundamental cooling ability, helps us distance ourselves from the emotional consequences of our current ideologies. I am therefore inclined to agree with the increasing body of scholarship that argues that it is a fundamental error to relegate the haptic and material aspects of experience to the side lines. Staying in touch, with its emphasis on community rather than isolation has, perhaps, a symbolic resonance for the present. The very desire of corporate liberalism to entrap us within the simulacristic individual pleasures of the virtual may point to the need to rediscover the power of joined hands.
The Prince of Wales paid New Zealand a flying visit in 1920, and this image of the Prince shaking hands with a map of New Zealand by cartoonist William Macbeth concludes a souvenir booklet published by the *Lyttleton Times*. It is accompanied by a poem from Will Lawson which ends: “When we shake hands and say ‘So-long – and may we meet again’.”
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Hands Across the Sea

Situating an Edwardian Greetings Postcard Practice

Peter Gilderdale

Volume III: Appendices & References
Appendices

The appendices in Part A (Appendices 1 – 5) contain the fuller versions of sections which have been summarised or referred to in the thesis text, but where the associated research was too complex for a footnote. Part B (Appendices 6 – 10) contains the more raw statistical material supporting conclusions drawn in certain sections.

Part A:

Appendix 1: The Origins of Shaking Hands

1.1: Handshaking as Greeting

This section explores how the handshake gained its ritual connotations through the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

In the seventeenth century, shaking hands retained most of its original Roman legal character and was not at all the everyday greeting that it is today. When mentioned, for example in Shakespeare's Macbeth, it was understood in such an emblematic and ritual way.¹ In their day-to-day lives, Early Modern people who understood etiquette used intricate rank related rituals of civilité.² These involved doffing hats, bowing, and curtseying, but shaking hands was entirely absent from the burgeoning corpus of sixteenth and seventeenth century etiquette books.³ In his study of the gesture in the Dutch Republic, Herman Roodenburg – although he does not make the connection to emblems – found handshaking described as ‘the hand of friendship’, ‘the hand of brotherhood’, and the ‘kiss of peace’, and used most commonly in relation to friendship, reconciliation, peace and brotherhood, as well as in “the still popular gesture of slapping hands to seal a business transaction.”⁴ In England, rather than hand slapping, handshaking
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¹ Lynch, "'What Hands Are Here?' The Hand as Generative Symbol in Macbeth," p.38.
² A classic study of the development of a culture of civilité is Elias, The Civilizing Process: Sociogenetic and Psychogenetic Investigations. He argues, pp.47-9, that the genesis of this idea is to be found in an etiquette manual by Erasmus.
⁴ Ibid., pp.173-5.
was used to the same business ends. Roodenburg found little evidence anywhere of handshaking as an ordinary gesture of greeting before the nineteenth century, tentatively suggesting that its gradual adoption may originate in the English Quaker use – from the seventeenth century onwards – of handshaking as a gesture of equality. Quakers (properly known as The Society of Friends) were prominent in eighteenth century business life, and thus could have spread the custom in this way, but Roodenburg’s hypothesis does not seem to have been tested further. Regardless of its actual history, however, handshaking subsequently came to be regarded as a gesture of equality, and one with an English origin. In 1845 Kohl’s English Sketches was quoted to this effect in the press:

To us, indeed, this English hand-shaking, when immoderate, as it sometimes is, has a most comical effect. But it has its bright side also. For in this custom, hearty, strong, and sometimes rough, we see expressed the deep fraternal sympathy of these great nations. Bodily union, as far as the junction of the ten fingers can effect it, is a beautiful symbol of that of the soul, and almost all nations have adopted the two hands clasped together as an emblem of mutual brotherhood and aid. There is a language, silent indeed, but ever variously expressive in this custom……..When long-tried friends, who have been parted for years, again meet, with what haste and warmth of feeling do they not grasp the hand? How short but hearty is

7 Geoffrey Cantor, "Quakers in the Royal Society, 1660-1750," Notes and Records of the Royal Society of London 51, no. 2 (1997): pp.186-7. As dissenters, Quakers were excluded from law, education, politics, the military and the traditional trades, which meant that with so many professions denied them, many turned to newer forms of business. David Burns Windsor, The Quaker Enterprise: Friends in Business (London: Frederick Muller, 1980), p.16. 
8 A 1918 Danish etiquette book puts it as follows: “The custom of shaking people by the hand to say hello and farewell, for greeting or sympathy, in short on every imaginable occasion, is an English custom which, these days, has become universal whereas in the past it was only used for more formal occasions. There are still circles, especially amongst women, where it is only regarded as correct to shake hands with people in that layer of society to which one belongs and not, for example, to those one deals with in a shop or is served by in any way. It is the woman that initiates a handshake, and not the man.” Gad, Takt og Tone: Hvordan vi Omgaas, pp.150-1. [My translation].
their salutation, “Well met?” They seem riveted together as the links of a chain, true and inseparable with hearts for any fate.9

This supports Roodenburg’s claim that the handshake in its modern form is a British custom, however Roodenburg’s dating for its introduction as a universally recognised form of greeting needs to be qualified. British newspaper reports from as early as the 1720s show the handshake in use – appearing both as a friendship custom,10 and a convention amongst duellists.11 Two examples of highwaymen using the gesture are helpful in teasing out its social meaning at the time. In the earlier example, in 1750, a highwayman accosted his victims near Wimbledon by saying, “How is it Brother Farmer,” before shaking hands with them after the robbery.12 Later, some highwaymen at Finchley-common “insisted on shaking hands” with the men they robbed.13 Both newspapers remark on the actions being “genteel” or “polite,” but the greeting must have been understandable enough for it to require no additional explanation for readers. Nevertheless its being remarked on at all suggests that the gesture had yet to become a universal custom. Thirty years later, in 1780, it was universal enough amongst equals for political canvassing by aspiring candidates to be described as ten days of “shaking hands with the Freemen, and kissing the Women,”14 whilst in 1795, George III underlined the British and democratic nature of the gesture by shaking hands with returning soldiers of the Guards.15 And well he might. Across the channel, the social undercurrents that had seen the above-mentioned highwayman assert his equality with “Brother Farmer” had erupted into revolution. Radical calls relating to “Liberty, Equality, Fraternity,” already nascent well before the French Revolution [see Figure 203], clearly provided the ideological underpinning

9 Lancaster Gazette and General Advertiser, for Lancashire, Westmorland, &c. (UK) “Selected Anecdotes, &c,” 12 July, 1845, [no page]. Note the “links of a chain” in the quote, which is a prelude to the ideas discussed above in relation to Figure 195.
for handshaking to develop into a widely recognised “emblem of mutual brotherhood and aid.”

1:2: Fraternity and the “Hand of Friendship”

The political career of Edmund Burke, a formative figure within Liberal thought, was effectively ended by the 1783 collapse of the Fox/North coalition [Figure 203]. Burke had been a strong advocate of the Cicero-derived idea that “honest connection,” or friendship, was a cornerstone of a civil society. A tangible sign of one such friendship can be seen in a teaset, which the porcelain maker Richard Champion presented to Burke in 1774. On the saucer, Champion used symbolism including the figures of Liberty, Plenty and a Phrygian cap of liberty on a spear (like that in Figure 203), while on the cup he placed an emblem of clasped hands holding a caduceus, probably intended as a symbol of prosperity in commerce. A similar image to this was used slightly later by Wedgwood [Figure 204].

---

16 Lancaster Gazette and General Advertiser, for Lancashire, Westmorland, &c. (UK), “Selected Anecdotes, &c.,” July 12, 1845, [no page].
The clasped hands holding a vertical object are modelled on Roman *Pax* coins, and also occur in the 1813 design for the Argentinian coat of arms [Figure 19], and an earlier medal honouring William Pitt the Elder – one of Burke’s opponents – for his support of the American cause. In that medal, the Phrygian cap of liberty has been placed on top of a staff, rather than a caduceus, an arrangement that would be utilised almost identically on the 1791 *trois sols* coin – the first French coin to be minted using revolutionary symbolism. The gap between these two forms – the commercial caduceus or the staff with a liberty cap – is nevertheless significant. They seem emblematic of the tug of war occurring within late eighteenth century politics between different versions of the liberal: about ideas of freedom and

---


20 Epstein notes that until the French Revolution, the cap of Liberty had no really seditious connotations in Britain, and was not seen as incompatible with patriotism. Epstein, *Radical Expression: Political Language, Ritual, and Symbol in England, 1790-1850*, pp.77-8.

21 A 1762 medal by Thomas Pingo, celebrating Queen Charlotte’s 18th birthday, similarly uses the clasped hands holding a rod with a cap on it.

liberty, and who should have it. Burke’s free-market desire for liberty from state interference, when combined with his paternalism, differed sharply from the ideas of those who emphasised broader notions of human rights. 23

Whilst the latter soon abandoned the liberty cap, the plain clasped hand symbol they favoured would be employed not only by the post-revolutionary French, 24 but also by the revolutionary United Irishmen, 25 Thomas Jefferson, for his 1801 Peace medals, 26 and anti-slavery campaigners [Figure 206]. 27 The figurative version of the concordia emblem was, however, employed on medals commemorating abolition in Britain in 1805 [Figure 207].

24 Clasped hands were regarded as one of the key revolutionary symbols used within the “Directoire Style” of the late 1790s, Gordon Campbell, ed. *The Grove Encyclopedia of Decorative Arts*, vol. 1 (New York: Oxford University Press, 2006), p.215.
26 Richard H. Engeman, "Research Files: The Jefferson Peace Medal: Provenance and the Collections of the Oregon Historical Society," *Oregon Historical Quarterly* 107, no. 2 (2006). Designed by John Reich in 1801, the medals were inscribed with the words “Peace and Friendship.” They were most famously handed out by Lewis and Clark on their 1804-6 expedition.
27 Mary Guyatt, "The Wedgwood Slave Medallion," *Journal of Design History* 13, no. 2 (2000). Guyatt provides the background for the slave image but does not discuss these later coins. By 1828 it is reported as appearing on Irish banners: Caledonian Mercury (Edinburgh, UK), “Chairing of Mr O’Connell,” July 14, 1828, [no page].
It is within this set of debates about liberty and freedom that the direct origins of the phrase “hands across the sea” can be discerned. It has origins in the phrase “right hand of fellowship,” which, during the eighteenth century, acquired something of the Dutch usage, becoming the “right hand of friendship.” This is exemplified by radical philosopher Thomas Paine who, in a 1778 pamphlet about the crisis in America, wrote:

We live in a large world, and have extended our ideas beyond the limits and prejudices of an island. We hold out the right hand of friendship to all the universe, and we conceive that there is a sociality in the manners of France, which is much better disposed to peace and negotiation than that of England, and until the latter becomes more civilized, she cannot expect to live long at peace with any power.

Though he was not the first to use the “hand of friendship” thus, Paine was influential enough within Radical circles to have been instrumental in popularising it. Certainly, by the 1790s, it was being alluded to by associates like John Horne Tooke, who wrote to Paine (then living in France, closely involved with the Revolution, and opposing Burke):

We beheld your peaceable principles insulted by despotic ignorance: We have seen the right hand of fellowship, which you hold out to the world, rejected by those who riot on its plunder.

28 A proto-history existed for this phrase, which, from the first half of the seventeenth century, related to a specific ceremony of entry into Congregationalist and Baptist churches. See, for example: Daniel Dyke, The Mystery of Selfe-Deceiving: Or a Discourse and Discovery of the Decetfulnesse of Mans Heart (London: William Stansby, 1633), p.33. The phrase is still used in this way amongst these communities.
Here, the idea of the hand of fellowship/friendship was doubly topical. It would inevitably have been read as referring to ‘fraternity’, one of the three catch-cries of the French revolutionaries and the reason that the clasped hand emblem appeared on revolutionary coinage [e.g. Figure 208].

Despite some ambiguity in British attitudes, handshaking would evolve, on a personal level, into a “ritual of equality” for nineteenth century citizens. Its literary parallel – the move from the “right hand of friendship” to “hands across the sea” – has analogies to the fides and concordia distinction within

---

32 Robespierre’s jailing of one citizen who had the temerity to try and shake hands with him shows the gulf between revolutionary theory and practice. [Oracle and Public Advertiser (London, UK), “France,” September 11, 1794, [no page].] The sense that the handshake was an acknowledgement of equal status ran deep. Over one hundred years later, it was similarly reported that a Prussian officer had killed a soldier who tried to shake hands with him. Star (Christchurch, NZ), “Why We Shake Hands,” October 24, 1903, p.5.

the clasped hands symbol. The ‘hand of friendship’, in its original sense is
synecdochal. Paine’s use of it, however, in linking the individual to the
universe, is metaphorical. And in Took’s reworking, the metaphor becomes
somewhat more spatially specific. It is but a short step from Took’s image
of Paine shaking hands with the world to the idea of a handshake
metaphorically bridging a real spatial divide and for its linguistic structure
to achieve a similar compression of time and space to the visual emblem.

Appendix 2: Advertising Cards and Coloured Scraps Showing
Hands

Trade cards are only obliquely part of the HATS story, but they did appear
frequently in albums alongside Christmas cards and scraps. This section
briefly outlines their significance, links them to scraps, and shows how the
clasped hands symbol was utilised in these genres.

Often called trade cards [e.g. Figure 45], advertising cards enjoyed a
major period of popularity between 1879 and the 1890s, after which they
were largely replaced by advertising in mass circulation magazines. They
frequently utilised greeting-card visual rhetoric to encourage a sense of the
advertiser being a friend, with the extensive use of flowers reinforcing the

34 Being more easily applied to the national rather than international, the “hand of
friendship” is used more frequently in nineteenth century newspapers than “hands across
the sea.” The phrase, in association with terms such as “stretching out” or “extending,”
would also be used as a spatial metaphor, but one that related to more local spaces. Such
later usage is, however, beyond the scope of the current study.

36 Last, The Color Explosion: Nineteenth-Century American Lithography, p.4. Last notes
that the term ‘trade card’ is a twentieth century nomenclature, with the nineteenth century
term having been either ‘advertising card’, ‘picture card’, or ‘Chromo card’.
37 They were introduced to the United States by Louis Prang in 1879. Black, “Corporate
Calling Cards: Advertising Trade Cards and Logos in the United States, 1876-1890,”
pp.291-2.
Magazines offered a fuller experience than cards. [Chansky, “Time to Shop: Advertising
Trade Card Rhetoric and the Construction of a Public Space for Women in the United
States, 1880-1900,” p.164.] They had previously eschewed advertising revenues,
39 Black, “Corporate Calling Cards: Advertising Trade Cards and Logos in the United
States, 1876-1890,” p.297.
sensation of the consumer being loved. Key to this process was what Barry Shank describes as the “perfect prismatic synthesis” of art and industry that occurs in the rich and naturalistic chromolithographically-produced images. Printers like Prang often created cards which could serve as either greetings or advertising cards, depending on the overprinted message, and such giveaway cards helped to consolidate a broader base for card collecting, reinforcing the links between the visual language of the card, gift culture, and recreation.

The gift quality of cards is highlighted in another 1880s fashion, where, particularly in America, the visiting card was adapted into a ‘hidden name card’. In these, the donor’s name was covered by a hinged, colourful,
printed scrap – often depicting a hand holding a bunch of flowers [Figure 210] or, in more elaborate cases, clasped hands which opened in the centre. ⁴⁵

Although this fashion appears less prevalent in England, it highlights the widespread use of hands, and clasped hands, within the scrap industry. Chromolithographic scraps were bought in large sheets, containing multiple variations of a particular theme. Allen and Hoverstadt reproduce one such sheet depicting forty hands holding flowers, each containing a short motto. ⁴⁶ The hand holding a bunch of flowers is one of the most ubiquitous scrapbook staples, appearing in over half of the scrapbooks examined during this research. Scraps of clasped hands are found less commonly, but were certainly available [Figure 211].

---

⁴⁶ Allen and Hoverstadt, *The History of Printed Scraps*, p.108. These include ‘Remember Me;’ ‘a Tribute of Love;’ ‘True to Thee;’ ‘Souvenir of Friendship;’ ‘To One I Love’ and three others, all of which are repeated five times within the sheet.
Appendix 3: Christmas Card Retailing in New Zealand

This section details a broader range of retailing strategies than could be accommodated in the main text. The involved nature of the practices documented here drives home the extent of the retailing networks that would subsequently be utilised to market postcards.

Compared to Raphael Tuck, most Art Publishers seem to have been less proactive in their marketing, relying more on the retailers themselves to generate business. For example, H. I. Jones in Whanganui reported, in 1885, that “my London Agent positively asserts that he has seen nothing equal to the Artistic Cards of this Christmas Season, and that he is sent me the Pick of the Sample Books of the Finest Art Publishers in the World.” But in the same advertisement, Jones mentions that “Messrs Poulton & Son, London, have sent me their complete Sample Book of Photographic Coloured Cards.” Manufacturers would, in fact, have needed to do some kind of cost-benefit analysis to compare the relative value of assembling and posting out sample books speculatively, as opposed to either relying on agents to come to them, or maintaining a stable of travelling salesmen. Waiting for an agent to contact them (probably the dominant mode) entailed the least effort in relation to profit, but did nothing to actively increase sales. Retailers similarly needed to consider whether it was better to go to England themselves, like the peripatetic Fancy Goods direct importer C. W. Goodson, or engage – as did Auckland’s Wildman & Lyell – the services of “a gentleman of the trade who went Home on a visit some time ago, and he ransacked all the leading London houses to cull the best of their goods.”

---

48 Ibid.
49 This is based on such (scant) evidence as can be gleaned from comments in advertisements.
While New Zealand retailers were happy to appoint agents in London, for the most part the Christmas card manufacturers did not reciprocate.

Valentines of Dundee – subsequently a major postcard seller – appear to have appointed local agents for their photographic business, but at the time they were not, as far as I can tell, exporting Christmas cards. Agency, as a “co-operative structure,” had been developing in the rural sector since the 1860s, and stationers like Robert Spreckley advertised the agencies that they held for companies like the magazine publisher Gordon and Gotch. A ca.1882 trade card for Christchurch company Whitcombe and Tombs shows that at that time they held agencies for both Charles Goodall and Thomas Stevens’ Christmas cards and other stationery, something they did not advertise with in the newspapers. This was, however, at an early stage in the business and, in general, had Art Publishers utilised the agency model extensively, one might reasonably expect more people like Spreckley to have advertised with it. On balance, the lack of evidence makes it seem likely that British manufacturers, at least, did not favour the agency approach for the card industry.

Figure 212: Anonymous ca.1886 Christmas card. This card, used in 1886, displays ‘rural myth’ images, of a cottage and country scene, designed to look like an album page. New Zealand Christmas card publisher A. D. Willis used a very similar approach in his cards. Author’s collection

---

52 This is the same firm that supplied Elihu Burritt’s envelopes [see Figure 21], but in the 1880s were primarily photographers, creating cabinet cards and lantern slides, and who did extensive photographing in New Zealand. The arrival of one photographer and the name of the local agent G.T. Chapman is recorded in the Taranaki Herald (New Plymouth, NZ), “Pungarehu,” May 18, 1892, p.2. Valentines had particular contacts to New Zealand owing to one of James Valentine’s sons, George, a photographer, living in the country from 1884 until his death in 1890. Main and Turner, New Zealand Photography from the 1840s to the Present, p.21.

53 Writers on Valentines normally concentrate on their photography. One website says they produced Christmas cards from 1880, but I have not been able to verify this. http://www.metropostcard.com/publishersv.html [accessed January 16, 2013].


55 Auckland Star (NZ), “Advertisements,” July 14, 1894, p.3. Spreckley also notes that he is the agent for “Madame Demaret’s Cut Paper patterns.”

56 Waite, “The Octopus and Its Silent Teachers: A New Zealand Response to the British Book Trade,” p.15. The card is held in the Auckland War Memorial Museum.
If retailers were relatively happy to acknowledge their contacts with British industry, the same cannot be said for cards from other countries. An article on Christmas cards in the *Star* in 1887 mentions strong competition between cards from England, the United States, France and Germany.\(^{57}\) There is, however, little evidence as to how American cards, such as the significant production of Louis Prang,\(^{58}\) might have found their way to New Zealand. Nor is there enough evidence to know how French or German cards were imported. Fancy goods dealers like Goodson, who also dealt with toys, noted visiting Germany, and Auckland booksellers Wildman and Lyell were quoted as saying that they imported from London, Paris, Germany and America,\(^{59}\) but only one direct importer, G. F. Cremer in Whanganui, emphasised new German wares in their advertising.\(^{60}\) Whilst there were considerable German business interests in New Zealand,\(^{61}\) none seem to have been working with stationery. Therefore, it may be that the business was able to operate primarily, as it would in the later postcard era,\(^{62}\) by postal orders via such publications as the *Address Book of German Export Firms*.\(^{63}\)

---

58 For a detailed study of Prang, see Shank, *A Token of My Affection: Greeting Cards and American Business Culture*, pp.67-111. Shank mentions in passing on page 96 that Arthur Ackermann was Prang’s English agent in the 1870s, and it was Ackermann’s wife that had suggested that Prang’s business cards could serve duty as Christmas cards. Whether Prang had agents in other markets is not stated.
59 Observer (Auckland, NZ), “Chats with our Business Men,” November 24, 1894, p.19. It is worth noting that the German consulate was situated only half a block away from Wildman and Lyell on Auckland’s main thoroughfare Queen Street, whilst the American consulate was in the same building. Winder, "Seafarer’s Gaze: Queen Street Business and Auckland’s Archipelago, 1908," p.58.
Appendix 4: The People Who Used HATS Cards

This section details the research done into the demographics of the HATS postcard.

The HATS craze’s popularity was, necessarily, the result of a series of individual decisions by individual purchasers to press the HATS meme into service. Yet whilst the messages bring one close to a moment in these users’ lives, single cards yield little material for understanding either who these people were, or why they sent the cards. Occasionally it was possible to determine more about the characters. Athletic NZ railways employee Clem Hewson, for example [Figure 213], sent cards to his schoolteacher girlfriend, NZ hockey representative Hilda Emms. Hilda’s was an important family in her area, and after her relationship with Clem faltered during the war, she would marry an undertaker and run a taxi service.

Fred Greenfield, on the other hand, comes closer to the petty bourgeois demographic which, it has been argued, particularly favoured these cards. His messages to his factory-girl fiancée (and subsequently wife), Hilda Bertaud, are the most overtly sentimental of all the cards collected [Figure 214]. However equally, Birmingham chocolate factory worker Elsie, writing in a robust vernacular to her friend Lily Martin who had emigrated to Auckland, represented working class users.

These users seemed to span a relatively broad spectrum of society, but not enough larger correspondences could be re-assembled to make a study of individuals anything more than anecdotal. I have therefore opted to explore

---

64 The cards are only signed Clem, but of the over one hundred Clements who served in the first world war, Joseph Clement Hewson was the only one in the Railway Engineers, the unit with whom, as the texts of his cards show, he visited Auckland for a Military Tournament, where he had his photograph taken. Nominal Rolls of the New Zealand Expeditionary Force, Volume 1. Wellington: Government Printer, 1914-1919, p.327. [Accessed May 27th 2013].
user demographics quantitatively, but there are some aspects of the study that require clarification.

Since the cards were selected primarily in relation to their genre (HATS), the demographic information for the whole sample was not isolated to a single national group. Although the sample was limited to cards purchased in New Zealand, those cards may have been sent to someone in New Zealand from overseas, transported to New Zealand at a later date with immigrants, or they may have been purchased overseas by a dealer for on-selling in New Zealand. This is fundamentally a transnational sample of cards primarily from the Anglo-world within which the cards travelled freely. I have not attempted to be consistent in dealing with this. Parts of this demographic section, such as gender, are transnational in nature. Others, like place and ethnicity, are narrowed down to the largest multiple data set – that from New Zealand.

Figure 214: Message from Fred Greenfield, 1910.
This message, on the back of a Tuck’s HATS Birthday card, was written entirely in x’s. Whilst his cards became less rigorous in using this form over time, all Fred’s messages were liberally sprinkled with such kisses. Writing to a girl’s workplace was a way of avoiding parental perusal.
Author’s collection PC588
4.1 Ethnicity

Daniel Gifford found only eight out of the two thousand American cards he studied were sent to African Americans.\(^{65}\) Not one of the New Zealand-related cards I examined was to, or from, someone with a Maori or non-European surname, thought as part of the wider research I did find non-HATS cards from the album of one person with a Maori surname. This may relate to Maori being less willing to sell their grandparent’s treasured possessions, however it is most likely indicative of the card being, as in America, a North and Western European predilection.\(^{66}\) Too few of the senders and receivers of HATS cards could be clearly identified, however, for detailed data on ethnicity to be isolated here.


\(^{66}\) Ibid., p.61.
Some idea of the ethnic origins of the New Zealand card recipients can, however, be deduced from the places that people were writing from. Of the 210 cards where this information could be established, the 25.86% from the ethnically disparate Australia can largely be discounted for these purposes. However, with 46.55% being from England, 18.97% from Scotland, and 5.17% from Ireland, the cards were almost exclusively sent from the British Isles or Australia, with all the remainder being sent from battlefields. Despite the clearly Welsh origins of Mr. Morgan, whose card led the introduction to this thesis, there were no cards from places in Wales. The United States, Canada, India, Asia, the Pacific Islands and continental Europe were similarly silent. If, in America, holiday card sending was an Anglo-Saxon and Teutonic phenomenon, in New Zealand the HATS postcard traffic would appear to be an Anglo phenomenon with the percentages broadly mirroring the demographic mix of the period, discussed in chapter two, with a predominance of English and Scots, somewhat fewer Irish and very few Welsh. The heavy preponderance of English cards fits with the fact that whereas in New Zealand’s earlier history, Scots and Irish immigrants were comparatively dominant, the wave of immigrants that occurred after assisted passages were reinstated in 1904 was largely from England. It is this group that were most likely to be maintaining regular correspondence with family in Britain, and who were receiving the £244,000 in money orders sent from Britain to relatives in New Zealand.

So whilst the numbers of cards are too small to be statistically secure, the results are broadly what could have been predicted. This is supported by the fact that whilst there are considerable numbers of patriotic English, Scottish, and somewhat fewer Irish card designs, there are none relating to Wales.

4.2 Gender

Gender is one of the demographic areas that the study was able to get clear information about. Previous studies have all concluded that women were the primary users of postcards. Veronica Kelly, studying actress cards, found

67 Ibid., p.139.
69 This figure for 1912 was attributed to Sir John Henniker Heaton. Hawera and Normanby Star (Hawera, NZ), “Hands Across the Sea,” January 8, 1913, p.5.
women to comprise the “vast majority,”70 whilst Richard Wall, looking at comic cards (the genre Carline argues attracted men to postcards),71 still found more women than men using them.72 Daniel Gifford found a 70% female usage of American holiday cards.73 Unsurprisingly this study found a similar, if less drastic, slant. Of the 525 cards where it was possible to tell the gender of the sender, 58.48% were women, whilst 37.33% were men, with 4.19% from couples (it is not possible to be sure whether a card from a couple was sent by the man or woman). This was an even lower percentage for couples than that found by Richard Wall, who reported that 5.95% of the comic cards he studied were sent to couples.74 Of the 502 cards in which it was possible to ascertain the genders of both senders and receivers, 42.23% cards were sent between females, 18.72% were sent by women to men, 30.88% were sent by men to women, whilst only 8.17% were sent between two males. The disparity between same gender and opposite gender expectations is telling. Women were more than twice as likely to send HATS cards to women as they were to men, whilst men were four times as likely to send HATS cards to women as to other men. Both genders, it seems, thought HATS cards were going to receive a better reception from women than from men.

72 Wall, “Family Relationships in Comic Postcards 1900-1930.” His study of 84 cards had 32 female recipients, and 25 males, with 5 being couples.
73 Gifford, ”To You and Your Kin: Holiday Images from America’s Postcard Phenomenon, 1907-1910,” p.54.
Figure 216 maps the gendered usage over the period of the craze. The data suggests that in the initial phases of the craze, women were the initiators, with male usage following the female taste a year later. This pattern is even clearer when one considers the gender relationships between senders and receivers.

Figure 217 has the dubious distinction of putting into visual form what 50% of the population already know – that women are more closely attuned to men’s preferences than men are aware of women’s tastes. In 1909, women
appear to have thought that the HATS fashion had already peaked, sending fewer cards than in 1908 to other women. They sent more to men, however, thus aligning to the fact that male interest in the genre was still rising. Men, on the other hand, sent vastly more HATS cards to women in 1909 than they had in 1908, apparently playing catch-up for not having followed the degree of female interest in the genre during 1908. They then mimic the female disinterest a year later in 1910, by which time female usage has actually reignited, something the men then try to emulate in 1911, just as women’s interest wanes – despite the fact that amongst themselves, male interest was waning as well. The 1912 Titanic related dip (see page 376) affected both equally. Subsequently, during the early part of the war, women’s writing to men spiked in line with male usage, whilst towards the end of the war there is an increase in male to male correspondence. In fact, during 1918, there is no significant difference across genders in the rates of HATS postcard sending.

As far as I know, there has been no similar analysis of gender taste patterns in postcards, so there is no comparative material that can be used to corroborate these findings, which must remain somewhat uncertain, given that the individual data sets being looked at within the graph are based on relatively small numbers of cards. Nevertheless, the consistency of the findings is intriguing, and could merit a more detailed study in the future. In terms of the present study, it is probably best to regard these results about tastes as suggestive rather than conclusive. The overall statistics around gender itself, however, are clear-cut. Women were the primary users, with men mostly using the cards because they thought women would like them.

Given, then, that most of the users were women sending cards to women, it is telling that the predominant depiction of the hands in cards was one with mixed-gender hands, with the female on the left and a male on the right.
For some users, getting the hands right was a priority. Myrtle in PC244 commented “don’t mind the hands being odd. Just a mistake,” whilst “Gizzy” in PC419 says “Excuse Gent’s hand. Fancy it is mine.” For these two, the hands were a synecdochal substitute for themselves. Despite this, the majority of other senders appear to have been happy to allow the clasped hands symbol to function as metaphor, without worrying about the literal correctness of the gender representation. Nevertheless, the fact that the inclusion of male hands remained the norm is indicative of Edwardian attitudes to gender. Ultimately, manufacturers increasingly removed the problem, by showing hands without sleeves and jewellery – thereby removing any cues to a gendered reading of the symbol, an approach that came close to becoming the norm during the First World War.

4.3 Family Relationships

Almost a third of the cards were sent between family members – 192 in all. These are just the cards where the exact relationship between the writers was specified. It is probable that considerably more of the other cards were also from family members, but in these cases, the writer did not feel obliged to indicate the relationship, as it was self-evident to the receiver. This muddied the viability of analysing the 362 other cards which appear to be sent between peers, but could just as well be between family members. A bias towards the family is certainly evident in the content of the cards, where 27.24% of the messages refer to other family members, whereas only

Figure 218: Chart showing the gender and position of the clasped hands.
13.45% refer to friends. The family were thus second only to descriptions of every day events (28.57%) in terms of providing the most common subject matter within the cards’ messages, and well ahead of references to travel (22.25%). It is still likely that considerable numbers of cards were sent between friends, but relative to today, the large numbers of inter-familial communications are striking. The family, at this period, represents a strong ‘sphere’, in Peter Sloterdijk’s sense – one in which the participants “surround themselves in such close reciprocity that their entwinement exceeds all external conditions.”

The sense of the importance of family had increased during the Victorian period. Caroline Daley’s detailed study of family relations in Taradale found ample evidence of the “centrality of kin,” and the close ties revealed by postcard interchanges are in keeping with this.

The full results of the study of the 192 family exchanges are given in Appendix 4.8, and are a useful supplement to the discussion of gender. Unsurprisingly the largest correspondence, nineteen cards in all, is that between sisters, but the next largest group was less expected. Fifteen of the cards were from nieces to aunts. Ian Pool et al. point out that official statistics tend to concentrate on the family in terms of people in the same dwelling, and are thus unhelpful for understanding relationships between family members who were geographically separated. Nevertheless, they note that there was a large increase in “spinsterhood” by the 1900s, caused partly by later marriage. The economic situation meant that women were opting to work for longer, with the age of marriage rising to, on average, over twenty five. This would have increased the pool of aunts with strong

---

75 The categories mentioned here are not mutually exclusive. One card might in theory mention friends, family, travel and everyday events, though in practice few did.
80 Ibid., pp.49, 55-6, 73.
emotional ties to their sibling’s children. Eleanor Gordon and Gweneth Nair argue that Victorian families were more diverse than the traditional pater familias-headed stereotype might suggest, and their study of which wider family members were most likely to be living in another family member’s household is telling. Female kin – sisters, aunts, and nieces – were more likely to be resident in this way, often living in households headed by other women. Daley also notes that women were more likely to rely socially on female kin than on males – even their husbands. This clarifies the close ties being maintained between female family members in this study, but the very high incidence found in the current research of Niece/Aunt ties was surprising – especially since there is no similar pattern in relation to uncles – and it suggests an avenue for further research. The strong representation of other female/female relationships, such as the bonds between mother/daughter and between female cousins in the cards also reinforced its extensive usage amongst female family members.

The third largest grouping, after sisters and nieces/aunts is that of cards between siblings, with sisters slightly more inclined to correspond with other sisters than with their brothers, but with brothers corresponding equally with siblings of either gender. Cousins similarly corresponded equally between genders. Mothers were much more likely to use postcards to correspond with their children than were fathers, who hardly sent postcards to their sons at all, but were more likely to use the form in writing to their daughters. This reinforces previous evidence that men were much less likely to utilise postcards in corresponding with other men, although amongst siblings and cousins this trend is much less marked. The final significant conclusion from this section is that the low incidence of postcards being sent by grandparents suggests that there was less willingness to engage with the postcard form amongst older people than amongst the young.

83 Ibid.
4.4 Age

The high number of siblings corresponding with one another, and the low number of cards in Figure 217 sent by couples are also good indicators that the postcard senders were primarily youthful and unmarried. Gifford concluded that the largest demographic of recipients was children between 6-14, and that 86% of recipients were women and children.  

85 I was only able to ascertain, with certainty, the ages of ten senders and ten receivers of cards – either through birth records or through mention in the card. Of these, half were in their twenties, with more of the remainder younger than older. I tried to estimate the ages based on handwriting style and contextual factors, but with many differences in schooling between Edwardians and today I had to conclude that presenting these as conclusions would be misleading, as they are likely to reflect my contemporary assumptions. My impression was that senders were older than Gifford found, with most being in their late teens or early twenties – in accord with the later age for marriage at this period.  

86 This would fit with an age group that had disposable cash to spend on postcards, but overall the most convincing argument for concluding postcards were used by a youthful demographic remains the lack of cards sent between couples.

4.5 Occupation and Class

In his study, Gifford found people in agriculture and manufacturing most likely to use holiday cards, followed by the trades, professions and fewer who were in service.  

87 Without census data, I could only deduce occupations through addresses and the texts of the cards. For the most part these gave little clue as to professions, however when work was mentioned, Gifford’s conclusions largely hold. I found no cards that could be tied to someone in service. Of the nineteen cards that had enough information to be able to deduce a person’s occupations, over half were working in

86 The median age for New Zealand women’s marriage was 24, while for men it was 27.8. Pool, Dharmalingam, and Sceats, The New Zealand Family from 1840: A Demographic History, p.73.
agriculture, whilst retail, manufacture and mining were the next largest groups, rounded out by labouring, missionary work and unemployment. Given the small sample, this is only worth including because of its general similarities to Gifford’s findings, which contradict Phillips’ assertion that cards were heavily sent by people in service.\(^88\) Whilst this may have been the case in England, the New Zealand data suggests that card users, as in America, came from a different demographic. It was not, however, possible to be specific about where this placed users in relation to class. I used Google Maps to locate recipients dwellings, when the address was given, but whilst none lived in anything other than modest looking homes, the numbers were not large enough to draw any broader conclusions. The use of the (by then old-fashioned) long ‘s’ in the text (often when writing ‘Mʃʃ’) occurred in 2.65% of cards, suggesting these senders were either educated or aspirational, and there were enough references to overseas travel to show that some users were wealthy enough to treat holidaying as normal. However these were not prevalent enough to suggest that they were representative of users as a whole. And too few recipients could be positively identified, owing to lack of census data, to make it possible to generalise from these findings. This is why the contextual findings around the earlier usage of Christmas cards were so important. At this stage, they remain the best indicator as to the social status of the majority of users.

4.6 Location

Gifford found that the vast majority of American postcard users (69%) were in rural or small-town communities.\(^89\) Whilst this largely matched the population, postcards were used at well above the national rate in small towns, and less in large cities of over 1 million (where 9% of the population lived and only 3% of postcards were from).\(^90\) There were a number of reasons why this research could not entirely mimic Gifford’s. He was relying solely on postal addresses to locate the receivers of the cards. Since only a third of the cards used in the study had been posted, I have been able


\(^{90}\) Ibid., pp.48-9.
to add to that number by utilising contextual references in the cards which often gave the location of either sender or receiver. And even the addresses were frequently unspecific, meaning it was sometimes not possible to tell whether the receiver lived in a small town, or in the countryside nearby. I was therefore not confident that it was possible to isolate the rural and small town categories. This was further complicated by the fact that New Zealand’s whole population had only just reached the one million mark at the time of the 1909 census, but was growing rapidly. By using Gifford’s mark of 10,000 as the distinction between a small and large town,\footnote{Ibid., p.49.} it became clear that between 1901 and 1909, Whanganui, Napier, Timaru, and Palmerston North had all turned into large towns. Nevertheless, it was impossible to tell exactly when this occurred. This distinction was therefore one of the categorical moving goalposts which prove so detrimental to quantitative research.\footnote{Bonnell and Hunt, Beyond The Cultural Turn: New Directions in the Study of Society and Culture, p.7.}

Bearing in mind, however, that the aim of this study was only ever to find indicative trends, I have taken 1909 as the closest census to the median point in the postcard craze, and drawn the line as to which towns were regarded as ‘small’ at that point. I have also restricted the study to New Zealand, on the pragmatic grounds that the usefulness of the data collected by a larger study did not justify the labour involved in gathering small-town population statistics for fourteen countries.

According to figures from the 1909 census, New Zealand had three cities with a population larger than Dunedin’s 64,237, the largest being Auckland, at just over 102,676. The others were Christchurch at 80,193 and Wellington at 70,729. Collectively these four cities accounted for 317,835 of New Zealand’s 1,008,468 inhabitants. Between them, the next five large towns had a population of only 64,567, ranging between Invercargill’s 15,858 and Palmerston North’s 10,991. Based on the 1909 figures, 37.92\% of New Zealanders lived in one of the nine larger centres, whilst 62.08\% lived in smaller towns or rural areas. However, of the 186 cards sent from places within New Zealand 45.7\% were sent from the larger centres, and 54.3\% from small towns and the countryside. This figure is substantially

\footnote{Ibid., p.49.}
supported by the figures from the 210 cards sent to destinations in New Zealand. Of these 43.8% related to the nine main centres and 56.2% to the rest. Hence, whilst the smaller sample size renders these statistics less certain, it suggests that postcard usage in New Zealand was skewed more towards the inhabitants of town than of the country.

The figures change somewhat when one looks within the larger town /city category. 76.12% of the people within this demographic lived in the four large centres, whilst 16.88% lived in the other five. Yet 23.53% of the cards from within this category were sent from these smaller centres, and 22.83% were sent to them. And this is despite the fact that Whanganui’s 14,702 inhabitants barely contributed to the sample. This is not entirely surprising, given that Whanganui (then spelt Wanganui) was home to lithographer A. D. Willis, and residents had been exhorted since the 1880s to buy home grown cards – yet there are no Whanganui-published HATS cards. However, Whanganui notwithstanding, it would appear that the inhabitants of medium-sized centres were slightly more taken with the craze than the people in the large ones.

It should be added that since the principal dealers who supplied me with the cards were based in Hamilton, New Plymouth, Palmerston North and Christchurch, I had expected some skewing of the results towards the central areas of both islands of New Zealand. This proved not to be the case, with the numbers of cards sent to and from each centre, Whanganui excepted, conforming reasonably closely to what could be predicted from their populations.

4.7 Conclusion
Overall, what this section suggests is that while there was less of a rural slant in this New Zealand sample than Gifford found in the United States, the fact that the populace of smaller large towns were relatively greater postcard users than the inhabitants of the cities suggests that were it possible to distinguish the other smaller centres from the country, it is likely that small town usage would probably be more extensive. Smaller centres would appear to be good breeding grounds for crazes, with enough people for fast
transmission, and a smaller threshold at which it becomes difficult not to participate. And access to shops selling postcards was inevitably greater for townsfolk than for country dwellers. This is underscored by the fact that the Imperial Sales Company (see page 383) targeted the rural market for its mail-order postcard service, placing adverts in the children’s pages of *The New Zealand Farmer.*

If, from all of this data, one were to abstract a typical user of a HATS postcard, that person would most likely be a young, white female, with an English or Scottish background, living in or near a town of under 15,000 people. It is not possible to predict her occupation, but she would not be averse to working. She placed a premium on maintaining contact with the family, and most particularly the female members of it, but her album would also include cards from a circle of friends. And her use of HATS cards was most likely to have occurred between 1908-1912.

---

4.8 Fuller List of Family Relationships

The list below shows the family relationships in the 601 card study, both alphabetically and in terms of which occurred most frequently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alphabetical</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aunt/Child</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aunt/Nephew</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aunt/Niece</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brothers</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brother/Sister</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child/Mother</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousins F/M</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousins M/F</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousins F/F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter/Father</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter/Mother</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter/Parents</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father/Daughter</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father/Son</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandchild/Grandmother</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granddaughter/Grandmother</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandmother/Granddaughter</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandson/Grandmother</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband/Wife</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-laws</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother/Child</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother/Daughter</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother/Son</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niece/Aunt</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niece/Uncle</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephew/Aunt</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephew/Uncle</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephew/Uncle &amp; Aunt</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister/Brother</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisters</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Father</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Mother</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Parents</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle &amp; Aunt/Child</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle/Niece</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife/Husband</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sisters</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Father</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Mother</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Parents</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle &amp; Aunt/Child</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle/Niece</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife/Husband</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sisters</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Father</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Mother</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son/Parents</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle &amp; Aunt/Child</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle/Niece</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife/Husband</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 5: Publishing HATS Cards

This section explores in more depth the ways in which HATS cards were published, and the companies that were involved across both the lithographic and photographic traditions. It then relates this to the New Zealand context.

This study has already demonstrated that postcard publishing as a whole drew on two clearly demarcated graphic traditions, those of lithographic Art Publishing, and the sellers of photographic tourist views and portraits. Being a greeting card, HATS locates itself more naturally in lithographic territory, but it should be remembered that, from early on, photographers had also used montage and floral decoration to create greetings cards [e.g. Figure 70, Figure 71 and Figure 92]. It is therefore useful to examine the extent to which photographers like Rotary Photo [Figure 219] were able to make inroads into this lithographic stronghold.

Appendix 6 shows which publishers produced HATS cards over a number of years, as opposed to those that (mostly between 1909-10) produced a single series to add to their catalogues. Alan Leonard’s survey of the Hands across the Sea genre identified a large number of publishers engaged in creating HATS postcards.¹ My study has largely confirmed his findings about the major producers. Leonard, on the basis of a collection put together in England, identified Birn Brothers, Beagles, Millar & Lang, Rotary Photo, and Wildt & Kray as the major producers.

The table overleaf lists the manufacturers who produced the largest number of cards within the survey of 2111 HATS cards, along with the percentage

---

of the overall sample that they were responsible for, the predominant form of printing used for their HATS cards, and their location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Printing Type</th>
<th>Based in:</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Beagles &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Photographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>31.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary Photograph</td>
<td>Photographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergusson &amp; Taylor</td>
<td>Photo &amp; litho</td>
<td>New Zealand (Christchurch)</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>Scotland (Glasgow)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; G Taylor</td>
<td>Photographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphael Tuck &amp; Sons</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philco</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. A. Schwerdtfeger</td>
<td>Photographic</td>
<td>Germany (London branch)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentine &amp; Sons</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>Scotland (Dundee)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO Belfast</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>Ireland (Belfast)</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner Brothers</td>
<td>Photographic</td>
<td>New Zealand (Wellington)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Vertigen</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>Germany (London branch)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson Brothers</td>
<td>Lithographic</td>
<td>England (London)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It shows that it was the photographic firm Beagles (their dominance perhaps inflated by their strong presence in the Australasian market) that was responsible for by far the largest single proportion of the cards. Lithographers, Birn Brothers, were the next largest, followed by a group of other significant companies.² The relative importance of two New Zealand firms is probably exaggerated by the sample being collected in New Zealand, but the numbers produced by Fergusson & Taylor, particularly, are nevertheless significant. Collectively these top fifteen companies created

² Millar & Lang’s lower ranking in this study may relate to the quality of their cards making them among the more desirable collectibles. I suspect that many of their cards had disappeared from the market before my study began, whereas Beagles’ cards seem to be comparatively little sought after.
75.37% of the sample, with anonymous German firms accounting for a further 5.92% and those marked as from the (perhaps more marketable) Saxony, 4.74%.³

The prominence of three large photographic firms, however, disguises the relative dominance of the lithographic firms within the overall sample. Almost twice as many lithographic firms are represented in the list, and most of the smaller companies not included in these figures used lithography. And the predominantly photographic companies, like Beagles, still tended to use the large German lithographic printing firms, if they wished to produce full-colour cards. The dominance of lithography is perhaps best demonstrated by the fact that Valentine & Sons, and to a lesser extent Millar & Lang, which both normally published photographic cards, only utilised lithographically printed illustrations for their HATS cards.

Figure 220 shows that the lithographic techniques of pure chromolithography and tinted halftone were used for 62% of the cards,

³ I have been unable to establish whether the tendency for cards to say “made in Saxony” or “printed in Bavaria” related to those states’ sense of self or whether Saxony, in particular, was less threatening – perhaps even a positive for those with ‘Anglo-Saxon’ leanings – than Germany.
whilst real photographs accounted for only 33%. Art Publishers and Photographers were, one can conclude, the driving forces in the HATS craze, with techniques favoured by other types of printer garnering only 5% support.\textsuperscript{4} A similar split occurs as to whether the cards would utilise illustrated or photographic images. Of the cards surveyed, only fifteen more were illustrated than photographed, meaning that the two techniques were evenly balanced, but significantly more (382 of the 601 cards) were printed in colour, with another 108 printed in black and white but then hand-coloured. Overall only just over one card in six went to the shop shelves uncoloured. The public’s fascination with coloured greetings cards had by no means abated, and it was colour printing rather than the medium of illustration that gave overseas printers so much work.

![Place of Printing](chart.png)

Figure 221: Chart showing where HATS cards were printed.

It has already become apparent that Art Publishers had close ties with Germany. Whilst German firms (including those from Bavaria and Saxony) were responsible for only 12.92% of the sample, Figure 221 shows that 45% 

\textsuperscript{4} The print techniques commonly associated with view cards like gravure and collotype appeared in only thirteen cards. Of the halftone techniques later favoured by Art Printers, there were only six cards using single-colour, one using three-colour and one using four-colour.
of the cards were actually printed in Germany, only fractionally less than the numbers from Britain.\(^5\)

As with any large, mechanically-based industry, quality colour chromolithographic printing was able to be made cheap only when done on a large enough scale for the standardisation of processes and economies of scale to facilitate efficiency.\(^6\) Given the dominance exerted by large German and British printing companies, smaller firms such as those that set up in New Zealand had limited options. William Main comments that whilst illustration was typical of imported cards, New Zealand cards predominantly utilised photography.\(^7\) This can be explained by their focus on local subject matter, but it is also technical. Photography was inherently black and white, meaning that new entrants to the business could better avoid the problems of colour. They could sidestep the large printers by getting under way with single-colour halftone cards, which were low quality but could be printed locally, or by utilising local photographers to print the more expensive real photographs. The latter was the approach adopted by Auckland entrepreneur W. T. Wilson, when he, like so many others, entered the HATS market in 1909, opting for an illustrative montage.

Figure 222 is Wilson’s real-photographic reproduction of the locally-produced artwork. When Wilson wanted to produce a colour version, however, he was obliged to utilise the transnational business networks

\(^5\) Of the British cards, 189 were labelled as being from England, 67 from Britain, 13 from Great Britain, 5 from Ireland and just two early cards said they were from Scotland. Scottish and Irish publishers were more likely to use the term Britain. These cards are spread between lithographs and real photographs, whereas most German cards are lithographs.

\(^6\) Micklethwait and Wooldridge, *The Company: A Short History of a Revolutionary Idea*, p.64.

\(^7\) Main, *Send Me a Postcard: New Zealand Postcards and the Story They Tell*, p.68.
which the postal service had enabled, and have them printed in Germany. Figure 2 was the result. Apparently, despite postal costs, it was cheaper for New Zealand postcard manufacturers to use large German printers than to patronise local chromolithographers like A. D. Willis.

![Image](Hands_Across_the_Sea.png)

Figure 223: Trevor Lloyd, 1908, Fergusson & Taylor HATS card.
This card is a real photograph, probably printed locally, though no place of manufacture is given.
Author's collection

The same approach had been taken by Fergusson & Taylor a year earlier when they had Auckland cartoonist Trevor Lloyd design what looks to be a New Zealand version of the Australian WTP card [Figure 133]. It was Lloyd’s second foray into HATS cards that year, [cf. Figure 142]. The card was published as a real photograph [Figure 223], but they subsequently opted to use a German printer for a more sophisticated black and white collotype montage version that makes it look photographic, before finally opting for a colour version that appears more illustrative [Figure 224].

---

8 Howard Woody suggests that international contracts involved a supply chain that might include a “jobber” (travelling salesman), territorial wholesaler, regional supplier, national distributor, export distributor and then printer, but I have not been able to ascertain how many of these steps postcard manufacturers like Wilson would have had to go through. It is not helped by Woody giving no citations or sources for his information. [Woody, “International Postcards: Their History, Production, and Distribution (Circa 1895-1915),” p.31.] It is also clear that German publishers did work directly with retailers. Woody, on p.33, illustrates an advertising card for the German company Stengel, which was sent from Germany to New York with details on how to deal directly. Such a direct postal approach would have suited New Zealand manufacturers and retailers.
William Main reproduces the latter in *Send Me a Postcard*, assuming that the non-local printing indicates a non-local production, however the sequence of production, and Lloyd’s signature, makes it clear that the artwork was generated in New Zealand, albeit probably adapted typographically by the German printers.

The fact that New Zealand publishers felt obliged to go to the expense of using overseas printers to provide quality coloured versions of illustrated cards, however, reinforces the sense that the public expected illustrated work to be coloured. The expense and mail-order difficulties of this process, gave imported cards an advantage. Real photographs (which Penny Farfan sees as iconic of the modern) may have been produced in black and white, but the premium paid by customers of Spreckley and Wildman and Arey for hand coloured photographs still suggests that colour was seen as higher quality.

Some New Zealand publishers opted to work closely with companies from overseas. Fergusson & Taylor, for example, were eclectic in their approach to printing their cards, forging relationships with at least three of the major English firms. Some of

---

9 Main, *Send Me a Postcard: New Zealand Postcards and the Story They Tell*, p.72. Main says the printing is from England. None of the six versions I have of the card were, but it is possible that F&T did have some printed in England as well.

10 The dating of these cards’ production was done by cross-referencing the postage dates of multiple versions of each card.

11 Farfan, “’The Picture Postcard is a Sign of the Times’: Theatre Postcards and Modernism,” p.110.
their non-HATS greetings cards were clearly designed for them by Beagles, and they opted to print their real photographic cards in England [Figure 185]. The publisher who assisted them with this type of card would appear to be the major British photographic firm of A. & G. Taylor, given that the same photograph of clasped hands appears in quite different cards published by Fergusson & Taylor and A. & G. Taylor [Figure 225].

Indeed, since little has been able to be discovered about the principals of Fergusson & Taylor, and the fact that Fergusson had initially traded without Taylor,¹² it raises the question of whether the Taylor in the name might be identical with A. & G. Taylor. Nevertheless, any relationship between the firms was not exclusive, since alongside their work with Taylors and with Beagles, Fergusson also co-produced cards with Wildt & Kray, as can be seen in several examples. Figure 109, for example, is a W&K card, but its overprint is the same design that was used in the Fergusson & Taylor card in Figure 8. This strongly suggests that W&K were the printers for the FT card, an attestation strengthened by FT having also marketed several lithographic Wildt & Kray designs which they had evidently had adapted for the local market [Figure 226].

¹² Main and Jackson, "Wish You Were Here": The Story of New Zealand Postcards, p.62.
Although Wildt & Kray marketed photographic cards of New Zealand scenery under their own name, they only did localised greeting cards under contract, consistently using lithography for the HATS cards. Millar & Lang similarly only used lithography for their HATS cards, but were able, under their ‘National’ brand (see page 355), to create designs specifically for the New Zealand market, as they also did for Australia and Canada [Figure 227].

Overall, these cards serve to emphasise the close relationships that British manufacturers were able to forge with the colonial market, and simultaneously reinforce how difficult it must have been for local firms to compete in the market for quality coloured cards.
Part B:

Part B contains the raw material that supported and gave rise to certain conclusions in the text.

Appendix 6: Postcard Companies Producing HATS Cards

6.1 Full List of Companies

This section indicates all the companies that were identified in the 2111 card study as manufacturing or distributing HATS cards, and the number of cards associated with each company. The list is arranged alphabetically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Sala, Berlin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Oesterreicher, Leipzig</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo New Art</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Publishing Co.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (W. Bramley of Leeds)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamforth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendix</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins Bros</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. W. Hunt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynicus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. &amp; F.L.T.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson Bros.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Henry Garner Living Picture 2
Herman Wolf, Berlin 2
H.G. Zimmerman & Co. 2
Hildesheimer, S. & Co. 2
HIR 1
HMB 2
Hugo Lang 2
H. W. Flatt 1
Inter-Art Co. 1
James Henderson & Sons 2
JFH 1
Kitch & Co. 1
KS 1
Lawrence 2
LVC 1
Malborough Art 7
Marcuse Day & Co. 1
Martin Schlesinger, Berlin 2
McCulloch, J. A. & Co. 3
McV & L 1
Millar & Lang 64
National Art Co. 1
Newman Brothers 8
Nicholson & Carter 1
Novelty Postcard Co. Ltd 1
Novitas Post Card Co. 1
NPO Belfast 24
Paul Finkenrath Berlin 8
Paul Suess, Heidenau 1
Philco 44
Pocket Novelty Co. 1
PR 1
Pratt 1
Pugh 2
R. B. Bailie 1
Radcliffe 3
Rapid Photo 1
Rotary 127
Samuel Wood 1
Selmar Bayer, Berlin 4
Schofield & Co 1
Schwerdtfeger, E. A. 29
Solomon Bros 5
St Paul Souvenir 1
Star Photo 12
State 5
Stevens 1
Tanner 23
Taylor, A. & G. 50
Theodor Eismann, Leipzig 9
Thridgould, John & Co. 1
Tuck 47
Valentine's 28
Vertigen, H. & Co. 19
VPF 1
Ward Lock & Co. 2
Watkins & Kracke 5
WB 1
Wildt & Kray 140
William Ritchie & Sons 1
Woolstone Bros 1
W. T. Wilson 7

Anonymous cards:
Unspecified 23
Australia 1
Austria 28
America 4
Bavaria 2
England 2
Finland 1
France 10
Germany 125
Italy 1
New Zealand 4
Saxony 100
### 6.2 List of the Most Prolific HATS Manufacturers

The list below shows the companies whose dated cards were included in the 601 card survey. It is ordered according to the number of HATS cards from each company in that survey. Cards without specified manufacturers are listed as anonymous (anon) by the country or state of origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Cards</th>
<th>Manufacturers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>Albert Oesterreicher, Leipzig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Anglo New Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Art Publishing Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German anon</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Crown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Cynicus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxon anon</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>E. Nash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>EBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergusson and Taylor</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Empire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergusson</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>English anon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuck</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Frank Duncan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. &amp; G. Taylor</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Free Church of Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified anon</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Giesen Bros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentine's</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Giovanardi, Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gottschalk Dreyfus &amp; Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwerdtfeger</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>HAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philco</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Henry Garner Living Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian anon</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>H. G. Zimmerman &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Sala, Berlin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO Belfast</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Inter-Art Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. T. Wilson</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>JFH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French anon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kitch &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendix</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Malborough Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Tourret</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marcuse Day &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Finkenrath, Berlin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Martin Schlesinger, Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>McCulloch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>National Art Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodor Eismann, Leipzig</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nicholson &amp; Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (W. Bramley of Leeds)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Novelty Postcard Co. Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. &amp; F.L.T.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Paul Suess, Heidenau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederik Pedersen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman Wolf, Berlin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R. B. Bailie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newman Brothers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Radcliff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rapid Photo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Photo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand anon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>William Ritchie &amp; Sons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3 Dating of HATS Manufacture

The table below documents the years when HATS cards were produced by the various companies, based on dated cards. Greyed out areas indicate a manufacturer’s estimated period of production activity. The darker grey relate to dated cards. Light grey squares are estimates based from external research. The data here should be regarded as indicative. Whilst the dates are reasonably accurate for large publishers, it was not always possible to accurately isolate the dates of manufacture for smaller firms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>British Firms</th>
<th>1904</th>
<th>1905</th>
<th>1906</th>
<th>1907</th>
<th>1908</th>
<th>1909</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1911</th>
<th>1912</th>
<th>1913</th>
<th>1914</th>
<th>1915</th>
<th>1916</th>
<th>1917</th>
<th>1918</th>
<th>1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. &amp; F.L.T.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Garner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Photo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Publishing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary Photo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynicus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO Belfast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty Postcard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Ritchie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geisen Bros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphael Tuck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newman Brothers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ettinger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson &amp; Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAS Schwerdfeger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-Art Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcuse Day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCulloch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Zealand Firms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergusson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. T. Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Tourret</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Duncan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australian Firms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star/Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giovanardi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitch &amp; Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlborough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>American Firms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Art</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredrik Peterson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Nash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. G. Zimmerman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-New Art</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>German Firms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous German</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Finkenrath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Sala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodor Eismann</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Oesterreicher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Schlesinger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman Wolf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous Saxon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Suess</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 7: Beagles’ Cards

Because Beagles published by far the largest number of cards, dating their cards was essential to the study. I therefore collected over 1500 Beagles cards, and adopted an approach, suggested by Howard Woody, which involved identifying cards that had identically printed backs. Each printing had variations in wording and typography that were distinctive, and over a hundred different backs were identified (some with major differences, and others subtle). Cross-referenced with the dates of sending, this allowed a reasonably accurate dating to be ascertained for most of the Beagles cards in the study. Beagles’ numbering system, in contrast to the straightforward sequence adopted by Wildt & Kray, turned out to be largely arbitrary, and indicated that they had a book with numbers, which were allocated to new cards purely on the basis of non-duplication of existing numbers.

The problem with this study was that it was not possible to determine whether a given card was the original design or a reprint. As a result, whilst I could date the card itself accurately, I could not be sure whether it was a new design or a reprint of a card designed several years earlier. This problem is why I have not focused on the development of Beagles’ production more in the thesis. The following sections, however, document the method, and show the way that it was possible to date fairly accurately the design of most of Beagles’ HATS cards.

7.1 Documenting the Dating Process

The images here show a small sample of the pages from my two dating documents. One file (7.1.1) listed the cards in sequence, while the other (7.1.2) identified the card backs, established their earliest date of production and allocated them the identifying number that appears in the fourth column in 7.1.1.

7.1.1: A Section from the Sequencing Document

As part of this research, 1510 Beagles cards were documented, and research comments made. What is reproduced below is part of one page from this document. The columns represent the Beagles identifying number, the type (X=Christmas, B=Birthday, NY=New Year), when it was sent (if given), the identifying number allocated to the card’s back, and then comments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beagles ID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>971K</td>
<td>(B)hands</td>
<td>[1920]</td>
<td>27A The back suggests manufacture 1909ish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>971R</td>
<td>(B)hand</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>8Aii one hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>971W</td>
<td>(B)hands</td>
<td>(1909)</td>
<td>8Aiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976A</td>
<td>(G X)</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>9Ai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976E</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>9Aiii., undated –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976E</td>
<td>(NY)</td>
<td>(1910)</td>
<td>17Aii back of colour version has 1043A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976O</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(1909)</td>
<td>13Aii 1063a on back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976P</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>13Aii 1063a on back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976R</td>
<td>(X NY)</td>
<td>[?]</td>
<td>26A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976T</td>
<td>(NY)</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>17Aii 1063a on back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976X</td>
<td>(X NY)</td>
<td>[?]</td>
<td>29Aii post 1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>977B</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>[?]</td>
<td>29Ai 1912 or later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>977C</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>[1914]</td>
<td>29Ai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>977K</td>
<td>(X) (NY) (e)</td>
<td>[?]</td>
<td>29Aiii post 1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>977KN</td>
<td>(NY) (e)</td>
<td>[1913]</td>
<td>29Aii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>977R</td>
<td>(X)(e)</td>
<td>[1912]</td>
<td>29Aiii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This dating of cards printed with this type of back showed very clearly that it was printed in late 1907, and that cards were consumed very quickly during 1908 (indicative of a market that could not get enough of the cards). All card numbers appear in the left hand column. Only the dated cards appear in the columns under years, along with the month of sending, and the country where each was sent. It is not, here, necessary to elaborate on all of the abbreviations used. The effect of this approach was to be able to fairly accurately determine the date of manufacture for cards which are undated.
This was one of the more widely used backs, but the majority of cards of this type were sent between late 1909 and the middle of 1910. Cards that had not sold during that time continued to be used, but at a diminishing rate. The back appears to have been reused by a printer over several months, meaning that cards with this back could not be assigned as specific a date of production as those in the previous illustration.

In the course of the research, over a hundred such pages were created, and using the dating patterns derived from them I was able to date the cards that contained clasped hands. The HATS cards are those that are bolded in the columns).
7.2 Beagles Clasped Hands Related Cards: Dates and Types

The following is a list of dates for the Beagles clasped hands cards (or card series), following the numerical sequence of the cards. Beagles tended to work in series of six cards. They omitted Q’s and I’s in the numbering, allowing for four groups of six cards: (abcdef); (ghjklm); (noprst); (uvwxyz). The series numbers down the left can be used to cross reference with the thumbnail images of the cards listed in Appendix 10 (mostly in the rows between PC138 – PC290). This chart, however, includes all the cards collected, and not simply the ones with date of sending, which were included in the list in Appendix 10.

The greyed areas show the earliest date of sending found for that card, or cards with an identical back, whilst the letters indicate the type of card. B= Birthday, G=General Greetings, W=Wedding, WA=Wedding Anniversary, X=Christmas, NY=New Year, OS=Overseas Greeting, HATS=Hands Across the Sea, Miz=Mizpah. It is clear from this that Beagles used clasped hands extensively, but only had a limited number of cards with HATS texts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>1907</th>
<th>1908</th>
<th>1909</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1911</th>
<th>1912</th>
<th>1913</th>
<th>1914</th>
<th>1915</th>
<th>1916</th>
<th>1917</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617-617a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617A1-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632A-F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645A5-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661A1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664A1-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>688A-F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>689A-M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737K.L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748G-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752G-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>765G-M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>769P-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770N-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777G-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>783G-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790A-M,Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>794O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>805A-F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>805G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>817C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826U-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>836A-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838G-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>845A-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>847K &amp; Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848U-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>854N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856A-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>859E-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>863P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>864L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>867X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868A-P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>874L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878A-M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B/OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878N-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B/OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896A-F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896G-M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>897-U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G/OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900A-F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS/HATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900G-M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS/HATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900N-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900U-Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OS/HATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901U-Z</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>906A-Z</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>909G-M</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>909N-Z</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910W</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>919S</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921M</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>924N-U</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>933U</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945A-F</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945G-Z</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>947A-E</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>947F-Z</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>966U-Z</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>971A-M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>971N-Z</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>978F</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>986A-Z</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987A-F</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987G-M</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987N-T</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987U-Z</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>988A-G</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>989K</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>990A-M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>990N-Z</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006A1/</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006C1/</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008A1/</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008B1/</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070A1/</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070C1/</td>
<td>X/NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1072D1/</td>
<td>OS /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>HATS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084C1/</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1094 series</td>
<td>X/O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1098 series</td>
<td>X/N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100 series</td>
<td>X/N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1102 series</td>
<td>X/N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111 series</td>
<td>X/OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1112 series</td>
<td>X/N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1118 series</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1119 series</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 8: Ashburton Guardian List of Crazes: 1887-1903

The writers of the Ashburton Guardian, a small, provincial, Canterbury newspaper, appear to have enjoyed a good craze, often placing them on page 2 of their four page broadsheet. The following list documents the crazes mentioned, where they were ascribed to, and the date of mention. 1903, the year the postcard craze was first mentioned elsewhere, appears to have been a good year for crazes.

1887 – Roller-skating (Australia) [28 April, p.3]. The article gives the date of the start of the roller skating craze as being during the 1870s. In 1889, a short article about the craze in NZ talked about the inventor Mikaiah Henley, from Indiana [30 March, p.2].

1888 – Patchwork wedding dresses (Australia) [26 March, p.2].

1888 – The banjo (England) [17 April, p.2].

1888 – Diamonds embedded in front teeth (Chicago) [15 October p.2].

1889 – Green (France) [15 February, p.2].

1889 – Thier linen (Auckland, NZ) (This is not a misspelling). [31 July, p.3].

1890 – Cosmetic use of electricity to remove wrinkles (Paris) [7 June, p.2].

1890 – Ballooning (Paris) [3 September, p.2].

1890 – Duelling (Paris) [9 September, p.3].

1890 – Barn dancing (Wellington, NZ) [9 October, p.2].
1891 – **Autographs (United States)** (A story about a practical joke played on Mark Twain, where 250 of his friends sent single requests for his autograph on April Fool’s Day, giving him a very tedious post). [24 March, p.2].

1891 – **Printing one’s own designs onto cloth via a photographic process (England)** [5 August, p.2].

1892 – **Imitation jewellery (Paris)** [19 February p.2].

1892 – **Aesthetic drawing rooms (England)** (Called a ‘modern’ craze, meaning it was a few years old). [12 March, p.2].

1892 – **Missing word competitions (England)** (Penny papers printing a paragraph with a missing word, and readers paid to enter a draw to put in the missing word). [31 December, p.2].

1893 – **Spiritualism (New Zealand)** [24 January, p.2].

1893 – **Ibsen (Boston)** [7 June, p.3].

1893 – **Cycling (Local)** [3 October, p.3]. (Frequent references to the cycling craze thereafter).

1895 – **Collecting first numbers of newspapers (Local)** [6 May, p.2].

1895 – **Golf (Australasia)** [14 May, p.2].

1896 – **Jingo[ism] (United States)** [12 February, p.3].

1897 – **Orchids and chrysanthemums (England)** (This discusses a pre-existing fashion). [14 December, p.1].

1898 – **The Jubilee (Local)** [12 January, p.2].


1898 - **Yoga (New York)** [28 July, p.2].

1901 – **Athletics competitions (Britain)** (This discusses a pre-existing trend). [16 April, p.4].

1901 – **Patent medicines (Britain)** [30 August, p.1].

1901 – **Engravings (Britain)** [14 October, p.1].
1901 – **Stamps (Britain)** [14 October, p.1]. (This is mentioned retrospectively – but had not appeared in the paper previously).


1903 – **Photographic transfer tattoos (United States)** [2 February, p.4].

1903 – **Presentations (New Zealand)** (This refers to formalised presentations honouring people with gifts of money etc.). [9 March, p.3].

1903 – **Tattooing (Philadelphia)** [8 May, p.2].

1903 – **Football challenges between goldfields (Thames, NZ)** [16 July, p.2].

1903 – **Motoring (New Zealand)** [20 July, p.2].

1903 – **Lynching (United States)** [30 July, p.3].

1903 – **Song parties (Britain)** [14 August, p.4].


1903/4 – **Stamp Pictures (Britain)** (i.e. making pictures out of a collage of stamps). [18 January, 1904, p.4].

**Appendix 9: Charts of Auckland Postcard Retailers’ Prices: 1902-1910**

The following charts document the prices on each new postcard advertisement placed in the *Observer*. This means that the columns do not represent even distributions of time. Prices given here are the unit prices per card. The most expensive cards are greyed out – with different shades for 3d, 6d and the 9d and above cards. In the card descriptions b/w= black and white, col=colour, rp=real photo. The statistical information on these charts requires considerable contextualising. Wildman & Arey, for example, reused a 1907 advertisement twice in 1909, meaning that while these later adverts attest to W&A’s dislike for altering prices, they are unlikely to reflect current fashions. As a result, where there is a discrepancy between
Spreckley and W&A during this period, I have tended to follow Spreckley in interpreting the trends. And prices tended to be higher for real photos, coloured and especially hand coloured cards, so it is important to compare like with like.

9.1 Spreckley’s Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Card Types</th>
<th>1892 November</th>
<th>1893 November</th>
<th>1894 January</th>
<th>1894 May</th>
<th>1895 October</th>
<th>1895 July</th>
<th>1895 September</th>
<th>1896 November</th>
<th>1896 January</th>
<th>1896 May</th>
<th>1897 October</th>
<th>1897 July</th>
<th>1897 September</th>
<th>1898 November</th>
<th>1898 January</th>
<th>1898 May</th>
<th>1898 October</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maori Life / chiefs</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori &quot;Belles&quot;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Views/life col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Views/life hand col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Life rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views b/w</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views rp handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moonlight Auckland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Yachts &amp; Scows</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings from Auckland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Views b/w</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Views colour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views real photo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views rp/handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rot rp handcol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes, Mountains Rivers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Trunk Line col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Trunk Line rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ greetings rp coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeting from NZ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kia Ora</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maoriland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Types</td>
<td>1907 November</td>
<td>1907 December</td>
<td>1908 January</td>
<td>1908 March</td>
<td>1908 April</td>
<td>1908 May</td>
<td>1908 July</td>
<td>1908 August</td>
<td>1908 September</td>
<td>1908 October</td>
<td>1908 November</td>
<td>1909 February</td>
<td>1909 March</td>
<td>1909 April</td>
<td>1909 May</td>
<td>1909 June</td>
<td>1909 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses b&amp;w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>- 2 1/3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses rp handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Jewelled handcol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>- 2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Bas relief handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children b&amp;w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>- 2 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children - hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>- 2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>- 2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads &amp; faces rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads &amp; faces handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>- 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asti’s Heads</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco’s heads</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic – b/w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ comic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ humour “new stile”</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro Melodies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Coon” cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humorous Scotch Greetings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartans</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real photos</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1/3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamelled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Wishes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Luck</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initials</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initials hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Wishes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Name hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Name rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calendar cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Types</td>
<td>1902 November</td>
<td>1903 November</td>
<td>1904 January</td>
<td>1904 May</td>
<td>1905 October</td>
<td>1906 July</td>
<td>1906 September</td>
<td>1907 November</td>
<td>1907 December</td>
<td>1908 January</td>
<td>1908 March</td>
<td>1908 July</td>
<td>1908 November</td>
<td>1908 December</td>
<td>1909 October</td>
<td>1909 November</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeting cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings cards spangled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings hand col with views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Greetings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas (printed not rp)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas Raised floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas rp handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas rp floral glossy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas coloured glossy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas rp floral hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ greetings with ferns</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather For Auld Lang Syne</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands across the Sea col</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Hands across the Sea</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ stamps</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Flowers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings -NZ coat of arms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Type</td>
<td>1903 October</td>
<td>1903 December</td>
<td>1904 October</td>
<td>1905 October</td>
<td>1906 October</td>
<td>1906 May</td>
<td>1907 May</td>
<td>1907 August</td>
<td>1907 November</td>
<td>1908 November</td>
<td>1909 March</td>
<td>1909 April</td>
<td>1909 December</td>
<td>1910 December</td>
<td>1910 October</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Life</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori chiefs/warriors</td>
<td>1 - - - - -</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Beauties</td>
<td>1 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Views/life col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Views/life hand col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Life rp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 3 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori life enamelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic Maori Life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views b/w/tinted</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views r/p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 3 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland views rp hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland rp enamelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 - - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland rp panel views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moonlight Auckland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Yachts/Scows rp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings from Auckland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Views b/w/ tinted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Views colour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Views hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views real photo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views rp/ hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views rp enamelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 3 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ views tinselled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 3 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua handcoloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rotorua r/p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Lakes/Rot rp hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes, Mountains Rivers sounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ flowers coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ flowers RP/ hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 3 - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Trunk Line col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Trunk Line rp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Flag rp hand coloured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 - - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ greetings rp col</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Greetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 - - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Type</td>
<td>1903 October</td>
<td>1904 October</td>
<td>1905 October</td>
<td>1906 October</td>
<td>1906 May</td>
<td>1907 July</td>
<td>1907 August</td>
<td>1907 November</td>
<td>1908 November</td>
<td>1909 March</td>
<td>1909 April</td>
<td>1909 December</td>
<td>1910 October</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kia Ora rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kia Ora rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maoriland rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maoriland rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelorus Jack</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Views tinselled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Life</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ships / Men-o'-war</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sailors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses b&amp;w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses tinselled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses rp handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses rp tinselled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Jewelled handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Bas relief handcoloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Giant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actresses Giant jewelled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Actresses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children b/w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children - rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children - giant cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals b/w or colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals r/p</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals r/p hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals - giant cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads &amp; faces rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads &amp; faces hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asti's Heads</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco's Heads</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic – b/w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Type</td>
<td>1906 October</td>
<td>1906 December</td>
<td>1907 October</td>
<td>1907 November</td>
<td>1907 December</td>
<td>1908 June</td>
<td>1908 October</td>
<td>1908 December</td>
<td>1909 April</td>
<td>1909 November</td>
<td>1910 June</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Wain’s cats</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic cats</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comic rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ comic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ humour “new stile”</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro Melodies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Coon” cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humorous Scotch Greetings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartans</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real photos</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamelled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeting cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings cards spangled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings hand col with views</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Greetings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Cards</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popular Hymns rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calendar cards rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthday floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Wishes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Luck</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral colour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral embossed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral hand painted on wood</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initials</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initials rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Wishes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Name hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Name rp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Name rp hand coloured</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Names with actresses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xmas (printed not rp)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 10: HATS Cards Used in the 601 Card Survey

This appendix shows the basic data collected at the start of the process of cataloguing the 601 dated cards. It is not practical here to list the over 250 columns of information gathered during the process. This appendix simply documents the cards that were catalogued and some of the initial data collected. It shows the cataloguing number (which is used in figure captions where one of these images is used as an illustration), along with publisher (and the company’s numbering of the card series - where a number was given), printing, date of use, and country sent, along with a notation of whether the card was either used or sent to New Zealand, and my estimate of how reliable my dating of the year of production was. In this column, a number ‘1’ indicates certainty to within six months (on the basis of manufacturer sequencing, or multiple copies of the card all dated around the same time), ‘2’ meant the estimate might be up to a year out, ‘3’ could be up to two years out, and so on. This material was intended to help me avoid drawing conclusions based on cards with more insecure dating.

Although there was some attempt to bunch cards by publisher, the inputting of data spanned a long period, during which new cards were purchased. Strict ordering was not necessary for the data collation.

N.B. Images are scanned at a level to allow some sense of them to be gained if the digital file is viewed at 200%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>Date Printed</th>
<th>Date Certainty</th>
<th>Country produced</th>
<th>Date sent</th>
<th>NZ connect</th>
<th>Country sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC001</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC003</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC004</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC005</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC006</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC007</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC008</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC011</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC013</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>2477D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.No.</td>
<td>Catalogue</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC018</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC019</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC020</td>
<td>D.&amp;F.L.T.</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC021</td>
<td>D.&amp;F.L.T.</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC022</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC023</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC024</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC025</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC026</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC027</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC028</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC029</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC030</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC031</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC032</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC033</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC034</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC035</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year1</td>
<td>Quantity1</td>
<td>Year2</td>
<td>Location1</td>
<td>Location2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC036</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC037</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC038</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC039</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC040</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC041</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC042</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC043</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC044</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC045</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC046</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC047</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC048</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC049</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC050</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC051</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC052</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC053</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC054</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC055</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>2509</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC056</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>2511</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC057</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>2563</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC058</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>2878</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC059</td>
<td>Henry Garner Living Picture</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC060</td>
<td>Rapid Photo</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC061</td>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td>2225/3</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC062</td>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td>2228/4</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC063</td>
<td>Aristophot</td>
<td>2228/3</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC064</td>
<td>De Tourret</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC065</td>
<td>De Tourret</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC066</td>
<td>De Tourret</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC067</td>
<td>Frank Duncan</td>
<td>6262</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC068</td>
<td>FT (Fergusson and Taylor) series 6077a</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC069</td>
<td>FT series 6077b</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC070</td>
<td>FT series 6021</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC071</td>
<td>FT series 9729</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC072</td>
<td>FT series 409</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1917 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC073</td>
<td>FT series 5007</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1909 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC074</td>
<td>FT series 6084</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC075</td>
<td>FT series 6084</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC076</td>
<td>FT series 5014</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1909 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC077</td>
<td>FT series X793</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC078</td>
<td>FT series X793</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC079</td>
<td>FT series 6174</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1909 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC080</td>
<td>FT series 5003</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC081</td>
<td>FT series 1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC082</td>
<td>FT series 1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC083</td>
<td>FT series 1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1912 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC084</td>
<td>FT series X29/1086</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1917 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC085</td>
<td>FT series X94/783</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1916 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC086</td>
<td>Fergusson 1645C</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC087</td>
<td>Fergusson 1645B</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1912 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC088</td>
<td>Fergusson 1645B</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC089</td>
<td>Fergusson 645</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1912 Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Denomination</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC090</td>
<td>Pratt</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC091</td>
<td>Tanner H318 224</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC092</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 897</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC093</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 897</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC094</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 897</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC095</td>
<td>Fergusson C 72512a</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC096</td>
<td>Fergusson C 72512b</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC097</td>
<td>Fergusson K 72516</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC098</td>
<td>Fergusson K 72516</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC099</td>
<td>Fergusson L 72498</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC100</td>
<td>Fergusson L 72498</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC101</td>
<td>Fergusson C 72512c</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC102</td>
<td>Fergusson E72514</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC103</td>
<td>Fergusson J 72518</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC104</td>
<td>Fergusson G 72520</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC105</td>
<td>Fergusson D 72506a</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC106</td>
<td>Fergusson D 72506b</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC107</td>
<td>Fergusson H 72502</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Qty</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC108</td>
<td>FT series 5020</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC109</td>
<td>FT series 9884</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC110</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC111</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC112</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P113</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC114</td>
<td>Tanner</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC115</td>
<td>Tanner</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC116</td>
<td>F. G. Radcliff</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC117</td>
<td>WT Wilson</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC118</td>
<td>WT Wilson</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC119</td>
<td>WT Wilson</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC120</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC121</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC122</td>
<td>FT series 6006</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC123</td>
<td>FT series G 166</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC124</td>
<td>FT series D 72506c</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC125</td>
<td>FT series M 72504</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC126</td>
<td>WT Wilson 314</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC127</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 1835</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC128</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC129</td>
<td>Pugh</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC130</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Paquebot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC131</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 1807</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC132</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 1898</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC133</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 2604</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC134</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 1849</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC135</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 2195</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC136</td>
<td>Unknown Ser 557</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC137</td>
<td>Unknown Ser 610</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC138</td>
<td>Beagles phototint</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC139</td>
<td>Beagles 122b</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC140</td>
<td>Beagles Gravure</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC141</td>
<td>Beagles Gravure</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC142</td>
<td>Beagles 476N</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC143</td>
<td>Beagles 550A</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC144</td>
<td>Beagles 582J</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC145</td>
<td>Beagles 582K</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC146</td>
<td>Beagles 586C</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC147</td>
<td>Beagles 586G</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC148</td>
<td>Beagles 590G</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC149</td>
<td>Beagles 590D</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC150</td>
<td>Beagles 592F</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC151</td>
<td>Beagles 617A</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC152</td>
<td>Beagles 617a</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC153</td>
<td>Beagles 617</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC154</td>
<td>Beagles 617</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC155</td>
<td>Beagles 617</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC156</td>
<td>Beagles 617a</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC157</td>
<td>Beagles 617A/2</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC158</td>
<td>Beagles 727W</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC159</td>
<td>Beagles 727L</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC160</td>
<td>Beagles 742J</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC161</td>
<td>Beagles 748M</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PC162 Beagles 752K 1915 2 England 1915 Unc Unc
PC163 Beagles 761V 1916 2 England 1915 N England
PC164 Beagles 765K 1916 2 England 1918 Y New Zealand
PC165 Beagles 765LK 1916 2 England 1916 Y New Zealand
PC166 Beagles 777G 1914 1 England 1914 Y New Zealand
PC167 Beagles 777H 1914 1 England 1915 Unc Unc
PC168 Beagles 777P 1914 1 England 1915 N Australia
PC169 Beagles 783K 1914 3 England 1917 Unc Unc
PC170 Beagles 790E 1915 2 England 1917 Unc Unc
PC171 Beagles 794O 1913 1 England 1915 Unc Unc
PC172 Beagles 805D 1911 1 England 1911 Y New Zealand
PC173 Beagles 805D 1911 1 England 1911 Y New Zealand
PC174 Beagles 805K 1913 2 England 1913 Y New Zealand
PC175 Beagles 823T 1911 2 England 1912 Unc Unc
PC176 Beagles 826V 1912 1 England 1912 N Australia
PC177 Beagles 826A 1912 1 England 1912 Y New Zealand
PC178 Beagles 836A 1910 1 England 1910 Y Australia
PC179 Beagles 836C 1910 1 England 1911 Y New Zealand
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Birth Year</th>
<th>Birth Country</th>
<th>Death Year</th>
<th>Death Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC180</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC181</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC182</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC183</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC184</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC185</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC186</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC187</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC188</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC189</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC190</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC191</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC192</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC193</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC194</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC195</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC196</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC197</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PC198  Beagles 856K  1911  2  England  1917  Unc  New Zealand
PC199  Beagles 856KN  1911  2  England  1915  Unc  New Zealand
PC200  Beagles 856L  1911  2  England  1911  Unc  New Zealand
PC201  Beagles 856U  1911  2  England  1912  Y  New Zealand
PC202  Beagles 856V  1911  2  England  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC203  Beagles 856Y  1911  2  England  1912  Unc  Unc
PC204  Beagles 868A  1913  2  England  1914  Unc  Unc
PC205  Beagles 870P  1913  1  England  1913  N  Australia
PC206  Beagles 874L  1913  1  England  1915  Y  New Zealand
PC207  Beagles 878L  1912  1  England  1917  Unc  Unc
PC208  Beagles 896C  1912  1  England  1913  Unc  Unc
PC209  Beagles 897  1907  1  England  1908  N  England
PC210  Beagles 897U  1907  2  England  1909  Unc  Unc
PC211  Beagles 900B  1908  1  England  1908  N  England
PC212  Beagles 900C  1908  1  England  1911  Unc  Unc
PC213  Beagles 900C  1908  1  England  1911  Unc  Unc
PC214  Beagles 900C  1908  1  England  1910  Y  New Zealand
PC215  Beagles 900D  1908  1  England  1909  Y  Australia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC</th>
<th>Beagles</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC216</td>
<td>900H</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC217</td>
<td>900K</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC218</td>
<td>900L</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC219</td>
<td>900L</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC220</td>
<td>900O</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC221</td>
<td>900T</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC222</td>
<td>900T</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC223</td>
<td>900U</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC224</td>
<td>900W</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC225</td>
<td>900X</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC226</td>
<td>900Y</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC227</td>
<td>900Z</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC228</td>
<td>1072D/5</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC229</td>
<td>901T</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC230</td>
<td>906A</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC231</td>
<td>906S</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC232</td>
<td>906S</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC233</td>
<td>906T</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC234</td>
<td>Beagles 906T</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC235</td>
<td>Beagles 909K</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC236</td>
<td>Beagles 909N</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC237</td>
<td>Beagles 909N</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC238</td>
<td>Beagles 909O</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC239</td>
<td>Beagles 924N</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC240</td>
<td>Beagles 933U</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC241</td>
<td>Beagles 945DN</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC242</td>
<td>Beagles 945J</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC243</td>
<td>Beagles 945T</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC244</td>
<td>Beagles 947L</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC245</td>
<td>Beagles 947M</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC246</td>
<td>Beagles 947M</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC247</td>
<td>Beagles 947O</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC248</td>
<td>Beagles 947P</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC249</td>
<td>Beagles 947W</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC250</td>
<td>Beagles 966X</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC251</td>
<td>Beagles 971K</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Birth Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC252</td>
<td>Beagles 971W</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC253</td>
<td>Beagles 986G</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC254</td>
<td>Beagles 986N</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC255</td>
<td>Beagles 986N</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC256</td>
<td>Beagles 986P</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC257</td>
<td>Beagles 986R</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC258</td>
<td>Beagles 986S</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC259</td>
<td>Beagles 986X</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC260</td>
<td>Beagles 986Y</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC261</td>
<td>Beagles 987G</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC262</td>
<td>Beagles 987H</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC263</td>
<td>Beagles 987V</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC264</td>
<td>Beagles 988G</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC265</td>
<td>Beagles 990C</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC266</td>
<td>Beagles 990E</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC267</td>
<td>Beagles 990J</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC268</td>
<td>Beagles 990M</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC269</td>
<td>Beagles 990X</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

532
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Import Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC270</td>
<td>Beagles 1066A/2</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC271</td>
<td>Beagles 1066B/1</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC272</td>
<td>Beagles 1066C/6</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC273</td>
<td>Beagles 1066C/3</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC274</td>
<td>Beagles 1066C/1</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC275</td>
<td>Beagles 1066C/2</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC276</td>
<td>Beagles 1068A/3</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC277</td>
<td>Beagles 1068A/3</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC278</td>
<td>Beagles 1068A/6</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC279</td>
<td>Beagles 1068B/1</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC280</td>
<td>Beagles 1084C/4</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC281</td>
<td>Beagles 1094</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC282</td>
<td>Beagles 1098</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC283</td>
<td>Beagles 1098</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC284</td>
<td>Beagles 1100</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC285</td>
<td>Beagles 1102</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC286</td>
<td>Beagles 1102</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC287</td>
<td>Beagles 1111</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC288</td>
<td>Beagles 1117</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC289</td>
<td>Beagles 1121</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC290</td>
<td>Beagles 1124</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC291</td>
<td>Birn Brothers 7115</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC292</td>
<td>Birn Brothers 026</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC293</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC294</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC295</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC296</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC297</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC298</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC299</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC300</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC301</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC302</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC303</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E220</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC304</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E27</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC305</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E325</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC324</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC325</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC326</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC327</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC328</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC329</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC330</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E341</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC331</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E1601</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC332</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E1601</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC333</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X14</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC334</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X14</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC335</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X14</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC336</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X125</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC337</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E32</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC338</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E32</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC339</td>
<td>Birn Brothers N32</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC340</td>
<td>Birn Brothers N47</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC341</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E47</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC432</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X45</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC433</td>
<td>Birn Brothers B47</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC434</td>
<td>Birn Brothers E21</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC435</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X65</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC436</td>
<td>Birn Brothers 181</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC437</td>
<td>Birn Brothers X501</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC438</td>
<td>Rotary XS 795</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC439</td>
<td>Rotary 2496B</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC440</td>
<td>Rotary XS 5072</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC441</td>
<td>Rotary XS 5086 E</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC442</td>
<td>Rotary XS 5086 A</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC443</td>
<td>Rotary A.1164-3</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC444</td>
<td>Rotary A1305-4</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC445</td>
<td>Rotary A1901-1</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC446</td>
<td>Rotary A1901-2</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC447</td>
<td>Rotary S.O.56.1</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC448</td>
<td>Rotary S.O.78.2</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC449</td>
<td>Rotary A.988.5</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PC360  Rotary A.1055.5  1916  2  England  1918  N  England
PC361  Rotary A.1996.3  1917  2  England  1918  Y  New Zealand
PC362  Rotary A.1996.6  1917  2  England  1918  Y  New Zealand
PC363  Rotary 7622-E  1916  2  England  1917  Y?  New Zealand
PC365  Rotary X.C.53.A  1914  2  England  1915  Y  New Zealand
PC366  Rotary X.C.53.E  1914  2  England  1914  Y  Unc
PC367  Rotary X.C.53.E  1914  2  England  1914  Y  Samoa
PC368  Rotary X.C.206-F  1916  2  England  1917  Y  New Zealand
PC369  Rotary X.C.245.E  1917  2  England  1918  Unc  Unc
PC371  Rotary X.C.419.E  1918  2  England  1921  Unc  Unc
PC372  Rotary X.C.446.F  1919  3  England  1922  N  Ireland
PC373  Rotary X.C.466.B  1919  3  England  1922  N  Unc
PC375  Rotary 7615.1  1918  3  England  1920  Unc  Unc
PC376  Rotary S.O.77.2  1916  2  England  1917  Unc  Unc
PC377  Rotary A.986.1  1916  2  England  1918  Y  Egypt
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC378</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC379</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC380</td>
<td>Taylor's Z1729</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC381</td>
<td>Taylor's Z1725</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC382</td>
<td>Taylor's Z1209</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC383</td>
<td>Taylor's Z1781</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC384</td>
<td>Taylor's ZO1781</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC385</td>
<td>Taylor's ZO1781</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC386</td>
<td>Taylor's Z2652</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC387</td>
<td>Taylor's Z2732</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC388</td>
<td>Taylor's Z2630</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC389</td>
<td>Taylor's Z2706</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC390</td>
<td>Taylor's ZO3137</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC391</td>
<td>Taylor's ZO4089</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC392</td>
<td>Raphael Tuck Oilette 9629</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC393</td>
<td>Raphael Tuck C2012</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC394</td>
<td>Raphael Tuck R2202</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC395</td>
<td>Raphael Tuck R2202</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PC396  Raphael Tuck R2202  1909  2  England  1910  Y  New Zealand
PC397  Raphael Tuck R2202  1909  2  England  1910  Unc  Unc
PC398  Raphael Tuck R2208  1909  2  England  1911  N  America
PC399  Raphael Tuck C3612  1910  2  England  1912  Y  New Zealand
PC400  Raphael Tuck C3612  1910  2  England  1910  Unc  Unc
PC401  Raphael Tuck C3612  1910  2  England  1910  Y  New Zealand
PC402  Raphael Tuck R2610  1910  2  England  1910  Y  New Zealand
PC403  Raphael Tuck R2315  1910  2  England  1911  Y  New Zealand
PC404  Raphael Tuck  1911  2  England  1912  N  Australia
PC405  Raphael Tuck C5268  1912  2  England  1912  Unc  Unc
PC406  Taylor’s ZO2664  1910  2  England  1910  N  England
PC407  Raphael Tuck Oilette 8783  1917  4  England  1917  N  France
PC408  Schwerdtfeger EAS3380/3  1912  1  England  1913  N  England
PC409  Schwerdtfeger EAS3520/2  1912  1  England  1912  Y  New Zealand
PC410  Schwerdtfeger EAS3520/2  1912  1  England  1912  Y  New Zealand
PC411  Schwerdtfeger EAS3520/3  1912  1  England  1912  Y  New Zealand
PC412  Schwerdtfeger EAS3520/3  1912  1  England  1912  Unc  Australia
PC413  Schwerdtfeger EAS3520/1  1912  1  England  1917  Unc  Unc
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC414</td>
<td>Schwerdtfeger</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC415</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC416</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC417</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC418</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC419</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC420</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC421</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC422</td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC423</td>
<td>Valentine</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC424</td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC425</td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC426</td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>N England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC427</td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC428</td>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC429</td>
<td>Birn Brothers</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC430</td>
<td>Vertigen</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC431</td>
<td>Vertigen</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC432</td>
<td>Vertigen 6339/1</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC433</td>
<td>Vertigen 6464</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC434</td>
<td>Vertigen 6464</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC435</td>
<td>Newman Brothers 586</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC436</td>
<td>Vertigen 99</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC437</td>
<td>Vertigen 101</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC438</td>
<td>Vertigen 101</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC439</td>
<td>Philco 617</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC440</td>
<td>Philco 2454</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC441</td>
<td>Philco 2603</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC442</td>
<td>Philco 2037/1</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC443</td>
<td>HAS 2903/4</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC444</td>
<td>Philco 2673</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC445</td>
<td>Philco 2673</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC446</td>
<td>Novelty Postcard Co Ltd, 350</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC447</td>
<td>McCulloch</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC448</td>
<td>Marcuse Day &amp; Co</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC450</td>
<td>Cynicus</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC451</td>
<td>NPO Belfast 6</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC452</td>
<td>R B Baille</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC453</td>
<td>NPO Belfast 1</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC454</td>
<td>NPO Belfast F34</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC455</td>
<td>NPO Belfast 16</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC456</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC457</td>
<td>Star Photo</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC458</td>
<td>Star Photo</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC459</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC460</td>
<td>Empire</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC461</td>
<td>Kitch &amp; co. X881</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC462</td>
<td>Marlborough Art</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC463</td>
<td>AJG</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC464</td>
<td>Art Publishing Co.</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC465</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC466</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC467</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC468</td>
<td>Bendix 1111a</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC469</td>
<td>Bendix 1111b</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC470</td>
<td>Anon G1002</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC471</td>
<td>JFH 55X</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC472</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC473</td>
<td>Inter-Art Co. 838</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC474</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC475</td>
<td>Anon 101</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC476</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC477</td>
<td>National Art Co. 55</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC478</td>
<td>Frederik Peterson</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC479</td>
<td>Frederik Peterson</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC480</td>
<td>EBE</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC481</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC482</td>
<td>Gottschalk Dreyfus &amp; Davis, 2092</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC483</td>
<td>Anon 831</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC484</td>
<td>Anon 831</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC485</td>
<td>HIR</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
<td>Issuer</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC486</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC487</td>
<td>B (W. Bramley)</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC488</td>
<td>HG Zimmerman &amp; Co</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC489</td>
<td>B (W. Bramley)</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC490</td>
<td>E Nash</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC491</td>
<td>Anglo New Art 541</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC492</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC493</td>
<td>German Anon</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC494</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>N America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC495</td>
<td>NPO Belfast 3</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Unc England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC496</td>
<td>Free Church of Scotland</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>N Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC497</td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 897c</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Y Unc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC498</td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 2509b</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Y New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC499</td>
<td>Anon</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>N England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC500</td>
<td>Ettlinger 7012</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Y England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC501</td>
<td>Newman Brothers</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>N Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC502</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>N England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC503</td>
<td>William Ritchie &amp; Sons</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Y Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PC504 Geisen Bros. 1908 2 England 1909 Y England
PC505 Saxon anon 1911 2 Saxony 1912 Y England
PC506 Saxon anon 1911 2 Saxony 1911 Y New Zealand
PC507 Saxon anon 1911 2 Saxony 1911 Y New Zealand
PC508 Saxon anon 1911 2 Saxony 1911 Y New Zealand
PC509 Saxon anon 1911 2 Saxony 1911 Y New Zealand
PC510 Saxon anon 1910 2 Saxony 1911 Y New Zealand
PC511 Saxon anon 1910 2 Saxony 1910 Y New Zealand
PC512 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Unc Unc
PC513 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y New Zealand
PC514 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y New Zealand
PC515 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y Ireland
PC516 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y Ireland
PC517 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1914 Y New Zealand
PC518 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y New Zealand
PC519 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1912 Unc England
PC520 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1913 Y Australia
PC521 Saxon anon 1912 2 Saxony 1912 Y England
PC522  Saxon anon  1911  2 Saxony  1912  N  Ireland
PC523  Saxon anon  1911  2 Saxony  1912  Y  England
PC524  Saxon anon  1911  2 Saxony  1912  Unc Unc
PC525  Saxon anon  1912  2 Saxony  1916  Y  New Zealand
PC526  Saxon anon  1911  2 Saxony  1911  Y  England
PC527  Saxon anon  1912  2 Saxony  1913  N  England
PC528  Saxon anon  1911  2 Saxony  1913  Y  England
PC529  Saxon anon  1912  2 Saxony  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC530  Saxon anon  1912  2 Saxony  1913  Unc Unc
PC531  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC532  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC533  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC534  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1914  Y  New Zealand
PC535  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1913  Y  England
PC536  Austrian anon  1912  3 Austria  1913  Y  New Zealand
PC537  German Anon  1908  2 Germany  1909  N  America
PC538  German Anon  1908  3 Austria  1913  ? Unc
PC539  Giovanardi, Sydney  1907  3 Australia  1908  ? Australia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC540</th>
<th>A Sala Berlin</th>
<th>1907</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>1911</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC541</td>
<td>A Sala Berlin 247</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC542</td>
<td>A Sala Berlin 247</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC543</td>
<td>A Sala Berlin 247</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC544</td>
<td>A Sala Berlin 247</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC545</td>
<td>Paul Finkenrath Berlin, 9687</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC546</td>
<td>Paul Finkenrath Berlin, 6251</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC547</td>
<td>German Anon</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC548</td>
<td>Albert Oesterreicher, Leipzig, 610</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC549</td>
<td>Paul Suess, Heidenau 3709</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC550</td>
<td>Herman Wolf, Berlin 8279</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC551</td>
<td>Herman Wolf, Berlin 8279</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC552</td>
<td>Theodor Eismann 1802/27</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC553</td>
<td>Theodor Eismann 1902/26</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC554</td>
<td>Theodor Eismann 1106</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC555</td>
<td>German Anon 5209</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC556</td>
<td>German Anon 5015</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC557</td>
<td>German Anon 5015</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC558</td>
<td>German Anon 5001</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC559</td>
<td>German Anon 560</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC560</td>
<td>German Anon 2210</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC561</td>
<td>German Anon 2210</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC562</td>
<td>German Anon 2210</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC563</td>
<td>German Anon 2210</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC564</td>
<td>German Anon 3024</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC565</td>
<td>German Anon 3024</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC566</td>
<td>German Anon Ser 8</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC567</td>
<td>German Anon Ser 5</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Unc</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC568</td>
<td>German Anon 0600</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC569</td>
<td>German Anon 6025</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC570</td>
<td>German Anon 139</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC571</td>
<td>German Anon 512</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC572</td>
<td>German Anon</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC573</td>
<td>German Anon 611</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC574</td>
<td>German Anon</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC575</td>
<td>German Anon</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Country 2</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC576</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Paul Finkenrath</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC577</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>German Anon Ser 728</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC578</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Bendix 1111ba</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC579</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Saxon anon 329</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC580</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC581</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Millar &amp; Lang 670</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC582</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Wildt &amp; Kray 2091</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC583</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Birn Brothers 325</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC584</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC585</td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Valentines</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC586</td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>English anon</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC587</td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Beagles 805G</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC588</td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Raphael Tuck R2315</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC589</td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Raphael Tuck R2202</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC590</td>
<td><img src="image15.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Martin Schlesinger, Berlin</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC591</td>
<td><img src="image16.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>German Anon 3024c</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Postmark</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC594</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC595</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC596</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC597</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Unc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC598</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC599</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC600</td>
<td>VPF</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC601</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Holland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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