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The optimum production is important for the manufacture companies as it allows the optimistic using of capital and ensures the competitiveness of product in market. This thesis explores the options to optimise the existing *sous vide* lamb shank process, and subsequently, the product quality.

The thermal history of a model laboratory process and the existing commercial process were profiled by physical and computer models. The measured results showed that a higher temperature variation present in the commercial process, compared to the well controlled model laboratory process. The temperature variation impacted the textural properties of the products, as there was no significant difference between the commercial-processed shanks in different weight ranges, whereas the size effect was marked in the laboratory-processed equivalents, where the heavier shanks were more difficult to chew.

FPM and FlexPDE were used to model the cooking-cooling process. The FPM model showed that the shank temperature was generally lower in the commercial products, and caused these products was more difficult to chew. The FlexPDE model was a poor predictor of the temperature profile of lamb shank during the cooking process, due to the failure to the thermal conductivity of bone in the model. However, the model pointed the importance of bone as a conductor of heat from the ends of the shank to the meat surround.

The cooking time was shortened to 4.5 hours from the standard 5.5 hours, to explore the potential of shortening cooking time. The physical measurements on shanks cooked in the laboratory for the two different times showed that longer time caused higher cooking loss, however, the cooking time did not affect the mean muscle shrinkage, and the variations were low. The commercial products had similar mean shrinkage value to the laboratory shanks, but the variation was much higher, which again suggested the higher temperature variation in the commercial process. In addition, the comparison of texture between these three shanks showed that the textural values of the commercial-processed shanks were similar to the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, which were higher (more difficult to chew) than the 5.5-hour laboratory equivalents.

The consumer test suggested that the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were preferable to the 5.5-hour equivalents, and the over-tenderisation may be the main reason for the less attractiveness of the 5.5-hour shanks.
The microbiological tests showed that the existing start-point of the material, the existing cooking-cooling process and the subsequent frozen storage could effectively reduce the bacterial loads inside the product package.

Recommendations were discussed that aim to reduce the temperature variation in the existing commercial process. The first recommendation was reducing the product heat load by increasing the mean temperature of the shanks prior to loading. This could be achieved by tempering the packed shanks in an equilibration bath that contains mains supply water for a certain time. This would ensure a more even temperature distribution when the products are loaded into the cooking bath, consequently reduce the required cooking time/energy consumption. Improving the heating capacity of the cooking system was another way to reduce the temperature variation, which could be achieved by increasing the heating capacity of the cooking bath and increasing the circulation of the bath fluid. The heating capacity of the cooking bath could be improved by redesigning the heating system of the bath and increasing the effectiveness of the insulation surround. And the circulation could be increased by applying either pump recirculation or mechanical stirrer. A more detailed evaluation of the cooking bath was also recommended, as it would profile the temperature history in the bath more accurately.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

*Sous vide* is a French word means “under vacuum” (Baldwin 2009). The *sous vide* cooking technology is originated in France in the 1970s, and nowadays, it has become a popular method in the catering and food processing industries worldwide, because it can bring benefits on both storage life and eating quality to the food products (Church and Parsons 1993).

Titan Meats company Limited is a meat and seafood products manufacturer and exporter, and the *sous vide* cooked meat is their main product category.

*Sous vide* lamb shank is one of the most successful products in the company. Shanks are cooked in a water bath with a set-point of 85 to 90°C for 5 to 5.5 hours, followed by 2 hours cooling in a water bath with a set-point of 4.2°C. This temperature-time protocol was largely developed empirically, and the temperature endpoints were set to satisfy the demands of food safety authorities. With respect to cooking time and temperature, Titan Meats wants to optimise the existing process and the product quality, with an optimistic view of shortening the processing time, but attaining desired shank tenderness. A shorter cooking time would make better use of capital, potentially saving energy and money, and in turn enhancing the competitiveness of *sous vide* lamb shank product in international markets.

In this thesis, physical and computer models were set up to profile the temperature changes in a model laboratory process and the existing commercial process. The texture properties of the resulting shanks were analysed and compared, to explore ways to improve the existing process. A consumer test of laboratory-processed shanks was included in this thesis to find out consumer preference for the tenderness and juiciness of shanks cooked in the laboratory process for different times. Microbiological tests were also carried out to confirm the safety of the *sous vide* lamb shank product.

After a literature review in Chapter 2, Chapter 3 describes the materials and methods used in this study. This is followed by the presentation of results and discussion in Chapter 4, where results consisting of temperature-time profiles, textural properties, consumer preference, and microbiological status. Conclusions drawn from the results are presented in Chapter 5, and recommendations for improving the existing commercial process are also discussed.
CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 NEW ZEALAND RED MEAT INDUSTRY

2.1.1 History and current situation

The New Zealand red meat industry is largely a supply chain from sheep, beef and deer farms producing slaughter animals, through abattoirs yielding carcasses and a wide range of derived meat products, through refrigerated transport to local and international destinations, ending in a range of wholesale and retail markets. The export industry was developed in 1800s with the advent of commercial freezing technology in ships (Investment New Zealand 2007) and aimed at the British Isles market. The export trade has expanded to service a diverse array of markets and cultures, each with their individual requirements.

Eighty to ninety percent of New Zealand sheep and beef meats are processed for export, and as such the meat and associated products make significant contributions to the national economy. According to MIA (2009), in 2007/08, the meat industry generated NZ$4.6 billion in exporting earnings, which was 15% of New Zealand’s total merchandise export value.

Sheepmeat and beef are the two main export meat products from New Zealand. Table 1 shows the quantity and price of meat exports in the meat industry’s financial year to end September 2008 (Meat and Wool New Zealand 2009). During this period, 426,000 tonne of sheepmeat products were exported, comparing with 351,000 tonne of beef products. Moreover, the unit price of sheepmeat was higher than that of beef. Thus, sheepmeat dominates New Zealand’s red meat industry, and has always done so. New Zealand continues to be the largest sheepmeat exporter in the world (MIA 2009).
Sheep, comprising three age categories – lamb, hogget, and mutton – are very nearly all raised free range on pasture. Pasture comprises largely grasses and legumes which grow nearly all year around in New Zealand’s temperate climate. While this way of production has implications in meat flavour (Schreurs and others 2008), and seasonality, the free-range pastoral production system is very much cheaper than alternatives such as grain feeding (MAF 2009). Moreover, New Zealand as an island nation with vigilant biological border controls is fortunately free of diseases such as spongiform encephalopathy, typified by the trivially-named ‘mad cow disease’, and foot-and-mouth disease. The disease-free environment allows New Zealand meat products to be exported worldwide to the most demanding markets.

The New Zealand meat industry is different from most of its international competitors, because there are very few market-distorting price supports, subsidies and other interventions from the government (Investment New Zealand 2007). Therefore, the farmers and exporters concentrate only on markets and their requirements. The price signals from these markets have

### Table 1. New Zealand meat exports at year ended 30 September 2008 (Meat and Wool New Zealand 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total shipped (tonne)</th>
<th>Free on board value ($000)</th>
<th>Price ($ tonne-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lamb</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcasses</td>
<td>15,940</td>
<td>80,906</td>
<td>5,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuts</td>
<td>268,772</td>
<td>1,703,259</td>
<td>6,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boneless</td>
<td>44,237</td>
<td>462,582</td>
<td>10,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mutton</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcasses</td>
<td>17,779</td>
<td>48,258</td>
<td>2,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuts</td>
<td>42,730</td>
<td>119,862</td>
<td>2,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boneless</td>
<td>35,388</td>
<td>222,590</td>
<td>6,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beef</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcasses</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>4,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuts</td>
<td>27,149</td>
<td>110,534</td>
<td>4,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boneless</td>
<td>324,127</td>
<td>1,601,880</td>
<td>4,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bobby</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcasses</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>1,264</td>
<td>2,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuts</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>6,910</td>
<td>7,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boneless</td>
<td>10,437</td>
<td>53,971</td>
<td>5,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goat</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,854</td>
<td>62,145</td>
<td>5,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>895</td>
<td>5,738</td>
<td>6,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>860,770</td>
<td>4,639,253</td>
<td>5,390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(From Meat and Wool New Zealand 2009)
resulted in a continually shifting pattern of agricultural land use and consequently animal numbers. Thus, during the period June 1997 to June 2007, total stock units in New Zealand declined from 95.9 million to 92.2 million. The reduction was almost entirely due to sheep number decline (17%) in response to market signals that included the better returns from dairy (Table 2) and also land use change to forestry. However, in spite of the reduction in sheep numbers, the tonnes of sheepmeat exported remained at the similar quantity (MIA 2009) because slaughter weights were higher, presumably in response to market signals that specified larger cuts (Meat and Wool New Zealand 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1997 (million)</th>
<th>2007 (million)</th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef cattle</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy cattle</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stock units</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over all red meat categories, the proportion of further processed meat products has increased particularly during the last 20 years. The red meat industry has developed from exporting frozen whole carcasses to higher-value meat products, such as chilled meat cuts, and to some extent, cooked meat products. In 2006, 97% of export meat products were in a cut form rather than carcass, a major increase from 64% in 1989 (Investment New Zealand 2007).

New Zealand export meat is cut according to objective specifications, and every cut has an exact definition. In the case of lamb, for example, there are five primal (primary) cuts based on muscle distribution, which are full leg, flap, mid-loin, rib-loin, and forequarter. The primal cuts are further divided to smaller cuts for different markets requirements. For instance, full leg may be divided into shank, silverside, knuckle, topside and rump, each destined for a different style of cooking with different textural outcomes. Meat cuts are chilled and packed by modern packaging methods, such as vacuum pack and controlled atmosphere pack, which can extend the storage life of chilled meat to about 8 to 12 weeks and 16 to 20 weeks, respectively (Meat New Zealand 2000).
Cooking is another method that can add value to meat products exported or otherwise, and according to the common predictions, cooked or partially cooked meat products will become the dominant products in international meat trading markets (Xiong and Mikel 2001; North and Carson 2003; Lynn 2006). However to date, the New Zealand red meat industry has added every little export value by cooking. Even in the domestic trade, very little red meat is sold cooked, which is in marked contrast to the activity in the domestic chicken meat industry. In the year ended May 2009, the prepared and preserved meat products export revenue was only NZ$128 million, which including cooked meats, canned meats, and sausages. This can be contrasted with the total revenue of about NZ$6.4 billion in a similar year, representing only 2.8% of export revenue (MIA 2009).

The exact and detailed reasons for this low adoption of adding value by cooking are beyond the scope of this review. However, reasons are likely to include the following. Post farm gate the export meat industry is inherently highly financially geared, with minimal capital input from the farmer owners through cooperative structures. Development of cooked meat products and their international marketing would require large amounts of capital that farmers are reluctant to divert from their farms. The meat industry is moreover inherently conservative. The industry has little experience beyond acquisition of stock, slaughter, processing and distribution to established markets. Also, beyond the scope of this review is the issue of tariffs, which are likely to vary with country and cooked meat category. Superimposed on these likely reasons is the uncertainty of returns due to the exchange rate of the New Zealand dollar. In the year ending May 2009, the New Zealand dollar exchange rate against both the US dollar and Euro was at lower levels compared with its historical average. The favourable exchange rate assisted the red meat industry to generate 17% higher export profit than the previous year (MIA 2009). In an unsubsidised meat market such as New Zealand’s, the growth or otherwise of the cooked meat categories, will ultimately depend on returns. Those products that are being currently prepared and sold internationally are presumably profitable and these are now discussed in respect to sheepmeat.

2.1.2 Cooked sheepmeat products

Several red meat processors in New Zealand prepare cooked sheepmeat products for the domestic and export trades. Silver Fern Farms is New Zealand’s largest red meat processor. Its main focus is clearly raw meat products, but the cooperative’s website shows that Silver Fern offers a range of cooked meat products from sheepmeat, beef and venison. There is no particular
species focus, and it is clear that the products are manufactured in response to demand from the food service trade. There is no advertising directed at the retail consumer. Angel Bay Australasia is a subsidiary of ANZCO Food Group, a meat processor with a history of successful trade with Japan and other South East Asian countries. Their products catalogue includes 12 cooked meat products dominates by beef, and mostly aimed at the food service trade. Of the three cooked lamb products (Gourmet Lamb Bites, Part-cooked Lamb 110g rissole, Bite-sized Lamb Portions), the former two are for food service and the last one is for retail sale. There may be other food companies in New Zealand that produce cooked sheepmeat products, but a thorough search of the internet has failed to reveal clear examples.

One smaller food company, Titan Meats Company Limited (previously named National Meats), is a joint venture between National Meats New Zealand Limited and Silver Fern Farms. The factory of Titan Meats is based in Takapau, and produces a range of cooked meat products from lamb, beef, and veal using sous vide cooking technology. Sous vide is a French culinary term meaning under vacuum, and its advantages are described in a later section. Their sous vide products including Cooked Lamb Shanks, Cooked Lamb Charlottes, Cooked Boneless Lamb Roll, and Cooked Diced Lamb, Lamb and Veal Meatloaves, Cooked Beef Blade Steaks, Cooked Beef Short Ribs, Cooked Roast Beef. Most of the products are exported, destined for food service and retail customers.

Sous vide Cooked Lamb Shank is the core product of Titan Meats. Lamb foreshank or hindshank is sealed in a plastic pouch with a sauce and slow cooked in a water bath for a specified cooking time. This product is mainly exported to the U.K. and Australia, where it is re-heated and served in hotels, bars, etc. as ‘pub (or bar) food’.

2.1.3 Lamb shank

Lamb shank has become one of the more popular meat products in last 10 to 15 years. Whereas lamb shank was a popular food decades ago, the obligatory long cooking time made this product less attractive to those with busy lifestyles. Thus 20 years or so ago shank was considered little better than dog food. In response to the real or perceived dangers of fast food, an affluent section of the community has developed an interest in traditional foods and foods from other cultures. When some well-known celebrity chefs brought the slow-cooked lamb shank to restaurant dining tables, the product’s reputation grew markedly (Food reference n.d.; Grill and Foodservice 2010). With its historical roots, lamb shank is also often regarded as ‘comfort food’. At the same time, comparing with other meat cuts, the unit price of shank is
lower than other meats (Gourmet Direct 2005) probably because of the high proportion of bone. Given that the cooked shank can be sold at a high price in export markets, lamb shank is a raw material well-suited to adding value.

According to Meat New Zealand (2000), there are three shank cuts processed in New Zealand, defined as foreshank (knuckle tip off), hindshank (knuckle tip off), and shank frenched (trimmed shank). In New Zealand, the chilled and frozen lamb shanks are for local and export trade. Fresh chilled lamb shanks are sold in a variety of packages, including cling-film overwrap packaging (local markets only), vacuum packaging and controlled atmosphere packaging, while frozen lamb shanks are preferably vacuum packed in freezer-quality barrier bags.

2.2 THE COMPOSITION OF MEAT AND LAMB SHANK

Before examining lamb shank and its thermal processing in more detail, it is useful to discuss the nature of the components that dominate meat in general and their thermal changes during heating.

Meat muscle mainly composed five constituents: water, protein, lipid, carbohydrate and non-protein nitrogenous compounds (Table 3). Water is the largest constituent, and the content is approximately 75% of muscle weight. Protein is the second largest component, which makes up an average of 18.5% of muscle weight. Protein has many functions in muscle, including maintaining the structure, organization muscle and muscle cell, and supporting the contractile process. The content of lipid in muscle varies in the range between 1% and about 13%, and inverses to the water content. Carbohydrate and non-protein nitrogenous compounds present in small amounts, approximately 1% to 2.6% in weight.
Table 3. Chemical composition of typical adult mammalian muscle after rigor mortis but before degradative changes post-mortem (Adapted from Lawrie 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Average % of muscle weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Contractile tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> myofibrillar</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> sarcoplasmic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Connective tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> collagen</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> elastin</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> mitochondrial etc.</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-protein nitrogenous compounds</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this project, the components of principal interest are contractile tissue, connective tissue, fat and bone. These components are the most important factors influencing the sous vide lamb shank cooking process and the texture of the final products. For this reason, the next section discusses these four components in more detail.

2.2.1 Contractile tissue

As the name indicates, contractile tissue is the proteinaceous tissue that generates muscular force as dictated by the motor neurone system (Mann 2008).

The two most abundant proteins in the contractile mechanism are myosin and actin, which are arranged in parallel filaments (the so-called thick and thin, respectively). They interdigitate reversibly as the muscle cell contract and relax in response to the neurone signals. In the myofibrils, the thin filaments (actin) appear in cross section as regular hexagonal arrays and a thick filament (myosin) appears at the centre of each array. During muscle contraction, the thin and thick filaments slide past each other. As the sliding filament model showing in Figure 1, when muscle is relaxed, there is no or little overlap of the filaments, whereas, as muscle contracts, the overlap becomes greater until the filaments fully overlapped (Mann 2008). Thus muscle is a linear biological motor. The filaments in the muscle are organised in a regular
pattern that is held in place by a group of structural proteins such as desmin, filamin and connectin (Lawrie 2006). The force from myosin and actin contraction is transmitted to the surface of the muscle cell where the cell membrane is surrounded by a “mesh” of connective tissue in the form of collage. This mesh permeates the muscle such that if the cells contents were somehow removed, the resulting structure would resemble a sponge where the cavities were fusiform rather than spherical. Muscles all have a so-called origin and an insertion on bone, and all acts over one or over two joints (Mann 2008).

![Sliding filament model of muscle contraction](image)

Figure 1. Sliding filament model of muscle contraction. A. no or little overlap between thick and thin filaments; B. greater overlap; C. complete overlap; D. extremely shortened with buckled thin filament (Mann 2008).

When contractile tissue is heated to above 45°C, the myosin and actin begin to irreversibly denature to form a gel (Bejerholm and Aaslyng 2004) that is effectively called cooked meat. This gel is nonetheless fibrous in nature because the arrangement of muscle fibres is obviously fibrous. Thus, whole cooked meat – as opposed to finely minced emulsion sausages – has a fibrous texture.

2.2.2 Connective tissue

The relative proportion of connective tissue varies between muscles and, in part, account for the relative toughness of meat (Lawrie 2006). As noted above, the role of connective tissue
is to transmit force and to do this it must have minimal elasticity. However, it can be arranged in muscles in different ways as will be described later.

Collagen is the main type of protein fibre in muscle connective tissue, the amount in muscle is from 1.5% to about 10% of dry weight (Lepetit 2008). The content of connective tissue is higher in muscles which are in the distal parts of the limbs, such as foreshanks, compared with other types. In meat connective tissue frameworks, collagen is present in a highly fibrillar structure, which contains a regularly oriented array of polypeptide chains. The collagen fibres are densely packed and have high tensile strength (Davies 2004). The molecular structure of collagen in a tendon is shown in Figure 2. To construct a tendon from the polypeptide chains, these chains are arranged in a triple helix to form collagen molecules, and then linked to form collagen fibrils, which in turn to form collagen fibres. Cross-linking within and between individual collagen molecules is pivotal to creation of collagen fibrils (Figure 3). The cross-links are easily broken in young animals, since they most are intermolecular head-to-tail cross-links (Figure 3a). However, as the animals age, the collagen fibres become more stable, due to the increase in the number of interfibril cross-links (Figure 3b) (Davies 2004). Collagen is an important source of meat texture through the quantity and quality of the various cross-links (Lepetit 2008).

Figure 2. Molecular structure of collagen in a tendon (Davies 2004).
Figure 3. Cross-linking in collagen. a. intermolecular head-to-tail cross-links in an immature connective tissue; b. interfibril cross-links in a mature collagen fibre (Davies 2004).

Importantly for this project, thermal processes have a significant effect on meat collagen. Collagen originally exhibits a quasi-crystalline structure and converts to a random amorphous structure when heated up to a temperature of 58°C (Lepetit 2008). The thermal transition of collagen is progressive, and starts with collagen denaturation at temperatures 56°C to 65°C, followed by gelatinization at up to temperature of 80°C, at which stage collagen fibres finally convert to gelatines (Palka 2004), where the collagen molecules are arranged as random coils. The pathway from the quasi-crystalline structure to gelatine involves a contraction during cooking. When meat is cooking, the contraction of collagen fibres and fibrils can be considered as happening in two steps (Figure 4). A free thermal contraction occurs during heating at about 60°C, when collagen fibres contract without the restriction of contractile muscle fibres or fibre bundles. Along with the heat-induced contraction, a forced contraction also occurs when collagen fibres and their bundles contract on their denaturation and transmit this contraction force to adhering collagen (Lepetit and others 2000). After cooking, the collagen fibres in a rested length of aged meat can contract about 20 to 25%, by which point most collagen fibres are in the amorphous structure state of gelatine (Lepetit 2008). The changes in collagen provide a tender texture to meat.
Figure 4. The two steps of thermal contraction of meat connective tissue (Lepetit and others 2000).

Slaughter age is another factor that influences the content of collagen, which consequently affects the properties of meat. The concentration of collagen is greater in young animal muscles than in older animal muscles (Table 4 and Lawrie 2006), but this collagen is less cross-linked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamb slaughter age (month)</th>
<th>Collagen concentration$^a$ (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reported from cooked (grilled) muscle.

2.2.3 Fat

Fat is defined as a collection of adipose cells suspended in a matrix of connective tissue distended with cytoplasmic lipids (major component), water, and other constituents, including enzymes which are responsible for lipogenesis and lipolysis, certain minerals, glycerol, glucose
and glycogen, and nerves (Kauffman 2001). During cooking, fat starts to melt and drip out when the temperature increases to 40 to 50°C (Bejerholm and Aaslyng 2004).

### 2.2.4 Bone

Bone is responsible for structural support and locomotion in animals. It starts to form early in the fetal development period through the transformation of collagenous tissue, and continues to grow after birth through net addition of collagen and mineral until the animal grows to the mature size (Beermann 2004). There are three commonly recognised types of bone present in the animal body, but only long bone will be discussed here since it is the main type found in lamb shank. David (2004) has pointed out that bone contains many tissues, including cortical mineralized tissue, medulla, articular and epiphyseal cartilage, and periosteum (Figure 5). The hard cortical bone is an association of a collagen matrix embedding several mineral crystals. The content of collagen in cortical bone is about one-third by weight and half by volume. Long bone contains a medulla which comprises an arrangement of bony spicules (shown as trabeculae in Figure 5) at the ends, and a cavity which is filled with a vascular tissue network in young animals but replaced by fat during growth. Two forms of cartilage are present in long bone, articular cartilage, which covers the contact surface of joints, and epiphyseal cartilaginous plate, which permits grow in length. Periosteum is a collagenous layer that forms as the surface of bone. The differences in composition and density between bone and muscle lead to the difference in their thermal properties, including conductivity and heat capacity (Rahman 1995). Thus, during a thermal process, the bone inside the meat cut will influence the final property of the cooked meat.
2.2.5 The nature of lamb shank

Lamb shank is either the lower section of the fore leg or the hind leg with knuckle tips removed, and generally referred to as foreshank and hindshank, respectively. The foreshank (Figure 6a) (which was used for processing in this project) is cut through the arm bone joint (elbow) of the forequarter, while the hindshank (Figure 6b) is cut through the stifle (knee) joint of the hind leg. Lamb shank is covered by a thin layer of fat and fell (Figure 6c), which appears as a sheath that surrounds the muscles of shank, therefore, making the shank a whole meat cut. The shank fell is recognized as a layer of collagenous tissue.
Shank muscle has a high content of connective tissue, compared with the larger proximate muscles of legs. The force generated by the shank muscles is transmitted through this tissue to the hoof in a manner similar to how muscular force generated in the human forearm is transmitted to the wrist and fingers (Huijing 1999). As described above, connective tissue produces a negative effect on meat tenderness. Thus, these tough connective tissues have to be gelatinized before the shank becomes readily edible, and this can only be achieved by ensuring the shank is well cooked. Slow moist cooking is one of the ideal methods to soften the collagen (Lawrie 2006). After slow cooking, the connective tissues will become juicy and tender, thus providing a texture that gives a good mouth feel.

2.3 THE CHANGES OF MEAT DURING THERMAL PROCESS
The perceived textural changes (e.g. hardness, fracturability and chewiness) of meat that occur through thermal process are generally related to heat-induced alternations of the primary structure components (mainly connective tissue and myofibrillar proteins) in muscle tissue. The heat solubilisation of collagen leads to meat tenderisation, but the denaturation of myofibrillar proteins (myosin and actin) induces meat toughening (Seideman and Durland 1984; Bertola and others 1994; Califano and others 1997). Protein denaturation is the combination results of cooking time and temperature. Generally, connective tissue solubilisation depends more on cooking time, and myofibrillar toughening relates more to cooking temperature (Lawrie 2006). The textural changes occurring in the core of a meat cut obviously depend on the temperature history at the surface (Rinaldi and others 2010). During processing, heat acts first at the meat surface, and induces a dynamic temperature gradient to the core of the meat (Bole 2010). Along this changing gradient, the pattern of changes in meat texture can be divided into three steps. First, the meat becomes tough when heat to a temperature of 40 to 50°C, followed by a decrease to about 60°C. The first rise is apparently due to the denaturation of contractile proteins (mainly myosin), where myofibrils begin to shrink transversely, and the subsequent decrease between 50 and 60°C can be explained by the denaturation and shrinkage of collagen fibres of intramuscular connective tissue. The second step occurs between 60 to 75°C, where the meat gets tougher again, due to the denaturation of cytoskeletal protein titin, which begins at 60°C, and the later denaturation of actin at 70 to 80°C. The third step occurs when temperature above 75°C. With increasing cooking time, the toughness of meat decreases, especially in meat high in connective tissue, as the result of collagen gelatinization (Bejerholm and Aaslyng 2004; Lawrie 2006). Collagen gelatinization also increases with temperature, as Ranken (2000), Tornberg (2005) and Lawrie (2006) reported, particularly at the higher end of the range 60 to 98°C and especially when moist cooked.

2.4 SHANK PROCESSED BY TITAN MEATS

Titan Meats services both ready meal manufactures and retail customers. They produce sous vide fully cooked entrees, intermediate meat products, raw, marinated and cooked meats using lamb, mutton, beef and veal. Sous vide meats is one of the most popular product categories, and lamb shank (Figure 7) as a main product in this category. Lamb shank is
exported to the U.K. and other countries for food service in public bars and hotels, and also for retail sale in the supermarkets.

Figure 7. *Sous vide* lamb shank from Titan Meats (http://www.nationalmeats.co.nz/index.cfm?pagecall=content&ContentTypeID=18343&MenuItemID=57006).

2.4.1 *Sous vide* technology

The *Sous vide* cooking method originated in France in the 1970s (Key 2009). Today, this technology has been applied in the catering and food processing industries worldwide, because it has been shown to improve both storage life and eating quality of the food products (Church and Parsons 1993). *Sous vide* is a French word, means under vacuum (Baldwin 2009). *Sous vide* cooking is defined as: “raw materials or raw materials with intermediate foods that are cooked under controlled conditions of temperature and time inside heat-stable vacuumized pouches” (Schellekens 1996). Compared with traditional cooking method, *sous vide* applies well controlled heating on food materials that are vacuum sealed in plastic pouch. Foods are cooked at lower temperatures (usually under 100°C) for a longer time than traditional methods. The cooking temperature and time depend on the composition and size of the materials and the desired final eating quality. During processing, the food materials are cooked in a water bath at a temperature just above the desired final core temperature of the products. By using this temperature setting, the products are prevented from overcooking (Baldwin 2009). In the food processing industry, *sous vide* technology is generally applied as a cook-chill process, since the food packages are chilled rapidly after cooking (usually to 0 to 8°C), and then either chilled or
frozen for storage until reheating before serving (Schellekens 1996). In processing, chilling is usually achieved with cold water either by immersion in a bath or by spraying with cold water in a chiller (Church and Parsons 1993). Seasonings and marinades are added prior to *sous vide* cooking, however, compared with traditional cooking, the level of seasonings should be lower, since flavours are intensified under a *sous vide* vacuum packaging system (Key 2009).

To ensure a good *sous vide* process, the plastic pouch used to vacuum pack and then cook the raw material must be food grade, and be able to use at high temperatures with minimal migration of polymer component(s) – to – food, and have low gas permeability (O₂ and water vapour). In addition, the pouch must be mechanically strong enough to be handled, especially when hot (Schellekens 1996).

Both a water bath and a convection steam oven are suitable for *sous vide* cooking, but a water bath appears to be more acceptable. It can provide very uniform heating with a temperature variance as low as 0.05°C, which is difficult to attain using steam oven, especially when it is fully loaded (Baldwin 2009).

*Sous vide* technology brings many benefits to food products. The use of a vacuum package prevents losses of favourable flavour and odour volatiles, moisture, and vitamins from the food material. The vacuum environment also removes air inside the bag, therefore reducing oxidation of the food components (Creed n.d.), and the growth of aerobic bacteria. Moreover, the vacuum sealing prevents the occurrence of re-contamination (Baldwin 2009). Also, low cooking temperature lessens the breakdown of flavour and odour volatiles, and of vitamins (Creed n.d). *Sous vide* technology not only improves the products quality, but also provides economic advantages. Immersion (water or steam) cooking provides a very efficient means of transferring heat directly to the product (Baldwin 2009), and reduces the use of flavour enhancers. It can also ensure better use of labour and equipment through centralized production (Schellekens 1996).

*Sous vide* technology is currently widely used for cooking vegetables and protein materials, especially secondary meat cuts, such as shanks, since it can ensure tenderness in meat material, while retaining moisture (Key 2009). The low cooking temperature in water bath with longer cooking time maximises the transformation of collagen to gelatine in meat materials, which can significantly enhance the tenderness of meat product, particular in meat having high content of connective tissue. Moreover, *sous vide* cooking can minimize shrinkage and moisture loss of the meat, which improve the succulence of the meat (Church and Parsons 1993).

There are some concerns related to microbiological safety due to the temperature abuse that may occur between manufacturing and consumption. The potential survival and growth of
psychrotolerant obligate and facultative anaerobes such as Clostridium botulinum, Listeria monocytogenes, Yersinia enterocolitica and Aeromonas hydrophila are the main categories of interest. C. botulinum, which is potentially fatal, has had most attention, due to it being the most heat resistant spore form and may grow at as low as 3°C. Other heat resistant spore formers such as Bacillus cereus, also causes hazards with sous vide foods (Church and Parsons 1993). To prevent these potential health threats, high quality food materials, specialized equipment and packaging are essential, as well as trained staff with an understanding of food safety and temperature control. In addition, specialised refrigerated distribution, retail display, and careful re-heating and presentation for consumption are important (Beauchemin 1990).

2.4.2 The sous vide lamb shank process at Titan Meats

In Titan Meats’s protocol, both foreshank and hindshank are cooked in three weight ranges, small (240 to 300 g), medium (300 to 350 g), and large (350 to 450 g). These shanks are frozen to below -12°C when delivered to the plant and are stored at that temperature for an average of 3 to 4 months. The sous vide process principally involves three steps, which are shank preparation and packing, cooking and cooling, and chilled storage. Shanks are taken from the freezer prior to cooking, and placed into individual vacuum bags. One hundred grams of viscous gravy mix is added into each bag, which is then evacuated and sealed by heat weld. The gravy mix is prepared by adding 30 g of gravy powder to 70 g of tap water. The cooking and cooling processes respectively are carried out in two large covered water baths. The shanks are arranged in wire baskets that are totally immersed in the water bath. In each batch of cooking, shanks in different size categories are separated by layering in the basket, and all shanks are subjected to the same heating profile with acceptance of the likelihood of some temperature variation at different sites within the tank. (This variation is discussed in much more detail in later chapters). The standard cooking time is 5 to 5.5 hours, and depends on the required doneness of the shanks, with a target water temperature of 87°C. An informal texture test is done directly after cooking – with one shank randomly selected from the top of a basket – to ensure the muscles are easily removed, and the shank core temperature is also measured to confirm the 82°C endpoint has been reached. The baskets are then immediately immersed in the cooling tank where the water temperature is set to the target of 4.2°C. The shanks remain in that tank for 2 hours, at which point the core temperature is checked to confirm that it has dropped to below 4.5°C. After that, the cooled shanks were stored in a 0°C chiller until the retail packing phase.
The *sous vide* lamb shanks are retail packed 24 hour before freezing to -12°C and despatched. The frozen temperature is recommended for transport and storage prior to reheating.

The shanks need to be reheated for consumption, either from a thawed or a frozen state. The company recommends three reheating methods, which are microwave oven heating, boiling in bag, and conventional oven heating. Using the microwave method, the shank is cooked in the bag, with a top corner cut off to allow ventilation. The bagged product is firstly cooked on full power for either 4 minutes (the thawed state) or 6 minutes (the frozen state). After 2 minutes standing, it is cooked for a further 2 to 3 minutes and finally after a further minute standing and stirring the sauce, the shank is ready for serving. The boiling method reheats the shank within the pouch. The bag with shank is cooked in uncovered boiling water for 45 minutes from the frozen state or 30 minutes from the thawed state. The shank is ready for serving after 2 minutes standing. For the reheating in a conventional oven, the shank is removed from the bag and placed in an ovenproof dish with the sauce, then roasted on the middle shelf of the oven preheated to 180°C, for 1 hour and 20 minutes from the frozen state or 30 minutes from the thawed state. Finally the shank is basted with the sauce and cooked for an additional 15 to 20 minutes in the oven at 200°C before serving.

### 2.4.3 The problem: is this the best process?

As described above, the lamb shanks are cooked in a water bath with set-point range between 85 and 90°C, typically at 87°C, for 5 to 5.5 hours, to reach a final core temperature of 82°C, and to achieve the textural quality that the meat is easily to be removed from the bone. The set-point of cooling bath is 4.2°C, which allows the core of cooked shanks decrease to below 4.5°C in 2 hours. The existing processing set-points can meet the demands of food safety authorities, and to date, no known complaints about texture have been made by consumers.

Thus, there is no doubt that for lamb shank, which has high content of connective tissue, the existing cooking time-temperature protocol is in the right range. However, this protocol was developed empirically, and therefore, the company wants to explore the options which may be able to optimise the existing process and the product quality.

### 2.5 RESEARCH AND OBJECTIVES

This thesis project was carried out to explore the options to optimise the existing *sous vide* lamb shank process and the product quality. This objective was achieved through modelling the
process physically by using real lamb shanks and sauce to record the thermal history of the shanks and water bath during the entire process, and mathematically by applying Food Product Modeller™ and FlexPDE 3™ to model the thermal history of the shanks. The effect variables that were included in the physical model were cooking loss of the lamb shanks, muscle shrinkage and the resulting textural properties of the cooked shanks. The textural quality of laboratory processed shanks was also judged by a consumer test. In addition, the microbial status of the shanks was also monitored to ensure the safety of the products.

2.5.1 Physical modelling to test the viability of shorting cooking time

Texture (tenderness/toughness) is considered as one of the most important determinants for eating quality of cooked meat (Farouk and others 2009). An adequate texture means acceptance by consumers. However meat products with an excessive tough or mushy texture will be rejected (Martinez and others 2004).

Therefore, in this project, the physical and textural properties of 4.5-hour and 5.5-hour laboratory processed shanks were compared with the commercial processed shanks in three weight ranges. The shorter time of 4.5 hours was arbitrarily chosen but represented an 18% reduction in cooking time and an unknown but measurable reduction in energy use. The cooking effects on shanks were analysed on the basis of cooking loss, muscle shrinkage, and muscle textural properties.

2.5.2 Computer modelling

Both Food product modeller™ (FPM™) and FlexPDE 3™ were applied to model the temperature-time profiles of the both 5.5-hour laboratory and commercial sous vide cooking-cooling processes. Food product modeller (FPM) is modelling software developed by the Meat Industry Research Institute of N.Z. (MIRINZ), now part of AgResearch Ltd, N.Z., and marketed internationally since 1994. This model has been widely used to model heating and cooling processes for food products, etc. and proven to give results accurate to +/- 10% or better (Technology Innovations Group 2003).

FlexPDE is registered by PDE Solutions Inc. (U.S.A.). FlexPDE can provide numerical solutions to partial deferential equations in 2 or 3 dimensions, based on the problem description script written by the user, and present the results in a graphical form (PDE Solutions Inc. 2001).

2.5.3 Consumer test
Understanding the eating qualities of Titan’s *sous vide* lamb shanks is fundamentally important for the success of this product. The eating quality can be defined by either trained sensory panelists or untrained consumers. The trained sensory panelists can rate the eating quality differences, whereas the consumer panelists usually provide information on the acceptance or otherwise of the product, or degree of liking of the eating quality (Miller 1998). A consumer preference evaluation was included in this project, to judge the tenderness, juiciness and overall impression of shanks cooked in laboratory for 4.5 and 5.5 hours.

2.5.4 Microbiological tests

The vacuum sealing in *sous vide* process produces an anaerobic condition inside the package, which creates a suitable environment for the growth of facultative and anaerobic organisms. Also, the potential existence of aerobic bacteria in vacuum package cannot be neglected, due to the presence of residual air (and hence O$_2$), which also increases with the addition of sauce (Schellekens 1996).

The microbiological tests in this project aim to determine the numbers of bacteria on the frozen shank surface, arisen from nearly all microorganisms on or near the surface (MIRINZ, 2009) and the sauce. As well the effects of *sous vide* cooking-cooling process and further frozen storage on the microbiological status of the cooked shank products were determined.
CHAPTER 3
MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 RAW LAMB SHANKS, SAUCE AND VACUUM BAGS

3.1.1 Raw lamb shanks

Four cartons of raw lamb shanks were provided by Titan Meats. Only foreshanks (both left and right sides) in weight range from 240 to 450 g were used in this project. This is the weight range typically used in the commercial process of lamb shanks. Shanks were delivered frozen to the MIRINZ Centre at AgResearch Ltd., Ruakura, Hamilton and stored at -12°C after arrival. Before cooking, the weight of each shank was recorded. The shanks were then divided into three weight ranges: 240 to 300 g, 300 to 350 g, and 350 to 450 g, according to Titan Meats’s advice.

3.1.2 Sauce powder

The sauce was provided by Titan Meats in a powdered form. This powder was orange coloured with green flecks (Figure 8a), and contains sugar, modified starch (E1422), salt, acidity regulators (E262, E330), rosemary, mint, yeast, dehydrated garlic, spice extracts and malt extract. The sauce was prepared by adding tap water to the powder in a 3:7 ratio by weight (sauce powder : tap water), which producing a thick, dark red and very aromatic gravy (Figure 8b). This gravy was used for all sous vide lamb shank cooking trails. Sufficient gravy was prepared and stored in a 5°C chiller for 5 days of sous vide processing.
3.1.3 Vacuum bags

The plastic vacuum bags used were also supplied by Titan Meats. The information about these bags was incomplete, described as comprising a 90 μm top web and a 150 μm bottom web poly film. During the sous vide cooking-cooling process, each lamb shank was vacuum packed in a single vacuum bag with a 100 g portion of gravy. This did not vary with shank weight range.

3.2 COMMERCIALLY COOKED LAMB SHANKS

Thirty eight cooked lamb shanks that cover the three weight ranges were sent by Titan Meats. None temperature-time data were supplied with these shanks, nor original weight data. These shanks were delivered frozen and stored at -12°C for later comparison with the laboratory-processed samples.

3.3 MAIN EQUIPMENT

The sous vide vacuum packing of the prepared sauce and shank in vacuum bag was achieved using a commercial double chamber vacuum packing machine made by Webomatic Maschinenfabrik GmbH (West Germany). The packer bore no model number and no information relating to this machine could be sourced from the internet. In its application, a
vacuum of 0.99 atmosphere was achieved in 30 seconds after which the thermal weld was applied.

Two similar water baths were used in this project (Figure 9), one for the cooking process (FP40-HC, Julabo Labortechnik, Gemany) and the other for the cooling process (FP40-HE). Both of these units were capable of heating or cooling, but using each exclusively for either heating or cooling respectively maintained consistency throughout the trials. The liquid capacity for each of these baths was 20 L.

Figure 9.  a. Cooking water bath; b. Cooling water bath.

The temperature profiles of shank surface and core (see later) and each of the water baths during process were monitored and recorded using a 1000 Series Squirrel data logger by Eltek (U.K.) (Figure 10).
The texture of the cooked shanks was analysed using a TA HDi Texture Analyser, by Stable Micro Systems (U.K.).

3.4 DEVELOPMENTS OF THERMAL MONITORING AND THE SOUS VIDE PROCESS

3.4.1 Development of thermocouples and calibration

To accurately monitor shank temperature changes during cooking and cooling, two thermocouples were inserted into each shank – one at the core of a muscle group and the other just under the surface collagen layer (see next section for details). Each of the thermocouples used were made from lengths of Teflon-insulated twin-twist wire, 0.2 mm in diameter, designated T-type (Farnell, New Zealand). The thermocouple was prepared by exposing a short section of wire at each end of each strand and twisting the two wires at one end prior to soldering. The exposed wires at the other end were connected to a plug according to their electrical polarities. Each probe, typically measuring 1 m, was identified by a unique number so that the calibration of each probe could be traced and the probes linked to a given shank during each trial.
The thermocouples were calibrated in an ice reference (a water and ice slurry in a thermos flask to give a solution close to 0°C). For the calibration check, the thermocouple sensors were tied to a reference thermometer probe. This was an electronic thermometer, model RT200, manufactured by Industrial Research Ltd. (New Zealand), with a platinum resistance probe, manufactured by Sensing Devices Ltd. (U.K.). Each thermocouple sensor tip was immediately adjacent to the sensor of the reference thermometer. The thermocouples were connected to the data logger, and the temperatures were recorded every 30 seconds. This process confirmed that the accuracy of the combination of thermocouple sensors and logger was within 0.5°C.

3.4.2 Application of thermocouples to the vacuum bags

To allow the sensors to be fitted to the vacuum packed product, two methods were tried. The first involved the use of a grommet (Figure 11a) to provide a sealed access for the thermocouple wires in the vacuum bag. Whereas this method did work, the grommets were bulky and a better solution was found simply using a silicon rubber sealant. A 14-gauge hypodermic needle was used to poke a strategically-placed hole in the surface of the vacuum bag. For each sous vide shank preparation, two thermocouple wires were passed through the small hole, such that typically 15 cm of wire was able to reach the required sensing point in shank muscle. The hole, now partially blocked by the two wires, was then sealed by a copious external layer of white Specialist Silicone Sealant (Sika, New Zealand) (Figure 11b). The bags were left in at normal room temperature for 2 or 3 days to cure the sealant before being used for the sous vide process. Preliminary experiments showed that no leakage was found with the seals during the cooking and cooling of the sous vide process.
3.4.3 Detailed processing methods applied in this project

Fifty-three shanks were processed following the Titan Meats’s defined protocol but with the certainty that the cooking water temperature set to 90.2°C, and the cooling water temperature
set to 4.2°C. The laboratory trial process was divided into three main steps that followed a defined time sequence (Table 5).

### Table 5. Sequence of vacuum packing, cooking and cooling of lamb shanks, and physical measurements and texture analysis after processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Actions in temporal order</th>
<th>Time taken (hour)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Measured and recorded dimensions of three frozen weighed shanks</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drilled a hole into the thermal centre of the largest muscle group, and a hole on the surface of the same muscle, positioned directly above the thermal centre</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placed the prepared (frozen) shank into a vacuum bag fitted with sealed thermocouple wires, and inserted the thermocouple sensors into the end of the holes. Filled remaining airspace in the holes with water drops using a hypodermic needle</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stored the prepared shanks in a -12°C freezer                                                                ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>Overnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Added 100 g prepared gravy into the bottom of each shank bag. Vacuum sealed the bags</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connected the thermocouple plugs to the data logger, started the data logger and placed three vacuum-packed shanks plus a water temperature thermocouple into the cooking water bath, with the temperature set at 90.2°C. Cooked for 5.5 hours, then transferred the cooked shanks and the water temperature thermocouple to the cooling water bath, with a temperature set-point of 4.2°C, and cooled for 2 hours</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3 and later</td>
<td>Stored the cooked shanks at -12°C until further analysis</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.3.1 Raw shank dimensions and treatments on Day 1

Three shanks were taken from the -12°C freezer for each cooking trial. The number cooked was limited by the size/capacity of the water bath. Seven dimensions were recorded for each shank, including the length, width and cross-section, tagged x, y, z, a, b, m, n, respectively

1 The largest muscle group comprises the foreshank extensor and flexors, including *M. Extensor carpi ulnaris, M. flexor carpi ulnaris*, and *M. flexor digitorum profundus*
(Figure 12). It was not possible to know exactly which dimensions would be useful later in analysis, so a complete set of data was recorded. After the dimensions were recorded, a hole was drilled in the likely thermal centre of a muscle group with a DTC-10 battery electric driller (Hitachi, Japan). This was the largest muscle group, as described in Table 5. The hole was drilled parallel to the main axis of the shank from the thin end. The ‘tunnel’ created was typically 10 to 12 cm long. A second hole was created just under the surface of the same muscle group and directly above the thermal centre. This hole was created with 14-gauge needle. The shank to be processed was then placed in a vacuum bag fitted with sealed thermocouple wires. The two thermocouples were inserted to the base of the holes (Figure 13), and the remaining airspaces in the two holes were filled with water from a hypodermic needle. The prepared shanks were subsequently stored in a -12°C freezer overnight to freeze the applied water and re-establish thermal equilibrium.

![Figure 12. Dimensions of lamb shank.](image)
3.4.3.2 Sous vide cooking-cooling process on Day 2

On Day 2, the three prepared shank bags were removed from the -12°C freezer. One hundred grams of the prepared gravy was added into the bottom of each bag, and then the bags were sealed using the vacuum packer. The thermocouple probes were then connected to the data logger, and once all three vacuum-packed shanks were prepared, they were placed into the cooking water bath, along with a temperature sensor to measure the bath temperature. The bath temperature set at 90.2°C. The cooking process was for 5.5 hours, after which the cooked shank packs were transferred, along with the water temperature sensor, to the cooling bath, which was set at 4.2°C. The cooling process was for 2 hours.

3.4.3.3 Storage after cooking

The individual cooked shank packs were then stored in a -12°C freezer, until further analysis.
3.4.4 Thermal data obtained from the commercial process

To allow a comparison to be made to the commercial process, the water temperature during a commercial run was recorded on a visit to the processing plant at Takapau, Hawkes Bay. The size (4.5 x 1.5 x 1.5 m) of the commercial steam-jacketed cooking bath was considered when determining the required number and placement of the thermocouple probes. It was decided that 13 probes would give an overview of the bath’s temperature profile. Accordingly, the 13 sensors were wire-tied to the cooking baskets at selected locations, to be described in detail in the next chapter. These selected locations included the middle and both ends of the bath, just below the surface of water, and at middle and bottom depths. The air temperature in the processing room was also recorded. Unfortunately, it was not possible to record the surface and core temperature of any shank during commercial processing, due to the requirement to fit in with a dedicated process (and to hygiene regulations). Moreover, no thermocouple was tied to a shank(s) at the likely thermal centre of a basket full of shanks. It was not realistically possible to do this in a time constrained one-off industrial trial.

3.4.5 Recovery of the temperature data from laboratory trials and the commercial process

As described above, two thermocouple probes were inserted into each shank to monitor changes in the muscle’s core and surface temperature during the cooking-cooling process. This temperature data was recorded by the data logger at 30 second intervals during the entire process. The temperature variation in the cooking and cooling water baths was also recorded using the additional thermocouple sensor described above. In the case of the commercial temperature logging, data were recorded every 5 minutes.

The temperature data were downloaded from the data logger to computer, and reviewed using Microsoft Excel.

3.5 COMPUTER MODEL SETTING

Both Food product modeller™ (FPM™) and FlexPDE3 (PDE Solutions, Inc.) were used to model the temperature history of shanks during the sous vide process.

FPM™ modelled the shank as a finite cylinder of lean meat, with a dimension of 12 cm length and 3 cm radius. The dimensional data used here were collected and calculated from the
experimental trials. The shank surface and core temperatures during cooking-cooling process were calculated using the cooking and cooling water temperature data recorded from both laboratory and commercial trials, and the heat transfer coefficient (HTC) value was set as 500 W m$^{-2}$°K$^{-1}$.

FlexPDE3 used the dimensional data that was collected from the laboratory trials to draw a 2-dimensional model of lamb shank, then created a temperature profile of the shank core and surface by applying the laboratory setting of the sous vide cooking-cooling process.

3.6 THE POTENTIAL TO SHORTENING PROCESSING TIME

Cooking is the most time and energy intensive stage in a sous vide process. Therefore, reducing the cooking time, if possible, would be an effective and efficient way to shorten the process and cut the cost of production.

For this trial, the cooking time was reduced to 4.5 hours from the standard 5.5 hours. Shanks selected by weight were treated in exactly the same way as for the standard 5.5-hour process. A total of 30 shanks were processed for 4.5 hours.

3.7 PHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS AND TEXTURE ANALYSIS OF THE COOKED SHANKS

3.7.1 Physical measurements of the cooked shanks

Cooked lamb shanks (three from each trial) were taken from the -12°C freezer one day prior to physical tests and left in the texture analysis room overnight (room temperature set at 15°C) to equilibrate samples to the room temperature. The next day, the thawed shank sample was removed from the plastic bag, the marinade was rinsed off using tap water, and the shank was patted dry with a paper towel.

3.7.1.1 Cooking loss

After patting dry, the cooked shank was weighted. The cooking loss was calculated as the difference between raw and cooked mass as a percentage of initial (raw) mass, which can be expressed by the following equation:
Cooking loss (%) = \[\frac{(\text{Raw weight} - \text{cooked weight})}{\text{Raw weight}} \times 100\%\]

3.7.1.2 Shrinkage

The muscle shrinkage was expressed as the muscle length reduction, which was measured from the length of bone that was exposed at the end of the cooked shank after process compared to that before.

3.7.1.3 Physical measurements of three major muscle groups

Three major muscle groups, named Large muscle (the largest muscle group mentioned in Section 3.4.3), Side muscle, and Small muscle (Figure 14), were removed from each shank based on their distribution. The large muscle group comprises foreshank extensor and flexors, including \textit{M. Extensor carpi ulnaris}, \textit{M. flexor carpi ulnaris}, and \textit{M. flexor digitorum profundus}; the side muscle group is the foreshank flexor \textit{M. flexor carpi radialis}; and the small muscle group comprises \textit{M. biceps brachii} and \textit{M. extensor carpi radialis}. These three muscle groups were kept in snap lock bag for later texture analysis after the measurements of weights and multiple dimensions. In addition, the weights of the remaining muscle on the shank, called ‘rubbish’, and combination of bone and cartilage (Figure 14) were also recorded.
3.7.2 Texture Analysis

Texture analysis was carried out at room temperature (15°C) with a TA HDi Texture Analyser which was fitted with a square probe of 1 cm on edge. A coarse abrasive cloth strip held in place with clamps was used to cover the instrument’s base plate (Figure 15). This strip provided a surface that would resist sliding of wet cooked muscle. In this way all the force...
applied caused deformation of muscle rather than unwanted sideways displacement. The three major muscle groups from each shank were placed flat on the strip and texture tested at their respective thickest points. Large muscle and side muscle were compressed approximately across the muscle fibres, whereas small muscle was compressed approximately parallel to the muscle fibres.

![Texture analyser](image)

**Figure 15.** Texture analyser.

The muscle textural parameters were determined by one compression stroke under the following conditions: test speed 1.00 mm s\(^{-1}\), gap between bottom of probe and top of strip 45.00 mm, distance to stop and reverse 43.00 mm. Data were collected and processed by Texture Expert Exceed on the resulting force-distance curve as represented in Figure 16.
Figure 16. A typical texture analysis profile (force-distance) obtained from the TA HDi Texture Analyser.

As shown in Figure 16, hardness (peak force (N)) is the maximum force required to compress the muscle to within 2 mm of the strip; fracturability (fracture force (N)) indicates the force at which the muscle first fractures during the compression, and chewiness (compression work (N mm)) is the amount of energy required to chew the muscle could be calculated. Chewiness is the area under the curve. Muscle thickness is calculated as 45 mm minus the distance before the probe contacts the muscle. In addition, to explore the relationship between these textural parameters and the muscle thickness, the ratios of hardness, fracturability and chewiness to muscle thickness were also calculated.

3.8 MEASUREMENTS ON COMMERCIALLY COOKED LAMB SHANK
Thirty eight cooked shanks provided by Titan Meats were measured following the same procedure of physical measurements and texture analysis that applied to the laboratory-processed shanks.

3.9 TEXTURAL COMPARISSON OF THE LABORATORY- AND COMMERCIAL-PROCESSED SHANKS

The textural properties of shanks cooked laboratory for 4.5 hours and 5.5 hours were compared with the commercial products based on three muscle groups. The compared parameters included hardness (peak force), fracturability (fracture force), chewiness (compression work), and the ratios of these three parameters to muscle thickness.

3.10 CONSUMER PREFERENCE TEST OF LABORATORY-PROCESSED SHANK

A limited consumer test was done to evaluate the consumer preference of shanks cooked in the laboratory process for 4.5 hours and 5.5 hours. Twenty-eight consumers took part to judge the tenderness, juiciness and overall impression of the large muscle using 7-point hedonic scales (Appendix 1) to explain the degrees of tenderness, juiciness and over liking of the shanks cooked for the two times.

Twenty medium-size shanks were processed in the laboratory (three shanks per day), ten were cooked for 4.5 hours, and the others for 5.5 hours. The cooked shanks were frozen stored (-12°C) for at least 1 week before the consumer test.

These cooked shanks were reheated in microwave from the frozen state before serving, following Titan Meats’s recommend reheating method (see Section 2.4.2). The method used here was believed to be similar to the reheating method used in the pubs. Three meat pieces (about 2 cm in length) were cut from each shank large muscle. Two meat pieces (with gravy on) that were cooked for the two times were served to each consumer and each identified by a unique 3-digit code. The consumers could taste in any order.

3.11 MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTS
The microbiological tests were carried out in three stages. In the first stage the counts of initial bacterial loads on the surface of the frozen shank and in the sauce (separately) before vacuum packing were made. In the second stage the counts of survival bacteria loads were made in the sauce after the *sous vide* cooking-cooling process. In the third stage, counts were made in the sauce after further frozen storage at -12°C for a week. The tests were divided into five steps, sampling, dilution series preparation, plating, colonies counting, and results calculation. The whole procedure followed a defined time sequence (Table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Actions in temporal order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Collected three 12 mm diameter samples (each weight approx 0.5 g) from each shank by using borer, scalpel and forceps (washed in drum ethanol and flamed before sampling). Samples were kept in 24-oz Whirl-Pak® write-one bag (NASCO, U.S.) with nine times weight dilution fluid (0.1% peptone + 0.85% NaCl). Three shanks were sampled each day. Then collected 2 g of sauce gravy sample which would be used for the cooking, and treated as the meat samples. Processed the three sampled shanks using the <em>sous vide</em> protocol introduced above. Transported the collected shank and sauce samples to the microbiological lab, prepared the ten-fold sample dilutions, then inoculated the serially diluted sample homogenates onto specific medias and incubated the medias at certain conditions and temperatures as required. After 7.5 hours cooking-cooling process, collected 2 g sauce from the cooked shank package, then sealed the sample in a 24-oz Whirl-Pak® write-one bag with nine times weight dilution fluid (0.1% peptone + 0.85% NaCl) and stored in a 5°C chiller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Transported the cooked sauce sampling bag from the chiller to the microbiological lab, prepared the sample homogenates, then plated and incubated at certain conditions and temperatures as required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3 and later</td>
<td>Counted the numbers of colonies on the incubated agar plates, and calculated the results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>Repeat the five steps sequence on frozen sauce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microbiological tests included aerobic and anaerobic plate counts, *Enterobacteriaceae* count, mesophilic and psychrophilic anaerobe counts and analysis for the presence of viable
*Clostridia* and their spores. Aerobic plate count (APC) used Plate Count Agar (PCA) and sample dilutions were incubated for 5 days at 25°C. Colonies were counted on Day 3 and Day 5. Anaerobic plate count used the same agar plate as for APC, but sample dilutions were incubated anaerobically for 5 days at 25°C, and colonies were counted only on Day 5. *Enterobacteriaceae* count used 3M Petrifilm™ and sample dilutions were incubated for 24 hours at 30°C. Fastidious anaerobes counts used Columbia Blood Agar (CBA), and sample dilutions were incubated anaerobically for 5 days at 25°C to determine the mesophilic species, and for 3 weeks at 7 to 10°C for duplicate sets to determine the psychrophilic species. The presence of viable *Clostridia* and their spores was tested by incubating sample homogenates anaerobically in Peptone Yeast Extract Glucose Starch (PYGS) broth for 3 weeks at 7 to 10°C, followed by further 3 weeks incubation at the same condition on CBA.
CHAPTER 4
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 THERMAL DATA FOR THE 5.5-HOUR PROCESS

4.1.1 Water temperature during process

The water bath temperatures during both the laboratory and the commercial lamb shank *sous vide* cooking-cooling process are shown in the temperature profiles in Figure 17 and Figure 18, respectively. The laboratory process curves are the result of 18 trials (in which the shanks were cooked for 5.5 hours), and the commercial process curves are the result of measuring 13 water temperature positions in the cooking and cooling bath, with sensors placed at either end and the middle of the bath and at lower, mid and upper depths, as described in Section 3.4.4.

![Temperature profile of cooking media during the laboratory process.](image)
Figure 18. Temperature profile of cooking media during the commercial process.

The temperature profiles illustrate that the laboratory cooking process provided a more constant water temperature, compared with the commercial cooking process. In the laboratory cooking trials, the water temperature was controlled at the set-point temperature (90°C) ± 0.5°C for the entire 5.5-hour process, except during the initial 10 minutes heat-up phase after the product was introduced to the water bath, while the commercial cooking temperature showed greater fluctuation around the set-point temperature (87°C) of ± 2°C during the first 4 hours of cooking. These data indicated that the commercial cooking bath may not provide the effective temperature control during the process, which could potentially influence the degree of cooking and textural quality of the product.

The poorer temperature control of the commercial bath was likely to be caused by a combination of heater capacity and control with respect to the size and design of the bath. The bath is 4.5 m high and 1.5 m wide and deep (Figure 19). Heating is provided by steam through pipes embedded in the insulated bath wall. After the raw material was loaded, the steam supplied struggled to provide uniform heating in a bath of this size. Moreover, the ingress of ambient air (typically below 22°C) under the bath lid would increase the overall heat load.
Figure 19. Thermal sensors’ position for water temperature monitoring during the commercial process.

Based on information initially provided by Titan Meats, the laboratory cooking temperature was set at 90°C, compared to the actual commercial cooking temperature which ranged between 85 and 90°C, nominally a set-point of 87°C. With the high connective tissue content, lamb shank is inherently tough and required extended cooking to achieve a tender product (Lawrie 2006). Therefore, given that a higher cooking temperature is likely to give better results, the textural results obtained from a 90°C set-point are arguably likely to be better than those from a lower temperature set-point. In addition, based on initial information provided by Titan Meats, the laboratory cooking time was defined at 5.5 hours, which turned out to be different from that for the industrial cooking process, which uses 5 to 5.5 hours, depending on the required doneness of shanks.

During the laboratory cooking process, the water temperature dropped to approx 85°C after three frozen shanks were placed into the bath, but recovered to the set-point temperature of 90°C within 10 minutes. By comparison, during the commercial cooking process, the bath temperature dropped to below 75°C when the baskets of shanks were loaded, and it took 1 hour for the water temperature to recover to the set-point temperature of 87°C. The greater temperature drop in the commercial cooking process and much longer recovery time were likely due to the limited heating capacity of the bath from the initial product load (about 660 frozen shanks).

When monitoring the bath temperature in the commercial process, the two baskets (referred to as Basket 1 and Basket 2) were loaded into the cooking bath, and 13 sensors (copper constantan thermocouples) were held on the baskets at different positions by domestic tie-wires.
The temperature variations between these 13 sensor locations can be seen in Figure 20. Sensors 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 all recorded a temperature of 1.5°C higher than those recorded by Sensors 1, 2, 3 and 4 during the first three hours cooking, and temperatures at Sensors 5, 6, 7 and 13 were between the extremes. These data showed that the temperature at the sides of Basket 2 was higher than that at the equivalent locations on Basket 1. The likely reason is the difference in lamb shank loading between Baskets 1 and 2, with Basket 1 fully loaded, and Basket 2 partially loaded. Therefore, this produced variation in heat load on the heating system in different area of the bath. This would be exacerbated by the lack of forced circulation within the bath and tends agreed with the discussion in the previous paragraph comparing the commercial and laboratory situations.

---

**Figure 20.** Temperature profile of cooking media during the commercial process (highlight of water temperature between 72 and 92°C). Sensors 1 and 2 were secured at one end of Basket 1, and were close to the bath wall; Sensors 3 and 4 were secured on the front side of Basket 1, and near to the bath wall; Sensors 5, 6 and 7 were secured to the opposite end of Basket 1, which was close to the middle of cooking bath. Sensors 8, 9, and 10 were secured on the end of Basket 2, and were close to the bath wall; Sensors 11 and 12 – like 3 and 4 – were secured on the front side of Basket 2, also near to the bath wall, and
Sensors 13 was secured close to the middle of the bath. Each sensor on the same side of the water bath was positioned at a specific depth.

Temperature profiles during the cooling stage are also shown in Figure 17 and Figure 18 for both the laboratory and commercial processes. In the laboratory process, the water remained at the set-point temperature of 4.2°C throughout the entire cooling period, except for an initial cooling recovery period of 15 minutes as a result of the heat load introduced by the hot product. By comparison, in the industrial process, the cooling water temperature increased from 4.2°C to 10°C after the hot product was loaded, and it took 1.3 hours to cool back to the set-point temperature. The product heat load compared to the cooling capacity of the water bath was such that it caused a greater temperature increase and a longer period of recovering time in the commercial process.

4.1.2 Shank surface and core temperatures during laboratory process

The laboratory process started with shanks at an average of -3°C surface temperature and -5°C core temperature. During cooking, the shank surface was heated to 90 ± 0.5°C (the laboratory cooking bath temperature variation was 0.5°C) in 1.2 hours, and the core required a further 24 minutes to reach this temperature point. In the cooling process, the shank surface and core were cooled to an end-point temperature of 4.5°C in 1.3 hours and 1.8 hours, respectively.

4.2 COMPUTER MODEL OUTCOMES OF THE THERMAL DATA FOR THE 5.5-HOUR PROCESS

4.2.1 Food Product Modeller™ (FPM™)

As outlined in Chapter 3, two computer models were applied to the thermal data from the 5.5-hour laboratory and commercial processes.

Food Product Modeller (FPM) was used to model the shank surface and core temperatures during both commercial and laboratory cooking-cooling process using the water bath temperature data that recorded from the commercial and laboratory trials, and the results are shown in Figure 21. Two core points were found for each process that resembled the measured core thermal data recorded during temperature monitoring.

The modelled temperature profiles for the laboratory-processed shank matched the measured data well (see Section 4.1.2), except that the model indicated a 24-minute delay to
reach 90 ± 0.5°C at both the core and surface of the shank, compared to measured 72 and 96 minutes, respectively. In addition, FPM indicated that for the shank surface temperature during the laboratory cooling process it took 1.8 hours to reduce to 4.5°C, which was 27 minutes longer than the measured time. The shank core temperature reduced to 4.7°C by the end of the modelled cooling process.

These differences could be due to the shank description used in the model. The shank was modelled as a cylinder of lean meat, as FPM is unable to deal with irregular shapes. In addition, a lamb shank consists of a significant section of bone with meat plus connective tissue and a small amount of fat cover unevenly distributed over its surface (their effects on shank cooking are described in a later section). These factors would all impact on the accuracy of the modelling outcomes, but it is likely that the model could be finely ‘tuned’ to account for these factors, therefore be a useful tool for future decision making regarding to the shank processing time and temperature. As it was, the current FPM model still provided a reasonable prediction on shank temperature changes during thermal process.

Figure 21. Core and surface temperature profiles of lamb shank during commercial and lab processes, modelled by FPM.
The FPM model results are shown in Figure 21. These curves indicate that the surface temperatures of both the commercial- and laboratory-processed shank were predicted to increase to 50°C in the first 3 minutes cooking (the initial temperature of the shank was -5°C), but following the initial period, the temperature of the laboratory-processed shank increased more rapidly than that of the commercial shank.

The model also indicates that at Core 1 and Core 2, both the commercial- and laboratory-processed shanks went through a similar temperature change during the first 9 and 6 minutes of cooking, respectively, and after that, the temperature of the laboratory-processed shank rose up faster than that for the commercial shank. The commercial process required about 15 minutes more to heated up the shank to a mean temperature above 75°C (when collagen starts to gelatinize), compared to the laboratory process. In addition, the temperature of the laboratory shank retained at 90°C (the cooking temperature) in a ± 0.5°C variation after heated to the point, whereas, the temperature of the commercial shank fluctuated around 87°C (the cooking temperature) in a ± 2°C variation.

For the cooling process, the FPM model indicates that the cooked shank surface was cooled to 4.5°C in 108 minutes for the laboratory process and in 102 minutes for the commercial process. The shank core temperatures (Core 1 and 2) dropped to below 5°C by the end of cooling process, as shown by the converging curves (Figure 21).

The outcomes of the FPM model suggest the cooking effectiveness of the commercial process was not as good as that of the laboratory process, as the former required a longer time to heat up the shank. However, the cooling process model shows there was no significant difference between the two processes.

The measured difference in heating rate between the commercial and laboratory cooking processes is due to the difference in total heat load of the processes with respect to the heating capacity and volume of the respective cooking water baths. The total heat load is the amount of heat that is required to be transferred to the raw material (packages of part-frozen lamb shanks with sauce) to increase the temperature to a required endpoint plus the heat energy required to overcome heat infiltration through the external surfaces of the water bath (Serrallach 1987). The mass of the material is the most significant factor in terms of heat load and to match the laboratory process in terms of temperature recovery after product loading would require significantly more heat energy than is currently provided.

4.2.2 FlexPDE 3™
The second computer model applied to the 5.5-hour processes was FlexPDE 3. The results of 2-dimensional modelling of the cooking process using FlexPDE 3 did not match the measured temperature profiles of the shank core and surface as well as those from the FPM model. There were several reasons that could explain this, for example, the variation of the shank dimensions, the proportion of shank muscle to bone, and the selection of thermal references, including thermal conductivity and specific heating capacity of the shank muscle and bone that were used to set up the model.

Nonetheless, Figure 22a shows a 2-dimensional diagram of a lamb shank entered into FlexPDE. This illustrates a slice across a lamb shank at the greatest circumference with the narrow yellow circle\(^2\) indicating the shank bone, which was covered by muscle (the outer perimeter blue line). The dimensions used were the average values derived from the measured data collected from 32 of medium-size lamb shanks. The shape of lamb shank was irregular, and the dimension of bone was also variable, thus this graphical illustration as used for the model did not provide an exact description of the shank shape, the position of the bone, and the proportion of muscle to bone, all of which would influence the accuracy of the model outcomes, but could be potentially ‘tuned’ for.

Figure 22b illustrates the modelled temperatures inside the lamb shank after 5.5 hours of immersion cooking. The conditions surrounding the shank model were set at 90.2°C (this was required to achieve 90°C at the surface) with a heat transfer co-efficient appropriate for water immersion. This was equivalent to the water bath conditions used. The diagram illustrates the outer temperature at or close to the water bath temperature with the central temperatures understandably cooler. The model indicated two low temperature zones around the bone. The zone above was lowest at 83.2°C, and was 1.1°C colder than the opposite side of the bone. The temperature difference between these two points was due to the thickness of muscle on the top and bottom side of the bone.

Importantly, the FlexPDE 3 model indicates that the shank internal temperature was lower than the surface temperature during the entire cooking process (graph shown in Appendix 2), which differed from the measured results. This is entirely possible because no heat transfer from the bone to the surrounding muscle, as assumed in the model. In the real world situation, heat would flow into the bone from the exposed end, and be transmitted to muscle. Generally bone has a higher thermal conductivity than that of lean meat (Morley 1966), thus the recommended

\(^2\) The yellow colour of this line could not be changed in FlexPDE 3, and is unfortunately hard to see.
cooking time (min kg\(^{-1}\)) for bone-in lamb leg roast is shorter than that of boneless leg roast (Griffin and others 1985).

Although the FlexPDE 3 model did not match the measured thermal results well, it output (Figure 22) shows the potential of this program to graphically illustrate a temperature profile within a shank. However, the thermal references chosen were clearly inadequate. The core temperature in the laboratory process unquestionably reached 90°C, as measured (see Section 4.1.2), but only about 83°C indicated in the model. It was outside the scope of this project to pursue this matter further.
Figure 22. a. The boundary conditions of shank (cm); b. The temperature profile inside a shank at 5.5 hours.

4.3 PHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS AND TEXTURE ANALYSIS OF THE COOKED SHANKS

Following the analysis of thermal data for the 5.5-hour process, attention was then focussed on physical and texture measurements of shanks from the laboratory process at 4.5 and
5.5 hours, and the commercial process at nominally 5.5 hours. The word ‘nominally’ is used because the cooked shanks provided by Titan Meats were not accompanied by process information.

### 4.3.1 Physical measurements results

#### 4.3.1.1 Cooking loss

For shanks of all weight ranges, the 5.5-hour laboratory process caused an average 18.8% weight loss in shanks, which was significantly greater (P ≤ 0.05) than the 17.6% weight loss in the 4.5-hour laboratory process (Table 7). However, both cooking times showed low standard deviations, 1.8% and 1.9%, respectively, indicating that all shanks cooked laboratory for same period of time had similar cooking losses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cooking time</th>
<th>Cooking loss (%)</th>
<th>Shrinkage (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>StDev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 h</td>
<td>17.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 h</td>
<td>18.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within a column and treated with different letters differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). StDev = standard deviation.

As discussed in Chapter 2 the cooking loss is reported as mostly occurring between a temperature of 65°C and 70°C, as a result of changes in charges and unfolding of proteins. Both cooking time and meat temperature affect the cooking loss (Bole 2010). The results showed a significantly higher cooking loss for 5.5 hours, compared to that for the 4.5 hours, which agrees with previous studies that longer cooking time leads to higher cooking loss when meat is cooked at a single temperature (Laakkonen and others 1970; Hostetler and others 1982; Griffin and others 1984; Hamm 1986; Bole and Swan 2002; Bejerholm and Aaslyng 2004; Vasanthi and others 2007). Moreover, the low standard deviations in both laboratory trials showed that the laboratory process provided excellent temperature control during the entire project over four months.

Cooking loss of the commercial products could not be calculated due to the original shank mass data not being available.
4.3.1.2 Shrinkage

Both the 5.5-hour and 4.5-hour laboratory processes resulted in a mean of 4.1 cm reduction in shank muscle length, which was statistically similar with the 4.3 cm shrinkage in the commercial products (Table 7). This similarity was probably due to the cooking temperature used in both cases. Previous studies showed that muscle shrinkage is the combined result of water loss from both between and within muscle fibres, and shrinkage and shortening of the fibres (Ritchey and Hostetler 1964; Obuz and Dikeman 2003; Bole 2010). When meat is cooking, loss of water holding capacity happens between 60 and 90°C, and collagen shrinkage occurs at about 70°C. As a result, cooking above 70°C promotes water loss and muscle shrinkage (Bouton and others 1976; Boles and Swan 2002), and shrinkage increased only slightly after cooking at temperature above 70°C (Bouton and others 1976). Because the heating temperatures used in both laboratory and commercial processes were quite comparable (90 and about 87°C, respectively), the difference in the resulting shrinkage values was small.

The standard deviation of shrinkage for commercial products was 0.8 cm, which was about 30% and 60% higher than the 4.5-hour and 5.5-hour laboratory-processed products, respectively. This greater variability in commercial products was perhaps due to the greater temperature variation in the cooking bath (Figure 20). This temperature variation would cause variability of the meat dehydration, and therefore muscle shrinkage (Perez and Calvelo 1984), and thus the cause of the variability. In addition, variation of heat transfer of the plastic bags used for vacuum packing the shanks and sauce could be another reason. During the cooking process, the bag acts as an insulation layer for heat transfer, its conductivity would consequently influence the effectiveness of heating on the sample (Drummond and Sun 2006; Cheng and Sun 2007). Considering the difference between the bags provided for the experimental work and those used for commercial products, a variation in heat transfer may exist.

4.3.2 Texture analysis results

To determine the eating quality (tenderness/toughness) of the cooked shanks, the three main muscle groups that were described in Chapter 3, named large muscle, side muscle and small muscle were analysed. These three muscle groups were represented likely fractions of cooked shank that would be selected during eating because they were easily/naturally separable.

The textural analysis was based on the hardness (peak force (N)), fracturability (fracture force (N)), and chewiness (compression work (N mm)). These three parameters are believed to
reasonably explain the consumers’ perception of shank textural palatability which includes the initial ease by which the teeth penetrate the meat and the ease of breaking the meat into fragments (Chandraratne and others 2006).

The correlations of the cooked muscle group chewiness (compression work) with total shank mass before and after cooking and with muscle group thickness (after cooking) are shown in Table 8. The reason for using chewiness (compression work) to determine the correlations is that chewiness encompasses other textural attributes, such as hardness, and indicates the work (energy) required during chewing to break down the muscle (Lyon and Lyon 2000). The chewiness was strongly correlated with the shank mass (> 0.5), especially the mass after sous vide cooking. Yet stronger correlations were evident between chewiness and muscle thickness, with the correlation of efficiency values of 0.76, 0.89 and 0.81 for the large, side and small muscle groups. Moreover, the combined mass of bone and cartilage also had strong correlations (> 0.5) with chewiness, but these were indirect effects as opposed to correlations between chewiness and muscle thickness which were more likely to be direct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle group</th>
<th>Shank mass before cooking</th>
<th>Shank mass after cooking</th>
<th>Muscle thickness</th>
<th>Combined mass of bone and cartilage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large muscle</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side muscle</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small muscle</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering the strong correlations between chewiness and cooked shank mass, the discussion on shank textural properties will be now restricted to the three muscle groups related to the mass of cooked shank.

For both commercial- and laboratory-processed shanks, the large and small muscles were higher in hardness and chewiness, but similar in fracturability, compared with the side muscle (Figure 23). The difference in muscle textural parameters was probably because of the muscle thickness. Hardness and chewiness are related to the deepest compression point, whereas fracturability is measured at the point that the sample fractured (Figure 16 in Chapter 3 and reproduced below), so there was a strong relationship between muscle thickness and its hardness and chewiness, but not the fracturability. The thickness of both the large muscle and the small
muscle was approximate 30 mm, which was twice that of the side muscle. As a result, the hardness and chewiness of these two muscle groups were higher than that of side muscle, while their fracturabilities were comparable.

![Texture analysis profile](image)

**Figure 16.** A texture analysis profile (force-time) obtained from the TA HDi Texture Analyser.

The low coefficients of determination ($r^2$ values of each graph) of the fitted regression lines for each muscle textural parameter indicate that there was generally flat relationships between these textural parameters and cooked shank mass, for which all the $r^2$ values were lower than 0.45. This was especially true for the commercial products (Figure 23); for muscle hardness, only 7% of the variation in the large muscle, 1% in the side muscle, and 2% in the small muscle could be explained by the variation in cooked shank mass. However, the corresponding values for the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were 17, 16 and 3%, and for the 5.5-hour laboratory equivalents were 1, 13 and 6%, respectively.

Interestingly, the hardness regression lines for muscles from commercial-processed shanks all showed a negative trend with cooked shank mass. Also for these commercial shanks, for
muscle fracturability, only 1% of the variation in the large muscle, 8% in the side muscle, and 11% in the small muscle could be explained by the variation in cooked shank mass, compared with 18, 4 and 12% of the equivalent values for the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, and 10, 1 and 13% for the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed equivalents.

Compared with hardness and fracturability, the variation in chewiness for all three shank samples were better explained by the variation in cooked shank mass. The $r^2$ values from the commercial-processed shanks were 4, 12, and 20%; from the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were 45, 31 and 20%; and from the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were 29, 41, and 39% in large, side and small muscle groups, respectively.

The generally low coefficients of determination ($r^2$ values) between the textural parameters and cooked shank mass may be due to several reasons. The first reason could be that the shanks in all weight ranges were fully cooked. Therefore, no significant change in shank muscle texture would result from an increase of shank mass. The proportion of muscle to bone could be a second reason. During the cooking process, the heat absorbed and conducted through the surface to the centre, and leads the temperature rise in the meat joint, thus the thickness of muscle affects the temperature rise inside the shank, which agrees with the FlexPDE 3 model (Figure 22b). However, the bone inside also transfers heat to the surrounded muscle (as the discussion in Section 4.2.2), hence the variation of muscle and bone contents in individual shank would result in the variation in heat transfer during cooking, therefore the texture of the cooked shank.

Thirdly, wide differences can be expected in the shanks from different animals. The textural property of meat is determined by many factors, including age, gender, and growth condition of the animal. For example, the older animals are general tougher than the younger animals (Sanudo and others 2003; Lawrie 2006; Coggins 2007). Titan Meats has different shank suppliers from both New Zealand and Australia, which would cause the variation in the raw shanks. However, these sources of variation do not explain the lower coefficient of determination ($r^2$) in the commercial shanks. The particularly low $r^2$ values for the commercial shanks may be the result of factors including different shank temperatures at the start of cooking, and greater temperature variation in cooking due to variable water temperature (Figure 20).
Figure 23. Hardness, fracturability and chewiness of laboratory- and commercial-processed shanks in large, side and small muscle groups.
Intuitively, muscle thickness should have an effect on texture, generally, when muscle thickness increases along with shank mass, textural parameters should and do tend to increase in many cases (Figure 23). If this is true, it follows that if the ratio of these textural parameters is adjusted for muscle thickness (i.e. texture parameter divided by thickness), the slopes against shank mass and therefore the $r^2$ values should both be reduced (Figure 24). Comparison of the two figures shows that the slopes are subtly lower in Figure 24, and 23 of the 27 $r^2$ values are also lower in Figure 24. This tends to confirm that muscle thickness is an important variable in final product eating quality.
Figure 24. Ratios of hardness, fracturability and chewiness to muscle thickness of laboratory- and commercial-processed shanks in large, side and small muscle groups.
To compare the commercial-processed shanks with the laboratory-processed shanks in further detail, cooked shanks were divided into three weight ranges, 200 to 250 g, 250 to 290 g, and 290 to 380 g. These ranges were chosen as a result of 17 to 18.8% cooking losses. For example, the raw weight range of 240 to 300 g was reduced to 200 to 250 g, and so on for the other weight ranges. The different weight ranges were compared for various textural parameters and analysed statistically (Table 9, Table 10 and Table 11).

For the large muscle group (Table 9), the commercial-processed shanks had significantly greater (P ≤ 0.05) hardness and ratio of hardness to muscle thickness in all three cooked weight ranges, compared to the laboratory-processed shanks. This may be important in the eating experience.

In the medium weight range the commercial shanks had statistically similar fracturability and fracturability ratio with both the laboratory-processed shanks. In the other two weight ranges there were significant differences, but the means were quite similar, which may not influence the eating experience.

For chewiness, the data show that the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were chewier than the 5.5-hour equivalents (P ≤ 0.05), but similar to the commercial-processed shanks. This difference extended to the chewiness ratio.

Generally speaking, the large muscle from the commercial-processed shanks was generally tougher than that from the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, but similar to that from the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks.

The weight range effects on the large muscle are also shown in Table 9. For the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, all textural parameters were numerically higher in the large weight range (290 to 380 g) than in the small and medium weight ranges, but the differences were often not statistically significant. This, of course, is consistent with the close-to-flat regression lines in Figure 23 and Figure 24. At 5.5-hour, only some parameters were numerically higher in the large weight range, and again differences were often not statistically significant, for the same reason as for the 4.5-hour comparisons. In the commercial shanks there was no any increasing trend in textural parameters with weight ranges and the differences with weight ranges were not significant.

Thus for the large muscle group, the major effect on textural properties arise from time of laboratory cooking and the effect of commercial production.
Table 9. Textural properties of the large muscle from shanks cooked for different time in three weight ranges. These properties are hardness, fracturability and chewiness, and the ratio of those parameters to muscle thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textural parameter</th>
<th>Cooked shank weight range</th>
<th>Cooking Time</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 h</td>
<td>5.5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Peak force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>23.2 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 7.2</td>
<td>27.2 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>34.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>28.1 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracturability (Fracture force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>20.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>17.4 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>20.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>18.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>19.7 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness (Compression work – N mm)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>252.8 ± 58.1</td>
<td>211.9 ± 38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>294.2 ± 59.5</td>
<td>227.9 ± 37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>377.4 ± 66.9</td>
<td>288.0 ± 35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness/muscle thickness (N mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracturability/muscle thickness (N mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness/muscle thickness (N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>11.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard deviation.
Different letters (a, b, c) in the same row indicate significant difference between means (p ≤ 0.05).
Different letter (α, β, γ) in the same column for each parameter indicate significant differences between means (p ≤ 0.05).
For the side muscle group (Table 10), the commercial-processed shanks had significantly greater (P ≤ 0.05) hardness and hardness ratio than the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks in all three cooked weight ranges, and the medium-size 4.5-hour equivalents. However, these subtleties of significance should not obscure the fact that the means of these two parameters in the commercial-processed shanks were remarkable numerically higher than both laboratory-processed shanks.

The side muscle from the commercial-processed shanks had similar fracturability and fracturability ratio to the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks in all the three weight ranges. Both parameters for the commercial-processed and the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were numerically and statistically greater (P ≤ 0.05) than for the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks.

For chewiness and the chewiness ratio, the commercial-processed shanks were closer to the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks than the 5.5-hour equivalents. The latter were less chewy (P ≤ 0.05).

Overall, the side muscle from the commercial-processed shanks was definitely tougher than the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, but rather similar to the 4.5-hour equivalents.

The weight range effects on the side muscle were also showed in Table 10. For the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, all textural parameters were nearly always numerically higher in the large weight range (290 to 380 g), but often not statistically significant. For the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, hardness, chewiness and chewiness ratio were significantly higher (P ≤ 0.05) in the large weight range. But for the commercial shanks, there was no increasing trend with weight range and no differences were significant.

Thus for the side muscle group, the laboratory cooking time and the difference with the commercial production were still the main reasons for the differences on muscle textural properties.
Table 10. Textural properties of the side muscle from shanks cooked for different time in three weight ranges. These properties are hardness, fracturability and chewiness, and the ratio of those parameters to muscle thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textural parameter</th>
<th>Cooked shank weight range</th>
<th>Cooking time</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 h</td>
<td>5.5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Peak force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.2&lt;sup&gt;aβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.8&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.6 ± 3.5&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 3.4&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.3 ± 3.6&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracturability (Fracture force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.8&lt;sup&gt;αα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.6 ± 1.9&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>12.6 ± 2.5&lt;sup&gt;abβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.4&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>15.4 ± 4.0&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.1&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness (Compression work – N mm)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>30.8 ± 6.9&lt;sup&gt;aβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.1 ± 6.1&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 8.1&lt;sup&gt;aβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.4 ± 7.1&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>46.4 ± 16.3&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.8 ± 8.8&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness/muscle thickness (N mm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracturability/muscle thickness (N mm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness/muscle thickness (N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;aβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;aβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;bβ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;aα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4&lt;sup&gt;bα&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard deviation.
Different letters (a, b, c) in the same row indicate significant difference between means (p ≤ 0.05).
Different letter (α, β, γ) in the same column for each parameter indicate significant differences between means (p ≤ 0.05).
For the small muscle group, the similar trends observed in the large and side muscle groups were evident (Table 11). Thus, for hardness and hardness ratio, the commercial-processed shanks were significantly harder ($P \leq 0.05$) than the laboratory-processed equivalents, and the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were harder than the 5.5-hour equivalents, with varying degrees of significance.

Fracturability and fracturability ratio were rather similar, but again the 4.5-hour and commercial shanks had generally higher values than the 5.5-hour equivalents. For chewiness and chewiness ratio, the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were numerically higher than the commercial-processed shanks, but the means were not statistically significant. This difference is pointed out because it is the one situation where the commercial shanks had a low value than the 4.5-hour shanks.

Generally, the small muscle from the commercial-processed shanks was tougher than the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks and somewhat similar to the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks.

Looking at the 4.5-hour and 5.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, all textural parameters were numerically higher in the large weight range, but the differences were often not statistically significant. And in the commercial shanks, there were no increasing trends in textural parameters with weight range and no differences were significant (Table 11).

Consequently, as for the large and the side muscles, the major effects on textural properties of the small muscle are the time of laboratory cooking and the effect of commercial production.
Table 11.  Textural properties of the small muscle from shanks cooked for different time in three weight ranges. These properties are hardness, fracturability and chewiness, and the ratio of those parameters to muscle thickness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textural parameter</th>
<th>Cooked shank weight range</th>
<th>4.5 h</th>
<th>5.5 h</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Peak force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>37.8 ± 8.2ba</td>
<td>28.5 ± 6.8ecβ</td>
<td>61.8 ± 27.5ata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>35.9 ± 10.4ba</td>
<td>36.2 ± 14.2ba</td>
<td>52.5 ± 22.1ata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>45.5 ± 14.7aa</td>
<td>34.1 ± 7.8ba</td>
<td>49.3 ± 15.1aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracturability (Fracture force - N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>17.7 ± 4.8aα</td>
<td>15.0 ± 3.3aβ</td>
<td>17.5 ± 12.6aγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 4.8aα</td>
<td>12.7 ± 3.1bβγ</td>
<td>17.4 ± 5.8aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 8.8aα</td>
<td>18.2 ± 3.7aα</td>
<td>25.1 ± 13.4aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness (Compression work – N mm)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>235.6 ± 42.9aβ</td>
<td>179.8 ± 41.3bβ</td>
<td>215.2 ± 73.7abaβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>237.1 ± 59.3aβ</td>
<td>181.5 ± 41.6bβ</td>
<td>190.1 ± 52.0abβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>319.6 ± 65.3aα</td>
<td>283.6 ± 86.5aα</td>
<td>282.3 ± 95.5aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Hardness/muscle thickness (N mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3ba</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2bβ</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.2aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4ba</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5ba</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9abaβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5aa</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3baβ</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5aβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Fracturability/muscle thickness (N mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2aα</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1aβ</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2aα</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1bβ</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4aba</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1ba</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.5aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Chewiness /muscle thickness (N)</td>
<td>Small (200-250 g)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.4bβ</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.1bβ</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.3aα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium (250-290 g)</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.7bβ</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.4bβ</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.2aba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (290-380 g)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.8aa</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.0ba</td>
<td>10.0 ± 3.0aba</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard deviation.
Different letters (a, b, c) in the same row indicate significant difference between means (p ≤ 0.05).
Different letter (a, β, γ) in the same column for each parameter indicate significant differences between means (p ≤ 0.05).
4.3.3 Main outcomes of texture analysis

The results for the laboratory trials indicated that shanks cooked for 4.5 hours were tougher than those cooked for 5.5 hours, which confirmed the effect of cooking time on meat texture. Prolonged cooking time promotes gelatinization of collagen, consequently increasing the tenderness of the meat (Seideman and Durland 1984; Bejerholm and Aaslyng 2004; Lawrie 2006). Tenderness of meat is an extremely important attribute of eating quality, but over-tenderisation and consequent loss of ‘bite’ is not desirable (Farouk and others 2009).

Although the cooking time of the commercial-processed shanks was nominally 5.5 hours, the hardness was generally greater than the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks, and even compared with the 5.5-hour laboratory-processed equivalents, the commercial-processed shanks was significantly tougher as judged by the textural parameters as a group. The differences between the commercial- and laboratory-processed shanks were considered to be mainly due to the product thermal history and possibly the nature of sous vide bags used, as discussed earlier. Between-animal effects are also a possible cause but cannot be formally considered because the supply history of the raw shanks and cooked shanks was not available.

The thermal history includes many factors, such as the initial temperature of the shank, the water bath temperature set-point, the product loading on the heating capacity throughout the process (Figure 20), and the heat transfer rate during cooking. Since collagen in sheep meat starts to denature after heating for 10 minutes at 64°C (Snowden and Weidemann 1978) and the solubility degree increases with temperature (Lawrie 2006), the difference in final temperature between the commercial- and laboratory-processed shanks would relate to the muscle tenderness. This result agrees with previous studies that in the 80 to 90°C range, where meat tenderness increased (shear force decreased) with temperature (Machlik and Draudt 1963; Bouton and others 1981; Robertson and others 1984; Seideman and Durland 1984; Combes and others 2004).

The results also indicated that weight range had no significant effect on textural properties in commercial shanks, whereas, there were often noticeable differences in the texture of laboratory shanks due to weight range. One reason for this would probably be the temperature variability within the commercial cooking bath. Thus reduction of the variability of the commercial process would provide a more consistent product textural quality.

A related way of minimizing the temperature variability would to have all shanks at a same starting temperature. This might be accomplished with a thaw process, by first immersing the shanks in a water bath maintained around 15°C. This pre-cook process would not only bring all shanks to the same temperature but also should save on energy costs in the cooking process as
such. The energy saving was calculated based on the output of an FPM model. The FPM model used the settings shown in Table 12. The shank dimensions and thermal property used here were the same as those used in the earlier FPM modelling exercise (see Section 3.5).

Table 12. FPM model setup for the calculation of energy saving from water bath immersion prior to cooking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shank dimension</th>
<th>Shank was modelled as a finite cylinder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>0.12 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>0.03 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal property</th>
<th>Shank was considered as lean meat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient</td>
<td>500 W m² °K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial shank temperature</th>
<th>-12°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immersion temperature</td>
<td>15°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion time</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from the FPM model showed that shank mean temperature after 2 hours immersion was 13°C, but clearly this requires maintaining the water bath at or near 15°C (see below). The energy saving was calculated as the difference between the energy required for cooking a batch of shanks (300 kg) from the frozen state (-12°C) and the energy used for cooking an equivalent batch of shanks after the 2 hour immersion (13°C). The calculations (Appendix 3) indicated that the energy consumption of cooking shanks from frozen was 33.9 kWh, and cooking from pre-heated was 19.1 kWh. The energy saving was mainly due to the fact that shanks have been thawed, using “free” energy from water at ambient temperature. Assuming 20c per Kwh, the energy saving is trivial $3, and clearly not worth the effort considering that maintaining the water bath at or near 15°C may itself require some energy input and/or water cost, and labour cost. The tempering water may be reusable, if there was no leakage from the vacuum packages, but the hygiene need be confirmed before reuse. In addition, the use of an equilibrating water bath would also require a capital outlay unless idle water baths are available, and the process room has enough space.

However, there are two important advantages that could be gained from thaw immersion prior to cooking. First, the shanks will all be at the same starting temperature, and that is likely to result in more consistent texture. Second, the cooking time can be shorter, but would have to be determined by experiment and the modelling has not been extended to that level of detail.
Finally, the question must be asked: what would be the impact of immersion thawing on microbial growth within the vacuum packs? It is unlikely to be a microbial growth associated with the thaw because the materials are vacuum packed, so there would be no further contamination as a result of this alternative processes. And, as a described in Section 4.5 (below), the sous vide cook-chill process is an effective way to ensuring the product hygiene.

4.4 CONSUMER PREFERENCES TEST OF LABORATORY-PROCESSED SHANK

The mean liking scores for muscle tenderness, juiciness and overall impression of the laboratory-processed shanks from 28 consumers are displayed in Figure 25. Liking scores for the 4.5-hour shanks were numerically higher than the 5.5-hour equivalents, but the differences were not statistically significant.

![Figure 25](image_url)

The liking scores of laboratory-processed shank tenderness, juiciness and overall impression. Tenderness: 1 = extremely tough, 7 = extremely tender; Juiciness: 1 = extremely dry, 7 = extremely juicy; Overall liking: 1 = dislike extremely, 7 = like extremely; Bars are means and lines are standard deviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Tenderness</th>
<th>Juiciness</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5 hours</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 hours</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 25.
However, the results from texture analysis indicated that the 4.5-hour shanks were tougher than the 5.5-hour shanks (Figure 25). This suggests that the meat from the 5.5-hour shanks was over-tenderisation, and less liked by the consumers for that reason. Juiciness was similarly numerically more appreciated in the 4.5-hour shanks, and this may be related to perceived mushiness (if indeed the 5.5-hour shanks were mushy). An alternative view of these data is that because tenderness is the single most important parameter in meat eating quality (Farouk and others 2009), a dislike of tenderness may affect judgements of juiciness and overall liking. But again the differences were not statistically significant. It must be noted that in extracting meaning from these data, the way of serving could also influence judgements. During the consumer test, gravy was stirred on the meat before it was served. The presence of gravy and the amount on each meat piece could influence the judgements on eating quality. However, every attempt was made to have equal amounts of gravy on equal amounts of shank meat.

Overall, it was clear from the tests that both of the laboratory-processed shanks could satisfy the panellists, but the 4.5-hour shanks were (numerically) preferable to the 5.5-hour shanks. This conclusion was generally reinforced by comments from the consumers (Table 13 and Table 14), where 4.5-hours shanks were more often described in favourable terms. Attention is also drawn to the comments: “4.5-hours sample holds together a bit better but also tender, 5.5-hour sample falls apart more and slightly dried in texture”; “Like 4.5-hour sample better, 5.5-hour sample broke up to easily – no chewing pleasure!”, suggesting over-tenderisation can be a deterrent for some consumers, and also indicates that the 5.5-hour shanks did tend to be over-tenderisation. Having stated that, it was also clear that some consumers liked that.

The fact that differences were not statistically significant was established with 28 consumers, and on the face of it the differences between the two times are not commercially important. That conclusion is probably not valid. Over many more consumers, thousands for example, the differences probably would become significant and probably commercially important.
Table 13. Comments on texture from the consumer test$^3$. The exact phrases are presented

**Preferred 4.5-hour shank**

Both muscles fell apart on picking the samples up, but 4.5-hour sample has a bit more tender
4.5-hour sample texture was preferable to 5.5-hour sample
Good texture, 4.5-hour sample is “stickier
4.5-hour sample has better (softer) texture than 5.5-hour sample, although 5.5-hour sample
is also delicious
4.5-hours sample is better, 5.5-hour sample is little less tender
Like 4.5-hour sample better, 5.5-hour sample broke up to easily – no chewing pleasure!
4.5-hours sample holds together a bit better but also tender, 5.5-hour sample falls apart more
and slightly dried in texture
4.5-hour sample was nice and tender, but 5.5-hour sample was not so nice and tender
Both were nice and tender, but 4.5-hour sample was slight better
I like 4.5-hour sample

**Neutral**

Both excellent
Both are very tender and smooth
Both texture are very good – what I would expect from a tender lamb shank
Both are quite good
Both easy to chew, but have had more tender slow cooked lamb
Both are very good, fell apart when trying to take up
Good texture for both, tender enough for both. Enjoyed the meat fibre “bite” rather than
(sometimes) gelatine texture of shanks
Both samples while very tender and fell apart easily in the mouth
Prefer slightly firmer
I found both of good tenderness
The overall score is average for both textures. Both samples have similar texture, 4.5-hour
sample slightly tougher, none of that had the “melt-in-your-mouth” texture

**Preferred 5.5-hour shank**

There was difference between the two samples. 5.5-hour sample was close to what would
traditionally expect of lamb shank
4.5-hour sample is flaky, 5.5-hour sample is more pleasant texture
Preferred 5.5-hour sample as it had more substance on it

---

$^3$ The raw comments were identified by 3-digit code but these codes have been replaced with the real identity in this table
Table 14. Comments on overall impression from the consumer test. The exact phrases are presented

Preferred 4.5-hour shank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred 4.5-hour shank</th>
<th>Preferred 4.5-hour sample, as it was slightly juicier than 5.5-hour sample</th>
<th>Preferred 4.5-hour sample, as it was slightly juicier than 5.5-hour sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5-hour sample were very tender, 5.5-hour sample were dry and little tough</td>
<td>Preferred 4.5-hour sample, as it was slightly juicier than 5.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Preferred 4.5-hour sample, as it was slightly juicier than 5.5-hour sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5-hour sample was really nice, and the sauce was good</td>
<td>4.5-hour sample tasted sweeter bit nicer. 5.5-hour sample had a very slight bitter taste</td>
<td>4.5-hour sample tasted sweeter bit nicer. 5.5-hour sample had a very slight bitter taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred 4.5-hour sample, as it was slightly juicier than 5.5-hour sample</td>
<td>I like them both. 4.5-hour sample was that little bit juicier, so I scored it slightly higher</td>
<td>I like them both. 4.5-hour sample was that little bit juicier, so I scored it slightly higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour on both was great. 4.5-hour sample was better</td>
<td>Flavour on both was great. 4.5-hour sample was better</td>
<td>Flavour on both was great. 4.5-hour sample was better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice shanks for commercial products, 4.5-hour sample was preferred</td>
<td>Nice shanks for commercial products, 4.5-hour sample was preferred</td>
<td>Nice shanks for commercial products, 4.5-hour sample was preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very nice flavour, enjoyed both very much, liked the spiciness. 4.5-hour sample genuine old style mint sauce flavour of 5.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Very nice flavour, enjoyed both very much, liked the spiciness. 4.5-hour sample genuine old style mint sauce flavour of 5.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Very nice flavour, enjoyed both very much, liked the spiciness. 4.5-hour sample genuine old style mint sauce flavour of 5.5-hour sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both were delicious but I preferred to eat 4.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Both were delicious but I preferred to eat 4.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Both were delicious but I preferred to eat 4.5-hour sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My preference was 4.5-hour sample, found it very enjoyable. 5.5-hour sample was a bit “chewy”</td>
<td>My preference was 4.5-hour sample, found it very enjoyable. 5.5-hour sample was a bit “chewy”</td>
<td>My preference was 4.5-hour sample, found it very enjoyable. 5.5-hour sample was a bit “chewy”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both very tasty, but prefer 4.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Both very tasty, but prefer 4.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Both very tasty, but prefer 4.5-hour sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5-hour sample was tastier, but would recommended both</td>
<td>4.5-hour sample was tastier, but would recommended both</td>
<td>4.5-hour sample was tastier, but would recommended both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neutral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both were yummy</td>
<td>Both were yummy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both would be a satisfied restaurant offering</td>
<td>Both would be a satisfied restaurant offering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Really yummy</td>
<td>Really yummy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like both very much</td>
<td>Like both very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite good</td>
<td>Quite good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smell appealing and sauce looked good</td>
<td>Smell appealing and sauce looked good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very nice</td>
<td>Very nice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste was not strong, which I considered was good</td>
<td>Taste was not strong, which I considered was good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preferred 5.5-hour shank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred 5.5-hour shank</th>
<th>Preferred 5.5-hour shank was really nice, and the sauce was good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average, but 5.5-hour sample tastier than 4.5-hour sample</td>
<td>Average, but 5.5-hour sample tastier than 4.5-hour sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite good, but 5.5-hour sample was nicer than 4.5-hour sample, because 4.5-hour sample was dry</td>
<td>Quite good, but 5.5-hour sample was nicer than 4.5-hour sample, because 4.5-hour sample was dry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 The raw comments were identified by 3-digit code but these codes have been replaced with the real identity in this table.
Preferred 5.5-hour sample as it had more flavour, did not like the sauce, it made it difficult
taste the meat
Both were delicious. I preferred 5.5-hour sample because it held more moisture

4.5 MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTS

The microbiological results are shown in Table 15. In the frozen shank, the aerobic plate
count was 2.56 log_{10} CFU/cm^2 on Day 3, and slightly numerically increased to 2.72 log_{10}
CFU/cm^2 after 2 more days’ incubation. The anaerobic plate count on Day 5 was 2.19 log_{10}
CFU/cm^2. The counts of anaerobic mesophilic (25°C) bacteria, anaerobic psychrophilic (7°C)
bacteria, and Enterobacteriaceae were 2.56, 1.44, and below 0.11 log_{10} CFU/cm^2, respectively.  
(The expression below 0.11 log_{10} – <0.11 in the table – means that several replicate plates were
devoid of colonies, but the bacterium was not necessarily absent.)

In the sauce, the aerobic plate counts were 3.56 and 3.58 log_{10} CFU/g on Day 3 and Day 5.  
The anaerobic plate count on Day 5 was 3.12 log_{10} CFU/g. The counts of anaerobic mesophilic
(25°C) bacteria, anaerobic psychrophilic (7°C) bacteria, and Enterobacteriaceae were 3.37,
below 1.75, and below 1.13 log_{10} CFU/g, respectively. Neither the raw shank nor the sauce
contained Clostridia or their spores.

After the sous-vide cooking-cooling process, the total aerobic and anaerobic plate counts
decreased to 2.64 and 2.04 log_{10} CFU/g, respectively. The counts of anaerobic mesophilic (25°C)
bacteria and anaerobic psychrophilic (7°C) bacteria dropped to below 2.30 and 2.03 log_{10} CFU/g.  
The Enterobacteriaceae count was below 1.03 log_{10} CFU/g inside the product package.

The subsequent refreezing process provided a further reduction of the microbiological
populations inside the vacuum package. The total aerobic and anaerobic plate counts decreased
slightly to 2.26 and 2.12 log_{10} CFU/g, respectively. The numbers of anaerobic mesophilic (25°C)
bacteria dropped to below 2.05 log_{10} CFU/g. Anaerobic psychrophilic (7°C) bacteria and
Enterobacteriaceae were eliminated. (not detected in any replicate plates.)

The total numbers of bacteria inside each vacuum package were calculated (Table 16)
based on the results in Table 15, assuming the average surface area for each shank was 313 cm^2,
and the average mass of raw shank was 350 g, the sauce in each pouch weighed 100 g. This
analysis provides a more direct description of the microbial population within the product
package before and after processing.
The total aerobic plate counts in initial (unprocessed) sous vide package after 3 and 5 days incubation was 5.75 and 5.84 log_{10}, which were decreased to 5.30 log_{10} after the sous vide cooking-cooling process and to 4.92 log_{10} after the further freezing. The total anaerobic plate count was 5.35 log_{10}, which reduced to 4.96 and 4.77 log_{10} after the sous vide process and further freezing. The number of anaerobic mesophilic (25°C) bacteria was 5.64 log_{10} before the process, and reduced to below 4.96 log_{10} after the cooking-cooling process and further dropped to below 4.71 log_{10} after refreezing. In addition, the number of anaerobic psychrophilic (7°C) bacteria and Enterobacteriaceae in the initial package was below 4.34 and 3.27 log_{10}, respectively, and the number slightly increased to 4.86 and 3.69 log_{10} after the cooking-cooling process, but eliminated after further refreezing.

It is clear from the results that the bacteria present preferred to grow under aerobic conditions rather than anaerobic conditions, and that they appeared to grow faster rates at the higher temperature (25°C) compared with the lower temperature (7°C).

The plate counts results also indicated that the sous vide process (cooking at 90°C for 5.5 hours, following cooling at 4.2°C for 2 hours) could reduce the total bacteria load inside the product package, and the subsequent frozen storage produce a further reduction.

This limited microbiological study shows that product is hygienic, according to the microbiological reference criteria for food (Ministry of Health 1995).
Table 15. Aerobic and anaerobic plate counts, *Enterobacteriaceae* counts, fastidious anaerobe counts (log\(_{10}\) CFU/cm\(^2\) or log\(_{10}\) CFU/g), and the presence of viable *Clostridia* and their spores in raw materials and the package after the *sous vide* process and further refreezing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>APC</th>
<th>AnPC</th>
<th>Enterobacteriaceae count</th>
<th>CBA</th>
<th>Presence of viable <em>Clostridia</em> and/or their spores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>7°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shank(^a)</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.68</td>
<td>&lt; 0.11 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauce(^b)</td>
<td>3.56 ± 0.16</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.17</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.24</td>
<td>&lt; 1.13 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.37 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook-cooled package(^c)</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.83</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.75</td>
<td>&lt; 1.03 ± 0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 2.30 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrozen package(^d)</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.88</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>&lt; 2.05 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value of mean ± standard deviation
\(^a\) Log\(_{10}\) CFU/cm\(^2\); \(^b,c,d\) Log\(_{10}\) CFU/g

APC = Aerobic plate counts; AnPC = Anaerobic plate counts; CBA = Anaerobe counts incubated in Columbia Blood Agar

Cook-cooled package = processed shank with sauce; refrozen package = refrozen shank with sauce
Table 16. Total within-package aerobic and anaerobic plate counts, Enterobacteriaceae counts, fastidious anaerobes counts (log_{10}), and the presence of viable Clostridia and their spores before and after the sous vide process and further refreezing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>APC</th>
<th>AnPC</th>
<th>Enterobacteriaceae count</th>
<th>CBA 25°C</th>
<th>CBA 7°C</th>
<th>Presence of viable Clostridia and/or their spores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-cooking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shank &amp; Sauce&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.08</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.19</td>
<td>&lt; 3.27 ± 0.25</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.42</td>
<td>4.34 ± 0.84</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-cooking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook-cooled package&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.83</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.75</td>
<td>&lt; 4.96 ± 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 4.68 ± 0.67</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrozen package&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>4.92 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.88</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value of mean ± standard deviation

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Log<sub>10</sub>

Cooked package = processed shank with sauce; refrozen package = refrozen shank with sauce

APC = Aerobic plate counts; AnPC = Anaerobic plate counts; CBA = Anaerobes counts incubated in Columbia Blood Agar
CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The temperature in the laboratory process was well controlled as could be expected from a water bath with good heating capacity, circulation and insulation. The measured temperature variation was higher in the commercial process, and the measurements did not include those in the likely thermal centre of a cooking basket, which intuitively would be the coldest locale in the entire bath. The exact thermal history of the 38 commercial shanks was unknown, but assuming it was representative of the Titan’s product, it can be concluded that in spite of temperature variation, the commercial product has good textural properties on average, although there is scope to reduce variation, provided this can be done cost effectively. Moreover, weight range had no significant effect on textural properties in commercial shanks. The key data that supports this conclusion is in Table 9, Table 10 and Table 11, where the absolute textural values and the ratios of textural values to muscle thickness were segregated into small, medium and large shank groups. There were no significant differences between the groups. However, there were often marked differences in the texture of laboratory shanks due to size. Overall, textural values were higher (more difficult to chew) where the shanks were heavier, even when expressed as the ratios of textural values to muscle thickness. It is very likely that the failure to detect a shank-size effect in commercial shanks can be traced to temperature variation within the commercial cooking bath.

Physical measurements of laboratory cooked shanks showed that shanks cooked for the longer time of 5.5 hours had a higher cooking loss, indicating the collagen was not completely gelatinised at 4.5 hours.

Laboratory cooking time did not affect mean muscle shrinkage and variation was low. However, while the mean shrinkage of the commercial-processed shanks was similar to that of the laboratory shanks, the variation was much higher, which again points to more variation in temperature during the commercial process.

The computer models of the cooking-cooling process did not address variation. The FPM model showed that the shank muscle temperature were generally lower in the commercial
product as might be inferred from the Figure 17 and Figure 18, and leading to more difficult chewing summarised in Table 9, Table 10 and Table 11. The Flex PDE 3 model was a poor predictor of the temperature profile of the lamb shank during the cooking process. This could be traced to a failure to including the thermal conductivity of bone in the model. Without bone the core of the shank was predicted to be much cooler than the surface, which measurement showed was not the case. The results indicate the importance of bone as a conductor of heat from the ends of the shank to the meat immediately surrounding the bone. Thus in the real life situation the meat cut is being cooked from the inside and the outside.

The consumer trial suggested that the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks were preferable to the 5.5-hour equivalents, and the feedbacks from the consumers also supported the likelihood that the 5.5-hour cooked shanks were over-tenderised. Because the commercial shanks have a mean textural profile more similar to the 4.5-hour laboratory-processed shanks than the 5.5-hour equivalents, it is proposed that the existing Titan process is fundamentally sound. However, the issue of variability remains and is further discussed below.

The microbiological tests showed that the existing frozen starting shanks, existing cooking-cooling process and the subsequent frozen storage effectively reduced the bacterial loads inside the product package. This confirmed the hygiene of the lamb shank products.

Several recommendations can be drawn from these conclusions. Temperature variation was identified as the cause of undesirable textural variation. This temperature variation was mainly due to the total heat load of the process, the heating capacity, and to the size and design of the water baths. Therefore, the existing commercial process can be improved by reducing the product heat load and/or increasing the heating capacity of the cooking system.

One way of reducing the product heat load is to increase the mean temperature of the shanks prior to loading. This could be achieved by first placing the baskets containing the uncooked, vacuum packed lamb shanks into a water bath containing cold water at typically 14 to 18°C, as discussed in Section 4.3.3. This water may flow by trickle-feed to maintain the bath temperature above 10°C, for example. This would speed up the thawing of packed shanks and most importantly, ensure a more even temperature distribution when the products are loaded into the cooking bath. The thawing process would also reduce the required cooking energy consumption, without any significant impact on the colour, juiciness and tenderness of the final product (Ferger and others 1972; Griffin and others 1985; Obuz and Dikeman 2003). However, the 44% reduction in energy cost resulted in only a trivial cost saving. But as was pointed out the main advantage would be the even shank starting temperature, and the shorter cooking time.
Two suggestions can be thought for improving the heating capacity of the cooking bath. The first one is increasing the heating capacity of the steam cooking system. The heating system appears to be built into the walls of the bath, so any redesign is likely to be a major undertaking. However, it may be possible to ensure the maximum heating is improved by increasing the effectiveness of insulation round the cooking bath that including the lid. The second one is increasing the circulation of the bath fluid. Better circulation would ensure more even distribution of temperature in the bath and therefore more even cooking of the product in the baskets. This could be achieved by either pump recirculation or mechanical stirrer.

The heating curve for the commercial process (Figure 20) indicated that a better heating system could not only improve the initial heating of the shanks loaded into the bath, but also the control of the set-point cooking temperature once it is achieved. However, a more detailed evaluation of the cooking immersion bath would be required before undertaking any of the suggestion on heating capacity improvement. The further examination of the temperature profiles in the cooking bath will including better understanding of the design and insulation of the commercial cooking bath, more probes inside the cooking baskets, perhaps the thermal centre, and a random shank tests (about 20 shanks) in each batch.

When the temperature variation was limited, shanks in small and medium weight ranges would require shorter cooking time than those in large weight range, as indicated from the laboratory trials. The question turns out the large-size shanks need to be separated from the smaller shanks before the commercial cooking, which may complicate the process. The knowing differences of textural properties between shanks in large weight range and small and medium ranges may be significant, but is it commercially important? However, there is no doubt that this size segregation will provide a more consistent final products textural quality.
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Appendix 1. The 7-point hedonic scales used in the consumer test to judge the tenderness, juiciness and overall impression of the *sous vide* shanks.

### Consumer test

**Sous-vide lamb shank**

Have a drink of water before you start.
Please answer the questions on both sides.

1. Please rate each sample for **tenderness** by placing a mark in a box that best describes your evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 231</th>
<th>Extremely tough</th>
<th>Tough</th>
<th>Slightly tough</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Slightly tender</th>
<th>Tender</th>
<th>Extremely tender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 434</td>
<td>Extremely tough</td>
<td>Tough</td>
<td>Slightly tough</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Slightly tender</td>
<td>Tender</td>
<td>Extremely tender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Please rate each sample for **juiciness** by placing a mark in a box that best describes your evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 231</th>
<th>Extremely dry</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Slightly dry</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Slightly juicy</th>
<th>Juicy</th>
<th>Extremely juicy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 434</td>
<td>Extremely dry</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Slightly dry</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Slightly juicy</td>
<td>Juicy</td>
<td>Extremely juicy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Please rate each sample for **overall like/dislike** by placing a mark in a box that best describes your evaluation.

Sample 231

- Dislike extremely
- Dislike slightly
- Neutral
- Like slightly
- Like
- Like Extremely

Sample 434

- Dislike extremely
- Dislike slightly
- Neutral
- Like slightly
- Like
- Like Extremely

4. Have you had lamb shanks before?
   - Yes
   - No

5. What is your overall impression of the two samples’ **texture**?

   [Blank Space for Text]

6. What is your overall impression of the two **samples**?

   [Blank Space for Text]

**Please hand in this score sheet. Thank you!**
Appendix 2. Temperature profiles of lamb shank surface and core during 5.5-hour *sous vide* cooking, modelled by FlexPDE 3.

Shank surface temperature profile at position ‘a’ (as shown in the diagram below).

Shank core temperature profile at position ‘a’ (as shown in the diagram below).
Appendix 3. Calculation of energy consumption. This calculation does not indicate the energy required to heat the bath from ambient to 90°C, and also assumes a 5.5 hour cook time for both scenarios.

Equations:
- Heating energy:
  \[ Q = M c_p \Delta T \]
  \[ M = 300 \, \text{kg} \]
  \[ c_p = 2.97 \, \text{kJ/kg°C} \text{ (above freezing point); } 1.55 \, \text{kJ/kg°C} \text{ (below freezing point)} \]
  (from Engineering ToolBox, as lamb leg)
  Freezing point = -1.9°C
  Shank initial temperature = -12°C
  Shank temperature after 2 hours pre-heating = 13°C
  (calculated by the FPM model)
  Shank final temperature (temperature after cooking) = 90°C

- Latent heat of water in shanks:
  \[ Q = M L \]
  \[ M = 106.7 \, \text{kg} \]
  (Assuming shank weight is 350 g on average, the meat content in each shank is about 66%, and the water content of meat is about 70%, so water content of 660 shanks is 106.7 kg)
  \[ L = 333.6 \, \text{kJ/kg} \]

Scenario 1: Energy consumption of cooking a batch of shanks from the frozen state (-12°C)
Below freezing point:
\[ 300 \times 1.55 \times ((-1.9) - (-12)) = 4696.5 \, \text{kJ} = 1.3 \, \text{kWh} \]
Latent heat of water:
\[ 106.7 \times 333.6 = 35595.1 \, \text{kJ} = 9.9 \, \text{kWh} \]
Above freezing point:
\[ 300 \times 2.97 \times (90 - (-1.9)) = 81882.9 \, \text{kJ} = 22.7 \, \text{kWh} \]
Total energy consumption:
\[ 1.3 + 9.9 + 22.7 = 33.9 \, \text{kWh} \]
Scenario 2: Energy consumption of cooking a batch of shanks from the pre-heated state (13°C)

\[ 300 \times 2.97 \times (90 - 13) = 68607 \text{ kJ} = 19.1 \text{ kWh} \]

Energy saving by cooking from the pre-heated state

\[ 33.9 - 19.1 = 14.8 \text{ kWh} \]

\[ \frac{14.8}{33.9} \times 100\% = 44\% \]

Thus, 44% of cooking energy could be saved by thawing the shanks in a water bath at 15°C for 2 hours prior to cooking process.

Energy equivalent of pre-heating process (thaw shanks from -12 to 13°C)

Below freezing point:

\[ 300 \times 1.55 \times ((-1.9) - (-12)) = 4696.5 \text{ kJ} = 1.3 \text{ kWh} \]

Latent heat:

\[ 106.7 \times 333.6 = 35595.1 \text{ kJ} = 9.9 \text{ kWh} \]

Above freezing point:

\[ 300 \times 2.97 \times (13 - (-1.9)) = 13275.9 \text{ kJ} = 3.7 \text{ kWh} \]

Total energy: \( 1.3 + 9.9 + 3.7 = 14.9 \text{ kWh} \)

The energy equivalent of pre-heating process equals to the amount of energy saving, which suggests the energy saving is due to the fact that the shanks have been heated (thawed) to pass the latent heat phase and the higher cooking start-point of shanks.